Switching from (R)- to (S)-selective chemoenzymatic DKR of amines involving sulfanyl radical-mediated racemization[†]

Lahssen El Blidi,^{*a*} Nicolas Vanthuyne,^{*b*} Didier Siri,^{*c*} Stéphane Gastaldi,^{*a*} Michèle P. Bertrand^{*a*} and Gérard Gil^{*b*}

Received 28th April 2010, Accepted 29th June 2010 First published as an Advance Article on the web 27th July 2010 DOI: 10.1039/c0ob00054j

Chemoenzymatic dynamic kinetic resolution (DKR) of amines involving sulfanyl radical-induced racemization happened to be the very first switchable DKR process allowing the synthesis of either (R)- or (S)-amides, in good yield and high enantiomeric excess, depending on the nature of the enzyme; the different steps of the development of (S)-selective DKR are discussed.

Introduction

Owing to the prime importance of optically active amines in the synthesis of natural products and bioactive compounds for both academics and industrial chemists,^{1,2} amines dynamic kinetic resolution (DKR) that allows the synthesis of optically pure amides from racemic amines has stimulated numerous strategies.^{3,4} The chemoenzymatic process involving sulfanyl radical induced racemization of aliphatic amines is currently investigated in our group.^{5,6} Until now, the DKR of amines has specifically been achieved with the thermostable polymer supported lipase B from *Candida antartica* (Novozyme 435).^{4,6b} These processes lead to (*R*)-amides. Proteases have a catalytic triad that is nearly the mirror image of that of lipases⁷ which formally enables to devise switchable chemoenzymatic DKR processes by changing the nature of the enzyme.

The compatibility between the amine resolution optimized with CAL-B and the sulfanyl radical promoted racemization enabled (*R*)-selective DKR to be achieved in good yield and high enantiomeric at 80 °C (Scheme 1).^{6b} However, the route to (*S*)-selective DKR was not straightforward.

Most difficulties were inherent in protease characteristics. Proteases are far less selective and less stable than lipases in organic solvents.^{7,8} The screening of various serine proteases led us to select the commercially available alkaline protease.^{9,10} This subtilisin like protease, isolated from *Bacillus licheniformis*, was stabilized by coating.^{8,11} The simultaneous screening of acyl donors led to the selection of an *N*-octanoylglycine derivative.⁹

Efficient racemization could be achieved at low temperature upon irradiation at 300 nm.¹² However, racemization was slowed down when it was carried out in KR solvent, *i.e.* 3-methyl-3-



i) CAL-B, C₁₁H₂₃CO₂Et, AIBN, 80 °C, MeCHSHCONEt₂ (1). ii) a) Alkaline Protease, *n*-C₇H₁₅CONHCH₂CO₂CH₂CF₃, 3-Me-3-pentanol, b) CF₃CH₂SH, THF, hv (300 nm) c) Alkaline Protease, *n*-C₇H₁₅CONHCH₂CO₂CH₂CF₃



pentanol. A compromise was found by changing the nature of thiol and by adding a co-solvent.¹³

A serious drawback remained, that is, the low stability of alkaline protease under irradiation at 300 nm. An acceptable onepot three-step procedure was devised that consisted in performing first a KR period in 3-methyl-3-pentanol, followed by addition of trifluoroethane thiol and THF while irradiating for 2 h, and after having stopped irradiation, a new cycle of enzymatic resolution was performed by adding fresh portions of enzyme and acyl donor (Scheme 1). These conditions allowed the preparation of (S)-amides in yields ranging from 58% to 80% with enantiomeric excesses ranging between 78% and 94%.^{6c} However, the goal of a "real" DKR process was still not reached.

Results and discussion

Fortunately, we found out that alkaline protease efficacy under irradiation was greatly improved when initiating the formation of sulfanyl radical at 350 nm in the presence of AIBN. Under these conditions, AIBN was photochemically decomposed and 2-cyanopropyl radicals initiated the S–H bond homolysis.

The most significant results of racemization tests performed under these new conditions on amine 2a are reported in Table 1. The reproducibility of these racemizations was greatly improved in the presence of an aprotic co-solvent. Meanwhile, the use of *t*-butanol was reinvestigated, which was justified by the significant improvement of the enzyme enantioselectivity in the KR experiments that were performed simultaneously (Table 2).

^aEquipe Chimie Moléculaire Organique, LCP UMR 6264, Boite 562, Université Paul Cézanne, Faculté des Sciences St Jérôme, Avenue Escadrille Normandie-Niemen, 13397, Marseille Cedex 20, (France). E-mail: michele.bertrand@univ-cezanne.fr

^bLaboratoire de Stéréochimie Dynamique et Chiralité, ISM2, UMR 6263, Université Paul Cézanne, Faculté des Sciences St Jérôme, Avenue Escadrille Normandie-Niemen, 13397, Marseille Cedex 20, (France)

^cEquipe Chimie Théorique et Modélisation Moléculaire, LCP UMR 6264, Université de Provence, Faculté des Sciences St Jérôme, Avenue Escadrille Normandie-Niemen, 13397, Marseille Cedex 20, (France)

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, and characterization data for new compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/c0ob00054j

Table 1 Racemization of amine 2a upon irradiation for 2.5 h at 350 nm in the presence of AIBN (24–30 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C})$

| Solvent ^a | 2a ee (%) |
|----------------------|------------------|
| 3-Me-3-pentanol | 38-76 |
| t-BuOH | 53-92 |
| t-BuOH/Toluene (2/1) | 22-28 |
| t-BuOH/THF (5/1) | 50-57 |

" AIBN was added in 3 portions (20 or 30 mol% each) every 45 min to a 0.1 M solution of **2a**.

The substantial increase in enantioselectivity gained by selecting N-acylalanine trifluoroethyl esters as acyl donors¹⁰ led us to check if these donors were racemized by the same process as the amines, using trifluoroethane thiol. Indeed no racemization of N-octanovl alanine trifluoroethyl ester (4) was detected.14 According to G3B3-MP2 calculations, going from ethyl to trifluoroethyl esters lowers the C–H BDE at the captodative position by 5.7 kJ mol^{-1} for the Nacetylglycine esters (354.5/348.8 kJ mol⁻¹), and by 8.9 kJ mol⁻¹ for the N-acetylalanine esters (355.2/346.3 kJ mol⁻¹). Going from Nacetylalanine trifluoroethyl ester to the corresponding N-i-propyl amide increases the C-H BDE by 14.6 kJ mol⁻¹. The variations in the series are significant. They suggest that the alanine derivatives are not poorer hydrogen atom donors than the glycine derivatives. In addition, in the case of alanine derived acyl donor (4) the N-ipropyl amide is even more difficult to racemize than the ester. This might explain why no trace of (S,R)-diastereomeric amide 5a was detected in the reaction product.15

KR resolution with this acyl donor was reinvestigated in different solvent mixtures as reported in Table 2. This allowed us to define which mixtures would lead to both acceptable enantioselectivities and rates of reaction with amines 2a-g (Fig. 1).

It must be underlined that *t*-butanol, that was once discarded as compared to 3-methyl-3-pentanol (for the sake of improving



Fig. 1 Structures of amines 2a-g and acyl donor 4.

the rate of racemization when irradiating at 300 nm^{6c}), happened to be acceptable for racemizations performed under irradiation at 350 nm. In addition, the results show that methyl- β -cyclodextrin could be as efficient or even superior to Brij[®] 56 when associated to α , β -D-glucopyranoside as coating agent. Good results were obtained for KR performed in the presence of the enzyme coated with α , β -D-glucopyranoside and methyl- β -cyclodextrin. A significant increase in *E* factor was registered when replacing 3-methyl-3-pentanol by *t*-butanol under these conditions (entries 1 to 3).

The use of a co-solvent appeared as a good compromise to run concomitantly, an efficient KR and an efficient racemization procedure.

Therefore, the chiral acyl donor **4** was tested in the DKR¹⁷ process. These tests were first achieved on amine **2a**. The most significant results are given in Table 3. High ees were observed in entries 2–6. Although differences are tiny (all the combinations mentioned below are nearly equivalent) the highest yield in amide **5a** was recorded in entry 6, that is in 5/1 mixture of *t*-BuOH and THF.

All conditions were gathered to generalize the (S)-selective real DKR process to the series of aliphatic amines in Fig. 1. The results are reported in Table 4. The main limitation of the methodology

| Table 2 | Alkaline prote | ase-catalyzed KR | of amines 2a- | g using 4 | as the acvl donor |
|---------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|
| | | abe eathrighted in | | 5 | ao me aeji aomoi |

| | Ph $2a$ NH_2 H_2 H | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| AmineSolvent t/h $ee (yield)^c$ $de (yield)$ $C^{0/2}$ | $\sqrt[d]{d} E^e$ | | | | | | | | |
| 1 $2a$ 3-Me-3-pentanol ^a 1.5 $86.5(-)$ 94.3(-) 47. | .8 99 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 2a t -BuOH ^{<i>a</i>} 2 >99.5(-) 87(-) 53. | .3 86 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 2a <i>t</i> -BuOH ^b 1 99 (48) 93 (50) 51. | .5 150 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 2b t -BuOH ^b 1 97 (46) 92 (45) 51. | .3 101 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 $2c$ <i>t</i> -BuOH ^{<i>b</i>} 1.5 98 (44) 98 (47) 52.4 | .6 73 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 2d t -BuOH ^b 1 98 (47) 90 (52) 52. | 1 88 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 2e t -BuOH ^b 1.5 98 (40) 80 (52) 55. | 1 40 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 2f <i>t</i> -BuOH ^b 1 92(35) 92(50) 50.4 | .0 79 | | | | | | | | |
| 2g t-BuOH ^b 3 94 (28) 82 (48) 53. | 4 35 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 2a <i>t</i> -BuOH/toluene $(2/1)^a$ 0.75 98 (-) 96 (-) 50. | .5 229 | | | | | | | | |
| 11 2a t -BuOH/toluene $(5/1)^a$ 2 >99.5 (-) 90 (-) 52. | .5 112 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 2a t -BuOH/THF $(5/1)^{b}$ 1 94 (48) 93 (51) 50. | | | | | | | | | |

^{*a*} Enzyme coated with Brij[®] 56 and α,β -D-glucopyranoside (8/1/1, w/w/w). ^{*b*} Enzyme coated with α,β -D-glucopyranoside and Me- β -cyclodextrin (8/1/1, w/w/w). ^{*c*} Isolated after derivatization as a Boc-carbamate. ^{*d*} Calculated according to $C = ee_{amine} / (ee_{amine} + ee_{amide})$. ^{*c*} Enantioselectivity factors were calculated according to $E = \ln[(1 - C)(1 - ee_{amine})]/\ln[(1 - C)(1 + ee_{amine})]$.

 Table 3
 Improvement of (S)-selective DKR of amine 2a



^{*a*} Enzyme coated with Brij[®] 56 and α,β -D-glucopyranoside (8/1/1, w/w/w). ^{*b*} Enzyme coated with α,β -D-glucopyranoside and Me- β -cyclodextrin (8/1/1, w/w/w).

Table 4(S)-Selective DKR of amines 2b-g^a

| Amine | Amide 5 de (%) | Yield (%) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| 2b | 92 | 68 |
| 2c | 88 | 73 |
| 2d | 90 | 66 |
| 2e | 78 | 72 |
| 2f | 88 | 68 |
| 2g | 73 | 65 |
| ^{<i>a</i>} Experimental c | onditions identical to Table 3 entry | 6 |

is inherent in the rate of the KR that must not be too slow as compared to the rate of the radical racemization in order to limit competitive radical degradation processes. The DKR of amines for which the resolution process is too slow could not be achieved.¹⁸

Conclusions

Reversible hydrogen atom abstraction from the stereogenic center adjacent to nitrogen atom by sulfanyl radical happened to be the very first racemization procedure allowing to achieve either (R)or (S)-selective chemoenzymatic DKR of chiral aliphatic amines. Moreover, it must be emphasized that no metal was involved in the overall process. If (R)-selective DKR mediated with CAL-B lipase was rather easily devised, the achievement of (S)-selective DKR using alkaline protease was a real challenge. The stability of alkaline protease required racemization to be induced at room temperature photochemically(350 nm). This led us to change parameters such as the solvent (t-butanol/THF mixture) and the acyl donor (peptide mimetic 4). Under these new experimental conditions, the synthesis of (S)-amides was achieved in good yield and high enantiomeric excess, through the chemoenzymatic DKR of a series of structurally related amines bearing various functional groups. Further progress in view of making the process viable for industrial applications will be reported in due course.

Experimental

Immobilization of alkaline protease

In a 100 mL flask, 60 mg of octyl α , β -D-glycopyranoside and 60 mg of methyl- β -cyclodextrin were diluted at 60 °C in 50 mL of buffer (Phosphate 0.1M, pH 7), this solution was then cooled to RT. The alkaline protease liquid extract (500 mg) from Valley Research was added to 25 mL of the previous solution. The mixture was rapidly frozen in liquid N₂ and lyophilized for 20 h to give 822 mg of enzymatic powder.

General procedure for the kinetic resolution of amines

To a solution of acyl donor (4) (104 mg, 0.35 mmol) in *t*-BuOH (5 mL) was added coated alkaline protease (25 mg) and amine (2) (0.5 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 60 min. The amine ee was determined by GC after derivatization of an aliquot of the crude mixture in trifluoroacetamide with 1.5 equiv of *N*-methyl-bis-trifluoroacetamide. The enzyme was filtered off from the solution and washed with dichloromethane (10 mL). Then Boc_2O (66 mg, 0.3 mmol) was added to the filtrate, and the mixture was stirred until complete consumption of the amine (TLC monitoring). The solvent was then evaporated and the crude mixture was purified on gel-silica (pentane–diethyl ether 0 to 10% to afford the Boc-amine, then dichloromethane–MeOH 0 to 1% to afford the amide).

General Procedure for the dynamic kinetic resolution of amines

To a solution of *N*-octanoyl-L-alanine trifluoroethyl ester (4) (125 mg, 0.42 mmol) in *t*-BuOH/THF:5/1 (3 mL, 0.1 M) was added coated alkaline protease (15 mg) and the amine (0.3 mmol). The mixture was irradiated at 24–28 °C in a quartz tube (diameter 1.5 cm) in a Rayonet apparatus (RPR-200, 16 UV lamps Sylvania Blacklight 351 F15W/T5/BL350) for 2 h 30 min and AIBN (45 mg, 0.25 mmol) was added by portion every 45 min (3×15 mg). The enzyme was filtered off from the solution and washed with dichloromethane (5 mL). The solvent was then evaporated and the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (dichloromethane–methanol 0 to 5%) to give pure amide.

Acknowledgements

Gift of Alkaline protease from Valley Research is gratefully acknowledged. This work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR 06-Blan-0137).

References

- 1 (a) S. A. Lawrence, In Amines: Synthesis, Properties and Applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K, 2004; (b) M. Breuer, K. Ditrich, T. Habicher, B. Hauer, M. Keeler, R. Stürmer and T. Zelinski, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 788; (c) G. Hieber and K. Ditrich, Chim. Oggi, 2001, 19, 16.
- 2 For selected reviews dealing with the synthesis of chiral amines, see:(*a*) T. C. Nugent and M. El-Shazly, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2010, **352**, 753; (*b*) D. Ferraris, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, **63**, 9581; (*c*) F. van Rantwijk and R. A. Sheldon, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, **60**, 501.
- 3 For general reviews, see:(a) K. Faber, Chem.-Eur. J., 2001, 7, 5004; (b) H. Pellissier, Tetrahedron, 2003, 59, 8291; (c) H. Pellissier, Tetrahedron, 2008, 64, 1563; (d) R. S. Ward, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1995, 6, 1475.

- 4 For chemoenzymatic approach, see:(a) A. N. Parvulescu, P. A. Jacobs and D. E. De Vos, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2008, 350, 113; (b) C. Roengpithya, D. A. Patterson, A. G. Livingston, P. C. Taylor, J. L. Irwin and M. R. Parrett, Chem. Commun., 2007, 3462; (c) A. N. Parvulescu, P. A. Jacobs and D. E. De Vos, Chem.-Eur. J., 2007, 13, 2034; (d) J. B. Crawford, R. T. Skerlj and G. J. Bridger, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 669; (e) A. J. Blacker, M. J. Stirling and M. I. Page, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2007, 11, 642; (f) M. A. J. Veld, K. Hult, A. R. A. Palmans and E. W. Meijer, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2007, 5416; (g) M.-J. Kim, W.-H. Kim, K. Han, Y. K. Choi and J. Park, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 1157; (h) M. Stirling, J. Blackerb and M. I. Page, Tetrahedron Lett., 2007, 48, 1247; (i) C. E. Hoben, L. Kanupp and J.-E. Bäckvall, Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 977; (j) J. Paetzold and J.-E. Bäckvall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 17620; (k) L. K. Thàlen, D. Zhao, J.-B. Sortais, J. Paetzold, C. Hoben and J.-E. Bäckvall, Chem.-Eur. J., 2009, 15, 3403; (1) A. N. Parvulescu, P. A. Jacobs and D. E. De Vos, Appl. Catal., A, 2009, 368, 9; (m) L. H. Andrade, A. V. Silva and E. C. Pedrozo, Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, 50, 4331; (n) M. T. Reetz and K. Schimossek, Chimia, 1996, 50, 668
- 5 For preliminary reports on amines racemization, see:(a) S. Escoubet, S. Gastaldi, N. Vanthuyne, G. Gil, D. Siri and M. P. Bertrand, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 7288; (b) S. Escoubet, S. Gastaldi, N. Vanthuyne, G. Gil, D. Siri and M. P. Bertrand, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2006, 3242.
- 6 (a) M. Nechab, N. Azzi, N. Vanthuyne, M. P. Bertrand, S. Gastaldi and G. Gil, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 6918; (b) S. Gastaldi, S. Escoubet, N. Vanthuyne, G. Gil and M. P. Bertrand, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 837; (c) L. El Blidi, M. Nechab, N. Vanthuyne, S. Gastaldi, G. Gil and M. P. Bertrand, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 2901.
- 7 (a) H. Kitaguchi, P. A. Fitzpatrick, J. E. Huber and A. M. Klibanov, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 3094; (b) R. J. Kazlauskas and A. N. E. Weissfloch, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym., 1997, 3, 65; (c) C. K. Savile and R. J. Kazlauskas, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 2104; (d) P. F. Mugford, U. G. Wagner, Y. Jiang, K. Faber and R. J. Kazlauskas, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 8782.

- 8 For a review, see: F. Bordera, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 4817 and refs cited therein.
- 9 M. Nechab, L. El Blidi, N. Vanthuyne, S. Gastaldi, M. P. Bertrand and G. Gil, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2008, 6, 3917.
- 10 A.-L. Bottalla, S. Queyroy, N. Azzi-Schue, N. Vanthuyne, S. Gastaldi, M. P. Bertrand and G. Gil, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2009, **20**, 2823.
- 11 For examples of use of coated subtilisin see: (a) L. Borén, B. Martín-Matute, Y. Xu, A. Córdova and J.-E. Bäckvall, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2006, 12, 225; (b) M.-J. Kim, Y. I. Chung, Y. K. Choi, H. K. Li, D. Kim and J. Park, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, 125, 11494; (c) S. G. Martinez, E. Alvira, L. Vergara Cordero, A. Ferrer, I. Montanés-Clemente and G. Barletta, *Biotechnol. Prog.*, 2002, 18, 1462.
- 12 L. Routaboul, N. Vanthuyne, S. Gastaldi, G. Gil and M. P. Bertrand, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 364.
- 13 The rate slow-down was assigned to the solvation of the amine by hydrogen bonding that would increase the α -CH BDE. For the incidence of intramolecular hydrogen bonding on the α -CH BDE in amines, see: (*a*) J. Lalevée, X. Allonas and J.-P. Fouassier, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 9613; (*b*) See also: ref.5b.
- 14 Furthermore, ¹H-NMR analysis in the presence of an internal standard showed that no degradation occurred. The results of the DKR experiments achieved with this acyl donor further confirmed that **5a** was not epimerized, since no trace of any diastereomer of **5a** was detected.
- 15 It must be underlined however, that the S–H BDE in trifluoroethane thiol is stronger than all C–H BDEs mentioned in the text. The direct comparison of the calculated values for BDEs of different types might be hazardous. C–H BDE in 4: 346.3 kJ mol⁻¹; C–H BDE in *N*-acetylalanine *N-i*-propyl amide : 360.9 kJ mol⁻¹; trifluoroethane thiol S–H BDE: 365.6 kJ mol⁻¹ (at 298 K according to G3B3MP2 method).
- 16 C. S. Chen, Y. Fujimoto, C. J. Girdaukas and C. J. Sih, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 7294.
- 17 Only the natural *S*-isomer is recognized by the enzyme, therefore the racemic acyl donor can be used without changing the result but the quantity has to be doubled.
- 18 This happened to be the case for 1-phenyl-2-amino-propane.