

Mannich Reaction of Indole with Cyclic Imines in Water

Liang-Hui Xie, Jie Cheng, Zi-Wei Luo, Gui Lu

PII: S0040-4039(17)31600-3
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2017.12.069
Reference: TETL 49571

To appear in: *Tetrahedron Letters*

Received Date: 29 October 2017
Revised Date: 18 December 2017
Accepted Date: 23 December 2017

Please cite this article as: Xie, L-H., Cheng, J., Luo, Z-W., Lu, G., Mannich Reaction of Indole with Cyclic Imines in Water, *Tetrahedron Letters* (2017), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2017.12.069

ELSEVIER

Tetrahedron Letters
journal homepage: www.elsevier.com

Mannich Reaction of Indole with Cyclic Imines in Water

Liang-Hui Xie, Jie Cheng, Zi-Wei Luo, Gui Lu*

Institute of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510006, People's Republic of China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received
Received in revised form
Accepted
Available online

Keywords:
Mannich reaction
Brønsted acids
Aliphatic cyclic imines
Water
Indole

ABSTRACT

An efficient MsOH promoted direct Mannich reaction of indoles with α-nonsubstituted aliphatic cyclic imines has been developed. The reactions were performed in water and the obtained piperidin-2-yl-indoles act as a useful precursor for the synthesis of various alkaloid-like derivatives.

The Mannich reaction is an important reaction for the direct construction of new C–C and C–N bonds. Its endproducts, Mannich bases have attracted more and more attention of chemists due to their specific biological activities, such as antiviral,[1] acetycholinesterase inhibitory,[2] antioxidant,[3] antiproliferative.[4] What's more, Mannich bases are also key precursors to access amino alcohols, peptides, lactams and optically active amino acids.[5-6]

On the other hand, saturated nitrogen heterocycles are also important structural elements that exist in many bioactive compounds.[7] In recent years, more than half of the FDA approved small-molecule drugs contain nitrogen heterocycles.[8] We noticed that the less studied aliphatic cyclic imines might act as starting point to simplify the preparation of various alkaloid-like saturated nitrogen heterocycles. For example, the Mannich reaction of cyclic imines with indole derivatives might provide a direct way to piperidinyl-substituted indoles, whose core structure was present in many bioactive compounds (Figure 1).[9-12] This new methodology might also be used for the easy synthesis of some important drugs as **BCX4430** and **Veliparib** (Figure 2).

The indole moiety is a privileged structural motif in many biologically active and medicinally valuable molecules,[13] such as anticancer drugs,[14] anti-inflammatory agents,[15] antibacterials,[16] and anti-HIV drugs.[17] Although Mannich reaction of indole with aromatic cyclic imines has already been reported,[18-20] aliphatic cyclic imines were rarely used owing to their low activities and stabilities.[21] In 1954, van Tamelen and co-workers reported the direct synthesis of β-(2-piperidy)-indoles by aliphatic cyclic imines with low yields (40-55%).[22] Recently, Shevchenko and co-workers described the Mannich reaction of indole with aliphatic cyclic imines. In their catalytic system, moisture-sensitive catalyst was used, and cyclic imines were limited to α-polyfluoroalkylated (CF_3- and C_2F_5-substituted) cyclic imines, while non-fluorinated cyclic imines that containing a phenyl or butyl moiety didn't work at all in the same reaction. Later, Monaco and co-workers reported the Mannich reaction of ketones with aliphatic cyclic imines,[23] albeit the reaction time was extremely long and the yield was quite low. In this sense, low reactivity is a huge challenge for the direct Mannich reaction of aliphatic cyclic imines. Herein, we are devoted to exploring the reactions between α-nonsubstituted aliphatic cyclic imines and indole under the appropriate conditions, our aim is to provide a general synthetic methodology for these saturated nitrogen heterocycles.



Figure 1. Bioactive compounds containing piperidinyl-substituted indoles

* Corresponding author. Tel.: 86-20-39943048, fax: 86-20-39943048.
E-mail address: lugui@mail.sysu.edu.cn (G. Lu)



Figure 2. Drugs containing saturated nitrogen heterocycles

Cyclic imine **1a** and indole **2a** were chosen as representative substrates in our initial survey of reaction conditions. In the absence of any activator, no reaction was observed (Table 1, entry 1). So our efforts were concentrated on the looking for a proper activator for this transformation. We first tried different Brønsted acids, and found that MsOH was the best acid (Table 1, entry 2), other Brønsted acids such as CF_3COOH, CH_3COOH, CF_3SO_3H, PhCOOH, H_3PO_4, HCl, H_2SO_4 and Amberlyst 15 resulted in lower yields for the target product. This reaction didn't take place when *L*-proline was employed. We also tried several Lewis acids, but only obtained poor yields when using $Cu(OTf)_2$ or ZnF_2 (Table 1, entries 13-14). We speculated that the proper acidity of MsOH might be essential for this transformation, for both stronger acids (CF_3COOH, CF_3SO_3H) and weaker acids (CH_3COOH, PhCOOH) exhibited inferior catalytic activities.

Table 1
Screening of acids for the reaction of indole with cyclic imine[a]



Entry	Acid	x =	Yield[b] (%)
1	-	-	nd
2	MsOH	100	80
3	$TsOH•H_2O$	100	72
4	CF_3COOH	100	32
5	CH_3COOH	100	8
6	CF_3SO_3H	100	5
7	PhCOOH	100	7
8	*L*-proline	100	nd
9	H_3PO_4	100	16
10	HCl	100	53
11	H_2SO_4	100	46
12	Amberlyst 15	100	9
13	$Cu(OTf)_2$	100	9
14	ZnF_2	100	47
15	MsOH	80	15
16	MsOH	120	79
17	MsOH	150	79
18	MsOH	200	78

[a] Reaction conditions: imine **1a** (0.4 mmol), indole **2a** (0.33 mmol), solvent (5 mL) at 40 °C for 36 h.
[b] Determined by GC-MS analysis.

The reaction was then run with different molar ratios of MsOH (80 mol%, 100 mol%, 120 mol%, 150 mol%, and 200 mol%, respectively). The optimum loading of MsOH was 100 mol% (Table 1, entry 2). The yield didn't improve when the loading of acid was higher than 100 mol% (Table 1, entries 16-18), while a decrease of MsOH to 80 mol% resulted in a significant drop in yield (Table 1, entry 15).

Next we performed the reaction in different solvents. As we know, good yield was obtained in H_2O/THF (2:1), whereas the yield of **3a** dropped sharply in THF (Table 2, entry 2). Carrying out the reaction in CH_2Cl_2 or $CHCl_3$ could afford **3a** with moderate yield (Table 2, entries 3-4). When the reaction was run in DCE, MeCN and MeOH, lower yields of the target products were obtained (Table 2, entries 5, 6, 8). The best result was observed in H_2O/MeOH (2:1) in comparison with other organic solvents (Table 2, entry 9). In view of the differences of yield among THF, H_2O/THF (2:1), MeOH and H_2O/MeOH (2:1), we also tried H_2O as a sole solvent, and obtained satisfactory yield (Table 2, entry 10). We assumed that MsOH in water might be benificial for the protonation of imine **1a**.

Table 2
Screening of solvents, additives and temperatures for the reaction of indole with cyclic imine[a]



Entry	Solvent	Additive	y =	T (°C)	Yield[b] (%)
1	H_2O/THF=2:1	-		40	80
2	THF	-		40	8
3	CH_2Cl_2	-		40	51
4	$CHCl_3$	-		40	55
5	DCE	-		40	10
6	MeCN	-		40	7
7	toluene	-		40	nd
8	MeOH	-		40	25
9	H_2O/MeOH=2:1	-		40	85
10	H_2O	-		40	75
11[c]	H_2O	-		60	75
12[d]	H_2O	-		80	52
13	H_2O	TBAI	30	40	83
14	H_2O	TBAB	30	40	79
15	H_2O	SDS	30	40	76
16	H_2O	PEG-400	30	40	81
17	H_2O	TBAI	10	40	77
18	H_2O	TBAI	20	40	76
19	H_2O	TBAI	50	40	80
20[e]	H_2O	TBAI	30	25	27
21[c]	H_2O	TBAI	30	60	84
22[d]	H_2O	TBAI	30	80	84

[a] Reaction conditions: imine **1a** (0.4 mmol), indole **2a** (0.33 mmol), MsOH (0.33 mmol), solvent 5 mL.
[b] Determined by GC-MS analysis.
[c] Reaction time was 18 h.
[d] Reaction time was 12 h.
[e] Reaction time was 60 h.
TBAI=tetrabutylammonium iodide, TBAB=tetrabutylammonium bromide, SDS=sodium dodecyl sulfate, PEG-400=poly(ethylene glycol)-400.

As we all know, the use of organic solvents in organic synthesis is an incessant source of worry, since it gives rise to toxicity, hazard, pollution issues, *etc*. On the contrary, water offers many advantages because it is a cheap, readily available, non-toxic and non-flammable solvent.[24] Therefore we chose water as optimal solvent, and several common surfactants were used as additives to improve the solubility of indole in water. When 30 mol% of TBAI was used, the yield of **3a** was even higher than that in pure H_2O (Table 2, entries 13-16). 30 mol% was also proved to be the optimum amount of TBAI. Decreasing or increasing the amount of TBAI resulted in lower yield (Table 2, entries 17-19).

Finally, the reaction temperature was also investigated. The yield of **3a** dropped sharply due to a poor conversion when the reaction was run at 25 °C (Table 2, entry 20). Higher temperatures (40 °C, 60 °C and 80 °C) afforded comparable yields

Table 3
Reaction of various cyclic imines with indole derivatives[a]



1a-1d 2 3a-x



[a] Reaction conditions: imine **1** (0.4 mmol), indole **2** (0.33 mmol), MsOH (0.33 mmol), TBAI (0.1 mmol), H_2O 5 mL. Isolated yield.
[b] Reaction time was 30 h.
[c] Run at 80 °C for 30 h.
[d] Run at 80 °C for 60 h.
[e] The ratio of 1,3-*trans*/1,3-*cis* = 17:1, which was determined by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopic analysis.
[f] 1,3-*trans*/1,3-*cis* = 12:1.
[g] 1,3-*trans*/1,3-*cis* = 17:1.
[h] 1,3-*trans*/1,3-*cis* = 17:1.
[i] 1,3-*trans*/1,3-*cis* = 14:1.

(84%) along with significantly shorter reaction time (Table 2, entries 13, 21-22, 36 h, 18 h and 12 h respectively). Interestingly, when we run the reaction at 80 °C without TBAI, the yield decreased obviously. We speculated that TBAI could restrain side reaction effectively (Table 2, entries 12 *vs* 22).

With the optimal conditions in hand (Table 2 entry 19), we then extended the scope of the reaction to different combinations of cyclic imines and indole derivatives (Table 3). Firstly, we examined various indole derivatives in the reaction with cyclic imine **1a**, in most cases the corresponding Mannich adducts can be obtained in good yields except these indole substrates with halogenic substituent in C-5 position. Longer reaction time and higher reaction temperature are beneficial for this transformation. The yields of **3g** (5-fluoroindole substrate) and **3h** (5-chloroindole) reached 57% and 43%, respectively in 30 h, the yield of **3h** can be further improved to 53% at high temperature (80 °C). 5-Bromoindole seems more inert in this reaction, only 37% yield of **3i** was isolated after 60 h.

The cyclic imine **1b** with methyl substituent gave results comparable to **1a**, and the corresponding products were highly *trans*-selective. This stereochemistry was confirmed by NOESY experiments, and it is easy to conclude that **3m** is *trans*-adduct owing to NOESY correlation of "Me-H^1", "Me-H^{5a}" and "H^1-H^{5a}" (Figure 3). For the reaction of *N*-methyl protected indole substrate, cyclic imine **1b** was more active than **1a** (Table 3, entries 12 *vs* 17). The isoquinoline-derived cyclic imine **1c** and five-membered cyclic imine **1d** also proceeded well in this reaction, affording products **3r-x** in good yields.



Figure 3. NOESY correlation for 1,3-*trans* adduct **3m**

In conclusion, a green and efficient Mannich reaction of indoles with cyclic imines has been developed. A variety of piperidin-2-yl-indoles were obtained in good yields. The advantage of our presented methodology is the realization of Mannich reaction of indole with more generic α-nonsubstituted aliphatic cyclic imines and the utilization of water as solvent. In view of the potential applications of these piperidin-2-yl-indoles, their asymmetric synthetic methodologies are being performed in our laboratory.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the National Science and Technology Major Project of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2017ZX09305010), Guangdong Province

Natural Science Foundation (No. 2015A030313184), Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou (No. 201607010118).

References and notes

1. Roman, G. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.,* **2015**, *89*, 743-816.
2. Liu, H.-R.; Huang, X.-Q.; Lou, D.-H.; Liu, X.-J.; Liu, W.-K.; Wang, Q.-A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.,* **2014**, *24*, 4749-4753.
3. Buravlev, E. V.; Shevchenko, O. G.; Kutchin, A. V. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett*., **2015**, *25*, 826-829.
4. Aeluri, R.; Alla, M.; Polepalli, S.; Jain, N. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.,* **2015**, *100*, 18-23.
5. Sahoo, S.; Joseph, T.; Halligudi, S. B. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.,* **2006**, *244*, 179-182.
6. Muller, R.; Goesmann, H.; Waldmann, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.,* **1999**, *38*, 184-187.
7. Watson, P. S.; Jiang, B.; Scott, B. *Org. Lett.,* **2000**, *2*, 3679-3681.
8. Vitaku, E.; Smith, D. T.; Njardarson, J. T. *J. Med. Chem.,* **2014**, *57*, 10257-10274.
9. Rowley, M.; Hallett, D. J.; Goodacre, S.; Moyes, C.; Crawforth, J.; Sparey, T. J.; Patel, S.; Marwood, R.; Patel, S.; Thomas, S.; Hitzel, L.; O'Connor, D.; Szeto, N.; Castro, J. L.; Hutson, P. H.; MacLeod, A. M. *J. Med. Chem.,* **2001**, *44*, 1603-1614.
10. Rebecca, A. J.; Kudzovi, A. A.; Matthew, G. K.; Stuart, G. G.; Andrew, H. S.; Lynn, S. D. International Patent 66126, 2005.
11. Matthias, N.; Olivier, R. US Patent 32976, 2008.
12. Yu, C.-Y.; Hu, X.-G. CN Patent 101693708, 2008.
13. Lancianesi, S.; Palmieri, A.; Petrini, M. *Chem. Rev.,* **2014**, *114*, 7108-7149.
14. Sherer, C.; Snape, T. J. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.,* **2015**, *97*, 552-560.
15. Kaila, N.; Huang, A.; Moretto, A.; Follows, B.; Janz, K.; Lowe, M.; Thomason, J.; Mansour, T. S.; Hubeau, C.; Page, K.; Morgan, P.; Fish, S.; Xu, X.; Williams, C.; Saiah, E. *J. Med. Chem.,* **2012**, *55*, 5088-5109.
16. Lee, J. H.; Wood, T. K.; Lee, J. *Trends Microbiol.,* **2015**, *23*, 707-718.
17. Wang, J.; Li, Y.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, J.; Du, J.; Zhang, S.; Yang, L. *RSC Adv.,* **2015**, *5*, 78278-78298.
18. Wang, Y.-Q.; Wei, Z.-S.; Zhu, C.-Q.; Ren, Y.-Y.; Wu, C.-R. *Tetrahedron* **2016**, *72*, 4643-4654.
19. Rueping, M.; Raja, S.; Núnez, A. *Adv. Synth. Catal.,* **2011**, *353*, 563-568.
20. Wu, L.; Liu, R.-R.; Zhang, G.-Q.; Wang, D.-J.; Wu, H.; Gao, J.-R.; Jia, Y.-X. *Adv. Synth. Catal.,* **2015**, *357*, 709-713.
21. Shevchenko, N. E.; Shmatova, O. I.; Balenkova, E. S.; Röschenthaler, G. V.; Nenajdenko, V. G. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.,* **2013**, 2237-2245.
22. Van Tamelen, E. E.; Knapp, G. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **1954**, *77*, 1860-1862.
23. Monaco, M.-R.; Renzi, P.; Schietroma, D. M. S.; Bella, M. *Org. Lett.,* **2011**, *13*, 4546-4549.
24. Simon, M. O.; Li, C.-J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.,* **2012**, *41*, 1415-1427.

- Brønsted acid catalyzed direct Mannich reaction of indoles with α-nonsubstituted aliphatic cyclic imines have been developed.
- Water serves as a green solvent.
- Various piperidin-2-yl-indole precursors were obtained in good yields.

Graphical Abstract

Mannich Reaction of Indole with Cyclic Imines in Water

Leave this area blank for abstract info.

Liang-Hui Xie, Jie Cheng, Zi-Wei Luo, Gui Lu