

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Chinese Chemical Letters 21 (2010) 1153-1156



www.elsevier.com/locate/cclet

Design and synthesis of novel cytotoxic podophyllotoxin derivatives

Wen Li Xi^a, Qian Cai^a, Yan Bo Tang^b, Hua Sun^b, Zhi Yan Xiao^{b,*}

^a School of Pharmacy, Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Dalian 116600, China

^b Key Laboratory of Bioactive Substances and Resources Utilization of Chinese Herbal Medicine, Ministry of Education & Institute of Materia

Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100050, China

Received 25 January 2010

Abstract

In order to investigate the effect of different C4 linkage moieties on the cytotoxicity of podophyllotoxin derivatives, novel 4-*N*and 4-*C*-substituted 4'-*O*-demethylepipodophyllotoxin derivatives were designed and synthesized. All the compounds were tested against A549 and MCF-7 tumor cells *in vitro*, and six compounds showed significant cytotoxicity. The most active compound **9f** was superior to GL-331, and exhibited potent cytotoxicity with IC_{50} value at 10^{-7} mol/L level.

© 2010 Zhi Yan Xiao. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Chinese Chemical Society. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Podophyllotoxin; C4-derivation; Cytotoxicity

Podophyllotoxin 1 is a bioactive lignan isolated from the roots of *Podophyllum peltatum* L (Fig. 1). Although the therapeutic application of podophyllotoxin is limited to topical use due to its high toxicity, its semisynthetic derivatives Etoposide 2 and Teniposide 3 have been widely used as important anticancer drugs in clinic, which has prompted extensive structural modification, particularly at the C4 position of podophyllotoxin. As highlights, C4 derivatives, GL-331 4 and TOP-53 5, have displayed unique antitumor spectra and reached clinical trials [1].

Previous structure–activity relationship (SAR) indicated that bulky groups are well-tolerated at C4 and the moiety immediate to C4 would affect the antitumor spectra of podophyllotoxin derivatives significantly [1]. Although former modification has provided potent derivatives with both 4-N- (*e.g.* GL-331) and 4-C- (*e.g.* TOP-53) substitution, the effect of different C4 linkages on the pharmacological profiles of **1**-derivatives is still to be clarified. Accordingly, we designed two series of novel derivatives with C4 linkage units of either *p*-aminobenzamido or amido, which were extended with bulky tails to optimize the antitumor activity and incorporated with tertiary amino groups to improve the bioavailability profile of the target compounds.

4'-Demethylepipodophyllotoxin (DMEP, 6) was synthesized from 1 stereoselectively through successive 4'demethylation, 4-iodination, and nucleophilic substitution [2]. The intermediates 7 and 8 were prepared from DMEP as previously reported [3,4]. Briefly, DMEP was subjected to nucleophilic displacement by *p*-aminobenzoic acid and trimethylsilyl cyanide to provide 7 and 11 respectively, and 11 was then readily hydrolyzed to the carboxylic acid 8. 7 and 8 were subsequently condensed with appropriate amines in the presence of 2-(1H-7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-1,1,3,3tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU) and *N*,*N*-diisopropylethylamine (DIEA) to yield target compounds

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: xiaoz@imm.ac.cn (Z.Y. Xiao).

^{1001-8417/\$-}see front matter © 2010 Zhi Yan Xiao. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Chinese Chemical Society. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.cclet.2010.03.040



Fig. 1. Structures of Podophyllotoxin 1, Etoposide 2, Teniposide 3, GL-331 4, and TOP-53 5.



Scheme 1. Conditions and reagents: (a) $MeSO_3H/NaI$; CH_2Cl_2 , then $H_2O/BaCO_3$; acetone, 57%; (b) $MeSO_3H/NaI$; CH_2Cl_2 , then *p*-aminobenzoic acid, $BaCO_3$, THF, 30%; (c) BF_3 ·Et₂O/Me₃SiCN/CHCl₃, 57%; (d) AcOH/HCl/H₂O, 78%; and (e) HATU, DIEA, CH₂Cl₂, RNH₂.

Table 1 Data of compounds **9a–f** and **10a–h**.

No.	Yield%	Mp (°C)	$[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ (CHCl ₃)	
9a	35.9	186–189	-119 (c, 0.05)	
9b	87.3	168–169	-232(c, 0.05)	
9c	76.4	157–159	-127 (c, 0.05)	
9d	72.7	165–168	-67 (c, 0.05)	
9e	35.6	193–195	-70 (c, 0.05)	
9f	74.8	241–243	-196(c, 0.05)	
10a	62.6	165–167	-17 (c, 0.05)	
10b	79.3	124–127	-67 (c, 0.05)	
10c	96.7	148–152	-119(c, 0.1)	
10d	59.3	182–185	-70 (c, 0.1)	
10e	44.1	177–179	-132(c, 0.1)	
10f	64.5	190–191	-104 (c, 0.1)	
10g	10.3	161–163	-96(c, 0.1)	
10h	53.8	228–231	-104 (c, 0.05)	

9 and **10** (Scheme 1). All the 14 compounds are novel structures and their physical and spectral data are elaborated in Tables 1 and 2. In particular, the C4-configuration of **6**, **7** and **11** was deduced from the reaction mechanism as well as evidences from NMR data. It is generally accepted that the nucleophilic substitution occurred at C4 follows an $S_N 1$ mechanism, and due to the steric effect posed by the bulky C1-substituent, C4 β -configuration is usually preferred regardless of the reacting configuration in C4 α or C4 β [5]. It was also previously observed that C4 α - and C4 β -derivatives present different $J_{3,4}$ values. The C4 β -substituted compounds show a $J_{3,4}$ around 4.0 Hz, whereas the

Table 2

Spectra	pectral date of compounds 9a-f and 10a-h.				
No.	¹ H NMR (300 MHz, δ) and HR-ESI-MS (<i>m</i> / <i>z</i>)				
9a	CDCl ₃ : 7.76 (d, 2H, J = 8.7 Hz), 7.62 (s, 1H), 7.53 (d, 2H, J = 8.7 Hz), 6.94 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz), 6.77 (s, 1H),				
	6.60 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz), 6.55 (s, 1H), 6.33 (s, 2H), 5.98 (d, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz), 5.43 (s, 1H), 4.76–4.84 (m, 1H),				
	4.61 (d, 1H, J = 4.2 Hz), 4.40 (t, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 4.26 (d, 1H, J = 6.0 Hz), 3.88–3.96 (m, 5H), 3.82 (s, 6H),				
	3.09–3.15 (m, 6H); 680.2620 [M+H] ⁺ (calcd. 680.2608)				
9b 9c	$CDCl_3$: 7.67 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 6.75 (s, 1H), 6.66 (s, 1H), 6.56 (d, 2H, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 6.53 (s, 1H), 6.32 (s, 2H),				
	5.97 (d, 2H, $J = 4.2$ Hz), $4.74-4.77$ (m, 1H), 4.60 (d, 1H, $J = 4.5$ Hz), 4.39 (t, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 4.25 (d, 1H, $J = 6.3$ Hz),				
	3.94 (t, 1H, <i>J</i> = 10.2 Hz), 3.80 (s, 6H), 3.72 (m, 4H), 3.51–3.57 (m, 2H), 3.00–3.14 (m, 2H), 2.59–2.63 (m, 2H),				
	$2.52 \text{ (m, 4H)}; 652.2612 \text{ [M+H]}^{\circ} \text{ (calcd. 632.2603)}$				
	CDC_{13} : 7.8 (d, $2H$, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 6.8 (s, $1H$), 6.76 (s, $1H$), $6.2-6.57$ (m, $5H$), 6.32 (s, $2H$), 5.96 (d, $2H$, $J = 3.0$ Hz), 4.72 (z, 2.78 (z, $1H$), 4.60 (L, $1H$), 4.20 (L, 2.14), 4.20 (
	4.72-4.78 (m, 1H), 4.59 (q, 1H), $J = 4.2$ Hz), 4.58 (l, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 4.25 (q, 1H, $J = 5.7$ Hz), 5.90 (l, 1H, $J = 9.0$ Hz), 2.78 (e, 6H), 2.44 , 2.52 (m, 2H), 2.02 214 (m, 2H), 2.54 , 2.56 (t, 2H), 1.25 (d, 25.6 (H), 1.28 16.6				
	5.76 (5, 01), $5.44-3.52$ (11, 21), $2.22-5.14$ (11, 21), $2.34-2.36$ (1, 21, $J = 5.4$ ft2), 2.45 (5, 41), $1.36-1.00$ (m 64), 630 2801 [M+1 ⁺¹ (cold 630 2810)]				
64	(iii, 0ii), 050.2601 [M+H] (calcul 050.2610) CDCL: 7.64 (d. 2H. $I = 8.1$ Hz) 7.30–7.33 (m. 5H) 6.75 (s. 1H) 6.53–6.56 (m. 3H) 6.33 (s. 2H) 5.97 (d. 2H. $I = 6.0$ Hz)				
90	587(d, 14, 1-7, 54), 530(e, 14), 475(m, 14), 660(d, 14, 1-30), 436(m, 14), 0.53(s, 24), 557(d, 14, 1-5, 74), 530(e, 14), 475(m, 14), 460(d, 14, 1-30), 436(m, 14), 422(d, 14, 1-5, 74), 660(d, 14), 140(d, 14),				
	$379(6, 6H) = 3.56(8, 2H) = 3.52(m, 1H) = 2(M-1H) = 196-2.90(m, 8H) = 692.2978 [M+H]^{+} (calcd, 692.2966)$				
9e	CDC_{12} ; 7.76 (d, 2H, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.47 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 6.78 (s, 1H), 6.76 (d, 2H, $J = 9.0$).				
	6.60 (d, 2H, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 6.55 (s, 1H), 6.34 (s, 2H), 5.99 (d, 2H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 5.31 (s, 1H), 4.79 (s, 1H),				
	4.60 (d, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 4.32–4.41 (m, 2H), 3.95 (t, 1H, $J = 9.6$ Hz), 3.82 (s, 6H), 3.10–3.16 (m, 2H),				
	2.95 (s, 6H); 638.2488 [M+H] ⁺ (calcd. 638.2497)				
9f	DMSO- <i>d</i> ₆ : 8.31 (s, 1H), 7.99 (t, 1H, <i>J</i> = 5.4 Hz), 7.63 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> = 8.7 Hz), 6.77 (s, 1H), 6.70 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> = 8.7 Hz),				
	6.56 (s, 1H), 6.25 (s, 2H), 5.98 (d, 2H, J = 9.9 Hz), 4.92–4.96 (m, 1H), 4.51 (d, 1H, J = 4.8 Hz), 4.36 (t, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz),				
	3.63-3.67 (m, 7H), $3.23-3.28$ (m, 3H), $3.00-3.10$ (m, 1H), 2.37 (t, 2H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 2.16 (s, 6H); 590.2490 [M+H] ⁺				
	(calcd. 590.2497)				
10a	DMSO- d_6 : 10.04 (s, 1H), 8.17 (s, 1H), 7.41 (d, 2H, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 7.01 (s, 1H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 6.89 (d, 2H, $J = 8.1$ Hz),				
	5.99 (s, 2H), 5.98 (s, 2H), $4.44-4.46$ (m, 2H), 4.22 (d, 1H, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 3.94 (d, 1H, $J = 9.9$ Hz), 3.72 (m, 4H),				
101	3.41 (s, 6H), 2.86 (m, 4H), 2.86–2.90 (m, 2H); 589.2191 [M+H] ⁺ (calcd. 589.2181)				
100	CDC_{13} : /.11 (s, 1H), 6.4/ (s, 1H), 6.16 (s, 1H), 6.08 (s, 2H), 5.95 (d, 2H, $J = 4.5$ Hz), 4.39–4.45 (m, 1H), 4.24 (4, 1H) $L = 0$ (L) $L = 27$ (4, 2H) $L = 27$ (5, 2H)				
	4.55 (d, 1H, $J = 5.1$ Hz), 4.14 (d, 1H, $J = 9.0$ Hz), 5.87 (d, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 5.70 (S, 0H), 5.73 (S, 2H), 5.70 (H, 2H), 2.11 (2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2				
10c	5.11-5.45 (III, 511), 2.65 (ad, 11, $J = 4.6$, 12.0 12), 2.45-2.51 (III, 011), 541.2190 [M+11] (calcul 541.2181) CDC1 + 7.08 (c. 114), 6.64 (c. 114), 6.48 (c. 114), 6.11 (c. 214), 5.02 (d. 214), -2.1147, 13.02 (d. 114), 4.30 (d. 45 (m. 114))				
100	CDC_{13} . (.)60 (s), (11), (.)00 (s), (11), (.)40 (s), (11), (.)51 (s), (21), (2.5) (s), (2.5) (s), (11), (1.5), (2.5) (s), (2.5)				
	2.88 (dd 1H I = 5.1 12)(4.13 (d, 1H, J = 9.9 Hz)(5.88 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz)(5.70 (s, 6H), 5.13-5.50 (H, 5H))(3.13-5.50 (H, 5H))				
10d	CDC(1, 732 (m 5H) 707 (s 1H) 639 (s 1H) 606 (s 2H) 593 (s 3H) 432-435 (m 1H) 414 (d 1H) I=42 Hz				
104	4.04 (1, H, $J = 10.2$ Hz) 3.82 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz) 3.73 (s, 6H) 3.52 (s, 2H) 3.17-3.23 (m, 1H) 2.79-2.85 (m, 2H)				
	2.70 (dd, 1H, $J = 4.2$, 12.0 Hz), 1.42–2.12 (m, 7H); 601.2547 [M+H] ⁺ (calcd, 601.2544)				
10e	CDCl ₃ : 7.71 (s, 1H), 7.35 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.10 (s, 1H), 6.75 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz), 6.43 (s, 1H), 6.08 (s, 2H), 5.96 (s, 2H),				
	5.38 (s, 1H), 4.42–4.47 (m, 1H), 4.35 (d, 1H, <i>J</i> = 4.5 Hz), 4.19 (d, 1H, <i>J</i> = 10.2 Hz), 3.88 (d, 1H, <i>J</i> = 7.8 Hz), 3.60 (s, 6H),				
	3.21–3.30 (m, 1H), 2.84–2.93 (m, 7H); 547.2077 [M+H] ⁺ (calcd. 547.2075)				
10f	CDCl ₃ : 7.10 (s, 1H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 6.08 (s, 2H), 5.94 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> = 4.8 Hz), 4.40–4.45 (m, 1H),				
	4.27 (d, 1H, J = 4.5 Hz), 4.12 (d, 1H, J = 9.9 Hz), 3.85 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 3.74 (s, 6H), 3.35–3.37 (m, 1H),				
	3.19–3.27 (m, 2H), 2.86 (dd, 1H, J = 5.1, 12.9 Hz), 2.38–2.46 (m, 2H), 2.28 (s, 6H); 499.2083 [M+H] ⁺ (calcd. 499.2075)				
10g	CDCl ₃ : 8.45 (s, 1H), 7.41 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> = 7.8 Hz), 7.14 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> = 8.1 Hz), 7.06 (s, 1H), 6.33 (s, 1H), 6.02 (s, 2H),				
	5.96 (d, 2H, J = 10.2 Hz), 5.37 (s, 1H), 4.40-4.43 (m, 1H), 4.27 (d, 1H, J = 4.5 Hz), 4.14 (d, 1H, J = 9.9 Hz),				
	$(4, 1H, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}), 3.53 (s, 6H), 3.20-3.30 (m, 1H), 2.76 (dd, 1H, J = 4.5, 12.0 \text{ Hz}), 1.30-2.52 (m, 11H); 586.2449 [M+H]^+$				
	(calcd. 586.2435)				
10h	UDU_{3} : /.10–/.55 (m, 5H), /.08 (s, 1H), 6.40 (s, 1H), 6.05 (s, 2H), 5.93 (d, 2H, $J = 4.5$ Hz), 5.70 (t, 1H, $J = 4.5$ Hz), 5.20 (c, 1H), 4.21 (4.26 (m, 1H)), 4.14 (d, 1H), $L = 4.2$ Hz), 2.09 (d, 1H), $L = 0.0$ Hz), 2.91 (d, 1H), $L = 7.9$ Hz)				
	3.37 (5, 111), $4.31-4.30$ (111, 111), 4.14 (0, 111, $J = 4.2$ IZ), 3.96 (0, 111, $J = 9.9$ HZ), 3.81 (0, 111, $J = 7.8$ HZ), 3.74 (c, 6H) 3.42 3.66 (m, 2H) 3.08 3.12 (m, 1H) 2.83 (t, 2H, $J = 5.4$ Hz), 3.70 (44, 1H, $J = 5.1$, 12.6 Hz), 5.22 1069 IV (1H) ⁺				
	5.74 (s, 0Π), $5.42-5.00$ (III, 2Π), $5.06-5.12$ (III, 1Π), 2.65 (I, 2Π , $J = 5.4 \Pi Z$), 2.70 (uu, 1Π , $J = 5.1$, $12.0 \Pi Z$); 552.1908 [M+H] (calcd 532 1966)				
	(outou, 552.1700)				

Table 3
Cytotoxicity of selected compounds.

$IC_{50}^{a} (10^{-6} \text{ mol/L})$	9b	9c	9d	9e	9f	10g	4
A549	2.27	1.11	2.73	6.35	0.71	NA ^b	3.54
MCF-7	NA ^b	3.23	NA ^b	NA ^b	0.92	8.15	2.13

^a IC_{50} : concentration that causes a 50% reduction of cell growth.

^b NA: not active.

C4 α -compounds display a $J_{3,4}$ above 10.0 Hz [6]. The $J_{3,4}$ values for 6, 7 and 11 are 3.3, 4.2 and 5.7 Hz, respectively. Therefore, the C4-configuration of 6, 7 and 11 is assigned as C4 β .

All compounds were tested in parallel with GL-331 4 against A549 and MCF-7 tumor cells with the MTT method *in vitro*. Preliminary results indicated that compound series 9 were generally more active than series 10, and six compounds with considerable cytotoxicity are recorded in Table 3. The most active compounds 9c and 9f were comparable or superior to GL-331 in cytotoxicity.

In summary, based on previous SAR, two series of novel podophyllotoxin derivatives with different C4 linkage were designed and synthesized to enhance antitumor activity and improve bioavailability. It appears that the 4β -*p*-aminobenzamido series are more active than the 4β -amido series. Further analogue synthesis following this molecular design is ongoing and will be reported in due course.

References

- G.M. Cragg, D.G.I. Kingston, D.J. Newman (Eds.), Anticancer Agents from Natural Products, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, London, 2005, p. 71.
- [2] A. Kamel, N. Laxman, G. Ramesh, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 10 (2000) 2059.
- [3] Y.L. Zhang, X. Guo, Y.C. Cheng, et al. J. Med. Chem. 37 (1994) 446.
- [4] Z.X. Chen, W.Y. Ma, C.N. Zhang, Chin. Chem. Lett. 11 (2000) 505.
- [5] K.H. Lee, S.A. Beers, M. Mori, et al. J. Med. Chem. 33 (1990) 1364.
- [6] K.H. Lee, Y. Imakura, M. Haruna, et al. J. Nat. Prod. 52 (1989) 606.