ORGANOMETALLICS

Gold Phosphole Complexes as Efficient Catalysts for Alkyne Activation

Kévin Fourmy,^{†,‡} Sonia Mallet-Ladeira,^{†,‡} Odile Dechy-Cabaret,^{*,†,‡} and Maryse Gouygou^{*,†,‡}

[†]CNRS, LCC (Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination), 205 route de Narbonne, BP 44099, F-31077 Toulouse Cedex 4, France [‡]Université de Toulouse, UPS, INPT, F-31077 Toulouse Cedex 4, France

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Gold(I) complexes bearing monophosphole ligands were synthesized, and their electronic and steric properties were compared to those of their triphenylphosphine-based counterparts. Cationic phosphole-based gold(I) complexes are active and selective in enyne cycloisomerization and in olefin cyclopropanation, with a good correlation between the ligand σ -donor ability and the catalytic activity. For the most efficient ligand, 1-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetramethylphosphole (TMP), a highly active, selective, and stable cationic [Au(TMP)(CH₃CN)]SbF₆ complex was isolated.



Initially, gold catalysts bearing monodentate phosphine ligands such as triphenylphosphine were used. NHC ligands³ were then widely used in gold-catalyzed cycloisomerizations,⁴ allowing for complementary reactivity.⁵ Given the major role played by the ligand in the control of cycloisomerization selectivity,⁶ more elaborate ligands were then developed, including biaryl-like phosphines or phosphites,^{4c,7} as well as various new carbenes.⁸

Phosphole ligands combine the soft donor character of phosphines with the conformational rigidity associated with the phosphorus heterocyclic skeleton that make them efficient in promoting homogeneous transition-metal catalysis. The most important examples have been reported in olefin hydro-formylation catalyzed by Rh complexes bearing simple monophospholes.⁹ Very few Au–monophosphole complexes have been described¹⁰ and used in catalysis.¹¹

As part of our continuing interest in the design of phospholebased ligands for application in catalysis¹² and in enyne cycloisomerization,¹³ we report herein the synthesis of new gold(I) phosphole complexes which are both active and selective in various alkyne activation reactions, such as enyne cycloisomerization and olefin cyclopropanation.

1-Phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetramethylphosphole $(TMP)^{14}$ is particularly attractive among the classical monophosphole ligand series, such as 1-phenyldibenzophosphole (DBP),¹⁵ 1,2,5-triphenylphosphole (TPP),¹⁶ and 1-phenyl-3,4-dimethylphosphole (DMP),¹⁷ because a good σ -donor ability along with a moderate steric hindrance can be expected. However, its



potential in catalysis has been under exploited in comparison to the other ligands of the series. $^{18}\,$

The electronic properties of TMP were first determined and compared to other phosphole ligands of the series and to triphenylphosphine. Following the most widely used Tolman approach,¹⁹ we estimated the electron-donating ability of phosphole ligands by recording the IR frequency of CO in dicarbonyl complexes [RhCl(L)(CO)₂], readily prepared in two steps from [RhCl(cod)]₂, as described for N-heterocyclic carbenes.²⁰ The resulting stretching frequencies of the *cis*- and *trans*-CO moieties in phosphole-based [RhCl(DBP)(CO)₂], [RhCl(TPP)(CO)₂] and [RhCl(TMP)(CO)₂] complexes are summarized in Table 1 and compared with those of the analogous [RhCl(PPh₃)(CO)₂] complex.²¹ All the $\nu_{av}(CO)$

Table 1. Determination of Electronic Parameters: IR ν (CO) Stretching Frequencies of [RhCl(L)(CO)₂] Complexes and ³¹P⁻⁷⁷Se Coupling Constants for Selenides Prepared from Selected Ligands

L	ν(CO) (cm ⁻¹)	$v_{av}(CO)$ (cm ⁻¹)	δ P=Se (ppm)	¹ J(P-Se) (Hz)	
DBP	2096, 2016	2056	27.64	748	
TPP	2097, 2018	2058	35.05	742	
DMP	n.d. ^[a]	n.d. ^[a]	35.28	730	
TMP	2092, 2010	2051	31.18	713	
PPh ₃	2093, 2012	2053	45.73	708	
Ph Ph Ph Ph Ph Ph Ph Ph Ph Ph Ph DBP TPP DMP TMP					

 ${}^{a}tThe synthesis of the <math display="inline">[RhCl(cod)(DMP)]$ intermediate complex failed.

Received: December 21, 2012 Published: February 27, 2013 bands are located in the same region, accounting for similar net donating abilities (including both σ -donor ability and π -acceptor strength²²) of the phosphole ligands and their PPh₃ counterpart.

To further characterize the electronic properties of the ligands in the phosphole series, evaluation of the σ -donor ability was done by measuring the magnitude of ${}^{1}J_{P-Se}{}^{23}$ in the ${}^{77}Se$ isotopomer of the corresponding phosphole selenides (Table 1).²⁴ With the smallest value of ${}^{1}J_{P-Se}$, TMP appears as the best σ -donor ligand in our monophosphole series while PPh₃ exhibits an intermediate σ -donor ability higher than that of phenyl-substituted phospholes DBP and TPP but lower than that of methyl-substituted phospholes DMP and TMP (Table 1).

From TMP, a new gold(I) complex was prepared under mild conditions using $[Au(SMe_2)Cl]$ as precursor. This complex, isolated as an air- and moisture-stable solid in good yield (79%), was fully characterized by conventional NMR techniques (³¹P, ¹H, ¹³C), mass spectrometry, and elemental analysis (see the Supporting Information). The structure of [Au(TMP)Cl] has been established by X-ray diffraction studies (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Ortep plot of [Au(TMP)Cl]. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level, and the hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Au–P = 2.224(1), Au–Cl = 2.285(1); P–Au–Cl = 179.86(5).

Other phosphole complexes of gold(I) chloride were prepared from DBP, DMP, and TPP using the same procedure.²⁵ These complexes obtained in good yields (76–87%) were fully characterized (the X-ray structure of [Au(TPP)Cl] is described in the Supporting Information) and were isolated as air- and moisture-stable solids, except for [Au(DMP)Cl].

The examination of geometrical parameters within the P– Au–Cl backbone in our chlorogold(I) phosphole complexes is summarized in Table 2. This comparison reveals a typical Au– P bond length in the range of 2.22–2.23 Å and a typical Au–Cl bond length around 2.28 Å in all of the phosphole series as in [Au(PPh₃)Cl]. In all complexes, the Au center shows an almost linear coordination with typical Cl–Au–P angles in the range

Table 2. Steric Parameters: Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) and $%V_{bur}$ Values Calculated from X-ray Crystallographic Data of Phosphole or Phosphine Gold(I) Complexes [Au(L)Cl]

L	Au-P	Au-Cl	Cl-Au-P	$\%V_{ m bur}$
DBP ^{10a}	2.221(8)	2.282(9)	178.8	48.7
TPP	2.2241(6)	2.282(6)	176.4(2)	50.8
DMP^{10a}	2.227(2)	2.288(2)	176.1	n.d.
TMP	2.2241(1)	2.285(4)	179.86(5)	38.3
PPh ₃ ²⁶	2.235(3)	2.279(3)	179.6(1)	31.5

of 175–180°. Moreover, these structural parameters fall in the range of those for previously reported Au(I) phosphole complexes.^{10b,c} Similar Au–Cl bond lengths and linear character were found in NHC-based gold complexes with, as expected, a shorter Au–C bond length within 1.94–2.01 Å.^{4a}

Finally, to assess the steric properties of the ligands, their buried volumes (${}^{\otimes}V_{bur}$) were calculated from the X-ray crystallographic data of the [Au(L)Cl] complexes using the web application SambVca developed by Cavallo and coworkers²⁷ (see the Supporting Information) and were compared to the buried volume of PPh₃²⁸ (Table 2). As expected, both phenyl-substituted phospholes TPP and DBP exhibit higher ${}^{\otimes}V_{bur}$ values than the methyl-substituted phosphole TMP and all phosphole ligands were more sterically hindered than the corresponding phosphine PPh₃.

To evaluate the catalytic activity and selectivity of the gold phosphole complexes, we first investigated the cycloisomerization of the N-tethered 1,6-enyne 1a as a benchmark reaction. The reaction was carried out in dichloromethane at room temperature in the presence of a cationic catalyst generated in situ from 5 mol % of [Au(L)Cl] and 5 mol % of AgSbF₆. The results obtained with the different phosphole complexes are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Gold-Catalyzed Cycloisomerization of 1,6-Enynes^a

$Z \xrightarrow{R} \frac{[Au(L)CIJ/AgSbF_6]}{DCM, 22^*C} Z \xrightarrow{R} Z \xrightarrow{R} Z \xrightarrow{R}$				
1a: Z = NTs, R = C_gH_5 2a-c 3a-c 4a-c 1b: Z = NTs, R = H 1c: Z = $C(CO_2Et)_2$, R = H				
Entry	Enyne	L	t (min)	Conversion (%)
	-			Ratio(2:3:4) ^[b]
1	1a	DBP	60	35(100:0:0)
2	1a	TPP	60	45(100:0:0)
3	1a	PPh ₃	60	71(100:0:0)
4	1a	DMP	60	< 2
5	1a	TMP	60	91(95:0:5)
6	1b	TMP	180	99 (0:90:10)
7	1c	TMP	10	99 (0:85:15)

"See the Supporting Information for experimental details. ^bDetermined by NMR.

All complexes were active in the cycloisomerization of 1a, after addition of AgSbF₆, except for [Au(DMP)Cl], which led to the immediate formation of a black precipitate.²⁹ The active complexes led to high selectivity (>95%) in the cyclopropane product 2a (Table 3, entries 1–3 and 5), and TMP appeared as the best ligand of the series in terms of activity in the cycloisomerization of 1a. Moreover, there is a good correlation between the σ -donor ability of the ligand and the cycloisomerization rate (see the Supporting Information), indicating that the alkyne activation may not be the rate-determining step in the cycloisomerization of the N-tethered enyne 1a.^{5b,30}

In addition, the TMP-based complex proved to be very efficient in the cycloisomerization of enynes bearing terminal alkynes (Table 3, entries 6 and 7). 1,3-dienes **3b,c** were formed as major products from enynes **1b,c**, respectively, as previously reported for phosphine-based gold complexes.³¹

To extend the scope of reactions catalyzed by cationic gold(I) phosphole complexes, we next investigated the cyclopropanation of 4-methoxystyrene (5a) using propargyl benzoate (6) as the gold(I) carbene precursor (Table 4).³² The reaction was carried out in nitromethane at room temperature

Table 4. G	old-Cataly	zed Cycl	opropanation	of	Olefins
------------	------------	----------	--------------	----	---------

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Entry	Olefin	L	Alkyne conversion (isolated yield) (%)	<i>cis:trans</i> ratio ^[a]
1	5a ^[b]	DBP	14 (n.d.)	70:20
2	5a ^[b]	TPP	25 (n.d.)	75:25
3	5a ^[b]	DMP	49 (n.d.)	80:20
4	5a ^[b]	TMP	99 (57%)	80:20
5	5a ^[b]	PPh ₃	0 (n.d.)	Undesired products
6	5b ^[b]	TMP	99 (71%)	>99:<1
7	5c ^[b]	TMP	99 (72%)	70:30
8	5d ^[c]	TMP	99 (71%)	85:15

^{*a*}Determined by NMR. ^{*b*}4 mol equiv of olefin versus alkyne 6, 20 min. ^{*c*}4 mol equiv of olefin versus alkyne 6, 90 min.

in the presence of a cationic catalyst generated in situ from 2 mol % of [Au(L)Cl] and 2 mol % of AgSbF₆ and afforded cyclopropane 7**a** as a mixture of *cis* and *trans* diastereoisomers (Table 4, entries 1–4).

TMP appeared here also as the best ligand of the series in terms of activity in the cyclopropanation of 5a, with the same kind of correlation between the σ -donor ability of the ligand and the alkyne conversion rate as previously observed for the cycloisomerization. Surprisingly, [Au(DMP)Cl] did not decompose upon addition of AgSbF₆ in nitromethane and was able to catalyze the cyclopropanation (Table 4, entry 3). The diastereoselectivity of the cyclopropanation of 5a did not show any significant dependence on the phosphole ligand, in spite of their different steric properties. Notably 6 was fully converted into 7a with [Au(TMP)Cl] as catalytic precursor (Table 4, entry 4) in 20 min with a 57% isolated yield in cyclopropane, whereas [Au(PPh₃)Cl] led to undesired polymeric products under the same conditions (Table 4, entry 5), indicating that TMP is more selective than its phosphine counterpart. In addition, the TMP-based complex proved to be efficient and selective in the cyclopropanation of various olefins, including styrene (5b; Table 4, entry 6), sterically hindered 4-tert-butoxystyrene (5c; Table 4 entry 7), and even strained bicyclic norbornylene (5d; Table 4 entry 8), which usually requires drastic conditions.³

From a practical point of view, $[Au(TMP)(NTf_2)]$ was then prepared in good yield (66%), analogously to the air-stable $[Au(PPh_3)(NTf_2)]$ complex developed by Gagosz et al.,¹¹ to avoid the use of a silver salt as cocatalyst. This new complex was evaluated in the cycloisomerization of enyne **1c**. [Au-(TMP)(NTf_2)] is slightly less active than the [Au(TMP)Cl]/ AgSbF₆ system, as 98% conversion was achieved in 20 min with a 5 mol % catalytic load, leading to 1,3-dienes **3c** and **4c** in a 85/15 ratio. However, [Au(TMP)(NTf_2)] turned out to be much less stable than [Au(PPh_3)(NTf_2)] and [Au(TMP)Cl], since the solid stored under argon turned black within several hours.

Finally, a cationic TMP-Au(I) complex could be isolated and tested in enyne cycloisomerization. $[Au(TMP)(CH_3CN)]SbF_6$ was obtained by chloride abstraction from the corresponding

neutral complex with AgSbF₆ in acetonitrile^{31b} and fully characterized. The X-ray diffraction structure (Figure 2) shows the Au center in an almost linear coordination with Au–P and Au–N bond lengths that are in the same range as in [AuPPh₃(CH₃CN)]SbF₆.³⁴



Figure 2. Ortep plot of $[Au(TMP)(CH_3CN)]SbF_6$. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level, and the hydrogen atoms and the SbF_6^- anion have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Au-P = 2.220(1), Au-N = 2.042(2); P-Au-N = 178.53(6).

Moreover, this new catalyst turned out to be both very stable (several months under argon at room temperature as a white solid) and highly efficient, since the catalytic loading could be decreased to 0.5 mol % without any significant effect on the conversion of the model enyne substrate **1c** (see the Supporting Information).

A 72% conversion was even achieved in 60 min when only 0.05 mol % of catalyst was used (TON = 1440, TOF = 24 min⁻¹). Notably, the selectivity in the 1,3-dienes 3c/4c was maintained at the 85/15 ratio when the catalytic loading was decreased. Echavarren and co-workers reported the formation of 3c and 4c in 100% yield and in a 50/50 ratio after 20 min of reaction with 2% [AuPPh₃(CH₃CN)]SbF₆ (TON = 50, TOF = 2.5 min⁻¹).³⁵ Thirty times less catalyst was thus needed to accomplish the same transformation with a better selectivity in the endodiene 3c.

In conclusion, gold(I) complexes bearing the highly donating and not bulky tetramethylphosphole TMP are very stable compounds and efficient catalysts in 1,6-enyne cycloisomerizations and olefin cyclopropanations, with higher activity than the corresponding phosphine-based complexes. Further studies will be dedicated to structural steric modulations on the phenyl moiety of the TMP ligand to further tune the catalytic activity.¹²

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Text, figures, tables, and CIF files detailing the preparation of complexes and starting enyne substrates, catalysis protocol, characterization of products formed, and X-ray crystallographic data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Tel: +33 534 32 35 74. E-mail: odile.dechycabaret@ensiacet.fr (O.D.-C.); maryse.gouygou@lcc-toulouse.fr (M.G.).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks are due to the CNRS, the French "Ministère de l'Education Nationale et de la Recherche", and Dr. I. Dixon for helpful discussions during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

(a) Hashmi, A. S. K.; Hutchings, G. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
 2006, 45, 7896. (b) Hashmi, A. S. K. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 3180.
 (c) Furstner, A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3208. (d) Li, Z.; Brouwer,
 C.; He, C. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3239. (e) Shen, H. C. Tetrahedron
 2008, 64, 7847. (f) Gorin, D. J.; Sherry, B. D.; Toste, F. D. Chem. Rev.
 2008, 108, 3351. (g) Arcadi, A. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3266.
 (h) Hashmi, A. S. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5232.
 (i) Rudolph, M.; Hashmi, A. S. K. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 2448.

(2) (a) Bruneau, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2328. (b) Zhang,
L.; Sun, J.; Kozmin, S. A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 2271. (c) Ma,
S.; Yu, S.; Gu, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 200. (d) Fürstner, A.;
Davies, P. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3410. (e) Jimenez-Nunez, E. S.; Echavarren, A. M. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3326.
(f) Michelet, V.; Toullec, P. Y.; Genêt, J.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
2008, 47, 4268. (g) Soriano, E.; Marco-Contelles, J. Acc. Chem. Res.
2009, 42, 1026.

(3) Diez-Gonzalez, S.; Marion, N.; Nolan, S. P. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 3612.

(4) (a) de Frémont, P.; Scott, N. M.; Stevens, E. D.; Nolan, S. P. Organometallics 2005, 24, 2411. (b) Marion, N.; Nolan, S. P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1776. (c) Nieto-Oberhuber, C.; López, S.; Echavarren, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 6178. (d) Matsumoto, Y.; Selim, K. B.; Nakanishi, H.; Yamada, K.; Yamamoto, Y.; Tomioka, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 404.

(5) (a) López-Carrillo, V.; Huguet, N.; Mosquera, Á.; Echavarren, A. M. Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 10972. (b) Perez-Galan, P.; Herrero-Gomez, E.; Hog, D. T.; Martin, N. J. A.; Maseras, F.; Echavarren, A. M. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 141.

(6) (a) Benitez, D.; Tkatchouk, E.; Gonzalez, A. Z.; Goddard, W. A., III; Toste, F. D. Org. Lett. **2009**, *11*, 4798. (b) Alcarazo, M.; Stork, T.; Anoop, A.; Thiel, W.; Fuerstner, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2010**, *49*, 2542.

(7) Hashmi, A. S. K.; Loos, A.; Littmann, A.; Braun, I.; Knight, J.; Doherty, S.; Rominger, F. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 576.

(8) (a) Bartolome, C.; Ramiro, Z.; Garcia-Cuadrado, D.; Perez-Galan, P.; Raducan, M.; Bour, C.; Echavarren, A. M.; Espinet, P. *Organometallics* **2010**, *29*, 951. (b) Bartolome, C.; Ramiro, Z.; Perez-Galan, P.; Bour, C.; Raducan, M.; Echavarren, A. M.; Espinet, P. *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 11391.

(9) (a) Hayashi, T.; Tanaka, M.; Ogata, I. J. Mol. Catal. 1979, 6, 1.
(b) Neibecker, D.; Réau, R. J. Mol. Catal. 1989, 53, 219. (c) Neibecker, D.; Réau, R. J. Mol. Catal. 1989, 57, 153. (d) Neibecker, D.; Réau, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1989, 28, 500. (e) Keglevich, G.; Kégl, T.; Chuluunbaatar, T.; Dajka, B.; Mátyus, P.; Balogh, B.; Kollár, L. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2003, 200, 131. (f) Odinets, I.; Körtvelyesi, T.; Kégl, T.; Kollar, L.; Keglevich, G. Transition Met. Chem. 2007, 32, 299.

(10) (a) Attar, S.; Bearden, W. H.; Alcock, N. W.; Alyea, E. C.; Nelson, J. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, *29*, 425. (b) Fave, C.; Cho, T.-Y.; Hissler, M.; Chen, C.-W.; Luh, T.-Y.; Wu, C.-C.; Réau, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 9254. (c) Dienes, Y.; Eggenstein, M.; Neumann, T.; Englert, U.; Baumgartner, T. *Dalton Trans.* **2006**, 1424. (d) Escalle, A. l.; Mora, G.; Gagosz, F.; Mézailles, N.; Le Goff, X. F.; Jean, Y.; Le Floch, P. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 8415. (e) Matano, Y.; Miyajima, T.; Imahori, H.; Kimura, Y. J. Org. Chem. **2007**, *72*, 6200.

(11) Mézailles, N.; Ricard, L.; Gagosz, F. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4133.

(12) (a) Mourgues, S.; Serra, D.; Lamy, F.; Vincendeau, S.; Daran, J.-C.; Manoury, E.; Gouygou, M. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 2820.
(b) Guadalupe Lopez Cortes, J.; Ramon, O.; Vincendeau, S.; Serra, D.; Lamy, F.; Daran, J.-C.; Manoury, E.; Gouygou, M. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 5148. (c) Nguyen, D. H.; Bayardon, J.; Salomon-Bertrand, C.; Jugé, S.; Kalck, P.; Daran, J.-C.; Urrutigoïty, M.; Gouygou, M. Organometallics 2012, 31, 857.

(13) (a) Costes, P.; Weckesser, J.; Dechy-Cabaret, O.; Urrutigoïty,
M.; Kalck, P. Appl. Organomet. Chem. 2008, 22, 211. (b) Fuente-Hernandez, A.; Costes, P.; Kalck, P.; Ruiz-García, J. A.; Jáuregui-Haza,
U.; Urrutigoïty, M.; Dechy-Cabaret, O. Cat. Comm. 2010, 12, 142.
(c) Fuente-Hernandez, A.; Costes, P.; Kalck, P.; Jáuregui-Haza, U.; Dechy-Cabaret, O.; Urrutigoïty, M. Appl. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 25, 815.

(14) (a) Fagan, P. J.; Nugent, W. A. Org. Synth. 1992, 70, 272.
(b) Fagan, P. J.; Nugent, W. A.; Calabrese, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 1880.

(15) Affandi, S.; Green, R. L.; Hsieh, B. T.; Holt, M. S.; Nelson, J. H.; Alyea, E. C. Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem. **1987**, *17*, 307.

(16) Campbell, I. G. M.; Cookson, R. Č.; Hocking, M. B.; Hugues, A. N. J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 2184.

(17) Breque, A.; Mathey, F.; Savignac, P. Synthesis 1981, 983.

(18) Only TMP-based diphosphole ligands were reported by Doherty for copolymerization reactions: (a) Doherty, S.; Eastham, G. R.; Tooze, R. P.; Scanlan, T. H.; Williams, D.; Elsegood, M. R. J.; Clegg, W. Organometallics 1999, 18, 3558. (b) Doherty, S.; Robins, E. G.; Knight, J. G.; Newman, C. R.; Rhodes, B.; Champkin, P. A.; Clegg, W. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 640, 182.

(19) Tolman, C. A. Chem. Rev. 1977, 77, 313.

(20) Fürstner, A.; Alcarazo, M.; Krause, H.; Lehmann, C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12676.

(21) Sato, T.; Hirose, Y.; Yoshioka, D.; Oi, S. Organometallics 2012, 31, 6995.

(22) Kühl, O. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2005, 249, 693.

(23) The magnitude of the ${}^{31}P-{}^{77}Se$ coupling constant provides a measure of σ -basicity of the parent P ligand through an inverse relationship between σ -basicity and the value of ${}^{1}J_{P-Se}$: (a) Allen, D. W.; Taylor, B. F. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1982**, 51. (b) Socol, S. M.; Verkade, J. G. Inorg. Chem. **1984**, 23, 3487. (c) Barnard, T. S.; Mason, M. R. Organometallics **2001**, 20, 206.

(24) Selenides were prepared quantitatively by simply reacting the corresponding phosphole ligand with elemental selenium in CDCl_3 at room temperature.

(25) DMP and DBP complexes have already been synthesized 10a using HAuCl_4·H_2O. The TPP complex was already synthesized 11 using [Au(SMe_2)Cl]. All of these complexes were only partially characterized.

(26) Baenziger, N. C.; Bennett, W. E.; Soborofe, D. M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 1976, 32, 962.

(27) Poater, A.; Cosenza, B.; Correa, A.; Giudice, S.; Ragone, F.; Scarano, V.; Cavallo, L. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 1759.

(28) Clavier, H.; Nolan, S. P. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 841.

(29) A control experiment mixing only [Au(DMP)Cl] and AgSbF₆ showed the formation of several phosphorus species whose ³¹P chemical shifts do not match those of the expected catalytically active cationic species (see the Supporting Information).

(30) (a) Wang, W.; Hammond, G. B.; Xu, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5697. (b) Benitez, D.; Shapiro, N. D.; Tkatchouk, E.; Wang, Y.; Goddard, W. A., III; Toste, F. D. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 482.

(31) (a) Nieto-Oberhuber, C.; Muñoz, M. P.; Buñuel, E.; Nevado, C.; Cárdenas, D. J.; Echavarren, A. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, 43, 2402. (b) Nieto-Oberhuber, C.; Munoz, M. P.; Lopez, S.; Jimenez-Nunez, E.; Nevado, C.; Herrero-Gomez, E.; Raducan, M.; Echavarren, A. M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 1677.

(32) (a) Johansson, M. J.; Gorin, D. J.; Staben, S. T.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 18002. (b) Sperger, C. A.; Tungen, J. E.; Fiksdahl, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 3719. (c) Strand, R. B.; Helgerud, T.; Solvang, T.; Dolva, A.; Sperger, C. A.; Fiksdahl, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2012, 23, 1350.

(33) Tenaglia, A.; Marc, S. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3569.

(34) Herrero-Gómez, E.; Nieto-Oberhuber, C.; López, S.; Benet-Buchholz, J.; Echavarren, A. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2006**, 45, 5455. (35) Cabello, N.; Jiménez-Núñez, E.; Buñuel, E.; Cárdenas, D. J.; Echavarren, A. M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2007**, 4217.