

Breaking the Base Barrier: An Electron-Deficient Palladium Catalyst Enables the Use of a Common, Soluble Base in C–N Coupling

Joseph Michael Dennis, Nicholas A White, Richard Y. Liu, and Stephen L. Buchwald J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.8b01696 • Publication Date (Web): 12 Mar 2018 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 12, 2018

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Breaking the Base Barrier: An Electron-Deficient Palladium Catalyst Enables the Use of a Common, Soluble Base in C-N Coupling

Joseph M. Dennis,[†] Nicholas A. White,[†] Richard Y. Liu, and Stephen L. Buchwald*

Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States

ABSTRACT:Due to the low intrinsic acidity of amines, palladium-catalyzed C-N cross-coupling has been plagued continuously by the necessity to employ strong, inorganic, and/or insoluble bases. To surmount the many practical obstacles associated with these reagents, we utilized a commercially available, dialkyl triarylmonophosphine-supported palladium catalyst that facilitates a broad range of C-N coupling reactions in the presence of weak, soluble bases. The mild and general reaction conditions show extraordinary tolerance for even highly base-sensitive functional groups. Additionally, insightful heteronuclear NMR studies using ¹⁵N-labeled amine complexes provide evidence for the key acidifying effect of the cationic palladium center.

INTRODUCTION

Palladium-catalyzed carbon-nitrogen (C-N) bond formation has become a valuable tool in the modern synthesis of structurally complex target molecules. Over the last two decades, numerous methods have been established for the coupling of aryl halide and pseudohalide electrophiles with several classes of amine nucleophiles in the presence of base.¹ A number of improvements in the development of ligands² and precatalysts³ have taken place. Despite considerable effort, there has been no general and practical solution to allow for the use of amine bases in Pd-catalyzed C-N cross-coupling. Because the majority of current catalytic systems utilize inorganic bases, modern amination methods suffer from restrictions with regard to functional group compatibility or other operational limitations due to insolubility (Figure 1).⁴ For instance, metal alkoxide and lithium amide bases are moisture-sensitive and are incompatible with functional groups that are prone to nucleophilic attack either by base or by base-activated amine.⁵ As an alternative, inorganic bases such as Cs₂CO₃, K₃PO₄, and K₂CO₃ are frequently employed, but because of their insolubility, reactions often require a phase transfer agent or high catalystloadings,



Figure 1. A. The proposed catalytic cycle for the palladiumcatalyzed coupling of aryl halides with amines. I: Monoligated Pd(0). II: Oxidative addition (OA) complex. III: Amine-bound OA complex. IV: Amido complex. B: Synthetic considerations for bases employed in C-N coupling.

I. Phosphazene Base-Promoted Couplings (Dreher, Merck)



II. Microwave-Assisted Aminations (Buchwald)





IV. Electrochemically Enabled Amination (Baran)



Figure 2. Current metal-catalyzed C-N coupling methodologies that utilize soluble, amine bases.

as well as elevated reaction temperatures.⁶ Furthermore, due to the fact that deprotonation likely takes place on the particle surface of the base in heterogeneous systems,⁷ the use of these bases introduces reproducibility issues on large scales when stirring methods⁸ or particle sizes⁹ are varied. Finally, due to their insolubility in most organic solvents, these bases also pose challenges for continuous flow chemistry¹⁰ and in reagent dosing for robotic miniaturization methods, which has stifled usage of industrial technologies. Thus, the discovery of a catalyst system that facilitates the coupling of multiple amine classes in the presence of a weak, amine base would provide an important solution to this longstanding synthetic challenge. Herein, we report the first general solution to this problem. Moreover, we have uncovered the factors that enable the success of such a system, which should help guide the future discovery of even more active catalytic systems.

Organic bases are employed infrequently in C-N coupling methodologies despite their widespread use in synthesis, broad pK_{BH}^{+} range, suitability for continuous flow chemistry, and compatibility with electrophilic functional groups (Figure 2).¹¹ In one example, organic phosphazene bases such as P₂-Et have been used in nanomolar, robotic high-throughput screening to couple a variety of nucleophiles.¹² While effective, phosphazene bases are expensive and must be stored in an inert atmosphere.¹³ Weaker organic, amine bases such as 1,8diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) and 7-methyl-1,5,7triazabicvclo[4.4.0]dec-5-ene (MTBD) have been employed in C–N coupling of aryl nonaflates under microwave radiation.¹⁴ However, only simple anilines could be coupled using DBU while heteroaryl amines required the moisture-sensitive and expensive MTBD. Utilizing photoredox catalysis, C-N coupling has been performed with 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) as the base.¹⁵ Despite the mild nature of this method, C-N couplings facilitated by photoredox processes are primarily focused on aliphatic amine nucleophiles. Finally, a few examples of electrochemically-mediated, nickel-catalyzed amidation and amination of aryl bromides have been reported with DBU as the base.¹⁶ In hopes of overcoming current limitations of these methodologies, we aimed to develop a system that utilizes commercially available ligands and a weak organic base to couple a variety of amine classes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58 59

60

Our efforts focused on whether commonly used dialkyl biarylmonophosphine ligands could facilitate the coupling of aryl halides or triflates with amines using soluble organic bases. Initial stoichiometric experiments using oxidative addition (OA) complexes (See Supporting Information) indicated that weaker bases such as triethylamine (TEA) and DABCO were outperformed by DBUin procedures using many different ligands when coupling aryl amines, amides, and primary amines. Experiments utilizing *p*-tolyl-OA complexes (Figure 1, II) as catalysts (1.0 mol%) to couple *p*-tolyl triflate with aniline revealed that multiple ligands are capable of facilitating the desired reaction (Table 1). Precatalyst P6 bearing L6 (AlPhos)¹⁷ and P5 (AdBrettPhos) provided nearly quantitative crosscoupling product at room temperature. P4 (t-BuBrettPhos) provided the desired product in moderate yields,¹⁸ and ligands bearing cyclohexyl (Cy) groups on the phosphine, including P3 (BrettPhos) and L1 (XPhos) failed to yield any of the desired product. While P5 is highly reactive, the ligand from which it is derived is known to undergo an in situ ligand modification in the presence of aryl (pseudo)halides, generating different active catalytic species for each ArX substrate.¹⁹ As a result, the commercially available, air-stable, L6-supported precatalyst, COD(L6-Pd)₂, was selected for further investigation in C-N coupling with amines of varying pKa and nucleophilicity. When coupling benzamide with the aryl triflate, 76% yield was obtained, with unreacted electrophile making up the mass balance. Raising the temperature to 60 °C, the yield was increased to 97%. Similar reactivity was observed with benzyl amine, demonstrating that this ligand facilitates the coupling of different amine classes.

To explore the scope of the methodology, a variety of aryl halides, triflates, and nucleophiles were tested (Table 2). Both five- and six-membered heterocyclic amines, including a pyrazine(1a), a thiazole (1e), and an oxazole (1i) were coupled in high yield under the optimized reaction conditions. Substrates

Table 1. Comparison of ligands in Pd-catalyzed amination facilitated by DBU^{a}

Me) OTf +	Aniline Benzamide Benzyl amine	DBU (2.0 Precatalyst (P1 MTBE, 1 Temperatu	0 equiv) — P6) (1% Pd) 1.0 M, re, Time	NR ₂
Precataly	/st	Nucleophile	Temp. (°C)	Time	Yield (%)
P1		Aniline	RT	20 min	0
P2		Aniline	RT	20 min	30
P3		Aniline	RT	20 min	0
P4		Aniline	RT	20 min	61
P5		Aniline	RT	20 min	99
P6		Aniline	RT	20 min	99
COD(L6-Pd)2		Aniline	RT	3 h	99
COD(L6-Pd) ₂		Benzamide	RT	16 h	76
COD(L6-Pd) ₂		Benzamide	60	16 h	97
COD(L6-Pd) ₂		Benzyl amine	60	16 h	98
L-Pd OTf P1-P6	iPi L1, R = C L2, R = f	R Pr iPr y, XPhos Bu, <i>t</i> -BuXPhos	MeO $\stackrel{R}{\stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} R}$ MeO $\stackrel{P}{\stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} R}$ L3, R = Cy, BrettP L4, R = <i>t</i> Bu, <i>t</i> Bul	MeO R iPr iPr thos L6, R = BrettPhos L7, R =	FiPrF = Ad, AlPhos = t-Bu, t-BuAlPhos
			10 , = . 10, / 10010	LU, 113	- c,, c,, ur noo

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: aryl triflate (0.25 mmol) nucleophile (0.30 mmol), DBU (0.50 mmol), precatalyst (1% Pd; 2.5 μ mol or 1.25 μ mol dimer), MTBE (0.25 mL). GC and ¹H NMR yields referenced to hexamethylbenzene as an internal standard. MTBE = methyl *tert*-butyl ether.

bearing acidic functional groups, such as an unprotected pyrazole (1d, 1f), an indole (1b, 1h, 3d, 3f), and a phenol (1c, 3c), are also compatible with the weakly basic reaction conditions when an excess of base is used. Importantly, five-membered heterocyclic bromides are competent in the reaction, as well as highly functionalized electrophiles such as the Merck informer compound, X18 (1f). Using 0.2 mol% of Pd, 1g was prepared at room temperature in 95% yield after crystallization on a 10 mmol scale. Amide-type coupling partners, including an oxazolidinone (2b), a primary urea (2g), and a secondary cyclic amide (2d) all underwent the desired arylation reaction in good to excellent yields. Heterocyclic amides, including pyridines (2c, 2e), a furan (2h), and a thiophene (2f) are tolerated, though these substrates required diluted reaction conditions due to their low solubility. Similarly, heterocyclic products derived from aliphatic amine coupling partners are obtained in high yields. In cases where standard reaction conditions show low conversion to product, excess base and amine were employed (3e). Hindered aliphatic amines, including secondary aliphatic amines, proved to be difficult substrates under the reaction conditions, most likely due to unfavorable steric interactions near the Pd center. Relative to COD(L6-Pd)₂, t-BuBrettPhos-G3 provides 1d and 1f in comparable yields (Table 2, red numbers) with 1.0 mol% of Pd, while 1a, and 2e require higher loadings. We note that the L4-supported precatalyst fails to couple primary amines under these conditions.

Due to the mildness of the reaction conditions, we envisioned that this methodology would be amenable to substrates that would readily undergo undesired elimination and substitution reactions in the presence of strong bases. For example, Hartwig has shown that fluoroalkylamines participate in base1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58 59

60





^aIsolated yields are reported as the average of two runs. Unless noted, standard reaction conditions: aryl (psuedo)halide (1.0 mmol), nucleophile (1.2 mmol), DBU (2.0 mmol), COD(**L6**-Pd)₂ (0.005 mmol, 1% Pd), MTBE (1.0 mL, 1 M), 60 °C for 16 h. While these conditions are suitable for each substrate, in many cases milder conditions can be used. Red yields indicate reactions performed with **L4**-G3 under substrate-specific conditions. ^b3% **L4**-G3 ^c4.0 equiv base. ^d3 h at RT, 4.0 equiv DBU. ^e1% **L4**-G3. ^f0.50 mmol, 0.5 M in THF. ^g3 h at RT. ^h10mmol scale, 0.2% Pd, 16 h, RT. ⁱ0.25 M, 0.95 equiv nucleophile. ^j0.25 M. ^k2% Pd, 4.0 equiv DBU. ^l4.0 equiv DBU and amine, 50 °C.

Table 3. Base-Sensitive Coupling Partners



^aIsolated yields are reported as the average of two runs. Unless noted, standard reaction conditions: aryl (psuedo)halide (1.0 mmol), nucleophile (1.2 mmol), DBU (2.0 mmol), COD(**L6**-Pd)₂ (0.005 mmol, 1% Pd), MTBE (1.0 mL, 1 M), RT for 16 h. ^b1.0 mmol DBU and 1.05 mmol nucleophile.

promoted decomposition reactions.²⁰ In our system, these amines are readily coupled at room temperature without decomposition (Table 3, **4c**). Moreover, elimination-prone coupling partners bearing alkyl halide functional groups, including unhindered primary bromides (**4a**) and chlorides (**4b**, **4d**), are suitable coupling partners. When subjected to previously developed conditions, these coupling partners and products were found to undergo elimination reactions to yield the corresponding alkenes; however, under our reaction conditions, the alkyl halide provides opportunities for subsequent synthetic elaboration.

In both stoichiometric and catalytic experiments, we observed that ligands bearing large alkyl groups performed better than smaller variants. Inspired by the work of Tyler, we hypothesized that the size of the alkyl groups on the phosphine might affect the electrophilicity of palladium through geometric distortion at the phosphorus atom.²¹ In turn, these size effects should influence the acidity of Pd-bound amines. Specifically, the magnitude of this acidifying effect directly affects the ease of the key deprotonation step²² and consequently dictates the strength of base that is required.^{2d,4a} The structure of an amine-bound OA complex (Figure 1, III) was elucidated by single crystal X-ray diffraction, which indicated that the amine was bound trans to the phosphine (Figure 3). Analogous complexes bearing a ¹⁵N-enriched *n*-butyl amine were used to study the effect of ligand bulkiness on the central Pd atom charge (Table 4). NMR spectroscopic analyses of the twobond ³¹P-¹⁵N coupling for AlPhos and BrettPhos ligand families indicated that the ligands bearing larger alkyl groups exhibited smaller coupling constants (Ad < t-Bu < Cy).²³ The magnitude of the trans³¹P-¹⁵N ²J constant is directly influenced by the electron-donation to the Pd atom: specifically, more cationic (at Pd) amine-bound complexes exhibit less negative ²J values (see Supporting Information for discussion).²⁴ Thus, the trend we observed indicates that the Ad ligands are the least electron-donating, a conclusion that is consistent with our DFT-



Figure 3. Propyl-amine-bound **L6**-supported OA complex. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms and residual toluene molecules are omitted for clarity.

Table 4.³¹P-¹⁵N ²J Coupling Constants^a



^aHirshfeld charges were computed at the B3LYP/6-31G(d)-SDD/SMD(THF)) level of theory with geometries optimized at the same level.

calculated Hirshfeld charges at Pd. Of the intermediates analyzed, the smallest ²J was observed with AlPhos (L6) as the supporting ligand, suggesting that the amine bound to this complex is most easily deprotonated. We propose that this explains the superior performance of L6 in reactions promoted by weak bases.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have developed a catalyst system that utilizes a weak, organic amine base in the coupling reaction of aryl (pseudo)halides with a variety of amine and amide partners. The electrophile scope includes five- and six- membered heterocycles, as well as alkyl halide functional groups that were not tolerated by previously developed conditions. While L6 is the most generally effective ligand, we found that more traditional ligands such as L4 can be economical substitutes for some aryl amine and amide couplings. We show that the size of the phosphine substituents modulates the charge on the Pd atom, with L6 generating the most cationic, and thus most active, amine–Pd complex. Ongoing work is focused on a more detailed understanding of the reaction mechanism.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental procedures; computational, spectral, and reaction optimization data; discussion of ³¹P–¹⁵N ²J couplings. X-ray data.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

sbuchwal@mit.edu

Author Contributions

[†]J.M.D. and N.A.W. contributed equally to this work.

Notes

MIT has filed patents on ligands and precatalysts that are described in this manuscript, from which S.L.B and former coworkers receive royalty payments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This material is based upon work supported by the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Grant No. 1122374 (J.M.D), an NIH Postdoctoral Fellowship under Grant No. 1F32GM120847-01 (N.A.W.), and the NIH (Grant No. GM122483 and GM58160). Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF or NIH. R.Y.L. thanks Bristol-Myers Squibb for a Fellowship in Synthetic Organic Chemistry. We acknowledge several companies for donations of chemicals used in this work: Sigma-Aldrich for BrettPhos and t-BuBrettPhos, Nippon Chemical for cyclohexyl chlorophosphine, FMC Lithium for tert-butyl chlorophosphine, and Merck for informer compound X18. We are grateful to Dr. Peter Müller for crystallographic analysis, and we acknowledge Dr. Andy Thomasand Dr. Christine Nguyenfor assistance in the preparation of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Ruiz-Castillo, P.; Buchwald, S. L. Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 12564-12649.

(2) (a) Surry, S. D.; Buchwald, S. L.Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.2008, 47, 6338-6361. (b) Hartwig, J. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1534-1544. (c) Fleckenstein, C. A.; Plenio, H. Chem. Soc. Rev.2010, 39, 694–711. (d) Wolfe, J. P.; Wagaw, S; Marcoux, J.-F.; Buchwald, S. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 805-818. (e) Schlummer, B.; Scholz, U. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1599-1626. For recent examples of rationally designed dialkyl biarylmonophosphine ligands, see: (f) Olsen, E. P. K.; Arrechea, P. L.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 10569-10572. (g) Park, N. H.; Vinogradova, E. V.; Surry, D. S.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.2015, 54, 8259-8262. (h) Ruiz-Castillo, P.; Blackmond, D. G.; Buchwald, S. L.J. Am. Chem. Soc.2015, 137, 3085-3092.

(3) (a) Ingoglia, B. T.; Buchwald, S. L. Org. Lett. **2017**, 19, 2853-2856. (b) Bruno, N. C.; Niljianskul, N.; Buchwald, S. L.; J. Org. Chem., **2014**, 79, 4161-4166. (c) Pompeo, M.; Farmer, J. L.; Froese, R. D. J.; Organ, M. G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2014**, 53, 3223-3226.

1	
2	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
4	
/	
8	
9	
1	^
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	1
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	, o
1	0
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	'
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	с с
2	6
2	7
2	8
2	a
2	~
3	U
3	1
3	2
3	3
3	3
3 3	3 4
3 3 3	3 4 5
3 3 3 3	3 4 5 6
33337	3 4 5 6 7
3 3 3 3 3	3 4 5 6 7
3 3 3 3 3 3	3 4 5 6 7 8
3 3 3 3 3 3 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9
3 3 3 3 3 3 4	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0
3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4	3456789012
3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4	3456789012
3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4	34567890123
3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4	345678901234
3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3456789012345
3333334444444	34567890123456
3333344444444	345678901234567
3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	345678901234567
3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3456789012345678
33333444444444444	34567890123456789
3333344444444444	345678901234567890
3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 -	345678901234567890
3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5	3456789012345678901
3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5	34567890123456789012
3333344444444455555	345678901234567890123
3333334444444445555555	3456789012345678901234
3333334444444445555555	34567890123456789012345
3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	34567890123456789012345

58 59 60

57

(4) For improvements to previous conditions, see: (a) Wolfe, J. W.; Buchwald, S. L. Tet. Lett. 1997, 38, 6359-6362. (b) Harris, M. C.; Huang, X.; Buchwald, S. L. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2885-2888. (5) Sperry, J. B.; Wiglesworth, K. E. P.; Edmonds, I.; Fiore, P.; Boyles, D. C.; Damon, D. B.; Dorow, R. L.; Chekler, E. L. P.; Langille, J.; Coe, J. W.Org. Process Res. Dev. 2014, 18, 1752-1758. (6) Surry, D. S.; Buchwald, S. L. Chem. Sci.2011, 2, 27-50. (7) Meyers, C.; Maes, B. U. W.; Loones, K. T. J.; Bal, G.; Lemière, G. L. F.; Dommisee, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 6010-6017. (8) Kuethe, J. T.; Childers, K. G.; Humphrey, G. R.; Journet, M.; Peng, Z. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2008, 12, 1201-1208. (9) Meyers, C.; Maes, B. U. W.; Loones, J. T. J.; Bal, G.; Lemière, G. L. F.; Dommisee, R. A.J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 6010-6017. (10) (a) Yang, J. C.; Niu, D.; Karsten, B. P.; Lima, F.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 2531-2535. (b) Noël, T.; Naber, J. R.; Hartman, R. L.; McMullen, J. P.; Jensen, K. F.; Buchwald, S. L. Chem. Sci.2011, 2, 287-20. (c) Falß, S.; Tomaiuolo, G.; Perazzo, A.; Hodgson, P.; Yaseneva, P.; Zakrzewski, J.; Guido, S.; Lapkin, A.; Woodward, R.; Meadows, R. E. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2016, 20, 558-567. (11) For computational studies on soluble bases in Pd-catalyzed C-N coupling, see: Sunesson, Y.; Limé, E.; Nilsson Lill, S. O.; Meadows, R. E.; Norrby, P.-O. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 11961-11969. In some cases, soluble bases may be employed to enhance reactions that do not require bases: Bandaru, S. S. M.; Bhilare, S.; Chrysochos, N.; Gayakhe, V.; Trentin, I.; Schulzke, C.; Kapdi, A. R. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 473-476. (12) (a) Santanilla, A. B.; Christensen, M.; Campeau, L-C.; Davies, I. W.; Dreher. S. D. Org. Lett.2015, 17, 3370-3373. (b) For applications of soluble superbases, see: Santanilla, A. B.; Regalado, E. L.;Pereira, T.; Shevlin, M.;Bateman, K.;Campeau, L-C.;Schneeweis, J.; Berritt, S.; Shi, Z.-C.; Nantermet, P.; Liu, Y.; Helmy, R.; Welch, C. J.; Vachal, P.; Davies, I. W.; Cernak, T.; Dreher, S. D. Science2015, 347, 49-53.(c) The use of P2-Et, MTBD, and 2-tert-Butyl-1,1,3,3tetramethylguanidine (BTMG)in the Pd-catalyzed coupling of aryl amines and aryl halides was reported while this manuscript was under review: Ahneman, D. T.; Estrada, J. E.; Lin, S.; Dreher, S. D.; Doyle, A. G. Science DOI: 10.1126/science.aar5169. (13) Schwesinger, R.; Hasenfratz, C.; Schlemper, H.; Walz, L.; Peters, E.-M.; Peters, K.; Georg von Schnering, H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.1993, 32, 1361-1363. (14) R. E. Tundel, Anderson, K. W.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Org. Chem.2006, 71, 430-433. (15) Corcoran, E. B.; Pirnot, M. T.; Lin, S.; Dreher, S. D.; DiRocco, D. A.; Davies, I. W.; Buchwald, S. L.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Science2016, 353, 279-283. (16) Li, C.; Kawamata, Y.; Nakamura, H.; Vantourout, J. C.; Liu, Z.; Hou, Q.; Bao, D.; Starr, J. T.; Chen, J.; Yan, M.; Baran, P. S. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 13088. (17) Sather, A. C.; Lee, H. G.; De La Rosa, V. Y.; Yang, Y.; Müller, P.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc.2015, 137, 13433-13438. (18) In high-throughput reaction screening, this ligand was found to be suitable for amide couplings facilitated by DBU. See reference 12a. (19) Milner, P. J.; Maimone, T. J.; Su, M.; Chen, J.; Müller, P.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 19922-19934. (20) Brusoe, A. T.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 8460. (21) Kendall, A. J.; Zakharov, L. N.; Tyler, D. R. Inorg. Chem. 2016. 55. 3079-3090. (22) Preliminary mechanistic studies have indicated that there is a positive order in [DBU] for the coupling of *p*-tolyl triflate and propyl amine. This suggests that the base is involved in the turnover-limiting step. (23) For examples of this effect observed in methylene groups, see: (a) Cahill, R.; Cookson, R.C.; Crabb, T. A. Tetrahedron, 1969, 19, 4681-4709. (b) Cahill, R.; Cookson, R. C.; Crabb, T. A. Tetrahedron1969, 25, 4711-4735. (c) Fraser, R. R.; Raby, B. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 3458-3463. (24) The variation in ${}^{2}J$ values (~1.0 Hz) is in the range of those observed in ²J coupling constants of ¹³C-Au-³¹P complexes bearing

trialkyl and triaryl phosphine ligands. For studies on the effect of phosphine size on two-bond coupling, see: Isab, A. A.; Hussain, M. S.; Akhtar, M. N.; Wazeer, M. I. M.; Al-Arfaj, A. R. Polyhedron1999, 18, 1401-1409.

1

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	DBU
10	(Het) X Aryl Amines COD(AlPhos-Pd) ₂ (1% Pd)
11	Amides MTBE, RT or 60 °C
12	simple organic amine base. ■ Toleratec highly base consitive substrates
13	■ ¹⁵ N NMR provides insight on catalyst reactivity
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
30	
37	
38	
39 40	
то 41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	ACS Paragon Plus Environment