Decarboxylative Claisen Rearrangement Reactions of Allylic Tosylmalonate Esters

LETTERS 2005 Vol. 7, No. 3 463-465

ORGANIC

Donald Craig* and Fabienne Grellepois

Department of Chemistry, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ, UK

d.craig@imperial.ac.uk

Received November 24, 2004

ABSTRACT



Two different combinations of silylating agent and base are used for one-pot [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement-decarboxylation reactions of tosylmalonic mono(allylic) esters under mild conditions, providing the products of formal regiospecific allylation of methyl tosylacetate at the more substituted allylic terminus.

The Claisen rearrangement continues to be the focus of considerable synthetic chemistry research effort.¹ Since its introduction in 1972,² the Ireland silyl ketene acetal variant in particular has been widely used in complex target-oriented synthesis.³ This modified process benefits from the ease of preparation of the ketene acetal substrates and the relatively mild conditions for the sigmatropic rearrangement. In addition, it enables overall C-allylation of carboxylic acids using allylic alcohols as the surrogate electrophiles, with *regiospecific* allylic double-bond transposition.

We recently reported⁴ a novel variant of the Ireland– Claisen rearrangement reaction in which α -tosyl silyl ketene acetals formed in situ from allylic tosylacetates **1** in the presence of *N*,*O*-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide (BSA) and potassium acetate undergo [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement followed by acetate-induced desilylation–decarboxylation in situ to provide homoallylic sulfones **2** (Scheme 1).^{5,6} During the course of this study, we became interested in examining





the effect on reactivity of introducing additional electronwithdrawing groups on the α -position of substrates 1.⁷ Specifically, we wished to look at the decarboxylative Claisen rearrangement (dCr) reactions⁸ of methyl allyl esters

⁽¹⁾ For recent reviews on Claisen and related rearrangements, see: Martin Castro, A. M. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 2939–3002.

^{(2) (}a) Ireland, R. E.; Mueller, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 589–5898.

⁽³⁾ For review on the Ireland–Claisen rearrangement: (a) Pereira, S.; Srebnik, M. *Aldrichimica Acta* **1993**, *26* (1), 17–29. (b) Chai, Y.; Hong, S.; Lindsay, H. A.; McFarland, C.; McIntosh, M. *Tetrahadron* **2002**, *58*, 2905–2928.

⁽⁴⁾ Bourgeois, D.; Craig, D.; King, N. P.; Mountford, D. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 618-621.

⁽⁵⁾ For the first report of a stepwise Ireland-Claisen rearrangement followed by decarboxylation in a separate step, see: Davidson, A. H.; Eggleton, N.; Wallace, I. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1991**, 378-380.

⁽⁶⁾ For a related Carroll-type reaction, see: Hatcher, M. A.; Posner, G. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 5009–5012.

of tosylmalonic acid,⁹ which would in principle provide a concerted, regiospecific alternative to the stepwise, regioselective metal-catalyzed allylic alkylation reactions of methyl tosylacetate under basic conditions.¹⁰ Herein we compare the effectiveness of several alternatives to the BSA–KOAc reagent system for the decarboxylative Claisen rearrangement reaction of methyl allyl tosylmalonates and show that [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement is a viable strategy for the formal regiospecific allylation of methyl 2-tosyl-acetate.

The tosyl-substituted allylic malonate esters $4\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{j}$ required for this study were prepared by alkylation of tosyl fluoride¹¹ with an excess of the potassium salt of the corresponding allylic malonate esters $3\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{j}^{12}$ in DMSO (Table 1).^{13,14}

Expecting that the presence of the additional methyl ester group would lower the temperature required for the dCr reactions to proceed, the tosyl-substituted cinnamyl malonate ester 4a was submitted initially to the conditions previously described⁴ (1 equiv of BSA and 0.1 equiv of KOAc), at room temperature (Table 2, entry 1). The carboxymethyl-substituted homoallylic sulfone 5a was formed in high yield (81%). No reaction was observed when 0.1 equiv of BSA was employed. Substitution of KOAc with Et₃N (0.1 equiv) also gave 5a (entry 2). This behavior was in marked contrast to that of monoesters 1, which had undergone rearrangement but not decarboxylation on exposure to BSA-Et₃N.⁴ This prompted a study of the reaction of 4a with several silvlating agent-base combinations. With chlorosilanes in place of BSA, zero or low levels of conversion into 5a were observed (entries 3 and 4). However, treatment of 4a with silvl triflates¹⁵ (2.1 equiv) and Et₃N or DBU (2.1 equiv) gave good

(7) For Ireland–Claisen rearrangements of silyl ketene acetals derived from simple methyl allyl malonates involving desilylation and decarboxylation in a separate step, see: Fehr, C.; Galindo, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* **2000**, *39*, 569–573.

(8) For recent examples of regio- and enantioselective metal-catalyzed decarboxylative allylation reactions, see: Burger, E. C.; Tunge, J. A. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 2603–2605, 4113–4115.

(9) For *high-temperature* thermal decarboxylative Claisen rearrangement of β -ketoester-derived silyl enol ethers involving silatropic rearrangement, see: Coates, R. M.; Sandefur, L. O.; Smillie, R. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 1619–1621. We thank a referee for bringing this article to our attention.

(10) For metal-catalyzed allylic alkylation reactions of methyl 2-tosylacetate, see: (a) Godleski, S. A.; Villhauer, E. B. J. Org. Chem. **1984**, 49, 2246–2252. (b) Auburn, P. R.; Mackenzie, P. B.; Bosnich, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 2033–2046. (c) Masumaya, Y.; Hirai, H.; Kurusu, Y.; Segawa, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1987**, 60, 1525–1526. (d) Masumaya, Y.; Misunaga, Y.; Kurusu, Y.; Segawa, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1987**, 60, 3431–3432.

(11) (a) Hirsh, E.; Hünig, S.; Reissig, H.-U. Chem. Ber. 1982, 115, 399–401. (b) Kende, A. S.; Mendoza, J. S. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1125–1126. (c) Sandanayaka, V. P.; Zask, A.; Venkatesan, A. M.; Baker, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 4605–4607.

(12) Allylic malonate esters $3\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{j}$ were prepared by a two-step sequence: malonic acid monomethyl ester was prepared by treatment of Meldrum's acid with methanol (Brooks, D. W.; Castro de Lee, N.; Peevey, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 4623–4626); subsequent condensation with the allylic alcohol in the presence of DCC and DMAP provided $3\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{j}$ (see Supporting Information).

(13) A dramatic drop in yield was observed using less than 4 equiv of the potassium salt of allylic malonate esters 3a-j or using less concentrated reaction mixtures. The excess allylic malonate ester 1a-j may easily be recovered after purification by chromatography (see Supporting Information).

(14) No reaction was observed using LDA in THF at -78 °C. Comparable yields were obtained using NaH at 50 °C instead of *t*-BuOK at room temperature.

Table	1.	Preparation	of	Tosyl-Substituted	Allylic	Malonate
Esters	4a-	j				

TsF -	MeO ₂ CCO ₂ R 3a-j (4.5 equiv.)	MeO ₂ C CO ₂ R
	<i>t</i> -BuOK (4.0 equiv.) DMSO (> 2M)	 Ts 4a-j

substrate 3a-j	OR	compound 4a-j	yield of tosyl malonate 4a-j
3a	0 [^] Ph	4a	70%
3b	0~//	4 b	67%
3c	0~~~	4c	65%
3d	0~	4 d	75%
3e	0	4 e	90%
3f	O	4f	56%
3g	°``	4g	81%
3h	0	4h	78%
3i		4i	79%
3j		4 j	74%

yields of 5a, much more rapidly than the BSA-KOAc system (entries 6-8).





entry	silylating agent (equiv)	base (equiv)	time	yield ^{a}
1	BSA (1)	KOAc (0.1)	4 h	81%
2	BSA (1)	$Et_{3}N\left(0.1 ight)$	$15 \mathrm{h}$	59%
3	TMSCl (2.1)	DBU (2.1)	$22 \mathrm{h}$	9%
4	TBDMSCl (2.1)	DBU (2.1)	$24 \mathrm{h}$	0%
5	TBDMSOTf (1.2)	DBU (1.2)	18 h	43%
6	TBDMSOTf(2.1)	DBU (2.1)	$15 \min$	83%
7	TBDMSOTf(2.1)	$Et_{3}N(2.1)$	30 min	73%
8	TMSOTf(2.1)	DBU (2.1)	1 h 30 min	80%
^a Iso	lated yield.			

Next, a more thorough comparison of the two dCr reagent systems was carried out. Substrates 4a-j were subjected to

Table 3.	Decarb	oxylativ	e Claisen	Rearrangement	Reaction	of
Tosyl-Sub	stituted	Allylic	Malonate	Ester 4a-j		

	substrate	product (mixture of diastereoisomers)		method ^a yield ^b
4 a	MeO Ts	5 a (53:47)	MeO ₂ C Ts	A: 81% B: 83%
4b	MeO H O Ts	5b (53:47)	MeO ₂ C Ts	A: 62% B: 73%
4c	MeO Ts MeO Et	5c (53:47)	MeO ₂ C Ts	A: 74% B: 61%
4d	MeO H O Et	5 c (53:47)		A: 0%° B: 18%
4e		5e (53:47)	MeO ₂ C Ts	A: 80% B: 71%
4f	MeO Ts	5f (70:30)	MeO ₂ C Ts Ph	A: 59% B: 55%
4g		5g (70:30) ^d	MeO ₂ C Ts	A: 45% B: 0% ^e
4h		5h (53:47)	anti:syn 97:3 MeO ₂ C Ts	A: 72% B: 76%
4i		5i (53:47)	MeO ₂ C Ts	A: 88% B: 83%
4j		5j (61:39)	MeO ₂ C Ts	A: 19% B: 57%

^{*a*} Method A: BSA (1.0 equiv), KOAc (0.1 equiv), CH_2CI_2 , rt, 4–15 h. Method B: TBDMSOTf (2.1 equiv), DBU (2.1 equiv), CH_2CI_2 , rt, 15–30 min. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} No reaction was observed at room temperature, but **5c** was isolated in 4% yield after reaction in CH_2CI_2 under reflux for 18 h. ^{*d*} "Anti" product. ^{*e*} Extensive decomposition was observed.

the BSA-KOAc (respectively 1 and 0.1 equiv; method A) and TBDMSOTf-DBU (each 2.1 equiv; method B) conditions. In most cases, **5** was formed in similar yields under

the two contrasting sets of conditions. Also, several of the substrates (4g,h,j) underwent rearrangement-decarboxylation with a high degree of stereoselectivity.¹⁶ Table 3 summarizes the results.

As only rearranged *and* decarboxylated products **5** were isolated from these transformations (even when using BSA in conjunction with nonnucleophilic Et₃N), it seems likely that the silyl esters formed initially in the [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement process lose CO₂ by a mechanism other than desilylation—decarboxylation.⁴ We speculate that silatropic rearrangement¹⁷ of the silyl esters gives a new silyl ketene acetal, which undergoes hydrolysis during isolation/purification (Scheme 2). This explanation is consistent with the need for 1 equiv of silylating agent, since it is effectively consumed by formation of the silyl ketene acetal derivatives of the isolated products **5**.





In summary, these studies show that the dCr reaction provides an effective, *regiospecific* alternative for metalcatalyzed allylation of methyl tosylacetate. Present studies are directed toward probing the relative rates of monorearrangement of unsymmetrical bis(allylic) tosylmalonates. The results of these investigations will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the European Community Human Potential program (Marie Curie Individual Fellowship) under Contract HPMT-CT-2002-02015.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, full characterization of compounds 4a-j and 5ac,e-j, and copies of ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of 3aj, 4a-j, 5a-c,e-j. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL047577W

⁽¹⁵⁾ Kobayashi, M.; Masumoto, K.; Nakai, A.; Nakai, T. Tetrahedron Lett. **1996**, *37*, 3005–3008.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Structures of **5g,h,j** were assigned by comparison of their NMR spectra with those of related compounds. For **5g,h** see: ref 3. For **5j**, see: Ireland, R. E.; Maienfisch, P. J. Org. Chem. **1988**, *53*, 640–651.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Slutsky, J.; Kwart, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 8678-8685.