

Cyclopropenimine-Catalyzed Enantioselective Mannich Reactions of *tert*-Butyl Glycinates with *N*-Boc-Imines

Jeffrey S. Bandar and Tristan H. Lambert*

Department of Chemistry, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Cyclopropenimine **1** is shown to catalyze Mannich reactions between glycine imines and *N*-Boc-aldehydes with high levels of enantio- and diastereocontrol. The reactivity of **1** is shown to be substantially greater than that of a widely used thiourea cinchona alkaloid-derived catalyst. A variety of aryl and aliphatic *N*-Boc-aldehydes are effective substrates for this transformation. A preparative-scale reaction to deliver >90 mmol of product is shown using 1 mol % catalyst. The products of this transformation can be converted into several useful derivatives.

Vicinal diamino stereocenters represent a prominent chemical motif found in bioactive natural products, synthetic building blocks, and metal ligands.¹ The preparation of α,β -diamino acid derivatives via enantioselective direct Mannich reactions of glycinate Schiff bases offers a powerful means to access such stereocenters (Figure 1).² Although a number of





Figure 1. Vicinal diamino stereocenters via direct glycinate Mannich reaction.


enantioselective catalyst systems that deliver α -amino Mannich products efficiently with high stereoselectivity have been reported, these methods suffer from important limitations of substrate scope, catalyst reactivity, or stereocontrol, and thus, a more broadly effective solution to the challenge of enantioselective Mannich reactions remains to be developed. We recently introduced chiral cyclopropenimines as a potent new class of enantioselective Brønsted base catalysts.³ Here we report that the readily available^{4,5} chiral cyclopropenimine **1** is a highly efficient and selective catalyst for direct Mannich reactions that operates even in the context of the challenging coupling of *tert*-butyl glycinate donors and *N*-Boc-imine acceptors.

Enantio- and diastereoselective Mannich reactions of glycinate Schiff bases⁶ have been accomplished by a number of groups using copper-based catalysis; however, these methods have

employed *N*-sulfonyl imine electrophiles, which result in products that are challenging to deprotect.^{7,8} More synthetically versatile products have been obtained with chiral phase-transfer catalysis,⁹ but typically with only moderate levels of stereoselectivity.¹⁰ Arguably the most attractive approach to this class of reactions is via direct chiral Brønsted-base catalysis,¹¹ which has been shown to be compatible with the use of *N*-carbamoyl imine substrates. Indeed, the state-of-the-art catalyst for this transformation is the widely employed bifunctional thiourea **5**,¹² which relies on strong H-bond activation coupled with a relatively weak tertiary amine Brønsted base (eq 1). Barbas has



catalysts:



reported that **5** catalyzes the stereoselective Mannich reaction of methyl glycinate substrates in 24–36 h but is ineffective for the more sterically demanding *tert*-butyl esters, which are desirable because of their amenability to acidic deprotection.¹³ Notably, the more strongly basic catalyst tetramethylguanidine (TMG) is also ineffective at catalyzing the reaction shown in eq 1 (R = Me).¹⁴


Given the remarkably high reactivity that we had previously observed for Michael additions using cyclopropenimine **1**,³ we wondered whether this catalyst could also offer a more broadly effective approach to glycinate Mannich reactions and thereby help to alleviate the substrate limitations noted above. In fact, we found that 10 mol % **1** catalyzed the reaction of glycinate **3** (R = Me) with *N*-Boc-benzaldehyde (**2**) to produce diamine adduct **4** in 81% yield with 95:5 dr (*syn/anti*) and 95% ee in only 15 min at

Received: July 16, 2013

room temperature, a remarkable enhancement of reactivity over thiourea catalyst **5**. Moreover, **1** was also found to catalyze the reaction of *tert*-butyl glycinate **3** in 20 h to deliver the Mannich product in 97% yield with 99:1 dr and 94% ee.¹⁵ These results clearly demonstrate the substantially greater reactivity of **1** versus less basic catalyst frameworks.¹⁶

A portion of the optimization studies leading to the reaction shown in eq 1 are presented in Table 1. In all cases, 4 Å molecular

Table 1. Optimization of Enantioselective Cyclopropenimine-Catalyzed Mannich Reaction^a



entry	solvent	conc (M)	time (h)	syn/anti	ee (%)
1	EtOAc	0.35	12	98:2	83
2	Et ₂ O	0.35	8	98:2	85
3	PhH	0.35	20	99:1	89
4	<i>m</i> -xylene	0.35	20	99:1	91
5	PhMe	0.35	16	99:1	91
6	PhMe	0.45	6	99:1	90
7	PhMe	0.25	24	99:1	92
8	PhMe	0.15	36	99:1	94
9	PhMe	0.08	48	98:2	93
10 ^b	PhMe	0.25	20	98:2	95
11 ^{b,c}	PhMe	0.25	26	98:2	95


^aAll reactions proceeded to ≥95% conversion of glycine imine.

^bGround 4 Å molecular sieves were used. ^c1.5 equiv of **2** was used.

sieves were added to inhibit hydrolysis of imine **2**, which **1** slowly catalyzes in the presence of water. Although the use of solvents such as ethyl acetate and diethyl ether resulted in promising selectivities (entries 1 and 2), we found that the enantioselectivity was increased in aromatic solvents (entries 3–5), with toluene providing optimal results. Dilution of the reaction mixture increased the selectivity, albeit at a cost of reaction time (entries 5–9). Additionally, we found that using ground molecular sieves improved the efficiency and selectivity of the reaction (entry 10). Notably, the stoichiometry of the electrophile could be reduced to 1.5 equiv with no loss in efficiency or selectivity (entry 11).

Next, the substrate scope of the cyclopropenimine-catalyzed Mannich reaction was explored (Table 2). To emphasize the practicability and simplicity of this chemistry, each of the reactions shown was performed using 1 g of glycinate substrate under conditions that included exposure to the atmosphere. Methyl, benzyl, and *tert*-butyl glycinate all showed good reactivity and selectivity at this scale with *N*-Boc-benzaldimine (entry 1). Given the incompatibility of *tert*-butyl glycinate with many other Brønsted base catalysts, we chose to explore the reaction of this substrate with other *N*-Boc-aldehydes. Both *o*- and *p*-tolualdimines yielded diamine products with high diastereo- and enantioselectivity (entries 2 and 3). The reaction with the more electron-rich anisaldehyde was also efficient and stereoselective (entry 4), although the reaction time of 60 h was significantly longer than that with electron-neutral substrates. In this case, use of the methyl glycinate substrate led to product in only 1.5 h with comparably good stereoselectivity. Halogenated

Table 2. Gram-Scale Substrate Scope of the Mannich Reaction^a




(1)	R = <i>t</i> Bu, 20 h, 97% yield 99:1 dr, 94% ee	(2)	R = Me, 15 m, 81% yield ^b 94:6 dr, 95% ee
	R = Bn, 10 m, 99% yield 95:5 dr, 96% ee		48 h, 63% yield 91:9 dr, 95% ee
(3)	R = <i>t</i> Bu, 60 h, 87% yield 99:1 dr, 95% ee	(4)	R = Me, 1.5 h, 95% yield 99:1 dr, 97% ee
(5)	36 h, 84% yield 99:1 dr, 97% ee	(6)	24 h, 99% yield 97:3 dr, 86% ee
(7)	20 h, 99% yield 99:1 dr, 92% ee	(8)	96 h, 87% yield 97:3 dr, 38% ee
(9)	24 h, 98% yield 98:2 dr, 89% ee	(10) ^b	24 h, 86% yield 97:3 dr, 94% ee
(11) ^d	34 h, 94% yield 64:36 dr, 63% and 44% ee		

^aYields based on purified products. Diastereomeric ratios (dr) and enantiomeric excesses (ee) were determined by HPLC. ^bYield determined by ¹H NMR versus Bn₂O as a standard; product characterized after hydrolysis of the benzophenone imine. ^cReaction was performed at a concentration of 0.07 M. ^d20 mol % catalyst was used; 0.9 mmol scale.

substrates were found to perform well (entries 5 and 6); however the highly electron-deficient *p*-trifluoromethylbenzaldehyde was relatively unreactive and yielded the diamine with severely diminished ee (entry 7). Notably, heterocyclic imines, including those with pyridine, furan, and thiophene ring systems, were all excellent substrates for this transformation (entries 8–10). Interestingly, although the enantioselectivity with the pyridyl substrate was subpar using the *tert*-butyl glycinate donor, high selectivity was restored with the use of the methyl glycinate (entry 8). An alanine-derived imine substrate gave rise to a product bearing a tetrasubstituted stereocenter (entry 11); however, the diastereo- and enantioselectivity of this substrate type were suboptimal with the current catalyst system.

Of particular note is the fact that an aliphatic aldimine, **6**, was also found to be a suitable substrate for reaction with the *tert*-butyl glycinate donor **3b** (eq 2). Although this reaction required



a 20 mol % catalyst loading to achieve a reasonable rate, the procedure was readily performed on a gram scale to produce adduct **7** with high yield and stereoselectivity. To the best of our knowledge, this represents the first use of aliphatic *N*-Boc-aldimines for a highly enantioselective direct Mannich reaction with glycine imines.

We further explored the substrate scope of the aliphatic *N*-Boc-aldimine Mannich reaction. A major concern with aliphatic *N*-Boc-aldimines is the potential for base-promoted enamine formation, leading to undesired side products. We were able to avoid competitive *N*-Boc-aldimine decomposition by the judicious control of several parameters. Thus, methyl glycinate **3a** was selected as the enolate for this screen in order to decrease the reaction time and allow for lower catalyst loadings. Additionally, some reactions were carried out with excess *N*-Boc-aldimine and at lower temperatures. In this way, an array of aliphatic *N*-Boc-aldimines with a variety of substitution patterns and functional groups were found to be suitable substrates for this reaction (Table 3).

Table 3. Aliphatic Substrate Scope of the Mannich Reaction^a

(1)	(2)	(3)
36 h, 87% yield ^b 99:1 dr, 94% ee	8 h, 95% yield ^c 93:7 dr, 98% ee	8 h, 90% yield ^{c,d,e} 98:2 dr, 44% ee
(4)	(5)	(6)
5 h, 99% yield ^{c,f} 99:1 dr, 84% ee	6 h, 97% yield 94:6 dr, 91% ee	2 h, 84% yield ^{c,f} 96:4 dr, 91% ee

^aYields based on purified products. Diastereomeric ratios (dr) and enantiomeric excesses (ee) were determined by HPLC. ^b1 gram scale of glycine imine. ^c4 equiv of *N*-Boc-Imine was used. ^d0 °C. ^e*N*-Boc-imine was made in situ with Cs₂CO₃; 20 mol % catalyst was used. ^f–25 °C.

Substrates bearing isopropyl and ethyl groups resulted in high diastereo- and enantioselectivities (entries 1 and 2). Entry 3 shows the production of protected 2,3-diaminobutanoic acid, an α,β -diamino acid widely found as a key motif in a number of naturally occurring peptides.¹ For this substrate, the *N*-Boc-aldimine was formed in situ because of its instability and difficulty of isolation. At 0 °C, the reaction proceeded with good conversion, albeit with only 44% ee. The selectivity could be increased to 83% ee upon cooling to –78 °C, but at this temperature the reaction did not reach completion.¹⁷ We also found silyl-protected alcohol (entry 4) and *N*-Boc-protected amine (entry 5) functional groups to be well-accommodated. Additionally, a substrate bearing a terminal olefin worked well with high selectivities (entry 6). The tolerance of diverse functional groups in this reaction should enable an enhanced synthetic utilization of these vicinal diamino stereocenters.

Our mechanistic rationale for this reaction is shown in Figure 2. Deprotonation of glycine imine **3b** and H-bond engagement of






Figure 2. Proposed catalytic cycle for the cyclopropenimine-catalyzed Mannich reaction. The red double-headed arrow indicates conformational locking of the stereocenter due to steric conflict with a cyclohexyl ring.

N-Boc imine **2** by the catalyst **1** leads to pre-transition-state complex **8a** or **8b**, with subsequent C–C bond formation as the rate- and enantiodetermining step. This latter hypothesis is supported by (1) the low reaction rate of the less electrophilic *p*-methoxybenzaldehyde (Table 2, entry 3) and (2) the significantly lower rate and diminished enantioselectivity of *p*-trifluoromethylbenzaldehyde (entry 5), which can be rationalized as a consequence of the decreased H-bond acceptor capacity of this substrate. The precise organization of the transition state, including the question of whether the enolate is OH-bound (**8a**) or NH-bound (**8b**), is currently under investigation.

Finally, we investigated the performance of catalyst **1** for the production of preparative amounts of chiral diamino acid derivatives (Scheme 1 and eq 3). When a 1 mol % loading of catalyst **1** was used, 26.7 g of diamino ester **9** was prepared (73% yield, 96:4 dr, 93% ee) in 8 h following imine hydrolysis with citric acid. Adduct **9** was subsequently converted to several useful derivatives, including diketopiperazine **10**, β -lactam **11**, amidine **12**, and tripeptide **13**, in preparative quantities in three or fewer operations (Scheme 1). The efficient performance of catalyst **1**

Scheme 1. Preparative-Scale Synthesis and Derivatization of Diamine 8^a

^aConditions: (a) TFA, CH₂Cl₂, rt; (b) dimethyloxylate, MeOH, reflux; (c) CBzCl, Na₂CO₃(aq), PhMe, rt; (d) TMSCl, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, then tBuMgCl; (e) 4-bromobenzaldehyde, TEA, CH₂Cl₂, rt, then NBS, 0 °C; (f) Z-Ala-OH, EDC, HOBT, TEA, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt; (g) Boc-Phe-OH, EDC, HOBT, TEA, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt. See the Supporting Information for full experimental details.



coupled with its ready availability should make this chemistry a practical tool for the preparation of 1,2-diamino stereocenters.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

● Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and product characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

TL2240@Columbia.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support was provided by NIH NIGMS (R01 GM102611). T.H.L. is grateful for an Ely Lilly Grantee Award. J.S.B. is grateful for NDSEG and NSF graduate fellowships. We thank the Leighton group (Columbia University) for use of their instrumentation.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Viso, A.; de la Pradilla, R. F.; García, A.; Flores, A. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 3167. (b) Viso, A.; de la Pradilla, R. F.; Tortosa, M.; García, A.; Flores, A. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, PR1. (c) Lucet, D.; Le Galle, T.; Mioskowski, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 2580.
- (2) For a review, see: Gómez Arrayás, R.; Carretero, J. C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 1940.
- (3) Bandar, J. S.; Lambert, T. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 5552.
- (4) See the Supporting Information for an improved synthesis of **1** directly from pentachlorocyclopropane.

(5) (S)-2-(2,3-Bis(dicyclohexylamino)cyclopropenimine)-3-phenylpropan-1-ol hydrochloride (**1-HCl**) can be purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (product no. L511188).

(6) For selected examples of enantioselective Mannich reactions using other donors, see: (a) Zhang, W.-Q.; Cheng, L.-F.; Gong, L.-Z. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 4085. (b) Liu, X.; Deng, L.; Jiang, X.; Yan, W.; Liu, C.; Wang, R. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 876. (c) Uraguchi, D.; Ueki, Y.; Ooi, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 14088. (d) Shi, S.-H.; Huang, F.-P.; Zhu, P.; Dong, Z.-W.; Hui, X.-P. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 2010. (e) Cutting, G. A.; Stainforth, N. E.; John, M. P.; Kociok-Köhn, G.; Willis, M. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 10632. (f) Li, L.; Ganesh, M.; Seidel, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 11648. (g) Jiang, J.; Xu, H.-D.; Xi, J.-B.; Ren, B.-Y.; Lv, F.-P.; Guo, X.; Jiang, L.-Q.; Zhang, Z.-Y.; Hu, W.-H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 8428. (h) Chen, X.; Dong, S.; Qiao, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Xie, M.; Lin, L.; Liu, X.; Feng, X. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 2583. (i) Lu, G.; Yoshino, T.; Morimoto, H.; Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 4382. (j) Nakamura, S.; Maeno, Y.; Ohara, M.; Yamamura, A.; Funahashi, Y.; Shibata, N. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 2960. (k) Melhado, A. D.; Amarante, G. W.; Wang, Z. J.; Luparia, M.; Toste, F. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 3517. (l) Chowdari, N. S.; Ahmad, M.; Albertshofer, K.; Tanaka, F.; Barbas, C. F., III. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 2839.

(7) Bernardi, L.; Gothelf, A. S.; Hazell, R. G.; Jørgensen, K. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 2583.

(8) For metal-catalyzed Mannich reactions of glycine imines, see: (a) Hernández-Toribio, J.; Gómez Arrayás, R.; Carretero, J. C. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 1153. (b) Hernández-Toribio, J.; Gómez Arrayás, R.; Carretero, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 16150. (c) Liang, G.; Tong, M. C.; Tao, H.; Wang, C. J. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2010**, *352*, 1851. (d) Shang, D.; Liu, Y.; Zhou, X.; Liu, X.; Feng, X. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 3678. (e) Yan, X. X.; Peng, Q.; Li, Q.; Zhang, K.; Yao, J.; Hou, X. L.; Wu, Y. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 14362. (f) Hernando, E.; Gómez Arrayás, R.; Carretero, J. C. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 9622. (g) Salter, M. M.; Kobayashi, J.; Shimizu, Y.; Kobayashi, S. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 3533.

(9) For recent reviews, see: (a) Ooi, T.; Maruoka, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 4222. (b) Hashimoto, T.; Maruoka, K. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5656. (c) O'Donnell, M. J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2004**, *37*, 506.

(10) (a) Ooi, T.; Kameda, M.; Fujii, J.; Maruoka, K. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 2397. (b) Okada, A.; Shibuguchi, T.; Ohshima, T.; Masu, H.; Yamaguchi, K.; Shibasaki, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 4564. (c) Shibuguchi, T.; Mihara, H.; Kuramochi, A.; Ohshima, T.; Shibasaki, M. *Chem.—Asian J.* **2007**, *2*, 794.

(11) (a) *Superbases for Organic Synthesis: Guanidines, Amidines, Phosphazenes and Related Organocatalysts*; Ishikawa, T., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 2009. (b) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; López, R. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 632. (c) Marcelli, T.; Hiemstra, H. *Synthesis* **2010**, 1229. (d) Leow, D.; Tan, C.-H. *Synlett* **2010**, 1589. (e) Fu, X.; Tan, C.-H. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 8210.

(12) For a review, see: Connon, S. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 2499.

(13) Zhang, H.; Syed, S.; Barbas, C. F., III. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 708. Compound **4** was generated as the opposite enantiomer as that shown in eq 1.

(14) Notably, a chiral guanidine has been used for enantioselective Mannich reactions with significantly more acidic fluorenone-derived glycinate Schiff bases. See: Kobayashi, S.; Yazaki, R.; Seki, K.; Yamashita, Y. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 5613.

(15) Resubjecting product **4b** to the reaction conditions did not lead to any racemization or change in the diastereomeric ratio.

(16) Using dimethyl malonate as the enolate in place of **3** resulted in a 94% yield of the Mannich product in 2 h, although no enantioselectivity was observed.

(17) At −78 °C, the reaction stalled at 15% conversion and yielded a product with 83% ee and 99:1 dr.