

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 17 (2007) 814-818

Aminomethyl tetrahydronaphthalene biphenyl carboxamide MCH-R1 antagonists—Increasing selectivity over hERG

Kenneth M. Meyers,[†] Nicholas Kim, José L. Méndez-Andino,^{*} X. Eric Hu,[‡] Rashid N. Mumin, Sean R. Klopfenstein, John A. Wos, Maria C. Mitchell, Jennifer L. Paris, David C. Ackley, Jerry K. Holbert, Scott W. Mittelstadt and Ofer Reizes[§]

Procter & Gamble Pharmaceuticals, 8700 Mason-Montgomery Road, Mason, OH 45039, USA

Received 15 September 2006; revised 16 October 2006; accepted 23 October 2006 Available online 25 October 2006

Abstract—Aminomethyl tetrahydronaphthalene biphenyl carboxamide MCH-R1 antagonists with greater selectivity over hERG were identified. SAR studies addressing two distinct alternatives for structural modifications leading to improve hERG selectivity are described.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Estimates indicate that more than 30% of the U.S. adult population is obese, and that obesity-related health care costs are in the range of \$100 billion per year.^{1,2} The G-protein-coupled receptor MCH-R1 has received significant attention in recent years as a potential target for effective anti-obesity therapy.³ It has been suggested that CNS-located MCH-R1 is involved in biological processes related to mammalian feeding behaviors and energy expenditure.⁴ Identification of a small molecule MCH-R1 antagonist is being heavily pursued by many laboratories trying to find drug-molecule that may be effective for the treatment of obesity.⁵

We recently disclosed aminomethyl tetrahydronaphthalene biphenyl carboxamide 1 as a potent MCH-R1 antagonist.⁶ Compound 1 dosed at 20 mg/kg PO BID promoted 5.7% vehicle corrected body weight reduction

- * Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 513 622 0304; fax: +1 513 622 1433; e-mail: mendezandino.jl@pg.com
- [†] Present address: Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, 900 Ridgebury Road, Ridgefield, CT 06877, USA.
- [‡] Present address: Genome Research Institute, University of Cincinnati, 2180 E. Galbraith Road, Cincinnati, OH 45237, USA.
- [§] Present address: Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, 9500 Euclid Avenue-NC10, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA.

0960-894X/\$ - see front matter @ 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2006.10.053

in a 4 day mouse diet induced obesity (mDIO) weight loss model.^{4b,c} In addition, compound 1 exhibited excellent weight loss in our long-term mouse model. In a 28 day mDIO study, 1 dosed at 20 mg/kg PO QD promoted 7.8% decrease in body weight compared to vehicle. Magnetic Resonance Relaxometry (MRR)⁷ body weight composition analysis of the mice in both studies revealed a reduction in fat mass with negligible change in lean mass.

Despite the attractive in vivo results, further development of 1 as a MCH-R1 antagonist for the treatment of obesity was compromised by its potent hERG ion channel activity (IC₅₀ = 1.8μ M).⁸ hERG blockers may induce QTc interval prolongation, which is associated with potentially lethal arrhythmias known as torsades



Figure 1. Postulated interactions between 1 and hERG.

Keywords: Melanin-concentrating hormone; MCH-R1 antagonists; Obesity; hERG; Aminomethyl tetrahydronaphthalene biphenyl carboxamide.

de *points* (TdP). hERG associated TdP has led to the removal of several drugs from the market.⁹ We desired aminomethyl tetrahydronaphthalene biphenyl carboxamide compounds analogous to 1 but having lower hERG inhibition activity.

Review of recent literature led us to postulate that **1** may interact with hERG in the following fashion (Fig. 1).¹⁰

The benzylic tertiary amine may participate in a cation- π interaction with the Tyr-652 residue of the hERG channel. In addition, the lipophilic tetrahydronaphthalene and biphenyl moieties may interact with hydrophobic residues of the hERG channel such as Phe-656. We chose to keep the aminomethyl tetrahydronaphthalene biphenyl carboxamide core intact and focused efforts to discover compounds with greater selectivity over



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) i—Boc-anhydride, NaOH, THF, H₂O, rt; ii—dimethylamine, EDCI, HOBt, NMM, DMF, rt; (b) LAH, THF, 0 °C; (c) biphenyl carboxylic acid, EDCI, HOBt, NMM, DMF, rt; (d) ethylchloroformate, N,N-diisopropylethylamine, CH₃CN, rt; (e) secondary alkyl amine, CH₃CN, MW 100 W, 120 °C, 5 min.

Table 1. Biphenyl substitutions

Compound	Х	hERG (µM)	MCH-R1 K _i (nM)	5HT _{2C} IC ₅₀ (µM)
1	E C C C	IC ₅₀ = 1.8	7.4	55
5a		IC ₅₀ = 2.6	11	56
5b		$IC_{50} = 5.1$	12	9.5
5c		$IC_{50} = 5.2$	20	17
5d		$IC_{50} = 10$	184	16
5e		IC ₅₀ = 14	18	27
5f		$IC_{50} = 20$	118	22
5g		$IC_{50} = 30$	140	>100
5h		% inh. at 10 $\mu M < 50$	2480	>100
5i		% inh. at 10 µM < 50	890	>100

hERG through substitution at the benzylic tertiary amine and biphenyl sites.

The synthesis of 1 and its tertiary amine and biphenyl analogs is outlined in Scheme 1. Commercially available amino acid 2 was protected as a Boc carbamate and coupled with dimethylamine to yield 3. Reduction of both the carbamate and amide with LAH yielded diamine 4. Reaction with various biphenyl carboxylic acids (either commercial or prepared via Suzuki reaction) and EDCI/HOBt yielded 5. The dimethylamino group was reacted with ethyl chloroformate¹¹ to yield benzylic chloride 6. Displacement of the chloride with secondary amines yielded compounds 7.

In vitro results for substitutions at the biphenyl position (5a-b) are summarized in Table 1. We desired selectivity over $5HT_{2C}$ due to its suggested role in the modulation of feeding behavior that could interfere with effects related to inhibition of MCH-R1.¹² We sought to decrease the lipophilicity of 1 and potentially its hERG binding affinity by substituting either of the aromatic rings of the biphenyl moiety with a pyridine ring. Introduction of nitrogen into the inner aromatic ring decreased hERG binding compared to 1. The position of the nitrogen in the inner aromatic ring affected hERG activity; **5b** and **5d** were less active than their corresponding isomers **5a** and **5c**.

The greatest effects on decreasing hERG binding were achieved through terminal aromatic ring substitutions. Halogen substitution of the outer aromatic ring effected hERG activity (4-chloro (5a) > 4-flouro (5c) > unsubstituted (5f)). Introduction of nitrogen in the terminal

aromatic ring decreased hERG activity considerably (5g-i). These results suggest that interactions between the terminal aromatic ring and hydrophobic residues of the hERG ion channel are critical for high affinity binding. A general trend for 5g-i was structural changes that resulted in decreased hERG binding had a negative effect on MCH-R1 activity¹³ but resulted in selectivity over $5HT_{2c}$.¹⁴

We also incorporated polar functionality proximal to the tertiary amine, a technique utilized previously in the literature to reduce hERG binding.¹⁵ Results are summarized in Table 2. Unfortunately, substitution at the tertiary amine did not have as dramatic an effect as biphenyl substitutions. Introduction of an amide moiety beta to the benzylic tertiary amine had no effect on hERG for glycine derivative 7a; however, this substitution resulted in decreased hERG binding for both proline 7b and ketopiperazine 7e derivatives. The restricted rotation of 7b and 7e may present the amide moiety in such a fashion that prevents high affinity binding with hERG. Urea and acetyl piperazines 7d and 7f also had decreased hERG activity compared to 1. Except for sulfone 7c, moderate MCH-R1 activity was retained within this series of compounds, suggesting a tolerance by the MCH-R1 receptor for polar functionality proximal to the benzylic tertiary amine, a key interaction site. Compounds 7a-f did not bind to 5HT_{2C}, suggesting that incorporation of polar functionality proximal to the benzylic tertiary amine helps to decrease 5HT_{2C} activity. N-Acetyl piperazine was identified as the best tertiary amine group having decreased hERG activity with retained MCH-R1 activity.

		F 7a-f		
Compound	R	hERG (µM)	MCH-R1 K _i (nM)	$5HT_{2C}\ IC_{50}\ (\mu M)$
7a	V ^N V ^N N	IC ₅₀ = 1.8	24	>100
7b		$IC_{50} = 5.0$	146	>100
7c	I-∧_s ₀	$IC_{25}^{a} = 2.5$	755	>100
7d	I-N_N-{O NH2	$IC_{50} = 6.7$	129	>100
7e	0 -NNH	$IC_{25}^{a} = 7.6$	145	>100
7f		% inh. at 10 $\mu\mathrm{M} < 50$	103	>100

Table 2. Benzylic tertiary amine substitutions

O N N	Ŋ	`R
7a-f		

 $^{a}\mbox{ Activity}$ measured as IC_{25}. Compound insolubility prevented IC_{50} determination.

 \sim

 Table 3. Combined benzylic tertiary amine and biphenyl substitutions

x ^Y N ⁻					
⁷ g-j					
Compound	Х	R	hERG IC_{25}^{a} (μM)	MCH-R1 K _i (nM)	$5HT_{2C}\ IC_{50}\ (\mu M)$
7g	F N	 -n_n⊰ ⁰	14	302	>100
7h	N I	I-N_N-	20	727	>100
7i	N	I−N_S [∞] O	30	NA	>100
7j	F	0 -nNH	34	3465	>100

^a Activity measured as IC₂₅. Compound insolubility prevented IC₅₀ determination.

Our next series of compounds combined SAR learnings from the biphenyl and benzylic tertiary amine substitutions (Table 3). We desired compounds with much lower hERG activity while retaining MCH-R1 activity. Compounds 7g-j exhibited decreased hERG activity compared to 1. Unfortunately, the combined changes of introducing a nitrogen into the biphenyl and polar functionality proximal to the benzylic tertiary amine resulted in greatly reduced MCH-R1 activity. As predicted from the above SAR studies, 7g-j did not exhibit 5HT_{2C} activity.

In summary, aminomethyl tetrahydronaphthalene biphenyl carboxamide MCH-R1 antagonists with greater selectivity over hERG were identified. A nitrogencontaining biphenyl moiety was necessary for decreasing hERG activity for this series of compounds. Also, *N*acetyl piperazine was identified as an optimal tertiary amine group for hERG selectivity with retained MCH-R1 activity. By incorporating changes at both the biphenyl and tertiary amine moieties, decreases in hERG binding came at the expense of reduced MCH-R1 binding activity.

References and notes

- 1. The Surgeon General's Call to Action to Prevent and Decrease Overweight and Obesity: Office of the Surgeon General, US Department of Health and Human Services: Rockville, MD, 2001; www.surgeongeneral.gov/topics/ obesity.
- (a) Powell, D. R. *Obes. Rev.* 2006, 7, 89; (b) Heal, David J.; Rowley, Helen L.; Jackson, Helen C. *Prog. Obes. Res.* 2003, 9, 260.
- (a) Dyke, H. J.; Ray, N. C. Expert Opin. Ther. Patents 2005, 15, 1303; (b) Carpenter, A. J.; Hertzog, D. L. Expert Opin. Ther. Patents 2002, 12, 1639.
- (a) Kowalski, T. J.; McBriar, M. D. Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs 2004, 13, 1113; (b) Receveur, J. M.; Bjurling, E.; Ulven, T.; Little, P. B.; Norregaard, P. K.; Hogberg, T.

Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **2004**, *14*, 5075; (c) Shearman, L. P.; Camacho, R. E.; Sloan, S. D.; Zhou, D.; Bednarek, M. A.; Hreniuk, D. L.; Feighner, S. D.; Tan, C. P.; Howard, A. D.; Van der Ploeg, L. H.; MacIntyre, D. E.; Hickey, G. J.; Strack, A. M. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2003**, *475*, 37.

- (a) Kanuma, K.; Omodera, K.; Nishiguchi, M.; Funakoshi, T.; Chki, S.; Semple, G.; Tran, T.-A.; Kramer, B.; Hsu, D.; Casper, M.; Thomsen, B.; Sekiguchi, Y. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2005, *15*, 3853; (b) Souers, A. J.; Gao, J.; Brune, M.; Bush, E.; Wodka, D.; Vasudevan, A.; Judd, A. S.; Mulhern, M.; Brodjian, S.; Dayton, B.; Shapiro, R.; Hernandez, L. E.; Marsh, K. C.; Sham, H. L.; Collins, C. A.; Kym, P. R. *J. Med. Chem.* 2005, *48*, 1318; (c) Ulven, T.; Little, P. B.; Receveur, J.-M.; Frimurer, T. M.; Rist, Ø.; Nørregaard, P. K.; Högberg, T. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2006, *16*, 1070; (d) Palani, A.; Shapiro, S.; McBriar, M. D.; Clader, J. W.; Greenlee, W. J.; Spar, B.; Kowalski, T. J.; Farley, C.; Cook, J.; van Heek, M.; Weig, B.; O'Neill, K.; Graziano, M.; Hawes, B. E. J. Med. Chem. 2005, *48*, 4746.
- 6. Hu, X. E. Patent WO 2005/033063.
- (a) Nicholson, Janet R.; Kohler, Gotz; Schaerer, Florian; Senn, Claudia; Weyermann, Philipp; Hofbauer, Karl G. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2006, 317, 771; (b) Tinsley, F. C.; Taicher, G. Z.; Heiman, M. L. Obes. Res. 2004, 12, 150.
- Patch-clamp hERG IC₅₀ determinations were carried out as described in Zhou, Z.; Gong, Q.; Ye, B.; Fan, Z.; Makielski, J. C.; Robertson, G. A.; January, C. T. *Biophys. J.* 1998, 74, 230.
- Redfern, W. S.; Carlsson, L.; Davis, A. S.; Lynch, W. G.; MacKenzie, I.; Palethorpe, S.; Siegl, P. K. S.; Strang, I.; Sullivan, A. T.; Wallis, R.; Camm, A. J.; Hammond, T. G. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 2003, 58, 32.
- (a) Fernandez, D.; Ghanta, A.; Kauffman, G. W.; Sanguinetti, M. C. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 10120; (b) Cavalli, A.; Poluzzi, E.; De Ponti, F.; Recanatini, M. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 3844; (c) Sanguinetti, M. C.; Mitcheson, J. S. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 2005, 26, 119.
- 11. Dai-Ho, G.; Mariano, P. S. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 5, 5113.
- Szewczyk, J. R.; Sternbach, D. D. Curr. Med. Chem.: Immunol. Endocr. Metab. Agents 2005, 5, 73, and references therein.
- 13. MCH binding using a Flashplate radioligand binding assay was performed by Perkin-Elmer Biosignal (Toronto,

Ontario). Full competition curves were generated with compound concentration varied from $100 \,\mu\text{M}$ to $11 \,\text{fM}$. Potency (K_i) and maximal efficacy were determined and used to define structure–activity relationship.

14. $5HT_{2C}$ assay was performed using a membrane preparation from cells that over-express the receptor. Membranes were purchased from Euroscreen (ES-318-M) and used according to established protocols. Briefly, membranes were incubated in a reaction mixture containing varying concentrations of the compound and [³H] mesulergine (final concentration 0.33 nM; Amersham), a compound known to bind to the $5HT_{2C}$ receptor. The binding buffer contained 50 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1% ascorbic acid, 5 mM CaCl₂, and 10 µg/ml saponin. Nonspecific binding was assessed by incubating

the membranes with $[^{3}H]$ mesulergine and excess 10 μ M mianserin (ICN). After incubating the mixture at room temperature for 60 min, bound mesulergine was separated from unbound mesulergine by filtration through glass fiber B filter (GF/B) plates (Millipore). Bound radioactive mesulergine was detected using a scintillation counter.

Bilodeau, M. T.; Balitza, A. E.; Koester, T. J.; Manley, P. J.; Rodman, L. D.; Buser-Doepner, C.; Coll, K. E.; Fernandes, C.; Gibbs, J. B.; Heimbrook, D. C.; Huckle, W. R.; Kohl, N.; Lynch, J. J.; Mao, X.; McFall, R. C.; McLoughlin, D.; Miller-Stein, C. M.; Rickert, K. W.; Sepp-Lorenzino, L.; Shipman, J. M.; Subramanian, R.; Thomas, K. A.; Wong, B. K.; Yu, S.; Hartman, G. D. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 6363.