



Tetrahedron Letters 41 (2000) 4705-4708

Synthetic studies of antitumor macrolide laulimalide: a stereoselective synthesis of the C_{17} – C_{28} segment

Arun K. Ghosh* and Yong Wang

Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, 845 West Taylor Street, Chicago, Illinois 60607, USA

Received 26 April 2000; accepted 2 May 2000

Abstract

A stereoselective synthesis of the C_{17} – C_{28} segment of the potent antitumor macrolide, laulimalide has been accomplished. The key steps are a ring-closing olefin metathesis to construct the dihydropyran unit, nucleophilic addition of an alkynyl anion to the Weinreb amide, stereoselective reduction of the resulting ketone to set the C_{20} -hydroxyl stereochemistry, and elaboration of the C_{21} – C_{22} trans-olefin geometry. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Laulimalide (1) is a 20-membered macrolide isolated from the Indonesian sponge Hyattella sp. ¹ It has exhibited potent cytotoxicity in the range of 10–50 ng/mL (IC₅₀ values) against numerous human cancer cell lines. ² The remarkable antitumor activities as well as its unique structural features have prompted considerable interest in the synthesis and structure–function studies of laulimalide. ³ An asymmetric synthesis of the C_3 – C_{14} segment of laulimalide has been previously reported by us. ⁴ More recently, we have reported an efficient enantioselective route to the C_2 – C_{16} segment. ⁵ Our synthetic strategy of laulimalide is convergent and involves the assembly of fragments 2 (C_2 – C_{16} segment) and 3 (C_{17} – C_{28}) by Julia olefination and subsequent macrolactonization between the C_{19} -hydroxyl group and the C_1 -carboxylic acid. In continuation of our on-going studies, we now report a stereocontrolled route to the C_{17} – C_{28} segment (3) of laulimalide.

As depicted in Fig. 1, we planned to synthesize the fragment 3 by a nucleophilic addition of the dibromo olefin 5 derived alkynyl anion to the Weinreb amide 4 and subsequent stereoselective reduction of the resulting ketone. The synthesis of both Weinreb amide 4 and dihydropyran derivative 5 were planned from the readily available optically active glycidyl ether 6.6 Thus, the synthesis of the dihydropyran derivative 5 was carried out as shown in Scheme 1. Opening of the epoxide 6 with isopropenylmagnesium bromide in the presence of a catalytic amount of CuCN (10 mol%) at -78 to 23°C for 2 h afforded the homoallylic alcohol 7 in 94% yield after silica gel chromatography. Allylation of alcohol 7 was carried out by treatment with potassium hydride

0040-4039/00/\$ - see front matter © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: \$0040-4039(00)00715-2

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: arunghos@uic.edu

and allyl bromide in the presence of a catalytic amount of 18-Crown-6 in THF at 0 to 23°C for 1 h to provide allyl ether **8** in quantitative yield. For efficient elaboration of the functionalized dihydropyran ring, we relied upon a ring-closing metathesis protocol utilizing Grubbs' catalyst. Thus, exposure of the allyl ether **8** to Grubbs' catalyst (2 mol%) in CH₂Cl₂ at 23°C for 2 h furnished the dihydropyran derivative **9**. Treatment of **9** with camphorsulfonic acid in methanol at 23°C for 1 h resulted in the deprotection of THP–ether providing the alcohol **10** in 81% yield (two steps) after silica gel chromatography. Swern oxidation of **10** followed by subjection of the resulting aldehyde to Corey–Fuchs' homologation conditions using carbon tetrabromide and triphenylphosphine in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 to 23°C for 30 min afforded the dibromo olefin **5** in 67% yield (two steps). Dibromo olefin **5** is the precursor for the alkynyl anion; thus, it set the stage for coupling with Weinreb amide **4** which is also derived from the same glycidyl ether **6**. Thus, lithiation of phenyl methyl sulfone with 1 equiv. *n*BuLi in THF at 0°C for 1 h followed by addition of HMPA and reaction with epoxide **6** at –78 to 23°C for 2 h provided the alcohol **11** in 94% isolated yield. Alcohol **11** was subsequently protected as the PMB ether **12** by treatment with NaH and PMBCl in DMF at 0 to 23°C for 12 h (85% yield).

Scheme 1. (a) Isopropenyl magnesium bromide, CuCN (10 mol%), THF, -78 to 23°C (94%); (b) KH, 18-Crown-6 (cat.), allyl bromide, THF, 0 to 23°C (quant); (c) Cl₂(PCy₃)₂Ru=CHPh (2 mol%), CH₂Cl₂, 23°C; (d) CSA, MeOH (81%); (e) Swern oxidation; (f) CBr₄, PPh₃, CH₂Cl₂, 0 to 23°C (67%); (g) PhSO₂CH₃, nBuLi, THF, 0°C, 1 h then HMPA, 6, -78 to 23°C (94%); (h) NaH, PMBCl, DMF, 0 to 23°C (85%)

Our next synthetic plan involved the conversion of 12 into its corresponding Weinreb amide 4. As outlined in Scheme 2, the removal of the THP ether with CSA in methanol followed by Swern oxidation of the resulting alcohol provided the aldehyde 13. Aldehyde 13 was subsequently transformed into the Weinreb amide 4 in a two-step sequence involving: (1) oxidation of the aldehyde with NaClO₂ in the presence of 2-methyl-2-butene and NaH₂PO₄ in tBuOH; and (2) reaction of the resulting acid with isobutyl chloroformate, N,O-dimethylhydroxylamine and N-methylpiperidine in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 to 23°C for 2 h.¹⁰ Weinreb amide 4 was obtained in 83% isolated yield (from 12). Our initial attempt to install the C_{20} -hydroxyl stereochemistry by addition of the dihydropyran 5 derived alkynyl anion to the aldehyde 13 resulted in the formation of alkynyl alcohol 14 in 64% yield. However, observed diastereoselectivity (syn:anti = 1:1.8 by ¹H NMR) was far from satisfactory for our synthesis. To set the C₂₀-hydroxyl stereochemistry, we therefore relied upon the addition of the dihydropyran 5-derived alkynyl anion to the Weinreb amide 4 and then diastereoselective reduction of the resulting alkynyl ketone. The coupling of Weinreb amide 4 and dibromo olefin 5 was achieved by treatment of 5 with nBuLi at -78°C for 1 h followed by warming to 23°C for 1 h to form the corresponding alkynyl anion. The resulting anion was cooled to -78°C and reacted with the Weinreb amide 4 at -78°C to 0°C for 1 h to furnish the alkynyl ketone 15 in 59% isolated yield. 11 Diastereoselective reduction of 15 with L-Selectride in THF at -78°C for 1 h afforded the corresponding alkynyl alcohol as a single diastereomer (by ¹H NMR and 13 C NMR analysis) in 87% yield. 12 The C_{21} – C_{22} trans-olefin geometry was then set by reduction of the alkynyl group by Red-Al in THF at -20°C for 1 h to afford the trans-allylic alcohol 16 in 81% yield. The alcohol was subsequently protected as the TIPS-ether 3 by treatment with TIPSOTf and 2,6-lutidine in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 to 23°C for 2 h (92%). In order to establish the C_{20} -hydroxyl stereochemistry as well as the C_{21} - C_{22} trans-olefin geometry, the alcohol 16 was converted to the isopropylidene derivative 17 by removal of the PMB-ether with trifluoroacetic acid in CH₂Cl₂ followed by the exposure of the resulting diol with CSA and dimethoxypropane in CH₂Cl₂ (70%).¹³ As shown in Scheme 2, an NOE was observed between the H_A and H_C. Also, NOEs were detected between the β-sulfonyl hydrogens and the H_B. Furthermore, a coupling

Scheme 2. (a) CSA, MeOH (91%); (b) Swern oxidation; (c) NaClO₂, 2-methyl-2-butene, NaH₂PO₄, tBuOH; (d) MeONHMe·HCl, N-methylpiperidine, isobutyl chloroformate, CH₂Cl₂, 0 to 23°C (83%); (e) nBuLi, 5, -78°C, 1 h and 23°C, 1 h then 4, -78 to 0°C (59%); (f) L-Selectride, THF, -78°C (87%); (g) Red–Al, THF, -20°C (81%); (h) TIPSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, 0 to 23°C (92%); (i) CF₃CO₂H, CH₂Cl₂, 23°C; (j) Me₂C(OMe)₂, CSA, CH₂Cl₂, 23°C (70%); (k) nBuLi, 5, -78 to 23°C, 1 h then 13, -78°C (64%)

constant (J_{CD}) of 15.5 Hz was measured between the H_C and H_D protons of 17 which correponds to *trans*-olefin geometry.

Thus, a stereocontrolled synthesis of the C_{17} – C_{28} fragment of laulimalide has been achieved. The key steps are the ring-closing olefin metathesis to construct the dihydropyran side chain, nucleophilic addition of an alkynyl anion to the Weinreb amide and subsequent reduction to set the stereochemistry of C_{20} . Further work toward the total synthesis of laulimalide is in progress.

Acknowledgements

Financial support of this work by the National Institutes of Health (GM 53386) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- (a) Quinoa, E.; Kakou, Y.; Crews, P. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 3642; (b) Corley, D. G.; Herb, R.; Moore, R. E.; Scheuer, P. J.; Paul, V. J. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 3644.
- 2. (a) Jefford, C. W.; Bernardinelli, G.; Tanaka, J.-I.; Higa, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 159; (b) Tanaka, J.-I.; Higa, T.; Bernardinelli, G.; Jefford, C. W. Chem. Lett. 1996, 255.
- 3. (a) Shimizu, A.; Nishiyama, S. Synlett 1998, 1209; (b) Mulzer, J.; Hanbauer, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 33.
- 4. Ghosh, A. K.; Mathivanan, P.; Cappiello, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 2427.
- 5. Ghosh, A. K.; Wang, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 2319.
- Meng, D.; Bertinato, P.; Balog, A.; Su, D.; Kamenecka, T.; Sorensen, E.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 10073.
- 7. For an excellent review, see: Grubbs, R. H.; Chang, S. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 4413.
- 8. Corey, E. J.; Fuchs, P. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 3769.
- 9. Smith, A. B.; Zhuang, L.; Brook, C. S.; Boldi, A. M.; McBriar, M. D.; Moser, W. H.; Murase, N.; Nakayama, K.; Verhoest, P. R.; Lin, Q. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 8667.
- 10. Angelastro, M. R.; Peet, N. P.; Bey, P. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3913.
- 11. Nahm, S.; Weinreb, S. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3815.
- 12. The reduction presumably proceeded via Felkin–Anh model. For a stereoselective 1,2-reduction by L-Selectride, see: Larcheveque, M.; Lalanov, J. Chem. Commun. 1985, 83.
- 13. All new compounds gave satisfactory spectral data. Compound **3**: $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{23}$ –66 (c 1.21, CHCl₃); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.85 (d, J=7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.78–5.68 (m, 2H), 5.40 (s, 1H), 4.47 (AB q, 2H, $\Delta \nu_{\rm AB}$ =51.2 Hz, $J_{\rm AB}$ =11.4 Hz), 4.44 (m, 1H), 4.15 (br s, 2H), 4.00 (m, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.48 (m, 1H), 3.20 (m, 1H), 3.02 (m, 1H), 1.99–1.91 (m, 2H), 1.85 (d, J=14.5 Hz, 1H), 1.73 (m, 1H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 0.98 (s, 21H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.4, 139.1, 133.5, 132.4, 131.3, 130.1, 129.6, 129.1, 128.7, 128.0, 119.8, 113.8, 79.6, 73.3, 72.1, 72.0, 65.5, 55.3, 53.1, 35.6, 23.0, 22.8, 18.1, 12.2. Compound **17**: $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{23}$ –69 (c 0.32, CHCl₃); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.92 (d, J=7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.67 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 2H), 5.87 (dd, J=15.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 5.66 (dd, J=15.5, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (s, 1H), 4.18 (s, 2H), 4.03 (m, 1H), 3.99 (t, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (m, 1H), 3.32 (m, 1H), 3.15 (m, 1H), 2.10–1.99 (m, 2H), 1.93–1.88 (m, 2H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 6H); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 139.5, 136.6, 134.2, 131.7, 129.8, 128.5, 126.8, 120.1, 109.6, 82.1, 79.0, 73.2, 66.1, 53.6, 36.0, 27.5, 27.3, 25.3, 23.3.