Novel Indenylzirconium Complexes as Supported Catalysts in the Polymerization of Ethylene

Carlos Alonso-Moreno,^[a] Antonio Antiñolo,^[a] Fernando Carrillo-Hermosilla,^[a] Pedro Carrión,^[a] Isabel López-Solera,^[a] Antonio Otero,*^[a] Sanjiv Prashar,^[b] and José Sancho^[c]

Keywords: Metallocene / Zirconium / Homogeneous catalysis / Supported catalysts / Polymerization / Ethylene

A series of substituted indene $\{C_9H_7R-3 | R = CH_2CH_2CH_2O SiMe_3$ (1), $CH_2CH_2CH_2OCH_2C_6H_5$ (2), $CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_2$ tBu (3)], and ansa-indene compounds {Me₂Si(C₉H₆R-3)₂-1 $[R = CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3 (4)], Me_2Si(C_9H_6R-3)-1(C_5HMe_4)$ $[R = CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3 (5), CH_2CH_2CH_2OCH_2C_6H_5 (6),$ CH₂CH=CH₂ (7), CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂tBu (8)]} have been prepared. The lithium derivatives of 1-8 were employed in the synthesis of the indenvlzir conium complexes [$Zr(\eta^5 C_{9}H_{6}R-1)_{2}Cl_{2}$ [R = CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃ (17),CH₂CH₂CH₂OCH₂C₆H₅ (18), CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂tBu (19)], $rac - [Zr \{Me_2Si(\eta^5 - C_9H_5R - 3)_2 - 1\}Cl_2] [R = CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3]$ $[Zr{Me_2Si(\eta^5-C_9H_5R-3)-1(\eta^5-C_5Me_4)}Cl_2]$ (20)].ſR $CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3$ (21), $CH_2CH_2CH_2OCH_2C_6H_5$ $(22)_{1}$ $CH_2CH=CH_2$ (23), $CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_2tBu$ (24)] and $[Zr(Me_2Si\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH_2CH_2O)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_5Me_4\})Cl]$ (21a).

Introduction

On the basis of production data for 2000, 85–95 million tons of polyolefins (essentially homopolymers and copolymers of ethylene and propylene) were produced globally. The worldwide polypropylene capacity is approximately 34 million tons, all of which is made with supported catalysts. Sixty percent of polyethylene is made with supported catalysts, bringing the current market for polyolefins produced on supported catalysts to a total of 65–70 million tons per year. This already impressive market is still in full growth.^[1,2]

Metallocene/MAO catalytic systems are highly efficient in producing polyolefins with defined microstructures and narrow molecular weight distributions,^[3,4] although to date, The alkyl complexes $[Zr(Me_2Si\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH_2CH_2O)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_5Me_4\}Me]$ (25) and $[Zr\{Me_2Si(\eta^5-C_9H_5R-3)-1(\eta^5-C_5Me_4)Me_2]$ [R = CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂tBu (26)] have been synthesized by the reaction of the corresponding *ansa-zir*-conocene derivative with the alkyl Grignard reagent. The molecular structure of $[(Zr\{C_9H_6(CH_2CH_2CH_2O)-1\}Cl_2)_2(Zr-O)]$ (17a), a subproduct in the formation of 17 has been determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies. The indenylzirconium complexes 17–24 have been immobilized on partially dehydroxylated SiO₂, and these supported systems used to polymerize ethylene in the presence of methylaluminoxane. The catalytic activity of these compounds in both homogeneous and heterogeneous polymerization is reported. (© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, Germany, 2005)

these systems have generally been applied in solution. However, for most industrial applications, it is desirable to employ these catalysts in gas-phase reactions in order to take advantage of existing heterogeneous polymerization plant infrastructure and thus avoiding the costly modification needed for incorporating homogeneous systems. The singlesite catalysts therefore need to be immobilized on a solid support in order to be active in gas-phase processes. Supported single-site catalysts are presently being used worldwide in the annual production of 1 billion kg of polyethylene.

The most common method of applying metallocene compounds to heterogeneous polymerization is to support the complexes on inorganic solids (in most cases silica gel).^[5–7] There are four different routes to supported catalysts: (i) Direct adsorption of the metallocene on the carrier surface leading to physisorption or chemisorption of the metallocene (direct heterogenization). (ii) Direct adsorption of the metallocene/MAO adduct on the support. (iii) Initial adsorption of MAO to the support followed by adsorption of the metallocene (indirect heterogenization). (iv) Covalent bonding between the ligands of the metallocene complex and the carrier surface followed by activation with external MAO.

Several metallocene compounds containing functional groups linked to cyclopentadienyl or indenyl ligands have

 [[]a] Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Orgánica y Bioquímica, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Campus Universitario, 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain Fax: +34-926-295-318
E-mail: Antonio.Otero@uclm.es
[b] Departamento da Tecnología Química. Ambiental y de los Ma

[[]b] Departamento de Tecnología Química, Ambiental y de los Materiales, E.S.C.E.T., Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, 28933 Móstoles, Madrid, Spain Fax: +34-91-488-8143 E-mail: S.Prashar@escet.urjc.es

[[]c] Centro Tecnológico de Repsol-YPF, Carretera de Extremadura, Km. 18, 28931 Móstoles, Madrid, Spain

been described.^[8] One of the most promising methods to obtain supported catalysts comes from the reaction between the functional group of these metallocene complexes and another functional group on the solid surface (route iv). This option was applied to obtain some supported monoor bis(cyclopentadienyl) derivatives.^[8b,9–11] When silica is employed as a support, the surface hydroxy groups have frequently been used for immobilizing the catalyst although they can also cause some decomposition of the metallocene complex. A strained siloxane group generated by dehydroxylation has been shown to react with alkoxysilane groups,^[12] and this reactivity opens up the possibility of supporting metallocene complexes with pendant alkoxy or silyloxy groups on dehydroxylated silica.

In this paper we describe the synthesis and characterization of a series of alkoxy- and silyloxy-substituted indene compounds and their zirconium(IV) derivatives, and the successful immobilization of the metallocene catalysts on dehydroxylated silica.

Results and Discussion

The preparation of the substituted indene precursors C_9H_7R-3 [R = $CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3$ (1), $CH_2CH_2-CH_2OCH_2C_6H_5$ (2), $CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_2tBu$ (3)] was achieved by the reaction of BrCH_2CH_2CH_2OR (R = SiMe_3, CH_2C_6H_5, SiMe_2tBu) and indenyllithium [Equation (1)]. ¹H NMR spectroscopy showed the isolated product of 1–3 to be virtually exclusively the 3-isomer (>98%). The 1-H signal for 1–3 was observed as a doublet, at $\delta \approx 3.2$ ppm, corresponding to two protons and 2-H as a triplet, at $\delta \approx 6.1$ ppm, corresponding to one proton. In addition, 1–3 were characterized by electron impact mass spectrometry (see Exp. Sect.).



 $R = SiMe_3 (1), CH_2Ph (2), SiMe_2tBu (3)$ (1)

Compounds 1–3 were lithiated in the normal manner with *n*-butyllithium giving the lithium derivatives $Li(C_9H_6R-1)$ [R = CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃ (9), CH₂CH₂CH₂OCH₂C₆H₅ (10), CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂*t*Bu (11)]. Compounds 9–11 were treated with ZrCl₄ to yield the zirconocene complexes [Zr(η^5 -C₉H₆R-1)₂Cl₂] [R = CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃ (17), CH₂CH₂CH₂OCH₂Ph (18), CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂*t*Bu (19)] [Equation (2)].

The substituted indenyl ligands are prochiral and can yield two diastereomers for the zirconocene complexes. A *re,re* or *si,si* attachment gives rise to the two enantiomers of the *rac* diastereomer, whereas a *re,si* attachment leads to the *meso* diastereomer.^[13–15] The synthetic approach employed in this study gave, as shown by NMR spectroscopy, a 1:1 *rac/meso* isomer ratio.



 $R = SiMe_3(17), CH_2Ph(18), SiMe_2tBu(19)$ (2)

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 17-19 exhibit two sets of signals in a 1:1 ratio, corresponding to the presence of both distereomers (see Exp. Sect.). The characterization was simplified by the fact that the *rac* isomer has C_2 symmetry which makes the two indenyl rings equivalent. This is also true for the *meso* isomer because of a mirror plane. In the ¹H NMR spectra of 17–19, the protons in position 2 and 3 of the indenyl ligand showed only vicinal coupling and were thus observed as doublets in the ¹H NMR spectra. It was possible to assign the 2-H and 3-H signals to their respective diastereomers using NOE experiments. An interesting observation is that for a sample containing a mixture of the diastereomers, the "inner-pair" of 2-H and 3-H doublets were assigned to the rac isomer, whereas for the meso isomer the two doublets were the "outer-pair". Because of the complex nature caused by overlapping signals we were not able to assign the multiplet systems observed for the protons of both the pendant chain and the indenyl C₆ fragment to their respective diasteromers.

During the preparation of **17**, a minor product was, at times, detected. We were able to isolate this subproduct, $[(Zr\{C_9H_6(CH_2CH_2CH_2O)-1\}Cl_2)_2(Zr-O)]$ (**17a**), by fractional recrystallization and characterize it by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies. The molecular structure and atomic numbering scheme are shown in Figure 1. Selected bond lengths and angles for **17a** are given in Table 1.

The structure consists of a dinuclear complex of zirconium. The metal atoms are bound to the indenyl ligand in an η^5 mode, to the oxygen atoms of the pendant chains which bridge the two zirconium atoms, and to two chlorine atoms in a four-legged piano-stool conformation. The indenyl ligands are in a *trans* disposition.

During the preparation of **9** it is possible that a second lithiation occurs at the oxygen atom of the pendant chain with the elimination of *n*BuSiMe₃ to give Li[C₉H₆(CH₂-CH₂CH₂OLi)-1]. If this subproduct is present when the reaction of **9** is carried out with ZrCl₄, the presence of **17a** may be detected in the isolated product [Equation (3)].

The bridged bis(indene) compound, $\{Me_2Si[(C_9H_6-R-3)-1]_2\}$ (4) (R = CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃), was prepared by



Figure 1. Molecular structure and atom labelling scheme for $[(Zr{C_9H_6(CH_2CH_2CH_2O)-1}Cl_2)_2(Zr-O)]$ (17a) with thermal ellipsoids at 30% probability.

Table 1. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for **17a**; Cent(1) is the centroid of C(11)–C(15); * refers to the average bond length between Zr(1) and the carbon atoms of the C₅ ring of the indenyl moiety.

Zr(1)-Cent(1)	2.188
av Zr(1)–C[Cent(1)]*	2.486(9)
Zr(1)-Cl(1)	2.415(2)
Zr(1)-Cl(2)	2.447(2)
Zr(1)-O(1)	2.131(5)
Cent(1)–Zr(1)–O(1)	105.2
Cent(1)– $Zr(1)$ – $Cl(1)$	109.0
Cent(1)– $Zr(1)$ – $Cl(2)$	109.7
O(1) - Zr(1) - Cl(1)	88.13(15)
O(1) - Zr(1) - Cl(2)	143.8(2)
O(1)-Zr(1)-O(1)'	70.1(2)
Cl(1)-Zr(1)-Cl(2)	88.90(3)
Zr(1)-O(1)-C(3)	122.08(5)



the reaction of 2 equiv. of $BrCH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3$, with $Li_2[Me_2Si(C_9H_6)_2-1]$ and isolated as a mixture of its *meso* and *rac* isomers [Equation (4)].



¹H NMR spectroscopy showed the isolated product of **4** to be virtually exclusively (>98%) the symmetrically substituted indene isomer. In addition, **4** was characterized by electron impact mass spectrometry (see Exp. Sect.). Double deprotonation of **4** with2 equiv. of *n*-butyllithium gave the corresponding dilithium derivative, Li₂[Me₂Si(C₉H₅R-3)₂-1] (**12**) (R = CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃), whose reaction with [ZrCl₄(THF)₂] in toluene gave the *ansa*-indenyl complex, [Zr{Me₂Si(η⁵-C₉H₅R-3)₂-1}Cl₂] [R = CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃ (**20**)], exclusively as the *rac* isomer [Equation (5)]. Use of [ZrCl₄(THF)₂] as the zirconium source has been suggested to modify the ratio of the diastereomers formed.^[14] This proposal is reinforced by the observation that the reaction of **12** with ZrCl₄ gave **20** as a 3:2 *rac/meso* mixture.



Compound **20** was characterized by NMR spectroscopy. The proton signal assignments were made according to a procedure using ¹H and ¹³C NMR correlated spectroscopy (HETCOR) (see Exp. Sect.).

The preparation of the asymmetrically substituted *ansa* ligand precursors $[Me_2Si(C_9H_6R-3)-1(C_5HMe_4)]$ [R = CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃ (5), CH₂CH₂CH₂OCH₂C₆H₅ (6),

 $CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_2tBu$ (8)] was achieved by the reaction of $Me_2Si(C_5Me_4H)Cl$ and the corresponding lithium derivatives 9–12 [Equation (6)].



(6)

The reaction of **2** with an excess of *n*BuLi gave the lithium derivative Li[C₉H₆(CH₂CH=CH₂)-1] (**10a**) by a bimolecular elimination [Equation (7)]. The subsequent reaction of **10a** with Me₂Si(C₅Me₄H)Cl yielded the asymmetrically substituted *ansa* ligand precursor, {Me₂Si[C₉H₆-(CH₂CH=CH₂)-3]-1(C₅HMe₄)} (7). ¹H NMR spectroscopy showed the isolated products **5–8** to be virtually exclusively (>98%) the regioisomer where the SiMe₂ *ansa* bridge is situated in position 1 in both the indenyl and cyclopentadienyl moieties. In addition, **5–8** were characterized by electron impact mass spectrometry (see Exp. Sect.).



Compounds **5–8** were then lithiated in the normal way with *n*-butyllithium to give the corresponding dilithium derivatives, $Li_2[Me_2Si(C_9H_5R-3)-1(C_5Me_4)]$ [R = $CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3$ (13), $CH_2CH_2CH_2OCH_2C_6H_5$ (14), $CH_2CH=CH_2$ (15), $CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_2tBu$ (16)] whose reaction with [ZrCl₄(THF)₂] gave the *ansa*-zirconocene complexes [Zr{Me_2Si(\eta^5-C_9H_5R-3)-1(\eta^5-C_5Me_4)}Cl_2] [R = $CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3$ (21), $CH_2CH_2CH_2OCH_2C_6H_5$ (22), CH_2CHCH_2 (23), $CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_2tBu$ (24)] [Equation (8)].



When the lithiation reaction of **5** was carried out in the presence of excess of *n*-butyllithium, the trilithium derivative, $\text{Li}_2\{\text{Me}_2\text{Si}[\text{C}_9\text{H}_5(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OLi})-3]-1(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_4)\}$ (**13a**) was formed through the elimination of the SiMe₃ moiety [Equation (9)]. Compound **13a** was characterized by ¹H NMR spectroscopy which confirmed the loss of the SiMe₃ group. The reaction of **13a** with [ZrCl₄(THF)₂] gave the *ansa*-zirconocene complex [Zr(Me₂Si{ η^5 -C₉H₅-(CH₂CH₂CH₂O)-3}-1{ η^5 -C₅Me₄})Cl(*Zr*-*O*)] (**21a**), where the oxygen atom of the pendant chain is directly bonded to the metal center [Equation (9)].



Compounds **21–24** were characterized spectroscopically (see Exp. Sect.). The ¹H NMR spectra show the lack of symmetry in these chiral complexes, with four singlets being observed for the cyclopentadienyl methyl groups, two singlets for the *ansa*-bridge methyl groups, a singlet for the proton of the indenyl C₅ ring and four multiplets corresponding to the protons of the C₆ ring of the indenyl moiety. In addition signals were observed for the pendant alkyl chain.

The alkyl derivatives, $[Zr(Me_2Si\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH_2-CH_2O)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_5Me_4\})Me(Zr-O)]$ (25) and $[Zr\{Me_2Si-(\eta^5-C_9H_5R-3)-1(\eta^5-C_5Me_4)\}Me_2]$ [R = CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂OSi-

 Me_2tBu (26)] were prepared by the reaction of the Grignard reagent MeMgBr with the corresponding mono- or dihalo *ansa*-zirconocene complexes 21a and 24, respectively [Equations (10) and (11)]. Compounds 25 and 26 were charac-

FULL PAPER

20

 S_{i} Z_{r} C_{l} Z_{i} Z_{r} C_{l} Z_{r} Z_{r} C_{l} Z_{r} M_{e} M_{e

terized by spectroscopic methods (see Exp. Sect.). The 1 H NMR spectrum for 25 is similar to that recorded for its parent compound 21a but in addition a singlet for the metal-bonded methyl group is observed. For 26, two signals, assigned to the inequivalent metal-bonded methyl groups, were recorded.

Figure 2 shows IR spectra corresponding to the reaction between a toluene solution of $[Zr{Me_2Si(\eta^5-C_9H_5R-3) 1(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{4})$ [R = CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂*t*Bu (17)] and the surface of a partially dehydroxilated silica, after removal of solvent (see Exp. Sect.). The spectrum of silica preheated at 773 K has a sharp band at 3747 cm⁻¹ (assigned to isolated OH groups) with a small broad shoulder at 3670 cm⁻¹. which can be attributed to silanols that are perturbed due to interparticle contact^[16] or to OH groups retained deep within the pores^[17] (Figure 2a). The magnitude of the silanol number, which is independent of the origin and structural characteristics of amorphous silica, is considered to be ca. 1.8 OH nm⁻² for a silica dehydroxylated at 733 K.^[18] Bands at 1866 cm⁻¹ and 1640 cm⁻¹ are combination and overtone bands of Si-O network bonds. After contact with the metallocene solution and removal of the solvent (Figure 2b), new bands can be seen in the 2900 and 1400 cm^{-1} regions and these correspond to stretching and bending modes of the cyclopentadienyl ligands. The OH groups that were initially isolated are now partially perturbed, generating broad v(OH) bands at lower frequencies due to an interaction with the cyclopentadienyl π -electron system through



Figure 2. (a) SiO₂ dehydroxylated; (b) after grafting of 17; (c) after addition of MAO; (d) after contact with C_2H_4 .

26

(11)

hydrogen bonding.^[19] The total intensity of the band due to the hydroxy groups was slightly modified after the addition of an excess of metallocene solution, a situation also observed by dos Santos and co-workers in the reaction between partially dehydroxylated silica and $[Zr(\eta^5-C_5H_4$ $nBu)_2Cl_2].^{[20]}$

It is well established that the surface hydroxy group density on silica decreases with thermal activation as a result of an increase in the number of siloxane reactive bridges. These strained groups can activate covalent bonds such as the Si–O bond in silicon ethers or N–H in ammonia.^[21] We could propose a similar behavior with our silicon ether metallocene derivatives. Similar results were found in the reaction of $[Zr(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Cl_2]$ and dehydroxylated silica.^[22]

The behavior of the catalyst system after the addition of the MAO cocatalyst was also studied by FT-IR spectroscopy. The IR spectrum of a sample of 17-SiO₂ treated with MAO (Al/Zr = 100) is shown in Figure 2c. After addition of MAO at room temperature, the infrared band attributed to the isolated silanol groups (at 3747 cm⁻¹) disappeared completely, indicating a reaction between some basic methyl groups of MAO and the acidic silanol moieties of the silica. New infrared bands were observed in the v(CH)region (one intense band at 2940 cm⁻¹ and three weak bands at 2898, 2856 and 2838 cm⁻¹). These signals were assigned to stretching vibrations of the C-H bonds of the methyl groups in MAO. The grafted MAO should be able to activate neighboring surface zirconocene molecules.^[23] The catalytic activity of 17-SiO₂, after treatment with MAO, towards ethylene polymerization was confirmed, using FT-IR spectroscopy, by monitoring the appearance of vibrations due to polyethylene in the regions 3000-2800 and 1500–1300 cm⁻¹ (Figure 2d). Intense bands were observed at 2970, 2850 and 1380 cm⁻¹, which correspond to the v(CH) and δ (CH) vibrations of polyethylene, respectively.

The polymerization of ethylene, under homogeneous conditions and using 9-13 and 15-17 as the catalyst, with metal/MAO catalyst ratios of 1:100 (in order to compare with supported catalysts) or 1:500, has been carried out. The polymerization experiments were carried out at 70 °C and at an olefin pressure of 1.5 bar. The results of the experiments are given in Table 2.

In all the cases, as expected, higher activities were found for the 500:1 Al/Zr ratio. The highest catalytic activities were recorded for **19**, **22** and **23**. In comparison, **20** gave a notably low catalytic activity. The activities recorded for the *ansa*-zirconocene complexes **22** and **23** are higher than their unsubstituted analogue, $[Zr\{Me_2Si(\eta^5-C_9H_6)(\eta^5-C_5Me_4)\}$ -Cl₂], although **24** gave a similar value. Only compound **19** shows a higher value than its corresponding unsubstituted reference complex.

The complexes 17–24 were supported on dehydroxylated silica and, in the presence of MAO (Zr/Al 1:100), were employed as heterogeneous catalysts in the polymerization of ethylene. The experiments were carried out at 70 °C and at an olefin pressure of 1.5 bar and the results are given in Table 3.

The amount of supported metallocene was verified by surface elemental analyses (Zr). The average results of several samples are summarized in Table 3.

Supported substituted complexes 17, 21, and 24 show similar or higher activities than their reference unsubstituted complexes. We could propose that, at least in these cases, more active surface species were obtained than those obtained from "conventional" unsubstituted complexes. Later complexes could be fixed on the surface by reaction between Zr–Cl moieties and Si–OH acidic groups, to give strong Zr–O–Si bonding, hard to activate by MAO. In contrast, the new complexes could be fixed by the reaction be-

Table 2. Homogeneous ethylene polymerization results for 17–24, [Zr(η^5 -C₉H₇)₂Cl₂], [Zr{Me₂Si(η^5 -C₉H₆)₂-1,1'}Cl₂] and [Zr{Me₂Si(η^5 -C₉H₆)(η^5 -C₅Me₄)}Cl₂] as references. Polymerization conditions: 70 °C, 1.5 bar monomer pressure, 33 µmol Zr, 250 mL toluene, $t_{Pol} = 30$ min.

Complex	Activity ^[a,c]	Activity ^[a,b]
$\overline{[Zr(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{7})_{2}Cl_{2}]}$	598	352
$[Zr(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{6}R-1)_{2}Cl_{2}]$ R = CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CSiMe ₃ (17)	287	21
$[Zr(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{6}R-1)_{2}Cl_{2}]$ R = CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OCH ₂ Ph (18)	388	145
$[Zr(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{6}R-1)_{2}Cl_{2}]$ R = CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OSiMe ₂ tBu (19)	725	76
$[Zr\{Me_2Si(\eta^5-C_9H_6)_2-1,1'\}Cl_2]$	485	280
rac -[Zr{Me ₂ Si(η^{5} -C ₉ H ₅ R-3) ₂ -1,1'}Cl ₂] R = CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OSiMe ₃ (20)	88	26
$[Zr{Me_2Si(\eta^5-C_9H_6)(\eta^5-C_5Me_4)}Cl_2]$	558	180
$[Zr\{Me_{2}Si(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{5}R-3)-1(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{4}\}Cl_{2}]$ R = CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OSiMe ₃ (21)	212	114
$[Zr\{Me_{2}Si(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{5}R-3)-1(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{4}\}Cl_{2}]$ R = CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OCH ₂ Ph (22)	831	115
$[Zr\{Me_{2}Si(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{5}R-3)-1(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{4}\}Cl_{2}]$ R = CH ₂ CHCH ₂ (23)	787	387
$[Zr\{Me_{2}Si(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{5}R-3)-1(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{4}\}Cl_{2}]$ R = CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CSiMe ₂ tBu (24)	525	259

[a] In kg PE (molZr)h⁻¹. [b] Al/Zr 100:1. [c] Al/Zr 500:1.

Table 3. Heterogeneous ethylene polymerization results. Polymerization conditions: 70 °C, 1.5 bar monomer pressure, 250 mL toluene, 33 μ mol Zr (approx. 300 mg of supported catalyst), Al/Zr 100:1, $t_{Pol} = 30$ min.

Complex	% Zr	Activity ^[a]
$[Zr(\eta^5-C_9H_7)_2Cl_2]$	0.60	96
$[Zr(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{6}R-1)_{2}Cl_{2}]$ R = CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CSiMe ₃ (17)	0.81	85
$[Zr(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{6}R-1)_{2}Cl_{2}]$ R = CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OCH ₂ Ph (18)	0.67	15
$[Zr(\eta^5-C_9H_6R-1)_2Cl_2]$ R = CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OSiMe ₂ <i>t</i> Bu (19)	0.72	32
$[Zr\{Me_2Si(\eta^5-C_9H_6)_2-1,1'\}Cl_2]$	0.84	104
$rac-[Zr{Me_2Si(\eta^5-C_9H_5R-3)_2-1,1'}Cl_2]$ R = CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3 (20)	0.85	19
$[Zr\{Me_2\tilde{S}i(\eta^5-C_9\tilde{H}_6)(\eta^5-C_5Me_4)\}Cl_2]$	0.94	97
$[Zr\{Me_{2}Si(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{5}R-3)-1(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{4}\}Cl_{2}]$ R = CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CSiMe ₃ (21)	0.76	122
$[Zr\{Me_{2}Si(\eta^{5}-C_{9}H_{5}R-3)-1(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{4}\}Cl_{2}]$ R = CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OCH ₂ Ph (22)	0.89	35
$\begin{bmatrix} Zr \{ Me_2 \tilde{S}i(\eta^5 - C_9 \tilde{H}_5 R - 3) - 1(\eta^5 - C_5 Me_4 \} Cl_2 \end{bmatrix}$ R = CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OSiMe ₂ tBu (24)	0.82	199

[a] In kg PE $(mol Zr)h^{-1}$.



Scheme 1. Proposed mechanism in the immobilization of the catalyst at the siloxane bridge and its subsequent activation with MAO.

tween the $(CH_2)_2OR$ group and the siloxane bridges, to give surface species, which remember the structure of the analogous molecular complexes, to give more active catalysts (Scheme 1).

In addition, it is noteworthy that supported complexes **17**, **21** and **24** show similar or higher activities than their corresponding homogeneous catalysts, with a 100:1 Al/Zr ratio, showing both the advantages of supporting the complexes (reduced MAO addition to activate), and the use of pendant reactive chains (more active species).

Conclusions

We have carried out the synthesis of indenyl-containing zirconium complexes with pendant chains capable of interacting with dehydroxylated silica. We have successfully immobilized the complexes on silica and tested the activity of these supported catalysts in the polymerization of ethylene and compared the results with the reference unsubstituted complexes.

Experimental Section

General Remarks: All reactions were prepared using standard Schlenk tube techniques under dry nitrogen. Solvents were distilled from appropriate drying agents and degassed before use. [ZrCl₄(THF)₂], ZrCl₄, C₉H₈, Me₂Si(C₅HMe₄)Cl, SiMe₂Cl₂, BrCH₂CH₂CH₂OH and (Me₃Si)₂NH were purchased from Aldrich and used directly. Grace Davison XPO 2407 silica (200 m²/g, according to data from supplier) was dehydroxylated under vacuum (10⁻² Torr) at 773 K for 16 h, cooled and stored under dry nitrogen.

Ethylene (Alphagaz), iBu₃Al (TIBA, Aldrich) and MAO (EURE-CENE, 10, Crompton) were used without further purification. ¹H and ¹³C spectra were recorded with Varian UNITY FT-300, Varian GEMINY FT-400 and Varian INNOVA FT-500 spectrometers and referenced to the residual deuterated solvent. Microanalyses were carried out with a Perkin-Elmer 2400 microanalyzer. Mass spectrometric analyses were performed with a Vg Autospec instrument or a Hewlett–Packard 5988A (m/z = 50-1000) (electron impact). Infrared spectra were recorded with a Nicolet Magna 550-FT spectrophotometer using an infrared cell equipped with CaF₂ or KBr windows; this setup allowed in situ studies. A total of 32 scans were typically accumulated for each spectrum (resolution 2 cm^{-1}). The samples consisted of ca. 20 mg of silica pressed into a self-supported disc of 1 cm diameter. The samples were dehydroxylated at 773 K for 16 h. Zirconocene grafting was performed using a 10⁻² M solution of the metallocene in toluene to give approximately 1% Zr/SiO₂. The samples were warmed at 333 K for 1 h, washed with toluene and dried in vacuo at 343 K until no further change was observed (sublimation of the excess complex was observed).

Preparation of C9H7(CH2CH2CH2OSiMe3)-3 (1): BrCH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃ (9.04 g, 43.04 mmol) was added to a solution of Li(C₉H₇) (5.25 g, 43.04 mmol) in Et₂O at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 15 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo and hexane (100 mL) was added. The mixture was filtered and the solvent removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure to yield the title compound as a yellow oil. Compound 1 was purified by flash distillation (8.47 g, 80%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 0.20 (s, 9 H, OSiMe₃), 1.65 and 2.08 (2 m, each 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 3.40 (m, 2 H, 1-H), 3.82 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2 H, CH₂OSiMe₃), 6.32 (m, 1 H, 2-H), 7.26 and 7.33 (2 t, J = 7.3 Hz, each 1 H, 5-H and 8-H), 7.50 and 7.58 (2 d, J = 7.3 Hz, each 1 H, 6-H and 7-H) ppm. EI MS: m/z (%) = 246 (30) [M⁺, C₉H₇(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃)⁺], 130 (100) $[M^+ - C_9H_7]$, 115 (24) $[M^+ - CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3]$.

Preparation of C₉H₇(CH₂CH₂CH₂OCH₂Ph)-3 (2): The synthesis of 2 was carried out in an identical manner to 1: $Li(C_9H_7)$ (5.25 g, 43.04 mmol) and BrCH₂CH₂CH₂OCH₂Ph (12.87 g, 43.04 mmol). Yield (7.39 g, 65%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 2.43 and 2.76 (2 m, each 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 3.41 (m, 2 H, 1-H), 3.66 $(t, J = 6.3 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, CH_2\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph}), 4.62 (s, 2 \text{ H}, CH_2\text{OCH}_2\text{Ph}),$ 6.29 (m, 1 H, 2-H), 7.29-7.56 (m, 9 H, 5-H-8-H and OCH₂Ph) ppm. EI MS: m/z(%) = 264 (27)[M⁺, $C_9H_7(CH_2CH_2CH_2OCH_2Ph)^+$], 173 (100) [M⁺ – CH₂Ph], 91 (40) $[M^+ - C_9H_7CH_2CH_2CH_2O].$

Preparation of C₉H₇(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂ *t***Bu)-3 (3): The synthesis of 3 was carried out in an identical manner to 1: Li(C₉H₇) (5.25 g, 43.04 mmol) and BrCH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂***t***Bu (10.90 g, 43.04 mmol). Yield (7.81 g, 63%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): \delta = 0.18 (s, 6 H, OSiMe₂***t***Bu), 1.00 (s, 9 H, OSiMe₂***tBu***), 2.01 and 2.68 (2 m, each 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 3.38 (m, 2 H, 1-H), 3.79 (t,** *J* **= 6.3 Hz, 2 H, CH₂OSiMe₂***t***Bu), 6.28 (m, 1 H, 2-H), 7.58 and 7.65 (2 t,** *J* **= 7.3 Hz, each 1 H, 5-H and 8-H), 7.80 and 7.86 (2 d,** *J* **= 7.3 Hz, each 1 H, 6-H and 7-H) ppm. EI MS:** *m/z* **(%) = 287 (7) [M⁺, C₉H₇(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂***t***Bu)⁺], 231 (100) [M⁺ –** *t***Bu], 115 (45) [M⁺ – CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂***t***Bu].**

Preparation of $\{Me_2Si([C_9H_6(CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3)-3]_2-1,1'\}$ (4): BrCH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃ (8.37 g, 38.14 mmol) was added to a solution of Li₂[Me₂Si(C₉H₆)₂] (5.73 g, 19.07 mmol) in Et₂O (50 mL) at -78 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm to 25 °C and stirred for 15 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo and hexane (100 mL) was added. The mixture was filtered and the solvent removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure to yield the title compound as a yellow oil. Compound 4 was purified by flash distillation (6.28 g, 60%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, two isomers): δ = 0.06 and 0.07 (2 s, each 18 H, 4×OSiMe3), -0.64 and -0.22 [2 s, each 3 H, SiMe₂ (meso)], -0.49 [s, 6 H, SiMe₂ (rac)], 1.75 and 2.50 (2 m, each 8 H, $4 \times CH_2CH_2CH_2O$), 3.24 and 3.35 (2 d, J = 1.6 Hz, each 2 H, 4×1-H), 3.54 (m, 8 H, 4×CH₂OSiMe₃), 5.97 and 6.17 (2 d, J = 1.6 Hz, each 2 H, 4×2-H), 7.14–7.46 (m, 16 H, 4×5-H, 6-H, 7-H and 8-H) ppm. EI MS: m/z (%) = 548 (17) [M⁺, Me₂- $Si(C_9H_6CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3)_2^+$], 230 (70) [M⁺ C₉H₆CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃ - SiMe₃], 130 (100) [M⁺ - Me₂Si-(C₉H₆CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃)(C₉H₆)].

Preparation of {Me₂Si[C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃)-3]-1(C₅HMe₄)} (5): ClMe₂Si(C₅HMe₄) (4.43 g, 20.8 mmol) in Et₂O (50 mL) was added to a solution of $Li[C_9H_6(CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3)-1]$ (9) (5.25 g, 20.80 mmol) in Et_2O (50 mL) at –78 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 15 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo and hexane (100 mL) was added to the resulting yellow oil. The mixture was filtered and the solvent removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure to yield the title compound as a yellow oil (6.19 g, 70%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = -0.31 and -0.59 (2 s, each 3 H, SiMe₂), 0.01 (s, 9 H, OSiMe₃), 1.68, 1.73, 1.86 and 1.88 (4 s, each 3 H, C₅Me₄), 1.80 and 2.50 (2 m, each 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 2.91 and 3.39 (2 m, each 1 H, C_5HMe_4 and 1-H), 3.56 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2 H, CH_2OSi -Me₃), 6.10 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 7.04–7.34 (m, 4 H, 5-H–8-H) ppm. ΕI MS: m|z(%) = 424 (14)[M⁺, $\{Me_2Si[C_9H_6(CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3)](C_5HMe_4)\}^+], 303 (24) [M^+ C_5HMe_4$], 179 (100) [M⁺ – $C_9H_6CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3$].

Preparation of {Me₂Si[C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₂OCH₂Ph)-3]-1(C₅HMe₄)} (6): The synthesis of 6 was carried out in an identical manner to 5. ClMe₂Si(C₅HMe₄) (4.33 g, 20.30 mmol) and Li[C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂-CH₂OCH₂Ph)-1] (5.12 g, 20.30 mmol). Yield 5.39 g, 60%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = -0.55 and -0.27 (2 s, each 3 H, Si*Me*₂), 1.77, 1.89, 1.91 and 1.92 (4 s, each 3 H, C₅*Me*₄), 1.82 and 2.56 (2 m, each 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2O$), 2.94 and 3.22 (2 m, each 1 H, C_5HMe_4 and 1-H), 3.49 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2 H, CH_2OCH_2Ph), 4.44 (m, 2 H, OCH_2Ph), 6.13 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 7.02–7.33 (m, 9 H, 5-H–8-H and OCH_2Ph) ppm. EI MS: m/z (%) = 442 (26) [M⁺, {Me_2Si[C_9H_6(CH_2CH_2CH_2OCH_2Ph)](C_5HMe_4)}^+], 321 (15) [M⁺ - C_5HMe_4], 179 (100) [M⁺ - C_9H_6CH_2CH_2OCH_2Ph].

Preparation of $\{Me_2Si(C_9H_6(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3)-1(C_5HMe_4)\}$ (7): The synthesis of 7 was carried out in an identical manner to 5 $ClMe_2Si(C_5HMe_4)$ (3.97 g, 10.15 mmol) and $Li[C_9H_6(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1]$ (10a) (1.64 g, 10.15 mmol). Yield 2.55 g, 75%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = -0.59 and -0.28 (2 s, each 3 H, SiMe₂), 1.67, 1.72, 1.84 and 1.88 (4 s, each 3 H, C₅Me₄), 2.88 and 3.20 (2 m, each 1 H, C₅HMe₄ and 1-H), 3.22 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 5.06 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 5.87 (m, 1 H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 6.08 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 7.00–7.27 (m, 4 H, 5-H–8-H) ppm. EI MS: m/z (%) = 334 (12) [M⁺, $\{Me_2Si[C_9H_6(CH_2CH=CH_2)](C_5HMe_4)\}^+\}, 213 (41) [M^+]$ C_5HMe_4], 179 (100) [M⁺ – $C_9H_6CH_2CH=CH_2$].

Preparation of {Me₂Si[C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂tBu)-3]-1(C₅HMe₄)} (8): The synthesis of 8 was carried out in an identical manner to 5. ClMe₂Si(C₅HMe₄) (4.11 g, 16.98 mmol) and Li[C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂tBu)-1] (5.10 g, 16.98 mmol). Yield 5.35 g, 60%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = -0.58 and -0.28 (2 s, each 3 H, SiMe₂), -0.05 (s, 6 H, OSiMe₂tBu), 0.80 (s, 9 H, OSiMe₂tBu), 1.74, 1.78, 1.87 and 1.89 (4 s, each 3 H, C₅Me₄), 1.82 and 2.54 (2 m, each 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 2.93 and 3.39 (2 m, each 1 H, C₅HMe₄ and 1-H), 3.60 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2 H, CH₂OSi-Me₂tBu), 6.10 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 7.05-7.31 (m, 4 H, 5-H– 8-H) ppm. EI MS: *m/z* (%) = 467 (25) [M⁺, {Me₂Si[C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂tBu)](C₅HMe₄)}⁺], 345 (35) [M⁺ - C₅HMe₄], 179 (100) [M⁺ - C₉H₆CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂tBu].

Preparation of Li[C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃)-1] (9): *n*BuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (15.22 mL, 24.35 mmol) was added to a solution of 1 (5.00 g, 20.29 mmol) in Et₂O (100 mL) at -78 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm to 25 °C and stirred for 15 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo to give a yellow solid which was washed with hexane (2×50 mL) and dried under vacuum to yield a yellow solid of the title complex (4.35 g, 85%). C₁₅H₂₁LiOSi (252.4): calcd. C 71.39, H 8.39; found C 71.03, H 8.30.

Preparation of Li[C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₂OCH₂Ph)-1] (10): The synthesis of 10 was carried out in an identical manner to 9: 2 (5.25 g, 19.86 mmol) and *n*BuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (14.90 mL, 23.83 mmol). Yield (3.49 g, 65%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆/[D₈]-THF, 25 °C): δ = 2.01 and 3.05 (2 m, each 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 3.45 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2 H, CH₂OCH₂Ph), 4.19 (s, 2 H, CH₂OCH₂Ph), 6.08 and 6.58 (2 m, each 1 H, 3-H and 2-H), 6.77–7.62 (m, 9 H, 5-H–8-H and OCH₂Ph) ppm. C₁₉H₁₉LiO (270.29): calcd. C 84.43, H 7.09; found C 84.63, H 7.07.

Preparation of Li[C₉H₆(CH₂CH=CH₂)-1] (10a): The synthesis of 10a was carried out in an identical manner to 9: 2 (5.25 g, 33.60 mmol) and *n*BuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (63.00 mL, 100.80 mmol). Yield (4.08 g, 75%).¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆/[D₈]-THF, 25 °C): δ = 3.75 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 4.92 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 6.20 (m, 1 H, CH₂CHCH₂), 6.13 and 6.60 (2 m, each 1 H, 3-H and 2-H), 6.81–7.64 (m, 4 H, 5-H–8-H) ppm. C₁₂H₁₁Li (162.2): calcd. C 88.88, H 6.84; found C 88.52, H 6.75.

Preparation of Li[C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂*t*Bu)-1] (11): The synthesis of 11 was carried out in an identical manner to 9: 3 (5.00 g, 17.33 mmol) and *n*BuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (13.00 mL, 20.80 mmol). Yield (3.67 g, 72%). $C_{18}H_{27}LiOSi$ (294.4): calcd. C 73.43, H 9.24; found C 73.11, H 9.27.

FULL PAPER

Preparation of Li₂[Me₂Si(C₉H₅R-3)₂-1] (R = CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃) (12): The synthesis of 12 was carried out in an identical manner to 9: 4 (5.00 g, 9.11 mmol) and *n*BuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (13.67 mL, 21.86 mmol). Yield (4.00 g, 78%). $C_{32}H_{46}Li_2O_2Si_3$ (560.8): calcd. C 68.53, H 8.27; found C 68.21, H 8.24.

Preparation of Li₂[Me₂Si(C₉H₅R-3)-1(C₅Me₄)] (R = CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃) (13): The synthesis of 13 was carried out in an identical manner to 9: 5 (5.00 g, 11.77 mmol) and *n***BuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (17.65 mL, 28.25 mmol). Yield (4.16 g, 81%). C₂₆H₃₈Li₂OSi₂ (436.6): calcd. C 71.52, H 8.77; found C 71.33, H 8.71.**

Preparation of Li₂{Me₂Si[C₉H₅(CH₂CH₂CH₂OLi)-3]-1(C₅Me₄)} (13a): The synthesis of 13a was carried out in an identical manner to 9: 5 (5.00 g, 11.77 mmol) and *n*BuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (22.10 mL, 35.31 mmol). Yield (3.13 g, 72%).¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆/[D₈]THF, 25 °C): δ = -0.30 and -0.58 (2 s, each 3 H, Si*Me*₂), 1.68, 1.70, 1.82 and 1.86 (4 s, each 3 H, C₅*Me*₄), 1.76 and 2.59 (2 m, each 2 H, C*H*₂C*H*₂CH₂O), 3.53 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2 H, C*H*₂OSi-Me₃), 6.14 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 6.98 (m, 2 H, 5-H, 8-H), 7.29 (m, 2 H, 6-H, 7-H) ppm. C₂₃H₂₉Li₃OSi (370.40): calcd. C 74.58, H 7.89; found C 74.12, H 7.92.

Preparation of Li₂[Me₂Si(C₉H₅R-3)-1(C₅Me₄)] (R = CH₂CH₂CH₂OCH₂Ph) (14): The synthesis of 14 was carried out in an identical manner to 9: 6 (5.00 g, 10.57 mmol) and *n***BuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (15.85 mL, 25.36 mmol). Yield (3.27 g, 68%). C_{30}H_{36}Li_{2}OSi (454.6): calcd. C 79.27, H 7.98; found C 79.34, H 7.96.**

Preparation of Li₂[Me₂Si(C₉H₅R-3)-1(C₅Me₄)] (R = CH₂CH=CH₂) (15): The synthesis of 14 was carried out in an identical manner to 9: 7 (5.00 g, 14.95 mmol) and *n*BuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (22.43 mL, 35.88 mmol). Yield (4.14 g, 80%). C₂₃H₂₈Li₂Si (346.4): calcd. C 79.74, H 8.15; found C 79.29, H 8.11.

Preparation of Li₂[Me₂Si(C₉H₅R-3)-1(C₅Me₄)] (R = CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂*t***Bu) (16): The synthesis of 13 was carried out in an identical manner to 9: 8 (5.00 g, 10.71 mmol) and** *n***BuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (16.10 mL, 25.70 mmol). Yield (4.00 g, 78%). C_{29}H_{44}Li_2OSi_2 (478.7): calcd. C 72.76, H 9.26; found C 72.54, H 9.17.**

Preparation of $[Zr{\eta^5-C_9H_6(CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3)-1}_2Cl_2]$ (17): Toluene (100 mL) was added to a solid mixture of ZrCl₄ (1.15 g, 4.95 mmol) and Li[C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃)-1] (9) (2.50 g, 9.90 mmol). The resulting pale yellow solution was stirred for 15 h. The mixture was filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo to yield a yellow oil of the title complex (1.87 g, 58%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, two isomers): $\delta = 0.15$, 0.18 (2 s, each 18 H, $4 \times OSiMe_3$, 1.60–2.00 and 2.60–3.15 (2 m, each 8 H, $4 \times CH_2CH_2CH_2O$), 3.45–3.80 (2 m, each 4 H, $4 \times CH_2OSiMe_3$), 5.75 (d, J = 3 Hz, 2 H, meso-3-H), 5.88 (d, J = 3 Hz, 2 H, rac-3-H), 6.13 (d, J = 3 Hz, 2 H, rac-2-H), 6.37 (d, J = 3 Hz, 2 H, meso-2-H), 7.20–7.70 (m, 16 H, 4×5-H–8-H) ppm. $^{13}\mathrm{C}\{^{1}\mathrm{H}\}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, two isomers): $\delta = -0.3$ (OSiMe₃), 24.3 and 24.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 30.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 61.9 (CH₂OSiMe₃), 99.1 (meso-C-3), 99.29 (rac-C-3), 120.2 (meso-C-2), 121.8 (rac-C-2), 118.8-139.0 (C-5-C-8) (C-4, C-9 not observed) ppm. EI MS: m/z $(\%) = 652 (13) [M^+, Zr(C_9H_6CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3)_2Cl_2^+], 297$ (100) $[M^+ - C_9H_6CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_3 - Cl]$. $C_{30}H_{42}Cl_2O_2Si_2Zr$ (652.95): calcd. C 55.18, H 6.48; found C 55.36, H 6.45.

Preparation of [Zr{η⁵-C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₂OCH₂Ph)-1}₂Cl₂] (18): The synthesis of **18** was carried out in identical manner to **17**. ZrCl₄ (1.16 g, 4.96 mmol) and Li[C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₂OCH₂Ph)-1] **10** (2.50 g, 9.91 mmol). Yield: 2.33 g, 68%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, two isomers): $\delta = 1.84-2.07$ and 2.40-3.13 (2 m, each 8 H, $4 \times CH_2CH_2CH_2O$, 3.36–3.62 (m, 8 H, 4×CH₂OCH₂Ph), 4.03–4.58 (2 m, each 4 H, 4×OCH₂Ph), 5.68 (d, J = 3 Hz, 2 H, meso-3-H), 5.88 (d, J = 3 Hz, 2 H, rac-3-H), 6.10 (d, J = 3 Hz, 2 H, rac-2-H), 6.33 (d, J = 3 Hz, 2 H, meso-2-H), 7.14–7.68 (m, 36 H, 4×5 -H–8-H and $4 \times OCH_2Ph$) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, two isomers): $\delta = 24.4$ and 24.7 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 29.9 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 69.4 (CH₂OCH₂Ph), 72.9 (OCH₂Ph), 99.1 (meso-C-3), 99.3 (rac-C-3), 120.4 (meso-C-2), 122.0 (rac-C-2), 117.9-144.4 (C-5-C-8 and OCH₂Ph) (C-4, C-9 not observed) ppm. EI MS: m/z (%) = 688 (15) [M⁺, Zr(C₉H₆CH₂CH₂CH₂OCH₂Ph)₂Cl₂⁺], 388 (73) $[M^+]$ $C_9H_6CH_2CH_2CH_2OCH_2Ph - Cl],$ 261 (100) $[M^+]$ $C_9H_6CH_2CH_2CH_2OCH_2Ph\ -\ 2\ Cl\ -\ CH_2Ph].\ C_{38}H_{38}Cl_2O_2Zr$ (688.84): calcd. C 66.26, H 5.56; found C 66.46, H 5.52.

Preparation of $[Zr{\eta^5-C_9H_6(CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_2tBu)-1}_2Cl_2]$ (19): The synthesis of 19 was carried out in identical manner to 17. ZrCl₄ (0.99 g, 4.25 mmol) and Li[C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂tBu)-1] (11) (2.50 g, 8.49 mmol). Yield: 1.66 g, 53%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, two isomers): $\delta = 0.03$, 0.05 (2 s, each 12 H, OSiMe₂), 0.89, 0.91 (2 s, each 18 H, OSiMe₂tBu), 1.57-1.87 and 2.62–3.04 (2 m, each 8 H, $4 \times CH_2CH_2CH_2O$), 3.57–3.71 (m, 8 H, $4 \times CH_2OSiMe_2tBu$), 5.68 (d, J = 3 Hz, 2 H, meso-3-H), 5.84 (d, J = 3 Hz, 2 H, rac-3-H), 6.07 (d, J = 3 Hz, 2 H, rac-2-H), 6.30 (d, J= 3 Hz, H, meso-2-H), 7.16-7.63 (m, 16 H, 4×5-H-8-H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, two isomers): $\delta = -5.2$ (OSi-Me2tBu), 24.1 and 24.4 (CH2CH2CH2O), 26.0 (OSiMe2tBu), 32.3 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 62.3 (CH₂OSiMe₂tBu), 99.0 (meso-C-3), 99.2 (rac-C-3), 120.39 (meso-C-2), 212.89 (rac-C-2), 120.5-139.3 (C-5-C-8) (C-4, C-9 not observed) ppm. EI MS: m/z (%) = 737 (15) [M⁺ $Zr(C_9H_6CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_2tBu)_2Cl_2^+$, 699 (14) [M⁺ – Cl], 261 (100) $[M^+ - C_9H_6CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_2tBu - Cl - SiMe_2tBu].$ C₃₆H₅₄Cl₂O₂Si₂Zr (773.11): calcd. C 58.66, H 7.38; found C 58.39, H 7.33.

Preparation of rac-[Zr(Me₂Si{n⁵-C₉H₅(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃)-3}₂-1,1')Cl2l (20): Toluene (100 mL) was added to a solid mixture of $[ZrCl_4(THF)_2]$ (2.06 g, 5.47 mmol) and $Li_2\{Me_2Si[C_9H_5-$ (CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃)-3]₂-1,1'} (12) (3.09 g, 5.47 mmol). The resulting pale yellow solution was stirred for 15 h. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate concentrated (10 mL) and cooled to -30 °C to yield an orange solid of the title complex (1.16 g, 30%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 0.09$ (s, 18 H, 2×OSiMe₃), 1.12 (s, 6 H, Si Me_2), 1.77 and 2.86 (2 m, each 4 H, $4 \times CH_2CH_2CH_2O$), 3.55 (m, 4 H, $2 \times CH_2OSiMe_3$), 5.81 (s, 2 H, 2×2 -H), 7.05 and 7.30 (2 t, J = 8.6 Hz, each 2 H, 2×6 -H and 7-H), 7.40 and 7.53 (2 d, J = 8.6 Hz, each 2 H, 2×5-H and 8-H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = -1.2$ (SiMe₂), -0.2 (OSiMe₃), 24.7, (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 32.9 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 62.3 (CH2OSiMe3), 77.4 and 85.4 (C-1 and C-3) (C-4, C-9 not observed), 117.2 (C-2), 124.5, 124.9, 126.7 and 126.8 (C-5-C-8) ppm. C₃₂H₄₆Cl₂O₂SiZr (709.1): calcd. C 54.20, H 6.54; found C 54.35, H 6.57.

Preparation of [**Zr(Me₂Si{\eta^{5}-C₉H₅(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃)-3}-1{\eta^{5}-C₅Me₄})Cl₂] (21): Toluene (100 mL) was added to a solid mixture of [ZrCl₄(THF)₂] (2.46 g, 6.53 mmol) and Li₂{Me₂Si[C₉H₅-(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃)-3]-1(C₅Me₄} (13) (2.57 g, 6.53 mmol). The resulting pale yellow solution was stirred for 15 h. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate concentrated to 10 mL and cooled to -30 °C to yield yellow solid of the title complex (0.76 g, 20%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): \delta = 0.08 (s, 9 H, OSi***Me***₃), 0.94 and 1.17 (2 s, each 3 H, Si***Me***₂), 1.90, 1.94, 1.95 and 2.00 (4 s, each 3 H, C₅***Me***₄), 1.98 and 3.00 (2 m, each 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 3.63 (m, 2**

H, CH_2OSiMe_3), 5.59 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 7.06 and 7.33 (2 t, J = 8.6 Hz, each 1 H, 6-H and 7-H), 7.45 and 7.57 (2 d, J = 8.6 Hz, each 1 H, 5-H and 8-H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} (125 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = -0.5$ (OSi Me_3), 1.0 and 1.1 (Si Me_2), 12.1, 12.6, 14.6, 15.3 (C₅ Me_4), 24.9 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 32.7 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 62.1 (CH₂OSiMe₃), 116.3 (C-2), 124.3 and 125.4 (C-5 and C-8), 126.1 and 126.3 (C-6 and C-7), 84.3, 95.6, (C-1, C-3) (C-4, C-9 not observed), 124.0–135.3 (C₅Me₄) ppm. C₂₆H₃₈Cl₂OSi₂Zr (584.9): calcd. C 53.39, H 6.55; found C 53.22, H 6.52.

 $[Zr(Me_2Si\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH_2CH_2O)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-$ Preparation of C₅Me₄)Cl(Zr-O)] (21a): The synthesis of 21a was carried out in identical manner to 21. Li₂{Me₂Si[C₉H₅(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₃)-3]-1(C₅HMe₄)} (13a) (2.42 g, 6.53 mmol) and [ZrCl₄(THF)₂] (2.46 g, 6.53 mmol). Yield: 0.29 g, 29%. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 0.86$ and 1.00 (2 s, each 3 H, SiMe₂), 1.64, 1.82, 1.86 and 2.01 (4 s, each 3 H, C₅Me₄), 1.82 and 2.96 (2 m, each 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 3.95 (m, 2 H, CH₂O), 5.91 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 6.94 and 7.18 (2 t, J = 8.6 Hz, each 1 H, 6-H and 7-H), 7.34 and 7.41 (2 d, J = 8.6 Hz, each 1 H, 5-H and 8-H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} (125 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 0.0 and 0.6 (SiMe₂), 9.8, 10.9, 13.2 and 13.3 (C₅Me₄), 24.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 29.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 68.9 (CH₂O), 114.4 (C-2), 122.4 and 123.6 (C-5 and C-8), 124.2 and 124.3 (C-6 and C-7), 89.2, 98.4 (C-1, C-3) (C-4, C-9 not observed), 120.0–130.9 (C₅Me₄) ppm. C₂₃H₂₉ClOSiZr (476.2): calcd. C 58.01, H 6.14; found C 58.31, H 6.12.

Preparation of $[Zr(Me_2Si\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH_2CH_2OCH_2Ph)-3\}]$ - $1{\eta^5-C_5Me_4}C_1$ (22): The synthesis of 22 was carried out in identical manner to 21. Li₂{Me₂Si[C₉H₅(CH₂CH₂CH₂OCH₂Ph)-3]- $1(C_5HMe_4)$ (14) (1.96 g, 5.65 mmol) and $[ZrCl_4(THF)_2]$ (2.13 g, 5.65 mmol). Yield: 0.86 g, 26%. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 0.89$ and 1.09 (2 s, each 3 H, SiMe₂), 1.80, 1.87, 1.90 and 1.94 (4 s, each 3 H, C5Me4), 1.89 and 2.98 (2 m, each 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2O$), 3.44 (m, 2 H, CH_2OCH_2Ph), $\delta_A = 4.50$, $\delta_B = 4.48$ (AB, $J_{AB} = 12.3$ Hz, 2 H, OC H_2 Ph), 5.50 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 6.98 and 7.25 (2 t, J = 8.6 Hz, each 1 H, 6-H and 7-H), 7.41 and 7.52 (2 d, J = 8.6 Hz, each 1 H, 5-H and 8-H), 7.21–7.30 (m, 5 H, OCH₂Ph) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} (125 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 1.1$ and 1.4 (SiMe₂), 12.3, 12.5, 14.7, 15.3 (C₅Me₄), 25.2 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 29.9 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 72.9 (CH₂OCH₂Ph), 77.4 (OCH₂Ph), 116.4 (C-2), 124.3 and 125.4 (C-5 and C-8), 126.2 and 126.3 (C-6 and C-7), 84.5, 95.6 (C-1, C-3) (C-4, C-9 not observed), 124.0-138.5 (C₅Me₄) ppm. C₃₀H₃₆Cl₂OSiZr (602.8): calcd. C 59.77, H 6.02; found C 59.51, H 5.95.

Preparation of $[Zr(Me_2Si\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-2\}-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3]-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3]-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH_2)-1\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(H_2CH_2)-1(\eta^5-CH_2)-1(\eta^5-CH_2)-1(\eta^5-CH_2)$ C₅Me₄)Cl₂] (23): The synthesis of 23 was carried out in identical manner to 21. $Li_2\{Me_2Si[C_9H_5(CH_2CH=CH_2)-3]-1(C_5HMe_4)\}$ (15) (2.59 g, 7.47 mmol) and [ZrCl₄(THF)₂] (2.82 g, 7.47 mmol). Yield: 0.85 g, 23%. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 0.93 and 1.17 (2 s, each 3 H, SiMe₂), 1.84, 1.93, 1.94 and 2.00 (4 s, each 3 H, C₅*Me*₄), δ_A = 3.72, $\delta_{A'}$ = 3.68 (AA'BB'C, $J_{AA'}$ = 16.4 Hz, 2 H, CH2CH=CH2), $\delta_{\rm B}=$ 5.06, $\delta_{\rm B'}=$ 5.09 (AA'BB'C, $J_{BB'}=$ 1.1, $J_{BC}=$ 2.4, $J_{BC'} = 10$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 5.61 (s, 1 H, 2-H), $\delta_C =$ 5.98 (AA'BB'C, $J_{AC} = J_{A'C} = 6.3$ Hz, 1 H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 7.05 and 7.34 (2 t, J = 8.6 Hz, each 1 H, 6-H and 7-H), 7.47 and 7.57 $(2 d, J = 8.6 Hz, each 1 H, 5-H and 8-H) ppm. {}^{13}C{}^{1}H{} (125 MHz,$ CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 1.1 and 1.4 (SiMe₂), 12.1, 12.5, 14.9, 15.3 (C_5Me_4) , 33.4 $(CH_2CH=CH_2)$, 116.3 $(CH_2CH=CH_2)$, 136.0 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 117.1 (C-2), 124.3 and 125.5 (C-5 and C-8), 126.4 and 126.5 (C-6 and C-7), 84.5, 98.3 (C-1, C-3) (C-4, C-9 not observed), 124.1–137.0 (C₅Me₄) ppm. C₂₃H₂₈Cl₂SiZr (494.7): calcd. C 55.84, H 5.71; found C 55.92, H 5.73.

Preparation of $[Zr(Me_2Si\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH_2CH_2OSiMe_2tBu)-3\}-1\{\eta^5-C_5Me_4\})Cl_2]$ (24): The synthesis of 24 was carried out in iden-

tical manner to 21. Li₂{Me₂Si[C₉H₅(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂tBu)-3]- $1(C_5HMe_4)$ (16) (2.56 g, 5.36 mmol) and $[ZrCl_4(THF)_2]$ (2.02 g, 5.36 mmol). Yield 1.00 g, 30%. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 0.08$ (s, 6 H, OSi Me_2t Bu), 0.96 and 1.18 (2 s, each 3 H, SiMe₂), 0.90 (s, 9 H, OSiMe₂tBu), 1.90, 1.93, 1.94 and 2.00 (4 s, each 3 H, C₅Me₄), 1.85 and 3.02 (2 m, each 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 3.64 (m, 2 H, CH₂OSiMe₂tBu), 5.61 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 7.05 and 7.33 (2 t, J = 8.6 Hz, each 1 H, 6-H and 7-H), 7.48 and 7.60 (2 d, J =8.6 Hz, each 1 H, 5-H and 8-H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} (125 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = -5.0$ (OSiMe₂tBu), 1.4 and 1.7 (SiMe₂), 12.4, 12.7, 14.9, 15.5 (C₅Me₄), 25.1 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 26.2 and 18.6 (OSiMe₂tBu), 33.1 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 62.8 (CH₂OSiMe₂tBu), 116.7 (C-2), 124.6 and 125.7 (C-5 and C-8), 126.3 and 126.5 (C-6 and C-7), 84.6, 95.9 (C-1, C-3) (C-4, C-9 not observed), 119.2-138.1 (C₅Me₄) ppm. C29H44Cl2OSi2Zr (627.0): calcd. C 55.56, H 7.07; found C 55.61, H 7.08.

Preparation of $[Zr(Me_2Si\{\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH_2CH_2O)-3\}-1\{\eta^5 C_5Me_4$)Me(Zr-O)] (25): The synthesis of 25 was carried out in identical manner to 26. MgMeBr (3 M in THF) (0.21 mL, 0.63 mmol) and **21a** (0.30 g, 0.63 mmol). Yield: 0.24 g, 83%. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ = -1.13 (s, 3 H, Zr-Me), 0.67 and 0.71 (2 s, each 3 H, SiMe₂), 1.70, 1.84, 1.87 and 2.03 (4 s, each 3 H, C_5Me_4), 1.56 and 2.65 (2 m, each 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2O$), 3.89 (m, 2 H, CH_2O), 5.97 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 6.91 and 7.14 (2 t, J = 8.6 Hz, each 1 H, 6-H and 7-H), 7.32 and 7.36 (2 d, J = 8.6 Hz, each 1 H, 5-H and 8-H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} (125 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): $\delta = -3.1$ and -3.0 (SiMe₂), 10.7, 11.8, 14.0 and 14.6 (C₅Me₄), 24.5 (Zr-Me), 25.9 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 32.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 68.3 (CH₂O), 115.7 (C-2), 123.9 and 124.0 (C-5 and C-8), 126.1 and 126.2 (C-6 and C-7), 82.20, 93.11 (C-1, C-3) (C-4, C-9 not observed), 117.66-128.74 (C₅Me₄) ppm. C₂₄H₃₂OSiZr (455.8): calcd. C 63.24, H 7.08; found C 63.34, H 7.09.

Preparation of [Zr(Me₂Si{η⁵-C₉H₅(CH₂CH₂CH₂OSiMe₂tBu)-3}- $1{\eta^5-C_5Me_4}Me_2$ (26): MgMeBr (3 M in THF) (0.38 mL, 1.15 mmol) was added to a solution of 24 (0.30 g, 0.48 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 4 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo and hexane (25 mL) added. The mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed from the filtrate in vacuo to yield the title complex (0.24 g, 87%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6 , 25 °C): δ = -1.42 and -0.36 (2 s, each 3 H, Zr-Me), 0.04 (s, 6 H, OSiMe₂tBu), 0.98 and 1.83 (2 s, each 3 H, SiMe₂), 0.97 (s, 9 H, OSiMe₂tBu), 1.61, 0.50, 0.67 and 1.74 (4 s, each 3 H, C_5Me_4), 1.80 and 3.00 (2 m, each 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 3.55 (m, 2 H, CH₂OSiMe₂tBu), 5.45 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 6.88 and 7.16 (2 t, J = 8.6 Hz, each 1 H, 6-H and 7-H), 7.26 and 7.57 (2 d, J = 8.6 Hz, each 1 H, 5-H and 8-H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} (125 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): $\delta = -5.0$ (OSi*Me*₂*t*Bu), 11.5 and 25.5 (SiMe₂), 1.0, 1.3, 14.9, 18.4 (C₅Me₄), 24.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 25.5 and 21.4 (OSiMe₂tBu), 33.7 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 36.5 and 36.7 (Zr-Me), 62.3 (CH₂OSiMe₂tBu), 117.5 (C-2), 126.3 and 124.2 (C-5 and C-8), 124.0 and 127.7 (C-6 and C-7), 80.11, 90.38 (C-1, C-3) (C-4, C-9 not observed), 120.8–129.5 (C₅Me₄) ppm. C₃₁H₅₀OSi₂Zr (586.1): calcd. C 63.52, H 8.60; found C 63.68, H 8.64.

X-ray Crystal-Structure Determination of [($Zr{C_9H_6}$ -($CH_2CH_2CH_2O$)-1 $Cl_2(Zr-O)$] (17a): Intensity data were collected with a NONIUS-MACH3 diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator and a Mo- K_{α} radiation source ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) using an $\omega/2\theta$ -scan technique. The final unit-cell parameters were determined from 25 well-centered reflections and refined by least-squares methods. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects but not for absorption. The structure was solved by direct methods using the SHELXS computer program^[24]

www.eurjic.org

FULL PAPER

and refined on F^2 by full-matrix least squares (SHELXL-97).^[25] All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. The hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions and were refined with an overall isotropic temperature factor using a riding model. Weights were optimized in the final cycles. Crystallographic data are given in Table 4. CCDC-259059 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Table 4. Crystal data and structure refinement for 17a.

Emprical formula	C ₁₂ H ₁₂ Cl ₂ OZr	
Formula mass	334.34	
<i>T</i> [K]	293(2)	
Crystal system	orthorombic	
Space group	P _{bca}	
a [Å]	12.2690(10)	
<i>b</i> [Å]	13.4540(10)	
c [Å]	14.4320(10)	
V [Å ³]	2382.2(3)	
Z	8	
$D_{\rm c} [{\rm g} {\rm cm}^{-3}]$	1.864	
$\mu [{\rm mm}^{-1}]$	1.345	
F(000)	1328	
Crystal dimensions [mm]	$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$	
θ range [°]	2.65 to 24.27	
hkl ranges	$0 \le h \le 14, 0 \le k \le 15, 0 \le l$	
	≤ 17	
No. of reflections measured	2096	
Reflections observed	1084	
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	0.965	
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]^{[a]}$	$R_1 = 0.0522, wR_2 = 0.1015$	
Largest difference peak [e/Å ³]	0.509/-0.502	
[a] $R_1 = \Sigma F_0 - F_c \Sigma F_0 ; wR_2 = [\Sigma [w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2] \Sigma [w(F_0^2)^2]^{0.5}.$		

Homogeneous Polymerization Experiments: Polymerizations were carried out in a 1-L glass reactor using toluene as a solvent (250 mL). Catalysts (33 μ mol) were treated with the appropriate quantity of a solution of MAO in toluene (10% Al) for 15 min. Toluene (230 mL), TIBA scavenger (2 mL) and activated catalyst were introduced, in this order, into the reactor and the temperature was fixed at 343 K. The nitrogen was removed and a continuous flow of ethylene (1.5 bar) was introduced over 30 min. The reaction mixture was then quenched by the addition of acidified methanol. The polymer was collected by filtration, washed with methanol and dried under vacuum at room temperature for 24 h.

Heterogeneous Polymerization Experiments: The supported catalysts were prepared under an inert gas using Schlenk techniques and a glove-box. A solution of the zirconocene complex (quantity needed to obtain a theoretical level of 1% Zr/SiO₂) in toluene (30 mL) was added to partially dehydroxylated silica (1.00 g) and the mixture was stirred at 333 K for 16 h. The slurries were filtered through fritted discs and washed with toluene (10×20 mL). The resultant solids were carefully washed with toluene and dried under vacuum. Polymerizations were carried out in a 1-L glass reactor using toluene as a solvent (250 mL). The supported catalysts (approx. 300 mg) were treated with the appropriate quantity of a solution of MAO in toluene (10% Al) for 15 min. The solvent and the volatiles were removed in vacuo. Toluene (230 mL), TIBA scavenger (2 mL) and activated catalyst in freshly distilled toluene (20 mL) were introduced, in this order, into the reactor and the temperature was fixed at 343 K. The nitrogen was removed and a continuous flow of ethylene (1.5 bar) was introduced over 30 min.

The reaction mixture was then quenched by the addition of acidified methanol. The polymer was collected by filtration, washed with methanol and dried under vacuum at room temperature for 24 h.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain (grant nos. BQU2002-04638-C02-01 and MAT2003-05345), the Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha (grant nos. PAC-02-003 PAI-02-010), and the Universidad Rey Juan Carlos (GVC-2004-165). P. C. and C. A. acknowledge fellowships from REPSOL-YPF-UCLM (contracts CTR-01-165 and CTR-00-084).

- [1] A. Razavi, *Metallocene catalyst technology and environment*, Clean Processes and Environment: the Catalytic Evolution, Feluy, Belgium, **1999**.
- [2] D. J. B. Potter, L. Tattum, "Polypropylene Annual Review", *Chem. Week* 1999, 30, 4–11, supplement.
- [3] W. Kaminsky, Macromol. Chem. Phys. 1996, 197, 3907-3945.
- [4] W. Kaminsky, M. Arndt, Adv. Polym. Sci. 1997, 127, 143-187.
- [5] W. Kaminsky, D. Arrowsmith, C. Strübel, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 1999, 37, 2959–2968.
- [6] R. Goertzki, G. Fink, B. Tesche, B. Steinmetz, R. Rieger, W. Uzick, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 1999, 37, 677–682.
- [7] P. Roos, G. B. Meier, J. J. C. Samson, G. Weicker, K. R. Westerterp, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* 1997, 18, 319–324.
- [8] See, for example: a) U. Siemeling, *Chem. Rev.* 2000, 100, 1495–1526; b) P. Jutzi, T. Redeker, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 1998, 663–674; c) R. Leino, P. Lehmus, A. Lehtonen, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 3201–3222; d) B. Y. Lee, J. S. Oh, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1998, 552, 313–317.
- [9] a) M. Galan-Fereres, T. Koch, E. Hey-Hawkins, M. S. Eisen, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1999**, 580, 145; b) M. Antberg, H. Lüker, L. Böhm, *Eur. Pat.* 293815, **1988**.
- [10] J. Sancho-Royo, G. Hidalgo-Llinas, A. Muñoz-Escalona-Lafuente, F. Martínez-Nuñez, C. Martín-Marcos, P. Lafuente-Cañas, B. Peña-Garcia, *Eur. Pat. Appl. 839836*, 1998.
- [11] B. Y. Lee, J. S. Oh, Macromolecules 2000, 33, 3194-3195.
- [12] J. Blümel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 2112-2113.
- [13] C. Krüger, F. Lutz, M. Nolte, G. Erker, M. Aulbac, J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 452, 79.
- [14] G. Erker, M. Aulbach, M. Knickmeier, D. Winbermühle, C. Krüger, S. Werner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4590–4601.
- [15] W. Kaminsky, A. Laban, Appl. Catal., A 2001, 222, 47-61.
- [16] B. A. Morrow, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 1990, 57, 161.
- [17] M. C. Haag, C. Krug, J. Dupont, G. Barrera, J. H. Z. dos Santos, T. Uozumi, T. Sano, K. Soga, J. Mol. Cat. A: Chem. 2001, 169, 275–281.
- [18] P. Hoffman, E. Knoezinger, Surf. Sci. 1987, 188, 181.
- [19] L. T. Zhuraviev, Colloids Surf., A 1993, 74, 71.
- [20] J. H. Z. dos Santos, C. Drug, M. Barbosa, F. C. Stedile, J. Dupont, M. de Camargo, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 1999, 139, 199– 207.
- [21] B. A. Morrow, I. A. Cody, J. Phys. Chem. 1976, 80, 1998.
- [22] C. Alonso, A. Antiñolo, F. Carrillo-Hermosilla, P. Carrión, A. Otero, E. Villaseñor, J. Sancho, J. Mol. Cat. A: Chem. 2004, 220, 286.
- [23] J. C. W. Chien, Top. Catal. 1999, 7, 23-26.
- [24] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1990, 46, 467.
- [25] G. M. Sheldrick, Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures from Diffraction Data, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

Received: February 24, 2005 Published Online: June 21, 2005