A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker A DOCH International Edition Market Chemiker CDCh Chemiker Ch

Accepted Article

- Title: Base-Activated Latent Heteroaromatic Sulfinates as Nucleophilic Coupling Partners in Palladium-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions
- Authors: Xinlan A. F. Cook, Loïc R. E. Pantaine, David C. Blakemore, Ian B. Moses, Neal W. Sach, Andre Shavnya, and Michael C. Willis

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.202109146

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202109146

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

WILEY-VCH

Base-Activated Latent Heteroaromatic Sulfinates as Nucleophilic Coupling Partners in Palladium-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions

Xinlan A. F. Cook,^[a] Loïc R. E. Pantaine,^[a] David C. Blakemore,^[b] Ian B. Moses,^[c] Neal W. Sach,^[d] Andre Shavnya,^[b] and Michael C. Willis^{*[a]}

[a]	X. A. F. Cook, Dr. L. R. E. Pantaine, Prof. M. C. Willis Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford Chemistry, Department, Chemistry, University of Oxford					
	Chemistry Research Laboratory,					
	Mansfield Road, Oxford, OX1 31A (UK)					
	E-mail: michael.willis@chem.ox.ac.uk					
[b]	Dr. D. C. Blakemore, A. Shavnya					
	Medicine Design, Pfizer Inc.					
	Eastern Point Road, Groton, CT 06340 (USA)					
[c]	I. B. Moses					
	Pharmaceutical sciences, Pfizer Inc.					
	Discovery Park, Ramsgate Road, CT13 9ND (UK)					
[d]	N. W. Sach					
	Medicine Design, La Jolla Laboratories, Pfizer Inc., 10777 Science Center Drive. San Diego CA 92121 (USA)					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: Heteroaromatic sulfinates are effective nucleophilic reagents in Pd(0)-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions with aryl halides. However, metal sulfinate salts can be challenging to purify, solubilize in reaction media, and are not tolerant to multi-step transformations. Here we introduce base-activated, latent sulfinate reagents; β -nitrile and β -ester sulfones. We show that under the cross-coupling conditions, these species eliminate to generate the sulfinate salt *in situ*, which then undergoes efficient palladium-catalyzed desulfinative cross-coupling with (hetero)aryl bromides to deliver a broad range of biaryls. These latent sulfinate reagents have proven to be stable through multi-step substrate elaboration, and amenable to scale-up.

Introduction

Cross-coupling processes are ubiquitous in synthetic chemistry as a dependable method to construct biaryl scaffolds, and are established as a "go to" strategy for carboncarbon bond formation.^[1] The Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling (SMC), which utilizes boron-derived species as nucleophilic coupling partners, is the most popular variant for $C(sp^2)$ – C(sp²) bond formation.^[2] This is due to the commercial accessibility of boronic acids and boronate reagents, the mild reaction conditions, and the plethora of research conducted into these transformations.^[2a, 3] However, traditional crosscoupling tools, including the Suzuki-Miyaura approach, fall short when it comes to tackling notoriously difficult heteroarylheteroaryl coupling reactions.^[4] Heteroaromatic boron reagents, in particular 2-pyridyl variants, are especially challenging to prepare and couple due to their propensity to protodeboronation.^[5] 2-Arylpyridines, undergo and bi(hetero)aryls in general, are prevalent as motifs in therapeutic and agrochemical molecules,[6] as ligands in metal catalysis,^[7] and as key units in functional materials^[8] (Figure 1). Due to the importance of these frameworks there has been significant research towards rectifying this problem,^[4, 9] key examples of which include the development of slow release boronate reagents,^[10] and contractive coupling methodologies

utilizing phosphorane^[9a, 9b] or sulfurane^[9c] intermediates. Correspondingly, the '2-pyridyl organometallic cross-coupling problem'^[9d] has become a benchmark for challenging biaryl cross-coupling reactions, and a springboard for innovation. However, a general approach is yet to be established, as many of the solutions reported are plagued with limited heterocycle scopes, difficult reagent preparation, or substratespecific re-optimization.



Figure 1. Select examples of 2-pyridyl derivatives with varied applications.

Our laboratory has previously contributed to solving these challenges, establishing heteroaromatic sulfinate salts as efficient reagents in Pd-catalyzed desulfinative crosscoupling reactions with aryl halides (Figure 2a).[11] Desulfinative cross-coupling processes avoid the use of stoichiometric organometallic reagents and only release SO₂ as a by-product. Although the use of aryl sulfinate salts in desulfinative cross-couplings was first reported in the early 1990s,^[12] only in recent years has substantial research into their use as nucleophilic coupling partners in Pd-mediated implemented.^{[13],} ^[14] reactions been Importantly, heteroaromatic sulfinate salts are generally more air- and moisture-stable than the corresponding boronic acids, and are now becoming commercially available.[15]

1g

COMMUNICATION

Despite being efficient coupling partners, the purification of metal sulfinate salts, as well as their poor solubility in organic media, pose challenges and hinder their ability to be carried through multi-step synthetic sequences. To address this, our laboratory pioneered the use of latent sulfinates in cross-coupling reactions. This concept exploits neutral, organosoluble, and easily accessible protected sulfinates that can be selectively unmasked under coupling conditions. The first generation of latent sulfinate reagents developed were allylsulfones (Figure 2b).^[16] Owing to the lability of the allyl group under Pd-catalysis, no additives were required for the release of the sulfinate coupling partner. However, this also limited the use of such substrates in other palladium-catalyzed processes, and in alkene functionalization reactions.



Figure 2. Introduction to previous and current work: (a) heteroaromatic sulfinates, (b) heteroaromatic allylsulfones and (c) this work: β-nitrile sulfones and B-ester sulfones in cross-coupling reactions

This work explores a latent Nnew generation of heteroaromatic sulfinates: β-nitrile and β-ester sulfones (Figure 2c).[9f],[17] The active sulfinate species are released via E1cB elimination under the basic cross-coupling conditions.^[18] These base-labile reagents, in contrast to the Pd-labile allvlsulfones, are more amenable to orthogonal Pdcatalyzed reactions and typical alkene transformations.

Results and Discussion

Heteroaromatic sulfones can be readily accessed from commercially available starting materials, either directly from thiols, halides or via the sulfinate salt.^[17c, 19] The β-nitrile sulfones were primarily accessed from thiols through





Scheme 1. Synthesis of β-nitrile sulfones. TIMSO = N-Me-pyrrolidine SO2 adduct.

The use of β-nitrile sulfones in Pd-mediated crosscoupling was initially investigated through the reaction of the pyridine sulfone 1a with 4-bromoanisole 2a (Table 1). Encouragingly, the desired biaryl product **3a** was obtained in a 29% yield under the original conditions reported for the use of 2-pyridyl metal sulfinate salts (Entry 1).^[11b] A strong solvent dependence was quickly observed, as substituting 1,4dioxane for toluene more than doubled the yield of biaryl 3a (Entry 2). The presence of K_2CO_3 proved to be essential to reaction success, in agreement with the reported mechanism of the transformation.[11c, 11d] A range of ligands were evaluated and electron-rich, bulky monodentate phosphine ligands performed best (see Supporting Information). Whilst the optimum ligand for the allylsulfone work, P(^tBu)₂Me·HBF₄, showed good activity (Entry 3), CataCXium® A (PAd₂Bu) was shown to be superior for this process giving biaryl 3a in 84% yield (Entry 4). Addition of acetic acid to the system was key for achieving high yields of the desired product at 130 °C (Entries 5-6). Other bases and acids were explored; however, none performed as well (see Supporting Information). Considering the poor solubility of sulfinate salts in toluene, the combination of base and acid could potentially create a buffered system, enabling a more gradual release of the sulfinate from the heteroaromatic sulfone. Lastly, by adjusting the stoichiometry of the pyridine sulfone to a slight excess (1.1 equiv), we were able to achieve an 88% yield of biaryl 3a at 120 °C (Entry 8). The acrylonitrile by-product was not observed, and is assumed to be removed by evaporation.

We began the reaction scope exploration by varying the electrophilic partner in combination with sulfone 1a (Table 2a). Aryl bromides were found to couple more efficiently than aryl chlorides, as shown by the 61% yield of compound 3a

59

130

COMMUNICATION

obtained from 4-chloroanisole, compared to 88% using 4bromoanisole. This selectivity could be advantageously exploited and chlorobiaryl **3b** was obtained in a high 80% yield. The reaction yield remained high when varying the position of the methyl substituent on the aryl bromide (**3c-3f**), although a slight increase in temperature to 130 °C was needed for some *ortho*-substituted aryl bromides (**3e**, **3f**). Under these reaction conditions, pyridine sulfone **1a** provides an efficient synthesis of the pyridine **3h** used widely as a ligand in photocatalyst complexes and luminescent platinum complexes.^[7a, 7b] The reaction has a broad functional group tolerance, delivering high yields when employing aryl halides containing esters, ketones, nitriles, protected amines and alcohols, thiophenes, substituted pyridines, quinolines, quinoxalines and napthalenes (**3i-3s**).
 Table 1. Selected optimization studies of the desulfinative coupling.^[a]



6	toluene	CataCXium A	AcOH (1 equiv)	130	84		
7 ^[e]	toluene	CataCXium A	AcOH (1 equiv)	130	91 ^[d]		
8 ^[e]	toluene	CataCXium A	AcOH (1 equiv)	120	88 ^[d]		
Reaction conditions: 1a (0.20 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 4-bromoanisole (0.20 mmol,							
0 equiv), K2CO3 (0.30 mmol, 1.5 equiv), Pd(OAc)2 (5.0 mol%), ligand (10							

CataCXium A

5

toluene

[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.20 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 4-bromoanisole (0.20 mmol, 1.0 equiv), K₂CO₃ (0.30 mmol, 1.5 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (5.0 mol%), ligand (10 mol%), solvent (0.10 M), [b] HPLC yields determined by using *p*-tolylether as an internal standard, [c] Run on a 0.40 mmol scale, [d] Isolated yield, [e] Using 1.1 equiv of **1a**. CataCXium A = PAd₂Bu.

Table 2. Scope of the desulfinative cross-coupling of heteroaromatic β-nitrile sulfones with (hetero)aryl halides.^[a]



[a] Isolated yields. Reaction conditions: pyridine sulfone (0.22 mmol, 1.1 equiv), aryl halide (0.20 mmol, 1.0 equiv), K₂CO₃ (0.30 mmol, 1.5 equiv), AcOH (0.02, 1.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (5.0 mol%), CataCXium A (10 mol%), toluene (0.10 M), 120 °C, 18 h. [b] Reaction run at 130 °C. [c] Using 4-chloroanisole. Temperatures stated are those of the aluminium heating block

COMMUNICATION

On variation of the nucleophilic coupling partner (Table 2b), we found that the 6- and 5-methyl substituted pyridine sulfones behave similarly to the electron-deficient 5trifluoromethyl pyridine sulfone 1a (3t, 3u, 3z). Pleasingly, the more challenging couplings to access biaryls, such as pyrimidines (3v-3w), SEM-protected pyrazole (3x) and imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (3y), were achieved in good to high yields. Increasing the steric hindrance at the reaction site via substitution at the 3-position of the pyridine, was also well tolerated, giving 95% of 2-arylpyridine 3aa. Increasing the reaction temperature to 130 °C was often necessary to achieve good yields when using unsubstituted pyridine sulfones (3ab-3ag). This is in good agreement with our previous mechanistic studies, which show that extrusion of SO2 during the catalytic cycle is easier with electron-deficient or sterically bulky substrates.[11c] Electron-rich pyridine sulfones also performed well under these reaction conditions (3ah. 3ai). Notably, indole 3ai and pyrazine 3ak were synthesized in good to high yields. This methodology can also be extended to carbocyclic substrates, as shown with compound **3al**, obtained in 64% from *p*-tolyl β-nitrile sulfone.

To demonstrate the generality and robustness of baselabile latent sulfinates, we extended our approach to explore the use of β -ester sulfones. In comparison to β -nitrile sulfones, the synthetic routes towards β -ester substrates are more straightforward, mainly due to β -methylester sulfones being established intermediates in the synthesis of metal sulfinates.^[9f, 17c, 19a] The aforementioned SMOPS reagent is commercially available and provides a one-step route to the β -methylester sulfone functionality.^[21] Additionally, commercially available methyl 3-mercaptopropionate can also be reacted directly with heteroaromatic halides, or facilitated by metal catalysis if required,^[22] which in turn can be followed by S-oxidation to give β -methylester sulfones. Thus, synthetic routes towards β -ester sulfones allow better use of the vast libraries of readily accessible heteroaryl halides.

In contrast to the nitrile derivatives, β-ester sulfones generally performed better when the AcOH additive was omitted from the system. Under such conditions, the reactivity and functional group tolerance of these alternative latent sulfinates were found to be comparable to the β-nitrile sulfones, giving the desired biaryls in high yields (Table 3, 3a, 3b, 3i, 3k, 3q). The free alcohol product 3I-OH was pleasingly obtained in a 65% yield from the telescoped deprotection of protected alcohol **3I.** Similar to the β -nitrile sulfone system, raising the reaction temperature to 130 °C was required for efficient coupling of the unsubstituted pyridyl β-ester sulfone (3ac, 3ae, 3af, 3an). Interestingly, the coupling of the unsubstituted pyridine sulfone to give the biaryl 3ac, was poor yielding under the optimized conditions, despite complete consumption of the sulfone. However, addition of acetic acid (1.5 equiv) into the reaction allowed for the dramatic increase in the yield of product **3ac** from 29% to 91%. The 4-pyridyl βester sulfone worked comparably to the 2-pyridyl reagent, giving 4-arylpyridines 3ag and 3ao.

Table 3. Scope of the desulfinative cross-coupling of heteroaromatic β-ester sulfones with (hetero)aryl halides.^[a]



[a] Isolated yields. Reaction conditions: sulfone (0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv), aryl bromide (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (5.0 mol%), CataCXium A (10 mol%), K₂CO₃ (0.4 mmol, 2.0 equiv), toluene (2 mL), 120 °C, 18 h. [b] Run at 130 °C. [c] Telescoped deprotection of protected phenol product directly after cross-coupling reaction: reaction temperature lowered to 85 °C, ethanol (2 mL), 2 M aq. solution of K₂CO₃ (0.2 mL, 0.4 mmol), 18 h. [d] Reaction run with AcOH (0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv). Temperatures stated are those of the aluminium heating block.

COMMUNICATION

All nucleophilic coupling partners with electron-withdrawing substituents on the pyridine core, such as a nitrile (3ap) or an ester (3aq), as well as quinoxaline sulfones (3at), performed well, as did electron-rich β-ester examples (3ah,3ai). Similar to the β-nitrile sulfone system, substituents next to the sulfinate coupling site were well tolerated (3ar-3as). More complex, nitrogen-rich frameworks 3au and 3av, were constructed from the corresponding 2-quinoline and 2quinoxaline sulfones. The pyrimidine containing molecule 3aw, an intermediate of a class of ROR modulators,[23] was synthesized in a 99% yield. Pleasingly, the challenging coupling of benzothiazole β-methyl ester sulfone to give benzothiazole 3ax, a product related to PET scanning radiopharmaceutical Flutemetamol (18F) was achieved.[24] Lastly, we were able to prepare complex biaryl 3ay, containing a fragment of arthritis drug celecoxib, in 83% yield.^[25]

The success of the latent sulfinates (Tables 2 and 3) inspired the design and brief exploration of diethyl succinate sulfones **5**. Upon generation of the sulfinate salt, this alternative masking group releases non-toxic, high-boiling diethyl fumarate as the by-product, making this system more amenable to cross-coupling on larger scale. Additionally, the majority of these reagents can be readily isolated as solids. Encouragingly, the succinate pyridine sulfone **5** performed well under the same conditions employed for the β -methyl ester sulfone (Table 4). These reagents react efficiently and comparably to their β -nitrile and β -ester counterparts, as exemplified through a representative set of biaryls (Table 4).

Table 4. Scope of the desulfinative cross-coupling of succinate sulfones with aryl bromides. $\ensuremath{^{[a]}}$



[a] Isolated yields. Reaction conditions: pyridine succinate sulfone (0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv), aryl bromide (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $Pd(OAc)_2$ (5.0 mol%), CataCXium A (10 mol%), K_2CO_3 (0.4 mmol, 2.0 equiv), toluene (0.1 M), 130 °C, 18 h. [b] Reaction run at 120 °C.

The described reactions of our masked sulfinates were routinely performed on 0.2 mmol scale in sealed reaction vials. However, we were keen to show that the chemistry could be translated to larger, non-pressurized reaction vessels. To investigate this, the cross-coupling of sulfones **1a** or **4a** with 4-bromoanisole **2a** to give biaryl **3a** was re-visited. The key substitution of toluene for higher boiling anisole, and a reaction temperature of 130 °C allowed the reactions of both masked sulfinates species to be run effectively on a 1.0 mmol scale (Scheme 2). Pleasingly, an unoptimized 52% yield of 2-

(*p*-tolyl)pyridine (**3ac**) was also obtained using the 2-pyridyl succinate sulfone **5a**.



Scheme 2. Scale up of the cross-coupling reactions of base-labile latent sulfinate reagents. Reaction conditions: (a) pyridine sulfone (1.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4-bromoanisole (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (5.0 mol%), CataCXium A (10 mol%), K₂CO₃ (2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), AcOH (1.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv), anisole (0.1 M), 130 °C, 18 h. (b) and (c) pyridine sulfone (1.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 4-bromoanisole (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (5.0 mol%), CataCXium A (10 mol%), K₂CO₃ (2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), anisole (0.1 M), 130 °C, 18 h. Isolated yields; internal temperatures measured.

To highlight the compatibility of β-nitrile and β-methyl ester sulfone with multi-step substrate functionalization, we investigated the stability and utility of these latent groups through a series of transformations around the pyridine core, primarily focusing on oxidative and palladium-mediated reactions. Firstly, using O-allyl pyridine sulfone 6, we explored oxidative transformations at the alkene functionality (Scheme 3). Pyridine 6 was oxidized under Wacker-type conditions^[26] to give the desired ketone product 7 in a 44% yield, and the resultant ketone was further reacted to access biaryl 3bb. Furthermore, through a hydroboration-oxidation sequence we could achieve a 65% yield of the combined alcohol products (8a,b); this is a transformation that would not be possible using the corresponding allylsulfone. The primary alcohol 8a was then successfully coupled to give 60% of the desired 2arylpyridine 3bc.

COMMUNICATION



Scheme 3. Oxidative transformations of O-allyl pyridine sulfone 6. DMP = Dess-Martin periodinane.

Lastly, we explored the bidirectional elaboration of masked pyridine reagents by installing two cross-coupling reaction sites that could be selectively transformed (Scheme 4). 5-Bromopyridine sulfones 9a-b successfully underwent Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling (SMC) with phenyl boronic acid to give the biaryl sulfones (10a-b) in 72% and 78% yields, when using β -nitrile or β -ester masking groups, respectively.^[27] In both cases the latent sulfinate group was stable under these reaction conditions. Sequentially these products were submitted to desulfinative coupling conditions to give the desired diarylpyridine compound **11** in high yields. To further demonstrate the compatibility of this chemistry with transition metal-mediated processes, the boronate ester containing substrate 12 was prepared using an Ir-catalyzed borylation reaction (Scheme 4b).^[28] The bifunctional pyridine 12 underwent SMC with 4-bromotoluene 2b to give sulfone 13 in 62% yield;[29] notably, the masked sulfinate functionality remained intact. Finally, diarylpyridine 14 was obtained in excellent 92% yield from biaryl sulfone 13 using our standard cross-coupling protocol.



Scheme 4. Transition-metal mediated, multi-step cross-coupling sequences of 2-pyridyl latent sulfinate reagents. tfp = tri(2-furyl)phosphine. dtbpy = 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-dipyridyl. XPhos = 2-dicyclohexylphosphino-2',4',6'-triisopropylbiphenyl.

Conclusion

We have designed and developed β -nitrile and β -ester sulfones as efficient base-labile latent sulfinate reagents in palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. This method has been used to construct challenging heteroaryl-(hetero)aryl linkages, allowing access to a diverse range of 2arylpyridines and pharmaceutically relevant fragments. The scope of electrophilic partners is broad, displaying a good tolerance of multiple functional groups, and substitution patterns, delivering the desired cross-coupled products in good to high yields. In addition, both latent sulfinate groups are stable and can be carried through multistep elaboration, notably oxidative and palladium-mediated transformations. We have further shown the successful use of the succinate sulfone masking group, which releases a benign by-product on activation. Due to the prevalence of the pyridine motif in medicinal chemistry and the robustness of this chemistry, we anticipate this method will find wide application.

Acknowledgements

X.A.F.C is grateful to EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Synthesis for Biology and Medicine (EP/ L015838/1) for a studentship, generously supported by Pfizer, GlaxoSmithKline, Vertex, AstraZeneca, Diamond Light Source, Defence Science and Technology Laboratory, Evotec, Janssen, Novartis, Syngenta, Takeda and UCB. X.A.F.C also thanks

COMMUNICATION

the Clarendon Fund for a scholarship and support. L.R.E.P. acknowledges financial support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement DeCoChem Nº 793155.

- [1] a) L.-C. Campeau, N. Hazari, Organometallics 2019, 38, 3-35; b) M. J. Buskes, M.-J. Blanco, Molecules 2020, 25, 3493-3515.
- a) D. Blakemore, in Synthetic Methods in Drug Discovery: Volume 1, Vol. [2] , The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2016, pp. 1-69; b) N. Miyaura, A. Suzuki, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457-2483; c) S. D. Roughley, A. M. Jordan, J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 3451-3479; d) N. Miyaura, K. Yamada, A. Suzuki, Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 20, 3437-3440.
- a) A. J. J. Lennox, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 412-443; [3] b) I. P. Beletskaya, F. Alonso, V. Tyurin, Coordin. Chem. Rev. 2019, 385,
- X. A. F. Cook, A. de Gombert, J. McKnight, L. R. E. Pantaine, M. C. Willis, [4] Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 11068-11091
- [5] a) P. A. Cox, A. G. Leach, A. D. Campbell, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2016**, *138*, 9145-9157; b) P. A. Cox, M. Reid, A. G. Leach, A. D. Campbell, E. J. King, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 13156-13165; c) H. G. Kuivila, K. V. Nahabedian, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 2159-2163
- a) S. L. Hargreaves, B. L. Pilkington, S. E. Russell, P. A. Worthington, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 1653-1656; b) P. Fu, S. Wang, K. Hong, X. Li, [6] P. Liu, Y. Wang, W. Zhu, J. Nat. Prod. 2011, 74, 1751-1756; c) S. P. Curtis, B. Bockow, C. Fisher, J. Olaleye, A. Compton, A. T. Ko, A. S. Reicin, *BMC Musculoskel. Dis.* **2005**, 6, 58; d) E. Vitaku, D. T. Smith, J. T. Njardarson, *J. Med. Chem.* **2014**, 57, 10257-10274; e) J. J. Cui, M. Tran-Dubé, H. Shen, M. Nambu, P.-P. Kung, M. Pairish, L. Jia, J. Meng, L. Funk, I. Botrous, M. McTigue, N. Grodsky, K. Ryan, E. Padrique, G. Alton, S. Timofeevski, S. Yamazaki, Q. Li, H. Zou, J. Christensen, B. Mroczkowski, S. Bender, R. S. Kania, M. P. Edwards, J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 6342-6363; f) S. Y. Jung, S. Hwang, J. M. Clarke, T. M. Bauer, V. L. Keedy, H. Lee, N. Park, S.-J.
- Kim, J. I. Lee, *Invest. New Drugs* 2019, *38*, 812-820.
 a) K. Teegardin, J. I. Day, J. Chan, J. Weaver, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2016, *20*, 1156-1163; b) L. Gao, J. Ni, M. Su, J. Kang, J. Zhang, *Dyes Pigments* 2019, *165*, 231-238; c) F. Havas, N. Leygue, M. Danel, B. Mestre, [7] C. Galaup, C. Picard, Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 7673-7686.
- a) C. L. Fraser, A. P. Smith, J. Polym. Sci. A1 2000, 38, 4704-4716; b) V. [8] Bekiari, P. Lianos, P. Judeinstein, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1999, 307, 310-316; V. Bekiari, G. Pistolis, P. Lianos, *Chem. Mater.* 1999, 11, 3189-3195; d)
 W. Sun, H. Zhu, P. M. Barron, *Chem. Mater.* 2006, *18*, 2602-2610; e) D.
 Saccone, C. Magistris, N. Barbero, P. Quagliotto, C. Barolo, G. Viscardi, *Materials* 2016, *9*, 137; f) E. Kreidt, C. Bischof, C. Platas-Iglesias, M. Seitz, Inorg. Chem. 2016, 55, 5549-5557; g) H. Zhang, J. Lee, A. D. Lammer, X. Chi, J. T. Brewster, V. M. Lynch, H. Li, Z. Zhang, J. L. Sessler, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. 2016, 138, 4573-4579.
- a) B. T. Boyle, M. C. Hilton, A. McNally, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 15441-15449; b) M. C. Hilton, X. Zhang, B. T. Boyle, J. V. Alegre-Requena, R. S. Paton, A. McNally, *Science* **2018**, *362*, 799-804; c) M. Zhou, J. Tsien, [9] C. Paton, A. Michally, Science 2010, 502, 753-504, 67 M. 2100, 61 Form,
 T. Qin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 7372-7376; d) L.-C. Campeau, S. Rousseaux, K. Fagnou, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 18020-18021; e) C. Fricke, G. J. Sherborne, I. Funes-Ardoiz, E. Senol, S. Guven, Schoenebeck, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 17788-17795; f) J. Wei, H. M. Liang, C. F. Ni, R. Sheng, J. B. Hu, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 937-940; g) Nokami, Y. Tomida, T. Kamei, K. Itami, J.-i. Yoshida, *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 729-731; h) L. Ackermann, H. K. Potukuchi, A. R. Kapdi, C. Schulzke, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 3300-3303; i) L. Chen, D. R. Sanchez, B. Zhang, B. P. Carrow, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 12418-12421; j) M. R. Luzung, J. S. Patel, J. Yin, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 8330-8332; k) X. Li, D. Zou, F. Leng, C. Sun, J. Li, Y. Wu, Y. Wu, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 312-314; l) D. C. Blakemore, L. A. Marples, Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 4192-4195.
- [10] a) K. L. Billingsley, S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4695a) K. L. Billingsley, S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4695-4698; b) J. Z. Deng, D. V. Paone, A. T. Ginnetti, H. Kurihara, S. D. Dreher, S. A. Weissman, S. R. Stauffer, C. S. Burgey, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 345-347;
 c) G. Q. Li, Y. Yamamoto, N. Miyaura, Synlett 2011, 1769-1773; d) S. Sakashita, M. Takizawa, J. Sugai, H. Ito, Y. Yamamoto, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4308-4311; e) M. A. Oberli, S. L. Buchwald, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4606-4609; f) W. Ren, J. Li, D. Zou, Y. Wu, Y. Wu, Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 1351-1358; g) P. B. Hodgson, F. H. Salingue, Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 685-687; h) P. Gros, A. Doudouh, Y. Fort, Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 6239-6241; i) K. Chen, R. Peterson, S. K. Math, J. B. LaMunyon, C. A. Testa, D. 6241; i) K. Chen, R. Peterson, S. K. Math, J. B. LaMunyon, C. A. Testa, D. R. Cefalo, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 4873-4876; j) Y. Mutoh, K. Yamamoto, S. Saito, *ACS Cat.* **2020**, *10*, 352-357; k) H. Yoshida, M. Seki, S. Kamio, H. Tanaka, Y. Izumi, J. Li, I. Osaka, M. Abe, H. Andoh, T. Yajima,
 T. Tani, T. Tsuchimoto, ACS Cat. 2020, 10, 346-351; I) S. Kamio, I. Kageyuki, I. Osaka, H. Yoshida, Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 2624-2627; m)

G. R. Dick, E. M. Woerly, M. D. Burke, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2667-2672; n) Y. Yamamoto, M. Takizawa, X. Q. Yu, N. Miyaura, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 928-931

- [11] a) T. Markovic, B. N. Rocke, D. C. Blakemore, V. Mascitti, M. C. Willis, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 6033-6035; b) T. Markovic, B. N. Rocke, D. C. Blakemore, V. Mascitti, M. C. Willis, Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 4437-4442; c) A. de Gombert, A. I. McKay, C. J. Davis, K. M. Wheelhouse, M. C. Willis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 3564-3576; d) A. de Gombert, M. C. Willis, Trends Chem. 2020 2 865-866
- [12] D. H. Ortgies, A. Hassanpour, F. Chen, S. Woo, P. Forgione, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 2016, 408-425
- [13] a) C. Zhou, Q. Liu, Y. Li, R. Zhang, X. Fu, C. Duan, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 7, 10468-10472; b) J. Liu, X. Zhou, H. Rao, F. Xiao, C.-J. Li, G.-J. Deng, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 7996-7999; c) D. H. Ortgies, A. Barthelme, S. Aly, B. Desharnais, S. Rioux, P. Forgione, *Synthesis* 2013, 45, 694-702; d) D.
 H. Ortgies, P. Forgione, *Synlett* 2013, 24, 1715-1721; e) C. Zhou, Y. Li, Y.
 Lu, R. Zhang, K. Jin, X. Fu, C. Duan, *Chinese J. Chem.* 2013, 31, 1269-1273
- [14] a) W. Chen, P. Li, T. Miao, L.-G. Meng, L. Wang, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 420-424; b) S. Sévigny, P. Forgione, New J. Chem. 2013, 37, 589-592; c) S. Sevigny, P. Forgione, Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 2256-2260; d) J. Shi, X.-D. Tang, Y.-C. Wu, H.-N. Li, L.-J. Song, Z.-Y. Wang, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 2015, 1193-1197; e) D. Mangel, C. Buonwano, S. Sevigny, G. Di Censo, G. Thevendran, P. Forgione, *Heterocycles* 2015, 90, 1228-1239; f) J. Colomb, T. Billard, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2013, *54*, 1471-1474.
 [15] D. Kaiser, I. Klose, R. Oost, J. Neuhaus, N. Maulide, *Chem. Rev.* 2019, 0720-0720.
- 119.8701-8780
- [16] T. Markovic, P. R. D. Murray, B. N. Rocke, A. Shavnya, D. C. Blakemore, M. C. Willis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 15916-15923.
- [17] a) A. G. M. Barrett, S. M. Cramp, A. J. Hennessy, P. A. Procopiou, R. S. Roberts, *Org. Lett.* 2001, *3*, 271-273; b) M. Gilligan, A. C. Humphries, T. Ladduwahetty, K. J. Merchant (Merch, Sharpe & Dohme), WO 095205, 2006.; c) J. M. Baskin, Z. Wang, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, *43*, 8479-8483.
- [18] Related nitriles and esters have been used as protecting groups for thiols and alcohols, see for example: a) J.-M. Becht, A. Wagner, C. Mioskowski, I. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5758-5761; b) H. Saneyoshi, K. Ando, K. Seio, M. Sekine, Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 11195-11203; c) M. Janczyk, B. Appel, D. Springstubbe, H. J. Fritz, S. Muller, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 1510-1513
- [19] a) S. Liang, K. Hofman, M. Friedrich, G. Manolikakes, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2020, 4664-4674; b) E. J. Emmett, B. R. Hayter, M. C. Willis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12679-12683; c) A. Shavnya, K. D. Hesp, A. S. Tsai, Adv. Synth. Cat. 2018, 360, 1768-1774; d) K. M. Maloney, J. T. Kuethe, K. Linn, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 102-105; e) A. S. Deeming, C. J. Russell, A. J. Hennessy, M. C. Willis, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 150-153.
- [20] a) H. Woolven, C. González-Rodríguez, I. Marco, A. L. Thompson, M. C. Willis, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4876-4878; b) B. Skillinghaug, J. Rydfjord, L. R. Odell, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2016, *57*, 533-536.
 [21] J. M. Baskin, Z. Y. Wang, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, *43*, 8479-8483.
 [22] a) X. Zheng, P. Bauer, T. Baumeister, A. J. Buckmelter, M. Caligiuri, K. H.
- Clodfelter, B. Han, Y.-C. Ho, N. Kley, J. Lin, D. J. Reynolds, G. Sharma, C. C. Smith, Z. Wang, P. S. Dragovich, J. Gunzner-Toste, B. M. Liederer, J. U. S. Brien, A. Oh, L. Wang, W. Wang, Y. Xiao, M. Zak, G. Zhao, P.-W.
 Yuen, K. W. Bair, *J. Med. Chem.* 2013, *56*, 6413-6433; b) W. Liu, W. Deng,
 S. Sun, C. Yu, X. Su, A. Wu, Y. Yuan, Z. Ma, K. Li, H. Yang, X. Peng, J.
 Dietrich, *Org. Lett.* 2019, *21*, 9909-9913.
- [23] S. Das, L. A. Gharat, R. L. Harde, S. Y. Shelke, S. R. Pardeshi, A.Thomas, N. Khair-Atkar-Joshi, D. M. Shah, M. Bajpai, (Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, SA), WO 021879 A1, 2017
- [24] a) K. Heurling, A. Leuzy, E. R. Zimmer, M. Lubberink, A. Nordberg, Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. I. 2016, 43, 362-373; b) T. G. Beach, D. R. Thal, M.
- Zanette, A. Smith, C. Buckley, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* **2016**, *52*, 863-873. [25] R. C. Hubbard, R. J. Koepp, S. Yu, S. Talwalker, G. S. Geis, C. W. Wiesenhutter, W. S. Makarowski, H. A. Paulus, Arthritis Rheum. 1996, 39, 1188-1188.
- [26] D. A. Chaudhari, R. A. Fernandes, J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 2113-2121.
- [20] D. A. Chaddian, N. A. Fernandes, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 07, 2132121.
 [27] D. Hédou, A. S. Voisin-Chiret, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2020, 3640-3649.
 [28] a) E. Demory, K. Devaraj, A. Orthaber, P. J. Gates, L. T. Pilarski, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, *54*, 11765-11769; b) S. A. Sadler, H. Tajuddin, I. A. I. Mkhalid, A. S. Batsanov, D. Albesa-Jove, M. S. Cheung, A. C. Maxwell, L. Shukla, B. Roberts, D. C. Blakemore, Z. Lin, T. B. Marder, P. G. Steel, *Org.* Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 7318-7327; c) M. A. Larsen, J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 4287-4299
- [29] T. S.-B. Lou, M. C. Willis, Tetrahedron 2020, 76, 130782.

COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents



Next generation, base-activated latent sulfinate reagents have been successfully developed for use in the construction of heteroaromatic frameworks, such as 2-arylpyridines. Under Pd-catalyzed conditions, these species unmask to give the sulfinate *in situ*, which then undergoes efficient desulfinative cross-coupling with an array of (hetero)aryl halides. These reagents are stable, readily prepared and amenable to multi-step synthetic sequences.

Institute and/or researcher Twitter usernames: @RhPdCu @OxfordChemistry @OxfordSynthesis

Accepted Manuscrit