

Effective Catalyst for Oxidation Synthesis of 2,4,6-Trimethylbenzoyldipenylphosphine Oxide: V/MCM-41

Tingting Wang¹ · Zhiming Wang¹ · Junjing Zhao¹ · Qing Yu¹ · Zhongwei Wang¹

Received: 16 May 2017 / Accepted: 11 January 2018 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

2,4,6-Trimethylbenzoyldipenylphosphine oxide (TPO) is an important photoinitiator, nevertheless some drawbacks exist in its synthesis. So V/MCM-41 catalysts were designed. Compared with commonly used VO($acac_2$, V/MCM-41 catalysts exhibited excellent TPO yield (92.5%), which makes the usage of inorganic vanadium possible and is benefit both in academic and in industry.

Graphical Abstract



Keywords Oxidation · Photoinitator · 2,4,6-Trimethylbenzoyldipenylphosphine oxide · Vanadium · MCM-41

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s10562-018-2299-2) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Qing Yu laura9751@163.com

Zhongwei Wang wangzhongwei@fusilinchem.com

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Published online: 23 January 2018

1 Introduction

Monoacylphosphine oxides (MAPOs) are highly effective radical photoinitiators, which are widely used in the curing of white pigmented coating [1, 2] and biomedicalmaterials [3–5], especially dental [6–11] and orthopedic resin composites [12–14]. However, only two methods are known for the synthesis of MAPOs. Method I is one-step reaction

of acyl chloride and alkoxyphosphine. Method II is twostep reaction: (1) aldehyde reacts with diphenylphosphine oxide to form α -hydroxyphosphine oxide; (2) followed by oxidation of α -hydroxyphosphine oxide to MAPOs. Comparatively, Method I has limited substrate tolerance and forms by-product of alkyl chloride (difficult to handle) [15]; Method II has the advantage of mild reaction condition and broad substrate scope. Thus, Method II is commonly used in MAPOs synthesis.

It is known that the oxidation of alcohols to the corresponding carbonyl compounds is of great importance both in academia and in industry. Thus, plenty of studies were carried out to obtain the optimized and environmental friendly oxidation system [16–19]. Based on these, many oxidants (such as chromium trioxide, potassium permanganate, iodobenzenediaceate, vanadyl acetylacetonate, manganese dioxide, pyridinium dichromate, etc.) have been used for the oxidation of α -hydroxyphosphine oxide [20]. However, the instability of α -hydroxyphosphine oxide under common oxidation conditions makes all the aforementioned experiments fail [20, 21]. Up to now, only two oxidation systems could give satisfactory yield of MAPOs: (1) active MnO₂ [20]; (2) combination of vanadyl acetylacetonate (VO(acac)₂) and tert-butylhydroperoxide (TBHP) [22]. For the first oxidation system, huge amounts (20 times to the substrate) of active MnO_2 are needed [20]. For the second system, large usage (ca. 35 times to the substrate) of VO(acac)₂ causes environmental pollution [22]. Taking account of the importance of MAPOs in light-curing and the drawbacks of the two oxidation systems, we decided to explore highly effective and environmental friendly synthesis using Method II method for MAPOs. 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyldipenylphosphine oxide (TPO, Fig. 1) is chosen as the target MAPOs in this study and Method II is used in the synthesis. Initially, Mn, Mo, W and Fe were chosen as active metal for the oxidation synthesis of TPO. Both zeolites and metal oxides were used as support. However, the experiments failed: in most cases no TPO was detected after reaction (Table S1). So MCM-41 supported vanadium (V/MCM-41) was designed as the oxidation catalyst for the synthesis of TPO. Inorganic vanadium compounds (NH_4VO_3 and V_2O_5) were used as precursors



Fig. 1 Chemical structure of 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyldipenylphosphine oxide (TPO)

for catalyst preparation. For comparison, the performance of $VO(acac)_2 + TBHP$ system was tested.

2 Experimental

2.1 Catalyst Preparation

MCM-41 supported vanadium (V/MCM-41) catalysts were prepared by solid state impregnation, similar as the procedure in literature [23]. Typically, vanadium precursor (NH₄VO₃ or V₂O₅, Aladdin) and MCM-41 (JCNANO Tech Co., Ltd) were added to an agate mortar and manually ground for 0.5 h. The ground mixture was then transferred to a crucible and calcined in air at 550 °C for 4 h. The theoretical V loading was set as 7 wt%, which was then analyzed by ICP (Table S2). Obtained samples were denoted as V₁/M (NH₄VO₃ as precursor) and V₂/M (V₂O₅ as precursor).

2.2 Catalyst Characterization

Crystalline structures of the catalysts were investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements (D/Max250pc). The morphologies of samples were recorded using SEM (Nova Nano SEM450) and TEM (Tecnai G2 20). The textural properties of samples were analyzed by low temperature N_2 adsorption/desorption using an Autosorb-IQ gas absorption analyzer and the specific surface areas were calculated using the BET equation. The vanadium loading was determined by ICP analysis (Optima 7300 DV). Chemical states of vanadium in the catalysts were determined by UV–Vis (UV-3031, Shimadzu).

2.3 Oxidation Synthesis of 2,4,6-Trimethylbenzoyldipenylphosphine Oxide (TPO)

TPO was synthesized through the oxidation of α -hydroxy-(2,4,6-trimethylbenzyl)-diphenyl phosphine oxide (α -HDPO, Scheme 1). α -HDPO was obtained by the reaction of diphenylphosphine oxide (DPO) and 2,4,6-trimethylbenzaldehyde (TMBA). The structure of product was confirmed by ¹H NMR, ³¹P NMR and ¹³C NMR (shown in Supporting Information). Typical procedure was as follows.

Firstly, TMBA (0.1 mol) and DPO (0.1 mol) were dissolved in 150 mL 1,2-dichloroethane (DCM). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h (TLC analysis). The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and crude product of α -HDPO was purified by washing with EtOAc (3×80 mL).

Secondly, TBHP (10.28 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of α -HDPO (8.57 mmol) and catalyst (1 mol% V as α -HDPO) in 30 mL DCM at 5 °C. After 30 min, the mixture was then stirred at room temperature for another 6 h.



Scheme 1 Reaction pathway of oxidation synthesis of TPO



Fig. 2 Small-angle XRD patterns (a) and wide-angle XRD patterns (b) of MCM-41 and V/MCM-41 catalysts

Reaction products were analyzed using HPLC (Shimadzu) equipped with UV detector and C-18 column (Anasil AQ-C18, 5 μ m). The investigated catalysts were V₁/M, V₂/M, VO(acac)₂, NH₄VO₃ and V₂O₅. Catalytic activity was described in terms of α -HDPO conversion and TPO yield.

3 Results and Discussion

Figure 2 depicted the XRD patterns of MCM-41 and V/ MCM-41 catalysts. Typical diffraction peaks of MCM-41 [24] could be clearly observed on V₁/M and V₂/M, indicating that the hexagonally order structure is well preserved after the introduction of V species by solid state impregnation. Such maintenance could also be confirmed by the results of SEM (Fig. S1) and TEM (Fig. S2). On the other hand, peaks due to V₂O₅ species (JCPDS card no. 41-1426) could be clearly observed on these two catalysts. But the diffraction peaks on V₂/M were more intense than those on V₁/M (Fig. 2b). Because the amount of V was the same, this result suggested that vanadium species on V₁/M dispersed better than those on V₂/M.

Band at ca. 450 nm (due to crystalline V_2O_5 [25]) could be observed on the UV–Vis spectra of V/MCM-41 catalysts (Fig. 3), which confirms the existence of V_2O_5 species.



Fig. 3 UV–Vis spectra of V_1/M and V_2/M

Moreover, strong peaks around 260 nm (with a shoulder at ca. 213 nm) and medium peak around 370 nm could be detected. The former peak corresponded to tetrahedrally coordinated vanadium in terminal position (V=O), while the shoulder was due to tetrahedrally coordinated vanadium in the framework (V–O–Si) [26]. The peak around 370 nm could be assigned to vanadium in octahedral coordination [27]. The results reveal that parts of vanadium species impregnated to MCM-41 existed in the framework. It is in accordance with the XRD results (intensity decrease of diffraction peaks of MCM-41 structure).

The catalytic performance of V_1/M and V_2/M in the oxidation of α -HDPO to TPO was investigated and results were depicted in Fig. 4. For comparison, $VO(acac)_2 + TBHP$ system was also tested. After 6.5 h reaction, α -HDPO was almost fully converted in all cases. However, the yield of TPO over V/MCM-41 catalysts were much higher than that on VO(acac)₂, especially for V₁/M (92.5%). It suggested that the trend towards oxidation reaction was much greater on V/MCM-41 catalysts than that on VO(acac)₂. Because α -HDPO is easily decomposed under oxidation conditions, the results also reveal that the usage of V/MCM-41 catalysts could partially inhibit the decomposition of α -HDPO. It should be noticed that inorganic vanadium compounds were used for the preparation of oxidation catalysts. The substitution of organic VO(acac)₂ and the inhibition of α -HDPO decomposition is of great importance both in academia and in industry.

For the two V/MCM-41 catalysts, with similar α -HDPO conversion, TPO yield on V₁/M is ca. 14% higher than that on V₂/M. Taking account of results in Figs. 2 and 3, it could be speculated that the highly dispersed vanadium species on V₁/M were much more benefit for α -HDPO oxidation.

Since V_2O_5 species existed on the two catalysts which shown high activity towards α -HDPO oxidation. Moreover, the catalyst resulting from NH₄VO₃ exhibited the highest TPO yield. We wonder the performance of commercial V_2O_5 and NH₄VO₃ in this reaction. The conversion of α -HDPO



Fig. 4 Performance of V₁/M, V₂/M and VO(acac)₂ in the oxidation of α -HDPO. Conditions: the molar ratio of α -HDPO:TBHP:catalyst is 1:1.2:0.01, DCM (30 mL), stirred at 5 °C for 30 min and then at room temperature for 6 h

and yield of TPO over V_2O_5 and NH_4VO_3 were presented in Table 1. Obviously, the activity of V_2O_5 and NH_4VO_3 for the aimed reaction was much lower. Especially for NH_4VO_3 , TPO could not be detected after reaction. Comparing the catalytic results in Fig. 4 and Table 1, it could be concluded that V_2O_5 plays important roles in the oxidation of α -HDPO. Moreover, the enhanced activity of V_2O_5 after solid state impregnation could be due to the dispersion of V species onto MCM-41, which increased the amounts of active sites.

The reusability of V_1/M catalyst was also investigated (Table 2). After the first round of reaction, the catalyst was recycled by centrifuging several times. After careful washing, drying and calcining, the recycled catalyst was reused for the next round. According to the data in Table 2, V_1/M catalyst kept a good catalytic activity in the first two cycles: both α -HDPO conversion and TPO yield could be well preserved. As VO(acac)₂ is unrecyclable after oxidation synthesis of TPO, these results reveal that V_1/M would be a good substitute for VO(acac)₂. However, an obvious drop of TPO yield in the third round could not be ignored. It might be due to the leaching of vanadium species during repeated washing. Further studies on preserving the activity of V_1/M catalyst are carried out.

All catalytic results revealed that the incorporation of V species onto MCM-41 is an effective way to enhance the catalytic performance of inorganic vanadium compound in the oxidation of α -HDPO. Since VO(acac)₂ is used in the synthesis of TPO in industry, the above results would give a new, inorganic and more effective catalyst for the production of TPO, which would benefit for the field of photoinitator.

Table 1 Conversion of α -HDPO and yield of TPO over different catalysts

Catalysts	α-HDPO conversion (%)	TPO yield (%)
V ₂ O ₅	92.2	55.4
NH ₄ VO ₃	12	0
V ₁ /M	99.5	92.5
V ₂ /M	99.5	78.2

Conditions: the molar ratio of α -HDPO:TBHP:catalyst is 1:1.2:0.01, DCM (30 mL), stirred at 5 °C for 30 min and then at room temperature for 6 h

Table 2 Conversion of $\alpha\text{-HDPO}$ and yield of TPO over recycled V_1/M catalysts

Recycle times	α -HDPO conversion (%)	TPO yield (%)
0	99.5	92.5
1	98.5	90.6
2	92.1	71.0

Conditions: the molar ratio of α -HDPO:TBHP:catalyst is 1:1.2:0.01, DCM (30 mL), stirred at 5 °C for 30 min and then at room temperature for 6 h

4 Conclusions

In this study, the important and difficult step in synthesis of MAPOs is investigated. Vanadium based MCM-41 catalysts were used in the oxidation of α -HDPO to TPO. Catalytic results indicated that the incorporation of V onto MCM-41 is benefit for the aimed reaction and vanadium oxide might be the active site for the reaction. Based on these, inorganic vanadium compound could be used in the preparation of TPO, which is favorable in industry.

Acknowledgements This work was founded by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21103099), Basic Research Projects in Science and Technology Program of Qingdao (13-1-4-242-jch) and Research Foundation of Qingdao Fusilin Chemical Science & Technology Co., Ltd. (FSL-RF 2016).

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing financial interest.

References

- 1. Scherzer T (2002) Appl Spectrosc 56:1403
- 2. Schneider LFJ, Cavalcante LM, Prahl SA et al (2012) Dent Mater 28:392
- 3. Cooke MN, Fisher JP, Dean D (2003) J Biomed Mater Res B 64B:65

Affiliations

- Tingting Wang¹ · Zhiming Wang¹ · Junjing Zhao¹ · Qing Yu¹ · Zhongwei Wang¹
- ¹ College of Materials Science and Engineering, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266590, China

- 4. Fisher JP, Holland TA, Dean D (2001) J Biomater Sci Polym Ed 12:673
- 5. Manojlovic D, Dramićanin MD, Milosevic M et al (2016) Mater Sci Eng C 58:487
- 6. Miletic V, Pongprueksa P, Brooks NR (2013) J Dent 41:918
- 7. Meereis CTW, Leal FB, Lima SG (2014) Dent Mater 30:945
- Price RBT, Labrie D, Rueggeberg FA et al (2014) Dent Mater 30:1345
- 9. Liu Y, Bai X, Liu YW et al (2016) J Dent Res 95:334
- Pongprueksa P, Miletic V, Janssens H et al (2014) Dent Mater 30:695
- 11. Miletic V, Pongprueksa P, Munck JD et al (2013) J Dent 41:918
- 12. Hayki N, Lecamp L, Lebaudy P (2010) Macromolecules 43:177
- 13. Oliveira DCRS., Rocha MG, Gatti A et al (2015) J Dent 43:1565
- 14. Oliveira DCRS., Rocha MG, Correa IC et al (2016) Dent Mater 32:1209
- 15. Miller RC, Miller CD, Rogers W et al (1957) J Am Chem Soc 79:424
- 16. Naghdi Z, Farzaeli R, Aliyan H (2015) Russ J Appl Chem 88:1343
- 17. Burange AS, Kale SR, Zboril R et al (2014) RSC Adv 4:6597
- Atashin H, Malakooti R, Reihaneh (2014) J Chin Chem Soc 61:1039
- 19. Shaikh M, Sahu M, Gavel PK et al (2015) Catal Commun 64:18
- 20. Nazir R, Danilevicius P, Gray D et al (2013) Macromolecules 46:7239
- 21. Miletic V, Santini A (2012) J Dent 40:106
- 22. Fischer M, Hickmann E, KroppR et al (1997) US Patent 5679863A
- 23. Sun JF, Zhang L, Ge CY et al (2014) Chin J Catal 35:1347
- 24. Kim BS, Jeong CS, Kim JM et al (2016) Catal Today 265:184
- 25. Nguyen VH, Lin SD, Wu JCS (2015) J Catal 331:217
- 26. Shylesh S, Singh AP (2005) J Catal 233:359
- 27. Solsona B, Blasco T, Nieto JML et al (2001) J Catal 203:443