Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl



Discovery of a novel class of PPARδ partial agonists

Barry G. Shearer^{a,*}, Hari S. Patel^a, Andrew N. Billin^b, James M. Way^b, Deborah A. Winegar^c, Millard H. Lambert^d, Robert X. Xu^d, Lisa M. Leesnitzer^e, Raymond V. Merrihew^e, Stephane Huet^f, Timothy M. Willson^g

^a Department of Metabolic Chemistry, Metabolic Diseases Centre of Excellence for Drug Discovery, GlaxoSmithKline, 5 Moore Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA ^b Discovery Technology Group, Molecular Discovery Research, GlaxoSmithKline, 5 Moore Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA

^c Department of Metabolic Diseases, GlaxoSmithKline, 5 Moore Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA

^d Department of Computational & Structural Chemistry, Molecular Discovery Research, GlaxoSmithKline, 5 Moore Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA

e Department of Screening & Compound Profiling, Molecular Discovery Research, GlaxoSmithKline, 5 Moore Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA

^f Department of Biology, Cardiovascular and Urogenital Centre of Excellence for Drug Discovery, GlaxoSmithKline, 25-27 Avenue du Quebec, 91951 Les Ulis, France

^g Discovery Medicinal Chemistry, Molecular Discovery Research, GlaxoSmithKline, 5 Moore Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 June 2008 Revised 4 August 2008 Accepted 5 August 2008 Available online 9 August 2008

Keywords: PPARd Partial agonist CPT1a PDK4

ABSTRACT

Anthranilic acid GW9371 was identified as a novel class of PPAR₀ partial agonist through high-throughput screening. The design and synthesis of SAR analogues is described. GSK1115 and GSK7227 show potent partial agonism of the PPARo target genes CPT1a and PDK4 in skeletal muscle cells.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPARs) are important members of the nuclear receptor superfamily. These receptors are ligand activated transcription factors known to play a key role in the catabolism and storage of dietary fats. Three receptor subtypes, PPAR α , PPAR γ , and PPAR δ , exhibiting distinct tissue expressions have been identified, and represent attractive therapeutic targets with promising clinical potential.¹ The fibrate class of drugs including clofibrate, fenofibrate, and bezafibrate are known PPAR α activators that have been used for decades in the clinic as hypolipodemic agents.² PPARy agonists enhance insulin sensitivity, and lower plasma glucose and fatty acid levels.³ Rosiglitazone (Avandia) and pioglitazone (Actos) are PPAR γ agonists currently used for the clinical treatment of type 2 diabetes. PPAR δ remains the least understood PPAR subtype and currently no marketed PPAR δ drugs exist. However, evidence implicating PPAR δ as a key regulator of lipid homeostasis and glucose disposal is growing.⁴ The PPARδ agonist GW501516 has been shown to improve insulin resistance and reduce plasma glucose in rodent models of type 2 diabetes and correct the metabolic syndrome in obese primates.⁵ Most notably, it has also been recently shown that GW501516 reduces serum triglycerides and prevents the decrease of HDL-c and apoA-1 levels in sedentary human volunteers.⁶ These positive results suggest that PPAR δ is a promising target for the novel treatment of metabolic diseases.

The development of selective small molecule regulators of the PPAR family has been instrumental in deciphering the biological pathways regulated by these receptors. A number of PPAR agonists have been described in the literature. The availability of PPARo specific compounds, however, is limited. Recently, a selective PPAR^δ partial agonist was reported to correct plasma lipid parameters and improve insulin sensitivity in a high fat fed ApoB100/CETP-Tgn mouse model, highlighting the therapeutic potential of PPARδ partial agonists as a novel treatment of dyslipidemia.⁷ Additional chemical tool compounds with a range of functional activity profiles are still needed to further elucidate the biological roles of PPARδ and provide new insights into potential therapeutic utilities.

We recently reported a novel selective PPAR_δ antagonist ligand for use as a research tool.⁸ As part of our continuing efforts to identify additional PPAR δ tool compounds, we executed a highthroughput screen of our compound collection. Anthranilic acid GW9371 was identified as a chemically unique PPAR^δ ligand. Structurally, GW9371 resembles the anthranilic acid BVT.762 (Fig. 1) reported by Biovitrum as a PPAR α/γ partial agonist.^{9,10} **GW937**1, however, has a distinct PPAR^δ selectivity profile.

The PPAR δ binding affinity and subtype selectivity was measured in an in vitro ligand displacement assay.¹¹ GW9371 is a potent and selective PPAR δ ligand (pIC₅₀ = 6.9) without appreciable

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 919 483 9860; fax: +1 919 483 0430. E-mail address: barry.g.shearer@gsk.com (B.G. Shearer).



Figure 1. Structure of anthranilic acid-derived PPAR ligands.

affinity for either PPAR α or PPAR γ (pIC₅₀'s < 5). The functional PPAR δ activity of **GW9371** was assessed in a standard Gal4 chimera cell-based reporter assay,¹² and it was found to be a weak partial agonist (pEC₅₀ = 5.9,% max = 61 compared to full agonist **GW501516**).

Using **GW9371** as a lead, we initiated an effort to develop a novel series of PPAR_δ partial agonists. Most PPAR agonists have an acidic group and previous ligand bound crystal structures¹³ have shown that the acidic group usually forms hydrogen bonds with Tyr473 (in the AF2 helix), His323, and/or His449 (all residue numbers given in PPAR γ numbering). Partial agonists sometimes bind differently, and **BVT.762** binds to PPAR γ with its carboxylate directed away from the AF2 helix, forming a hydrogen bond instead with the backbone NH of Ser342.¹⁰ In an effort to understand the key receptor binding interactions of our anthranilic acid template with PPARô, GW9371 was co-crystallized with the ligand binding domain (LBD) of the hPPAR δ receptor. The crystal structure, solved at 2.9 Å resolution (R = 21%, $R_{free} = 24\%$, PDB code 3DY6), shows that the GW9371 anthranilate group binds similarly to BVT.762 between helix-3 and the β-sheet, with the carboxylate directed away from the AF2 helix (Fig. 2). The carboxylate makes a possible hydrogen bond with Thr288 (2.8 Å O-O distance), and makes additional interactions with the side chains of Arg284 and Trp264, and the backbone NH of Ala342 with O-H distances of 4.2, 4.6 and 4.2 Å, respectively. Thr288 is conserved in PPAR α but not in PPAR γ , while Arg284 and Trp264 are unique to PPARδ. All three of these side chain interactions are different from those in the PPARy/BVT.762 structure, and tend to promote PPAR^δ selectivity. The carboxylate is further stabilized by an intramolecular hydrogen bond with the ligand amide NH group, which serves to rigidify the core structure within the binding pocket. The sulfonamide adopts a conformation that directs the tetrahydroisoquinoline group toward Tyr473, His323 and His449 (heavy atom distances of 3.2, 4.1, and 3.4 Å, respectively). With the acid binding site occupied by the lipophilic tetrahydroisoquinoline group, Tyr473 shifts slightly to make hydrogen bonds with His323 and His449. The X-ray structure reveals a small vacant lipophilic pocket below the anthranilic acid headgroup with a second narrow lipophilic pocket available near the tetrahydroisoquinoline region.

The structure of **GW3971** bound within the PPARδ LBD suggests that the potency of this series could be improved by optimizing the interactions within one or both of the vacant lipophilic pockets. In addition, we surmised that appropriate structural modifications to the tetrahydroisoquinoline fragment could be designed to interact with the AF-2 helix and influence the activity profile. Using the PPAR δ bound structure of **GW9371** as a guide, we synthesized a series of compounds to explore the SAR of this new class of PPAR δ ligand. Our initial efforts focused on the anthranilic acid region. The synthesis of these compounds is detailed in Scheme 1. The coupling reaction of commercially available 3-(chlorosulfonyl)benzoic acid with 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroiso-quinoline in acetone provided sulfonamide 1. Refluxing intermediate 1 in thionyl chloride followed by reaction of the crude acid chloride with substituted anthranilic esters and saponification gave the final targets 2-9 in good yields.



Figure 2. Crystal structure of GW9371 bound to the ligand binding domain of PPARδ, PDB code 3DY6. Carbon atoms in the ligand, PPARδ, and the PPARδ AF2 are shown in green, light blue, and pink, respectively. Nitrogen, oxygen, and sulfur are shown in dark blue, red, and yellow, respectively. The PPARδ backbone is depicted with a tube, colored pink for the AF2 helix.



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, Et₃N, acetone; (b) thionyl chloride, reflux; (c) substituted methyl anthranilate, DIEA, CHCl₃, 45 °C; (d) NaOH, THF/H₂O, 50 °C.

The ability of these compounds to bind to each of the PPAR subtypes was measured in vitro in a ligand displacement assay.¹¹ Functional PPAR δ activity was assessed in a standard cell-based Gal4 chimera reporter assay.¹² These results are summarized in Table 1. Data for PPAR^δ agonist GW501516 (GW1516) are included for comparison. Substitution of the anthranilic acid ring had significant effects upon potency. Placement of a substituent ortho to either the carboxylic acid (2, $R^1 = Me$) or nitrogen (9, $R^4 = Me$) resulted in inactive compounds. Based upon the PPAR⁸ bound structure of GW9371, placement of the methyl group ortho to the acid as in compound 2 creates unfavorable steric interactions with the receptor, most notably with the indole ring of Trp264 and to a lesser extent with a side chain carbon of Arg284. Movement of the receptor to relieve the unfavorable steric interaction between the methyl substituent and Trp264 would weaken any hydrogen bonding interaction between the acid group of compound **2** and the indole NH group thereby reducing binding affinity. In the case of compound **9**, placement of a methyl group ortho to the amide group does not appear to create detrimental steric interactions with any receptor residues. However, significant steric interaction between the methyl substituent and the adjacent amide oxygen could force the amide considerably out of the plane of the anthranilic acid ring. Ultimately, this would disrupt the intramolecular hydrogen bond between the amide NH and adjacent carboxylic

 Table 1

 Human PPAR binding and functional potency of substituted anthranilic acids^a

Compound	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	R ³	\mathbb{R}^4	hPPAR binding pIC ₅₀ ^a			hPPAR _δ reporter		
					α	γ	δ	pEC ₅₀	% Max	
GW1516	_	_	_	_	5.7	5.2	8.3	8.5	98	
GW9371	Н	Н	Н	Н	<5	5.1	6.9	5.9	61	
2	Me	Н	Н	Н	<5	<5	<5	<5	_	
3	Н	Me	Н	Н	5.0	5.3	7.9	6.7	51	
4	Н	Cl	Н	Н	5.3	5.4	8.1	6.9	53	
5	Н	Br	Н	Н	5.4	5.6	8.1	6.7	48	
6	Н	F	Н	Н	5.2	5.2	7.6	6.3	50	
7	Н	CF ₃	Н	Н	5.8	5.5	8.3	6.8	55	
8	Н	Н	Br	Н	5.3	5.4	8.3	6.8	51	
9	Н	Н	Н	Me	<5	<5	<5	<5	_	

^a Values had a standard deviation of $\leq 10\%$ ($n \geq 3$).

acid and twist the phenyl rings away from the shape that fits within the binding pocket.

Substitution of the anthranilic acid with small substituents meta or para to the carboxylic acid led to a significant increase in PPAR^δ binding affinity as predicted by the ligand bound crystal structure of GW9371. All of these compounds were at least 10-fold more potent than **GW9371**, with compounds **7** and **8** exhibiting binding affinities equipotent to the full agonist GW501516 $(pIC_{50} = 8.3)$. All of the compounds in this series are functional partial agonists in the reporter assay with measured efficacies less than 55% versus GW501516 at saturation. The functional potencies of these compounds are approximately 10-fold weaker than their corresponding binding affinities. This trend is similar to the result observed with GW9371. The potency differences observed between the binding and cell-based functional assay may be due to various factors. For example, the cell penetration properties of a compound can influence cell-based assay results. In addition, PPAR activity is known to be influenced by a number of factors other than ligand binding including the presence of coactivator and corepressor proteins, which are absent in the binding assay.¹⁴

We next investigated the tetrahydroisoquinoline region of the series. Based upon the crystal structure of **GW3971** bound to the PPAR δ ligand binding domain, this region of the molecule binds in the vicinity of the AF-2 helix and the incorporation of additional substituents could potentially enhance binding and induce conformational changes that influence functional activity. A limited number of compounds were synthesized according to Scheme 2. Intermediate **10** was prepared in modest yield (58%) by heating

3-(chlorosulfonyl)benzoyl chloride and methyl 5-chloro anthraniliate in toluene. Reaction of intermediate **10** with various substituted tetrahydroisoquinolines followed by saponification readily provided the desired final targets.

The binding potency and PPAR^δ functional activity of these substituted tetrahydroisoquinoline analogues is summarized in Table 2. Substitution of the aromatic tetrahydroisoquinoline ring produced analogues with binding affinities and functional activity profiles similar to the unsubstituted analogue 4. The placement of a hydroxy or carboxylic acid group at R¹ in an attempt to interact with the AF-2 helix maintained binding affinity, but failed to improve functional potency as evidenced by compounds 12 and 13. The alkoxyacetic acid analogue 14 extends the carboxylic acid group further from the tetrahydroisoquinoline ring. This modification resulted in little effect on binding potency. However, no functional activity was observed with this analogue. The lack of cellbased activity may be due to poor cell penetration stemming from the physical properties of a diacid compound. Alternatively, this modification may have shifted the analogues efficacy below the sensitivity of the functional assay ($\sim 20\%$).

The failure to improve functional activity through structural modifications of the tetrahydroisoquinoline group may be influenced by the conformational flexibility of the tetrahydroisoquinoline ring system. Adding rigidity to this region of the molecule could provide conformations that lead to increased ligand-receptor interactions and enhance functional potency. In an effort to explore this hypothesis, we replaced the tetrahydroisoquinoline ring with substituted indoles to reduce conformational flexibility.

Our indole sulfonamide analogues were prepared according to the synthetic route described in Scheme 3. An appropriately substituted anthranilic ester was converted to sulfonyl chloride intermediate **19** as described for intermediate **10** in Scheme 2. Reaction of sulfonyl chloride **19** with substituted indoles using cesium carbonate in refluxing THF provided the desired sulfonamide intermediates. Alternatively, using BEMP in CH₃CN at room temperature provided milder and more efficient reaction conditions for the synthesis of the sulfonamide intermediates.¹⁵ Finally, saponification with aqueous LiOH in THF at 50 °C provided the final targets **20– 36**. Yields for the saponification reaction varied and were often low due to cleavage of the sulfonamide. We found that the methyl

Table 2

Human PPAR binding and functional potency of substituted tetrahydroisoquinoline analogues^a



Compound	R ¹	R ²	R ³	\mathbb{R}^4	hPPAR binding pIC ₅₀ ª			hPPARð reporter	
					α	γ	δ	pEC ₅₀	% Max
GW1516	_	_	_	_	5.7	5.2	8.3	8.5	98
4	Н	Н	Н	Н	5.3	5.4	8.1	6.9	53
11	OMe	Н	Н	Н	5.1	5.3	7.9	5.9	63
12	OH	Н	Н	Н	<5	5.3	7.7	5.7	45
13	CO ₂ H	Н	Н	Н	5.4	5.1	8.4	5.9	37
14	OCH ₂ CO ₂ H	Н	Н	Н	5.3	5.1	8.0	<5	-
15	Н	OMe	Н	Н	5.0	5.2	8.0	6.1	48
16	Н	Н	F	Н	5.2	5.5	7.8	6.0	50
17	Н	Н	Cl	Н	5.1	5.4	7.6	6.1	42
18	Н	Н	Cl	Me	5.0	5.3	7.8	6.5	54

^a Values had a standard deviation of $\leq 10\%$ ($n \geq 3$).



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) methyl 5-chloro anthranilate, toluene, 100 °C; (b) substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, DIEA, CHCl₃, rt; (c) NaOH, THF/H₂O.



20-36

Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) substituted 5-chloro anthranilate, toluene, 100 °C; (b) substituted indole, Cs₂CO₃, THF, 80 °C or BEMP, CH₃CN, rt; (c) NaOH, THF/H₂O, 50 °C or Lil, pyridine, 120 °C, microwave, 10 min.

ester compounds could be readily converted to the desired carboxylic acid analogues in excellent yields using Lil in pyridine¹⁶ with heating in a microwave at 120 °C for 10 min.

The ability of the indole sulfonamide analogues to bind and functionally activate hPPAR δ in cells is summarized in Table 3. All compounds exhibited potent and selective affinity for PPAR δ with the significant majority exhibiting plC₅₀ values \geq 7.3. Unlike the tetrahydroisoquinoline analogues, these compounds generally possess functional potencies in the cell-based assay consistent with their binding affinities. Substitution of the indole ring had small effects on the PPAR δ binding affinity but did influence functional potency and efficacy. For example, monosubstitution of the indole ring at the C4 position (R¹) gave analogues with slightly higher efficacies in the cell-based assay as evidenced by compounds **20–22** and **33**. Substitution at the C5 position (R²) is also well tolerated with functional efficacies slightly lower than the

Table 3

Human PPAR binding and functional potency of substituted indole sulfonamide analogues $^{\rm a}$



Compound	х	R ¹	R ²	R ³	R ⁴	hPPAR binding pIC ₅₀ ^a			hPPARð reporter		
						α	γ	δ	pEC ₅₀	% Max	
20	Me	Me	Н	Н	Н	6.0	5.7	8.2	7.7	42	
21	Me	Br	Н	Н	Н	5.9	5.9	8.0	7.9	45	
22	Me	OMe	Н	Н	Н	5.9	5.8	8.0	7.4	44	
23	Me	Н	Me	Н	Н	6.0	5.6	8.0	7.5	34	
24	Me	Н	Cl	Н	Н	5.7	5.8	7.7	7.3	27	
25	Me	Н	OMe	Н	Н	5.1	5.0	6.8	6.3	31	
26	Me	Н	Н	Me	Н	5.6	5.6	8.0	7.3	37	
27	Me	Н	Н	Cl	Н	5.5	5.7	7.2	6.7	33	
28	Me	Н	Н	OMe	Н	5.4	5.1	7.5	7.0	35	
29	Me	Н	Н	Н	Me	5.8	6.0	8.4	7.1	47	
30	Me	Н	Н	Н	Cl	5.5	6.1	7.8	6.8	45	
31	Me	Н	Н	Н	OMe	<5	5.3	7.3	6.2	37	
32	Me	Me	OMe	Н	Н	5.5	5.5	7.7	7.9	33	
33	Cl	OMe	Н	Н	Н	6.1	5.7	8.2	6.8	49	
34	Cl	Н	OMe	Н	Н	5.5	5.4	7.9	6.8	29	
35	Cl	Н	Н	OMe	Н	5.8	5.6	7.9	7.0	32	
36	Cl	Me	OMe	Н	Н	5.5	5.5	7.8	7.8	35	

^a Values had a standard deviation of $\leq 10\%$ ($n \geq 3$).



C4 substituted analogues. The placement of substituents at either C6 or C7 of the indole ring as exemplified by analogues **26–31** did not significantly affect binding potency. However, the placement of substituents at C7 (\mathbb{R}^4) produced compounds with appreciably lower functional potencies relative to binding affinity. This may be the result of reduced interactions of the indole ring with the AF-2 helix arising from conformational changes of the ligand induced by unfavorable steric interactions between the \mathbb{R}^4 substituents and the sulfonamide group. For the indole series, the C7 substituted analogues **32** (**GSK7227**) and **36** (**GSK1115**) represent the most potent and selective compounds in this series with pEC₅₀ values of 7.9 (% max = 33) and 7.8 (% max = 35), respectively.

CSK7227 and **CSK1115** were studied for their effects on the expression of two important PPAR δ -regulated genes in human skeletal muscle cells as previously described.⁸ The target gene CPT1a is a key regulator of fatty acid β -oxidation in skeletal muscle cells.¹⁷ PDK4 plays a key role in skeletal muscle metabolism by contributing to the regulation of glucose metabolism.¹⁸ **GW0742** is a full PPAR δ agonist that robustly induces the target genes CPT1a and PDK4 (Fig 3). Both anthranilic acid analogues **CSK7227** and **CSK1115** induce these same target genes, but with reduced efficacy compared to the full agonist. All of the compounds were exceptionally potent, yielding maximal activity at the 10 nM dose. Thus, these two new PPAR δ ligands act as potent partial agonists on endogenous PPAR δ target gene expression consistent with their profiles in the cell-based reporter assay.

In this disclosure, we have described the identification of a novel class of PPAR δ ligand. Compounds with potent and selective partial PPAR δ transcriptional activity in a cell-based reporter assay have been detailed. Two compounds, **GSK1115** and **GSK7227**, have been shown to affect partial induction of key PPAR δ -regulated target genes in skeletal muscle cells and represent useful tool compounds for the further elucidation of PPAR δ biology.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Lisa M. Shewchuk for additional refinement of the crystal structure.

References and notes

- 1. Berger, J.; Moller, D. E. Annu. Rev. Med. 2002, 3, 409.
- Staels, B.; Dallongeville, J.; Auwerx, J.; Schoonjans, K.; Leitersdorf, E.; Furchart, J.-C. Circulation 1998, 98, 2088.

- 3. Spiegleman, B. M.; Flier, J. S. Cell 1996, 87, 377.
- 4. Reilly, S. M.; Lee, C.-H. FEBS Lett. 2008, 582, 26.
- Oliver, W. R., Jr.; Shenk, J. L.; Snaith, M. R.; Russell, C. S.; Plunket, K. D.; Bodkin, N. L.; Lewis, M. C.; Winegar, D. A.; Sznaidman, M. L.; Lambert, M. H.; Xu, H. E.; Sternbach, D. D.; Kliewer, S. A.; Hansen, B. C.; Willson, T. M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 2001, 98, 5306.
- Sprecher, D. L.; Massien, C.; Pearce, G.; Billin, A. N.; Perlstein, I.; Willson, T. M.; Hassall, D. G.; Ancellin, N.; Patterson, S. D.; Lobe, D. C.; Johnson, T. G. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 2007, 27, 359.
- Sauerberg, P.; Olsen, G. S.; Jeppesen, L.; Mogensen, J. P.; Pettersson, I.; Jeppesen, C. B.; Daugaard, J. R.; Galsgaard, E. D.; Ynddal, L.; Fleckner, J.; Panajotova, V.; Polivka, Z.; Pihera, P.; Havranek, M.; Wulff, E. M. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 1495.
- Shearer, B. G.; Steger, D. J.; Way, J. M.; Stanley, T. B.; Lobe, D. C.; Grillot, D. A.; Iannone, M. A.; Lazar, M. A.; Willson, T. M.; Billin, A. N. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **2008**, *22*, 523.
- Thor, M.; Beirlein, K.; Dykes, G.; Gustavsson, A.-L.; Heidrich, J.; Jendeberg, L.; Lindqvist, B.; Pegurier, C.; Roussel, P.; Slater, M.; Svensson, S.; Sydow-Backman, M.; Thornstrom, U.; Uppenberg, J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2002**, *12*, 3565.
- Ostberg, T.; Svensson, S.; Selen, G.; Uppenberg, J.; Thor, M.; Sundbom, M.; Sydow-Backman, M.; Gustavsson, A.-L.; Jendeberg, L. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 41124.

- Brown, P. J.; Smith-Oliver, T. A.; Charifson, P. S.; Tomkinson, N. C. O.; Fivush, A. M.; Sternbach, D. D.; Wade, L. E.; Orband-Miller, L.; Parks, D. J.; Blanchard, S. G.; Kliewer, S. A.; Lehmann, J. M.; Willson, T. M. *Chem. Biol.* **1997**, *4*, 909.
- Henke, B. R.; Blanchard, S. G.; Brackeen, M. F.; Brown, K. K.; Cobb, J. E.; Collins, J. L.; Harrington, W. W., Jr.; Hashim, M. A.; Hull-Ryde, E. A.; Kaldor, I.; Kliewer, S. A.; Lake, D. H.; Leesnitzer, L. M.; Lehman, J. M.; Lenhard, J. M.; Orband-Miller, L. A.; Miller, J. F.; Mook, R. A., Jr.; Noble, S. A.; Oliver, W., Jr.; Parks, D. J.; Plunket, K. D.; Szewczyk, J. R.; Willson, T. M. J. Med. Chem. **1998**, *41*, 5020.
- Xu, H. E.; Lambert, M. H.; Montana, V. G.; Plunket, K. D.; Moore, L. B.; Oplinger, J. A.; Kliewer, S. A.; Gampe, R. T., Jr.; McKee, D. D.; Moore, J. T.; Willson, T. M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2001**, *98*, 13919.
- (a) Puigserver, P.; Wu, Z.; Park, C. W.; Graves, R.; Wright, M.; Spiegelman, B. M. *Cell* **1998**, *92*, 829; (b) Zhu, Y.; Qi, C.; Calandra, C.; Rao, M.; Sambasiva, R.; Janardan, K. *Gene Express*. **1996**, *6*, 185; (c) Direnzo, J.; Soderstrom, M.; Kurokawa, R.; Ogliastro, M.-H.; Ricote, M.; Ingrey, S.; Horlein, A.; Rosenfeld, M. G.; Glass, C. K. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1997**, *17*, 2166.
- Xu, W.; Mohan, R.; Morrissey, M. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1998, 8, 1089.
 (a) Borch, R. F.; Grudzinskas, C. V.; Peterson, D. A.; Weber, L. D. J. Org. Chem.
- 1972, 37, 1141; (b) Meinwald, J.; Putzig, D. E. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 1891.
- 17. Takahashi, S.; Tanaka, T.; Kodama, T.; Sakai, J. Pharmacol. Res. 2006, 53, 517.
- 18. Sugden, M. C.; Holness, M. J. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 2003, 284, E855.