This article was downloaded by: [Dalhousie University] On: 15 September 2013, At: 00:38 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gpss20</u>

Synthesis of New Tetraethyl(Nalkyl-1-aminoethan-1,1diyl)bisphosphona-tes and ESR Analysis of Chemical Exchange of Derived Nitroxides of Acyclic Aminobisphosphonates

Gilles Olive <sup>a</sup> , Antal Rockenbauer <sup>a</sup> , Xavier Rozanska <sup>c</sup> , Alain Jacques <sup>d</sup> , Daniel Peeters <sup>d</sup> & Anton German <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Polymer Chemistry and Coatings Technology, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

<sup>b</sup> Chemical Research Center, Institute of Structural Chemistry, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>c</sup> Schuit Institute of Catalysis, Laboratory of Inorganic Chemistry and Catalysis, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

<sup>d</sup> Unité CSTR, Université Catholique de Louvain, Bâtiment Lavoisier, Louvain-Ia-Nueve, Belgium Published online: 23 Aug 2007.

To cite this article: Gilles Olive , Antal Rockenbauer , Xavier Rozanska , Alain Jacques , Daniel Peeters & Anton German (2008) Synthesis of New Tetraethyl(N-alkyl-1-aminoethan-1,1-diyl)bisphosphona-tes and ESR Analysis of Chemical Exchange of Derived Nitroxides of Acyclic Aminobisphosphonates, Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements, 182:10, 2359-2369

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>



# Synthesis of New Tetraethyl(*N*-alkyl-1-aminoethan-1,1diyl)bisphosphona-tes and ESR Analysis of Chemical Exchange of Derived Nitroxides of Acyclic Aminobisphosphonates

#### **Gilles Olive**

Department of Polymer Chemistry and Coatings Technology, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

#### **Antal Rockenbauer**

Institute of Structural Chemistry, Chemical Research Center, Budapest, Hungary

#### Xavier Rozanska

Schuit Institute of Catalysis, Laboratory of Inorganic Chemistry and Catalysis, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

# Alain Jacques Daniel Peeters

Unité CSTR, Université Catholique de Louvain, Bâtiment Lavoisier, Louvain-la-Nueve, Belgium

# **Anton German**

Department of Polymer Chemistry and Coatings Technology, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

The synthesis and the full characterization of two new linear bisphosphonates (tetraethyl(N-tert-butyl-1-aminoethan-1,1-diyl)bisphosphonate and tetraethyl(N-sec-butyl-1-aminoethan-1,1-diyl)bisphosphonate), and the first analysis of the ESR spectra of the corresponding nitroxides is reported. The preliminary results of theoretical calculations on model compounds suggest a small  $B_0$  (in the McConnell equation). The results of bisphosphonate ester and bisphosphonic acid are similar. The discrepancies of P coupling for the diphosphorus compound stems from  $B_2$  that is different when the dihedral angle is larger than 90°.

Received January 26, 2007; accepted April 30, 2007.

We thank the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund OTKA T-046953 for the financial support. We also thank M. David Riffont from EICVN for his help.

This manuscript is dedicated to the memory of Jean-Pierre Finet.

Address correspondence to Gilles Olive, Ecole Industrielle et Commerciale de la Ville de Namur, Rue Pépin 2B, Namur 5000, Belgium. E-mail: gilles.olive@excite.com.

Keywords Bisphosphonates; diphosphorylation; ESR; McConnell equation; nitroxide; theoretical calculations

#### INTRODUCTION

The radical polymerization has interesting property as it is more tolerant towards traces of impurities than ionic or coordination polymerization.<sup>1</sup> It requires absence of oxygen but can be carried out in the presence of water.<sup>2</sup> Unfortunately, the control over the macromolecules is worse in radical polymerization than in ionic polymerization.<sup>2</sup> To increase the polymerization control, the use of nitroxides has been suggested.<sup>1</sup> Nitroxyl radicals are observed to result in a better control of the molecular weight, polydispersity, and termination processes. The action of a nitroxyl radical occurs through a reversible coupling between the nitroxide and the growing polymeric chain (Scheme 1).



SCHEME 1 Controlled radical polymerization involving nitroxides.

To clarify the role of nitroxyl radical in the polymerization process, some information about its conformational behavior needs to be known. We have already demonstrated it for geminally diphosphorylated pyrrolidinoxyl radicals<sup>3</sup> where the combination of ring pseudorotation with the internal rotation of phosphoryl groups produces at least four nonequivalent sites.

In this article, we describe the synthesis of two new bisphosphonates, namely the tetraethyl(N-tert-butyl-1-aminoethan-1,1diyl)bisphosphonate **1** and the tetraethyl(N-sec-butyl-1-aminoethan-1,1-diyl)bisphosphonate **2** molecules (Scheme 2) and perform the full  $ESR^4$  analysis of the chemical exchange of various nitroxides formed from the oxidation of **1** and **2** (Scheme 2).



SCHEME 2 Compounds studied.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Synthesis

The synthesis of **1** and **2** is described in Scheme 3. It uses a one step reaction that has been described elsewhere.<sup>5–7</sup> At  $-7.5^{\circ}$ C under nitrogen atmosphere POCl<sub>3</sub> (2 mol) is slowly added to a mixture of the corresponding amide (1 mol eq) and triethylphosphite (2 mol eq). After stirring the mixture for one hour at room temperature, it is poured over a cold aqueous solution saturated with NH<sub>4</sub>OH. After work-up, the corresponding aminobisphosphonates are obtained with several yields. (Table I) Compounds **3** and **4** are prepared using the procedure of Beube and Lessard<sup>8</sup> and of Lock and Sagar,<sup>9</sup> respectively.

Using the same procedure, Grimaldi<sup>10</sup> prepared the tetraethyl *N*tert-butyl aminomethylene bisphosphonate **5** with a yield of 56%.

Ammobisphosphonates		
Product	Yield/% (isolated compound)	$\delta$ $^{31}\mathrm{P/ppm}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_3$
1	29	22.5
2	23	23.3
5	56	See $ref^6$ or $^{10}$

# TABLE I Yields and <sup>31</sup>P Chemical Shifts for Some Aminobisphosphonates



SCHEME 3 Reaction pathway for the one-pot procedure of bisphosphonates.

Compared to 1 and 2, which have one additional methyl group on the carbon bearing the two phosphonate groups, the yield is twice as high with 5.

#### ESR Study

#### Analysis of the Radical Derived from 1

The main lines of the spectra can be interpreted as a doublet of a triplet ( $A_P = 53.2$  G,  $A_N = 13.8$  G) and all lines show a further small doublet with an  $A_X = 1.7$  G coupling (Figure 1). Even at high temperatures, there is no sign of line width alternation (LWA). In principle, this lack and the very small second phosphorus coupling could be explained by a rigid geometry. However, this contradicts our observations for other geminally diphosphorylated nitroxide radicals where a chemical exchange always occurs. No other example with the phosphorus in  $\beta$ -position can be found in the literature where the coupling is smaller than 20 G, which in fact is caused by the anomeric effect stabilizing the axial preference of the CP bond. Furthermore, the spectra contain additional satellites of low intensity that can be attributed to a radical with two phosphorus couplings of 40 G on average; the lines reveal the usual LWA. The major product is thus assigned to a mono-phosphorylated radical (**1aNO**), whereas the satellites are interpreted as the lines of the radical (**1bNO**). The assignment of **1aNO** is supported by the small coupling of 1.7 G typical for N-methine hydrogen. This attribution is sustained by the appearance of the impurity lines present in the spectra of the nitroxide obtained by the oxidation of **5** in pentane, which have parameters similar to the major product in the spectra of 1. A computer simulation of the alternating lines<sup>11</sup> from **1bNO** gives data as  $A_N = 14.1$  G,  $A'_P = 55.2$  G,  $A''_P = 14.5$  G,  $\tau = 0.525$  ns [exchange time at 293 K), and  $\Delta E = 15$  kJ/mol (potential barrier computed from the slope on the Arrhenius plot (not shown)].

Using the simplified McConnell equation<sup>12</sup>  $[A_p=B_p \cos^2 \theta$  (Scheme 4) and  $A_H = B_H \cos^2 \theta$ , with  $B_p = 56$  G and  $B_H = 24$  G for linear



**SCHEME 4** Explanation of  $\theta$  in the McConnell equation.

nitroxide<sup>13</sup>], we found  $\theta_P = 12.9^{\circ}$  and  $\theta_H = 72.9^{\circ}$  for **1aNO**,  $\theta_{P'} < 6^{\circ}$  and  $\theta_{P''} = 59.4^{\circ}$  for **1bNO**.

A more elaborate study of this thermal evolution and a discussion of the coalescences and the frozen states will be published elsewhere

# Analysis of the Radical Derived from 2<sup>14</sup>

Above room temperature, the ESR spectra show nine major lines (see Figure 9 in ref<sup>14</sup> and Figure 2 in this article). The phosphorus central lines have smaller amplitudes than the outer lines, revealing a fast chemical exchange. At 363 K in toluene the outer triplet splits into a smaller doublet pattern of 1.44 G that can be attributed to the beta proton of the *sec*-butyl group. The three central lines display a 1:2:1 triplet which is credited with the chirality of the *sec*-butyl group. Plain



**FIGURE 1** Experimental ESR spectra of radical obtained during the oxidation of **1** in toluene at 213 and 363 K.



**FIGURE 2** Experimental ESR spectra of radical **2** in dichloromethane at selected temperatures (in K).

simulations have provided  $A_N = 13.96$  G,  $A_{P1} = 35.63$  G, and  $A_{P2} = 38.20$  G coupling constants.

A significant line broadening is noticed at lower temperatures; the corresponding two coalescences happen below 333 K and at 293 K in toluene, below 343 K and at 283 K in dichloromethane (Figure 2). Starting from 213 K in toluene and 203 K in dichloromethane, the spectra have an anisotropic behavior due to the presence of frozen conformers.

In order to see small coupling, new experiments using pump-freeze cycle instead bubbling helium, as well as new oxidants reagents (like lead dioxide or silver dioxide) will be carried out.

#### **Theoretical Calculations**

Many simulations were performed to analyze the ESR spectra. All simulated spectra show a perfect fit with the experimental ones. However, their interpretation is more complicated. The presence of two different phosphorus atoms allows to determine separately  $B_0$  and  $B_2$  in the dihedral relation of McConnell<sup>12</sup> by analysis of P-hfs couplings, if the  $\Theta$  PCP angle is known.<sup>14</sup>  $B_0$  and  $B_2$  are estimated to be 25 and 35 G, respectively, if  $\Theta = 120^{\circ}$ .  $B_0$  describes direct and indirect polarization, and  $B_2$  describes hyperconjugation.<sup>15</sup> This contradicts the values of  $B_0 = 0$  and  $B_2 = 60$  G that were proposed by Tordo, indicating a significant angular distortion of the PCP group.<sup>14</sup> According to quantum chemical computations,  $B_0$  should actually be small, i.e., never larger than 6 G.<sup>16</sup>

To investigate an alternative possibility causing anomalous  $B_0$ and  $B_2$  values, we performed several ab initio calculations on model molecules similar to **1bNO** and **2NO** using the Gaussian 98<sup>17</sup> software. Model molecules are CH<sub>3</sub>-NO-CH<sub>2</sub>-PO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub> **6**, CH<sub>3</sub>-NO-CH<sub>2</sub>-PO<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> **7** for monophosphonated species, and CH<sub>3</sub>-NO-CH-(PO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> **8** for diphosphonated species. All results were obtained after full optimization at UHF(6-31G) level but constraining the value of the dihedral angle  $\theta$ .<sup>18,19</sup> In **6**, the PO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub> hydrogen atoms were oriented to avoid hydrogen bonding with the NO oxygen. This configuration was maintained in all the compounds. The second molecule was studied in order to establish that the previous results are independent of the nature of the substituents.

The preliminary results show that i)  $B_0$  is generally small; ii) the values of the energy or of the spin density at 0° and 180° and at 90° and 270° are slightly different; iii) the results for **6** and **7** are very similar; and iv) in case of two PO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub> groups (compound **8**), result ii is more important (Figure 3), and the behavior of the two groups is sensibly different, leading to different  $B_0$  and  $B_2$  in the McConell relation (compared to monophosphonated species).

These results are in agreement with a model in which  $B_2$  is different for the angles larger than 90°. This model can explain the discrepancies of P coupling for the diphosphorus compound even if small  $B_0$  is assumed. Since the  $B_2$  asymmetry is supposed to be related to the level of pyramidal distortion, it was interesting to look into this property. Three angles—the valence angles (C(H<sub>3</sub>)-N-O ( $A_1$ ) and C(P)-N-O ( $A_2$ ) completed by the elevation angle at the O atom above the CNC plane (B)—are reported here for the most stable structures:

**6** 
$$A_1 = 119.0, \quad A_2 = 117.2, B = 13.2,$$
 (1)

**7** 
$$A_1 = 119.0, \quad A_2 = 117.3, B = 13.6, and$$
 (2)

**8** 
$$A_1 = 119.8, \quad A_2 = 117.0, B = 12.0.$$
 (3)

The model we developed  $^{14}$  is now supported by the quantum chemical calculations.

Ab initio calculations on **1bNO** and **2NO** are under way and all relevant results will be published in a forthcoming paper.

#### CONCLUSION

In this article, we have described the synthesis and the full characterization of two new linear bisphosphonates and the preliminary results of the analysis of the ESR spectra of the corresponding nitroxides. We also report the results of theoretical calculations on model compounds.



**FIGURE 3** (a) Calculated spin density of the two phosphorus atoms and, (b) energy (in kJ/mol) as a function of  $\theta$  (in degree) for **8**.

With this approach we can claim that  $B_0$  is generally small and that the results of bisphosphonate ester and bisphosphonic acid are similar. Because of the asymmetry, at 0° and 180° for example, the constants in the McConnell relation are different in the case of mono and diphosphorylated species. For diphosphonate molecules, the constant for the simplified relation must be determined. The discrepancies of P coupling for the diphosphorus compound stems from  $B_2$  that is different when the sign of dihedral angle is changed.

# **EXPERIMENTAL**

NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker AM 400 (<sup>1</sup>H, 400 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C, 100.61 MHz; <sup>31</sup>P, 161.98 MHz) spectrometer. The chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are

given in ppm and referred to internal TMS for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C and to external 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> for <sup>31</sup>P. <sup>15</sup>N NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova 500 at 50.649 MHz (Inverse gated method) and the  $\delta$  values in ppm are referred to external  $CD_3NO_2$ . All J values are given in Hz. Elemental analyses were performed at the Eindhoven University of Technology. UV spectra were acquired on a HP 8453 UV-VIS spectrophotometer. IR spectra were acquired on a Mattson Polaris spectrophotometer. Raman spectra were acquired on a Labram Dilor spectrophotometer. Index of refraction were determined on an Atago refractometer at 20°C. ESR measurements were performed on a Bruker ESP 300E spectrometer equipped with an X-band resonator (9.41 GHz). All ESR spectra were recorded at 100 kHz magnetic field modulation. Solvents were purchased from Biosolve. Phosphorus oxychloride, ammonia solution (ca. 28-30%), hydrochloric solution (ca. 32%), triethyl phosphite and all compounds for the synthesis of 3 and 4 were used as purchased from Aldrich. m-CPBA (m-chloroperbenzoic acid) (70–75%) was used as purchased from Acros. Sodium hydroxide was used as purchased from Fluka.

#### **General Procedure**

In a double walled flask, under nitrogen, phosphorus oxychloride (20 mL, 0.22 mol) was added during 1 h to a mixture at  $-7.5^{\circ}$ C of amide **3** or **4** (0.11 mol) and triethylphosphite (34.9 g, 0.21 mol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature and then poured over a mixture of ice (200 g) and ammonia 30% (400 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with methylene chloride (3 × 100 mL) and then the latter was removed to obtain a yellow oil. The oil was dissolved in 100 mL of methylene chloride. An aqueous solution of hydrochloric acid (10 mL of 32% HCl solution, 190 mL of water) was added (pH 1) and the aqueous layer was washed with methylene chloride (3 × 100 mL). A solution of sodium hydroxide (20 g of NaOH in 200 mL of water) was added up to pH 10 and the aqueous layer was dried over sodium sulfate and filtered. Removal of the solvent afforded **1** or **2**.

#### Tetraethyl(N-tert-butyl-1-aminoethan-1,1-diyl)bisphosphonate (1)

(11.3 g, 29%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.24 (s, 9H, CH<sub>3</sub>C), 1.33 (t, J = 7.0, 12H, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 1.74 (t, J = 18.4, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>CP<sub>2</sub>), 2.70 (s, 1H, NH), 4.1-4.3 (m, 8H, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  15.8 (t, J = 5.5, CH<sub>3</sub>CP<sub>2</sub>), 16.3 (t, J = 3.5, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 32.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>C), 52.6 (t, J = 5.7, CH<sub>3</sub>C),

58.9 (t, J = 145.0, CP<sub>2</sub>), 62.5 (t, J = 4.1, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 64.0 (t, J = 3.7, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 22.5. <sup>15</sup>N NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): δ -324.3 (t,  $J_{\rm PN} = 6.1$ ). IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 3466 ( $\nu_{\rm NH}$ ), 1251 (P=O), 1164 (POC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. Raman: 2978 ( $\nu_{CH3}$  As.); 2934 ( $\nu_{\rm CH2}$  As.); 2908 ( $\nu_{\rm CH3}$  Sym.); 2875 ( $\nu_{\rm CH2}$  Sym.); 2776; 2726; 1479; 1456 ( $\delta_{\rm CHsp3}$  As.); 1446; 1396 or 1369 ( $\delta_{\rm CHsp3}$  Sym.); 1288; 1239 (P=O); 1099 ( $\nu_{C-N}$ ); 1028; 923; 767; 686; 639; 537; 313; 213 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>33</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>P<sub>2</sub>: C, 45.04; H, 8.91; N, 3.75. Found: C, 44.80; H, 8.49; N, 3.55. UV (Ethanol, 25°C, 10<sup>-3</sup> M):  $\lambda_{max}$  207 ( $\varepsilon_{max}$  492 mol<sup>-1</sup>·dm<sup>3</sup>·cm<sup>-1</sup>), 260 ( $\varepsilon_{max}$  309 mol<sup>-1</sup>·dm<sup>3</sup>·cm<sup>-1</sup>) nm. n<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup> 1.4463. d<sub>21</sub><sup>21</sup> 1.12. MR<sub>Exp</sub> 88.9.

#### Tetraethyl(N-sec-butyl-1-aminoethan-1,1-diyl)bisphosphonate (2)

(9.0 g, 23%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  0.68 (t, J = 7.4, 3H,  $CH_3CH_2CH$ ) 0.87 (d, J = 6.4, 3H,  $CH_3CH$ ), 1.05 (m, 1H,  $CH_3CH_aH_bCH$ ), 1.15 (t, J = 7.2, 12H,  $CH_3CH_2O$ ), 1.35 (m, 1H,  $CH_3CH_aH_bCH$ ), 1.41 (t, J =17.4, 3H,  $CH_3CP_2$ ), 2.9 (m, 1H, CHN), 4.02 (m, 8H,  $CH_3CH_2O$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  10.1 ( $CH_3CH$ ), 15.6 (t, J = 4.2,  $CH_3CP_2$ ), 16.2 (t, J =3.0,  $CH_3CH_2O$ ), 22.3 ( $CH_3CH_2CH$ ), 32.1 ( $CH_3CH_2CH$ ), 48.4 (t, J = 6.1, CHN), 57.5 (t, J = 143.0,  $CP_2$ ), 62.3 (t, J = 3.8,  $CH_3CH_2O$ ), 63.2 (t, J =3.8,  $CH_3CH_2O$ ). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  23.3. <sup>15</sup>N NMR ( $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  -330.8 (t,  $J_{PN} = 5.2$ ). IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 3447 ( $\nu_{NH}$ ), 1246 (P=O), 1163 (POC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. Raman: 2975 ( $\nu_{CH3}$  As.); 2934 ( $\nu_{CH2}$  As.); 2905 ( $\nu_{CH3}$  Sym.); 2875 ( $\nu_{CH2}$ Sym.); 2773; 2723; 1481; 1457 ( $\delta_{CHsp3}$  As.); 1371; 1292; 1249 (P=O); 1100 ( $\nu_{C-N}$ ); 1031; 949; 844; 813; 765; 648; 535; 327; 242 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>33</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>P<sub>2</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 42.96; H, 9.01; N, 3.58. Found: C, 42.91; H, 8.50; N, 3.64. UV (Ethanol, 25°C, 10<sup>-3</sup> M):  $\lambda_{max}$  204 ( $\varepsilon_{max}$ 1202 mol<sup>-1</sup>.dm<sup>3</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup>) nm. n<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup> 1.4461. d<sub>2</sub><sup>21</sup> 1.13. MR<sub>Exp</sub> 88.1.

#### ESR Study of Nitroxides 1aNO, 1bNO and 2NO

Compounds 1 or 2 (0.03 mmol) were dissolved in 100  $\mu$ L of solvent (dichloromethane or toluene). *m*-CPBA (7.2 mg, 0.03 mmol) was added and the spectrum was recorded immediately after helium bubbling.

# REFERENCES

- G. Moad and D. H. Solomon, The Chemistry of Free Radical Polymerization, (Pergamon, Oxford, 1995), 1st ed.
- [2] K. Matyjaszewski, S. Gaynor, D. Greszta, D. Mardare, and T. Shigemoto, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 8, 306 (1995).
- [3] A. Rockenbauer, A. Mercier, F. Le Moigne, G. Olive, and P. Tordo, J. Phys. Chem. A, 101, 7965 (1997).

- [4] J. A. Weil and J. R. Bolton, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance—Elementary Theory and Practical Applications, (John Wiley, New York, 1994).
- [5] G. Olive, F. Le Moigne, A. Mercier, A. Rockenbauer, and P. Tordo, J. Org. Chem., 63, 9095 (1998).
- [6] G. Olive, F. Le Moigne, A. Mercier, and P. Tordo, Synth. Commun., 30, 619 (2000).
- [7] G. Olive and A. Jacques, Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon, 178, 33 (2003).
- [8] D. Berube and J. Lessard, Can. J. Chem., 60, 1127 (1982).
- [9] M. V. Lock and B. F. Sagar, J. Chem. Soc. (B), 690 (1966).
- [10] S. Grimaldi, Ph.D. Thesis, Université d'Aix Marseille III, Marseille, France (1997).
- [11] A. Rockenbauer and L. Korecz, Appl. Magn. Reson., 10, 29 (1996).
- [12] C. Heller and H. M. McConnell, J. Chem. Phys., 32, 1535 (1960).
- [13] A. S. Mukhtarov, A. V. Il'yasov, Y. A. Levin, I. P. Gozman, M. S. Skorobogatova, and E. I. Zoroatskaya, *Theor. Exp. Chem. (Eng. Transl.)*, **12**, 656 (1976).
- [14] A. Rockenbauer, G. Olive, X. Rozanska, A. Jacques, D. Gigmes, F. Le Moigne, D. Peeters, A. German, and P. Tordo, J. Phys. Chem. A, 108, 5484 (2004).
- [15] A. R. Sornes, E. Sagstuen, and A. Lund, J. Phys. Chem., 99, 16867 (1995).
- [16] P. Tordo, Unpublished results.
- [17] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, M. A. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, X. Zakrzewski, J. A. Montgomery, R. E. Stratmann, J. C. Burant, S. Dapprich, J. M. Millam, A. D. Daniels, K. N. Kudin, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, M. Cossi, R. Cammi, B. Mennucci, C. Pomelli, C. Adamo, S. Clifford, J. Ochterski, G. A. Petersson, P. Y. Ayala, Q. Cui, K. Morokuma, D. K. Malick, D. K. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. Cioslowski, J. V. Ortiz, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. Gomperts, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, C. Gonzales, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. G. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andress, M. Head-Gordon, E. S. Replogle, and J. A. Pople, Graussian 98 (Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburg, PA, 1998).
- [18] J. A. Pople and R. K. Nesbet, J. Chem. Phys., 22, 571 (1954).
- [19] J. S. Binkley, J. A. Pople, and W. J. Hehre, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 939 (1980).

Downloaded by [Dalhousie University] at 00:38 15 September 2013