2011 Vol. 13, No. 19 5104–5107

Novel Approach to the Lundurine Alkaloids: Synthesis of the Tetracyclic Core

Suvi T. M. Orr, Jianhua Tian, Meike Niggemann, and Stephen F. Martin*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, United States

sfmartin@mail.utexas.edu

Received July 22, 2011

ABSTRACT

The tetracyclic core of the lundurine family of alkaloids has been synthesized by a novel approach that features a double ring-closing olefin metathesis to form the five-and eight-membered rings.

Lundurine A (1) and lundurine B (2) belong to a small but important family of hexacyclic alkaloids that was first isolated in 1995 from *Kopsia K. tenuis*. These alkaloids are characterized by a unique propellane structure that comprises a dihydroindole ring fused with eight- and three-membered rings. Because of its limited availability, it was not until 2004 that lundurine B was found to exhibit potent in vitro activity toward B16 melanoma cells (IC₅₀ of $2.8 \,\mu \text{g/mL}$); it is also effective in circumventing multidrug resistance in vincristine-resistant KB cells. Lundurine B is thus important as a potential anticancer agent. There are no reported syntheses of any of the lundurines to date, although a few approaches to the skeleton have been reported.

Our retrosynthetic analysis of lundurine B is depicted in Scheme 1. We envisioned that the cyclopropane ring could be constructed via a copper(I)-catalyzed, intramolecular cyclopropanation of an intermediate as 3 followed by a selective deoxygenation using a modified Wolff–Kishner procedure. High facial selectivity in this cyclization would

be anticipated in this step owing to the stereochemistry at the preformed quaternary center at C(16). Similar

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic Analysis

(5) (a) Yang, J.; Song, H.; Xiao, X.; Wang, J.; Qin, Y. Org. Lett. **2006**, 8, 2187–2190. (b) Shen, L.; Zhang, M.; Wu, Y.; Qin, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2008**, 47, 3618–3621. (c) Gagnon, D.; Spino, C. J. Org. Chem. **2009**, 74, 6035–6041.

⁽¹⁾ Kam, T.; Yoganathan, K.; Chuah, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 759–762.

⁽²⁾ Kam, T.; Lim, K.; Yoganathan, K.; Hayashi, M.; Komiyama, K. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 10739–10745.

^{(3) (}a) Ferrer, C.; Amijs, C. H. M.; Echavarren, A. M. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 1358–1373. (b) Donets, P. A.; Van Hecke, K.; Van Meervelt, L.; Van der Eycken, E. V. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 3618–3621. (c) Ferrer, C.; Escribano-Cuesta, A.; Echavarren, A. M. *Tetrahedron* **2009**, *65*, 9015–

^{(4) (}a) Miller, V. P.; Yang, D.; Weigel, T. M.; Han, O.; Liu, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 4175–4188. (b) Hutchins, R. O.; Milewski, C. A.; Maryanoff, B. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1973**, *95*, 3662–3668.

intramolecular cyclopropanations of the C(2)–C(3) double bond of indoles with diazo ester in the presence of transition-metal catalysts are known.⁵ The diazoketone moiety of **3** would then arise from the corresponding ester group in **4** via hydrolysis followed by diazomethylation. The tetracycle **4**, a key intermediate in our plan, would be accessed via an enantioselective, double ring-closing metathesis (RCM) reaction involving the tetraene **5**.^{6–8} Indeed, the inspiration for utilizing an RCM for the synthesis of the lundurines owes its origin to our long-standing interest in using RCM as a key construction for alkaloid synthesis.⁹ Assembly of compound **5** would require coupling of the 2-vinyl indolylethanol derivative **6**, the amine **7**, and a suitable electrophile such as **8** or **9**.

Lundurine B possesses an N-carbomethoxy group, but we had some concerns regarding the stability of this moiety during some transformations that we anticipated might be involved as we worked out the synthetic details. We thus decided to conduct our exploratory studies with an N-tosyl group that could be easily removed at a later stage. Accordingly, we initiated our investigations by protecting the primary hydroxyl group in 10, which was prepared according to a known procedure, to give 11 (Scheme 2).¹⁰ Bromination of the protected indolyl ethanol with Nbromosuccinimide (NBS) selectively afforded the 2-bromoindole derivative 12 in 78% yield. It should be noted that dibromination of the indole ring, which is the major side reaction in this step, could be suppressed by slow addition of NBS to a solution of 11. Treatment of 12 with NaHMDS followed by p-toluenesulfonyl chloride cleanly provided the tosyl-protected indolyl bromide 13 in 81% yield. Subsequent Suzuki-type cross coupling of 13 with trivinylboroxane (14) delivered the 2-vinylindole 15, which underwent facile fluoride-induced deprotection of the TBDPS group to furnish 16 in 90% yield.

(10) Campos, K. R.; Woo, J. C. S.; Lee, S.; Tillyer, R. D. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 79–82.

Scheme 2

The next step of the synthesis required preparation of the amine 7 (Scheme 3). Bisalkylations of the imine anion derived from 17 have been reported to provide geminally dialkylated glycine derivatives. 11 Accordingly, the commercially available glycine Schiff base 17, which can be easily prepared, ¹² was subjected to reaction with 2 equiv of phenylvinyl sulfoxide and a stoichiometric amount of K₂CO₃ according to a literature procedure. However, the monoalkylated compound was obtained as the only product in 80% yield. Because this compound could not be further transformed to 18 by resubjection to these reaction conditions, a modified protocol was developed. We eventually discovered that when a stoichiometric amount of t-BuOK was employed as the base under phase-transfer conditions, the desired bisalkylated product 18 could be isolated in 86% yield. Hydrolysis of the diphenylimine moiety was achieved by stirring 18 in THF in the presence of aqueous HCl at room temperature for 1 h to give the requisite amine 7 in 89% yield.

Scheme 3

With the alcohol **16** and amine **7** in hand, the secondary amine **19** was readily prepared in 89% yield over two steps by sequential oxidation of **16** to the corresponding aldehyde with 2-iodoxybenzoic acid (IBX) and reductive amination with **7** in the presence of NaBH(OAc)₃ (Scheme 4). Amine **19** was then heated in a microwave reactor to induce

Org. Lett., Vol. 13, No. 19, 2011

⁽⁶⁾ For some leading examples of enantioselective RCM, see: (a) Dolman, S. J.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 4899–4902. (b) Funk, T. W.; Berlin, J. M.; Grubbs, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 1840–1846. (c) Lee, A.; Malcomson, S. J.; Puglisi, A.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 5153–5157. (d) Sattely, E. S.; Meek, S. J.; Malcomson, S. J.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 943–953. (e) Tiede, S.; Berger, A.; Schlesiger, D.; Rost, D.; Lühl, A.; Blechert, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 3972–3975.

⁽⁷⁾ For an example of a RCM of a tetraene, see: Wallace, D. J.; Cowden, C. J.; Kennedy, D. J.; Ashwood, M. S.; Cottrell, I. F.; Dolling, U.-H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 2027–2029.

⁽⁸⁾ For reviews of applications of RCM to the synthesis of heterocycles, see: (a) Deiters, A.; Martin, S. F. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 2199–2238. (b) Compain, P. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, *349*, 1829–1846.

^{(9) (}a) Martin, S. F.; Liao, Y.; Wong, Y.; Rein, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 691–694. (b) Fellows, I. M.; Kaelin, D. E., Jr.; Martin, S. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10781–10787. (c) Humphrey, J. M.; Liao, Y.; Ali, A.; Rein, T.; Wong, Y.-L.; Chen, H.-J.; Courtney, A. K.; Martin, S. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 8584–8592. (d) Washburn, D. G.; Heidebrecht, R. W., Jr.; Martin, S. F. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3523–3525. (e) Neipp, C. E.; Martin, S. F. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8867–8878. (f) Brenneman, J. B.; Machauer, R.; Martin, S. F. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 7301–7314. (g) Andrade, R. B.; Martin, S. F. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5733–5735. (h) Deiters, A.; Pettersson, M.; Martin, S. F. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6547–6561. (i) Kummer, D. A.; Brenneman, J. B.; Martin, S. F. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 11437–11449. (j) Simila, S. T. M.; Martin, S. F. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5342–5349. (k) Deck, J. A.; Martin, S. F. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2610–2613.

⁽¹¹⁾ Opio, J. O.; Labidalle, S.; Galons, H; Micoque, M. Synth. Commun. 1991, 21, 1743–1754.

^{(12) (}a) O'Donnell, M. J.; Polt, R. L. J. Org. Chem. **1982**, 47, 2663–2666. (b) Danner, P.; Bauer, M.; Phukan, P.; Maier, M. E. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2005**, 317–325.

pyrolytic elimination and afford the key intermediate triene 20 in 69% yield.

Scheme 4

With a view toward preparing lundurine A (1), which has an unsaturated lactam moiety in the five-membered ring, triene 20 was treated with crotonyl chloride in CH₂Cl₂ in the presence of Et₃N to deliver the tetraene 21 in 72% yield (Scheme 5). Unfortunately, when 21 was heated with Grubbs I catalyst in refluxing CH₂Cl₂ or benzene or at various temperatures with microwave heating, none of the desired tetracyclic compound 22 was isolated. Grubbs II catalyst was also examined as a catalyst as were other RCM catalysts, including the Schrock catalyst and the Stewart–Grubbs catalyst, 13 but none of these afforded detectable amounts of 22. Use of Grubbs II catalyst in refluxing benzene provided small amounts of a tricyclic compound having an eight-membered ring, perhaps arising from initial loading of the catalyst onto the vinylindole moiety, but further experiments were not pursued because of the low yields. We hypothesized that an unreactive metal-carbene chelate involving the amide oxygen atom

Scheme 5

was formed that shut down the catalytic cycle and precluded an RCM.¹⁴ Toward obviating this potential problem, we conducted the RCM in the presence of $Ti(O-i-Pr)_4$ (30 mol %), ^{14b} albeit to no avail.

Reasoning that the tertiary amine derived from 20 might not suffer the same fate, we turned our attention to a synthesis of lundurine B, which lacks a lactam function in the five-membered ring. Accordingly, the tetraene 23 was prepared in excellent yield by allylation of 20 with allyl bromide (Scheme 6). Grubbs I, Grubbs II, Hoveyda-Grubbs II, and Schrock catalysts all promoted the RCM cyclization of 23, but the yields of 24 were best using the less reactive Grubbs I catalyst. Grubbs I, Grubbs II, and Schrock catalysts promoted the cyclization of 24 to 25, but the cyclization with the former gave the best yield. Increasing the catalyst loading or using the hydrochloride salt of either 23 or 24, a tactic that sometimes proves advantageous for cyclizations of bis-olefinic, tertiary amines, 8b did not improve the yield. Although 25 could also be obtained in a one-pot procedure using Grubbs I catalyst, the overall yield was not as high.

Scheme 6

With the tetracycle 25 in hand, we turned our attention to its conversion to lundurine B (2). Catalytic hydrogenation of 25 (Pd/C, 1 atm H₂) gave 26 by

5106 Org. Lett., Vol. 13, No. 19, 2011

⁽¹³⁾ Stewart, I. C.; Ung, T.; Pletnev, A. A.; Berlin, J. M.; Grubbs, R. H.; Schrodi, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 1589–1592.

^{(14) (}a) Grubbs, R. H.; Miller, S. J.; Fu, G. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 1995, 28, 446–452. (b) Furstner, A.; Langemann, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 9130–9136. (c) Arisawa, M.; Nishida, A.; Nakagawa, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 5109–5121.

highly selective reduction of the double bond in the eight-membered ring (Scheme 7); no reduction of the olefin in the five-membered ring was observed under these conditions. Subsequent saponification of 26 with K_2CO_3 in $MeOH/H_2O$ (2:1) at 80 °C provided acid 27 in 93% yield.

Preliminary experiments to convert the acid 27 into diazoketone 28 have been unsuccessful. For example, sequential treatment of 27 with isobutyl chloroformate at -20 °C and then diazomethane at 0 °C according to Wardrop's protocol¹⁵ did not provide **28**. Attempts to activate the carboxyl function using thionyl chloride or oxalyl chloride under mild conditions followed by reaction with diazomethane were also unsuccessful. Mixtures of starting material, unidentifiable products, and small amounts of a side product that appeared to be the pyrrole 29 were typically isolated. The pyrrole 29 is presumably formed by decarbonylation of the activated carboxylic acid moiety, giving an iminium intermediate that tautomerizes to give the pyrrole. It has been shown that activation of tertiary amino acids may lead to the formation of iminium ions via decarbonylation, but reported conditions that result in such fragmentations are typically more forcing. 16,17

In summary, we have developed a novel route to the tetracyclic framework of lundurine B (2). Notable features of the approach are a high-yielding reductive amination of a 2-vinylindole-3-acetaldehyde derived from alcohol 16 with a geminally substituted glycine derivative 7, followed by a thermal elimination of phenylsulfoxide groups

Scheme 7

to access a prochiral divinyl moiety in **20** and a two-step RCM procedure to form the five-and eight-membered rings of the lundurine skeleton. We are currently exploring several other approaches to the lundurines that will enable the RCM with a chiral catalyst and that will avoid the problematic steps to generate a diazoketone. The results of those investigations will be disclosed in due course.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Institutes of Health (GM 25439 and GM 31077) and the Robert A. Welch Foundation (F-0652) for their generous support of this work. M.N. is grateful to the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) for a postdoctoral fellowship. We also thank Mr. Yuichi Yasuhara (Kyoto University) for help in preparing starting materials. We are also grateful to Dr. Richard Pederson (Materia, Inc.) for providing metathesis catalysts used in these studies.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures, spectral data, and copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for key compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Org. Lett., Vol. 13, No. 19, 2011 5107

⁽¹⁵⁾ Wardrop, D. J.; Forslund, R. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 737–739.

⁽¹⁶⁾ For example, see: (a) Dean, R. T.; Padgett, H. C.; Rapoport, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1976**, 98, 7448–7449. (b) Martin, S. F.; Barr, K. J.; Smith, D. W.; Bur, S. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, 121, 6990–6997.

⁽¹⁷⁾ For example, see: (a) van Tamelen, E. E.; Oliver, L. K. *Bioorg. Chem.* **1976**, *5*, 309–326. (b) Ambra, T. E. D.; Estep, K. G.; Bell, M. R.; Eissenstat, M. A.; Josef, K. A.; Ward, S. J.; Haycock, D. A.; Baizman, E. R.; Casiano, F. M.; Beglin, N. C.; Chippari, S. M.; Grego, J. D.; Kullnig, R. K.; Daley, G. T. *J. Med. Chem.* **1992**, *35*, 124–135.