## Design and Synthesis of C6–C8 Bridged Epothilone A

## Weiqiang Zhan,<sup>†</sup> Yi Jiang,<sup>†</sup> Peggy J. Brodie,<sup>‡</sup> David G. I. Kingston,<sup>‡</sup> Dennis C. Liotta,<sup>†</sup> and James P. Snyder<sup>\*,†</sup>

Department of Chemistry, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322, and Department of Chemistry, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

jsnyder@emory.edu

Received January 29, 2008

 $\begin{array}{c} (f) \\ (f)$ 

ABSTRACT

A conformationally restrained epothilone A analogue (3) with a short bridge between methyl groups at C6 and C8 was designed and synthesized. Preliminary biological evaluation indicates 3 to be only weakly active ( $IC_{50} = 8.5 \mu M$ ) against the A2780 human ovarian cancer cell line.

Epothilones A (EpoA, 1) and B (EpoB, 2) (Figure 1) are polyketide macrolides isolated in 1993 from the myxobac-





terial strain *Sorangium celluosum* by Reichenbach, Höfle, and co-workers.<sup>1</sup> The intriguing biological activity<sup>2</sup> against a wide variety of cancer cell lines by stabilizing microtubules and populating the taxane binding site on  $\beta$ -tubulin was first

established by Bollag et al.<sup>3</sup> In distinct contrast to paclitaxel, the epothilones possess improved water solubility and activity against drug-sensitive and multidrug-resistant human cancer cells both in vitro and in vivo.<sup>4</sup> These exceptional advantages, combined with the ease of synthesis by comparison with paclitaxel have evoked a vast research effort within academic and pharmaceutical research groups<sup>5</sup> that include numerous total and partial syntheses,<sup>6</sup> extensive structure—activity relationship (SAR) studies,<sup>2,7</sup> and conformational modeling.<sup>8,9</sup> Importantly, these contributions have resulted in at least seven compounds in advanced clinical trials, one of which

2008 Vol. 10, No. 8 1565–1568

Emory University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.

<sup>(1) (</sup>a) Gerth, K.; Bedorf, N.; Höfle, G.; Irschik, H.; Reichenbach, H. J. Antibiot. **1996**, 49, 560. (b) Höfle, G. H.; Bedorf, N.; Steinmetz, H.; Schomburg, D.; Gerth, K.; Reichenbach, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1996**, 35, 1567.

<sup>(2)</sup> For a review of the chemical biology of epothilones, see: Nicolaou, K. C.; Roschangar, F.; Vourloumis, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1998**, *37*, 2015.

<sup>(3)</sup> Bollag, D. M.; McQueney, P. A.; Zhu, J.; Hensens, O.; Koupal, L.; Liesch, J.; Goetz, M.; Lazarides, E.; Woods, C. M. *Cancer Res.* **1995**, *55*, 2325.

<sup>(4) (</sup>a) Giannakakou, P.; Sackett, D. L.; Kang, Y. K.; Zhan, Z.; Buters, J. T.; Fojo, T.; Poruchynsky, M. S. J. Biol. Chem. **1997**, 272, 17118. (b) Kowalski, R. J.; Giannakakou, P.; Hamel, E. J. Biol. Chem. **1997**, 272, 2534. (c) Altmann, K. H.; Wartmann, M.; O'Reilly, T. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **2000**, 1470, M79. (d) Wartmann, M.; Altmann, K. H. Curr. Med. Chem. Anticancer Agents **2002**, 2, 123.

has recently been approved by FDA as anti-cancer drug (Ixabepilone).  $^{10}\,$ 

Recently, our group proposed a unique EpoA conformation and microtubule binding model based on electron crystallography (EC), NMR conformer deconvolution, and SAR analysis.<sup>9</sup> A peculiar feature of the proposed binding conformer is the presence of a *syn*-pentane interaction between methyl groups at C6 and C8 that can be locked in place by incorporating the corresponding carbons in a sixmembered ring (**3**, Figure 1). Optimization of **3** in the proposed binding form with OPLS2001<sup>11</sup> indicated it to be a stable local minimum (Figure 2). Furthermore, docking



Figure 2. Docking poses of 1 (yellow) and 3 (cyan) in the ECdetermined tubulin binding site. The shortest epo-tubulin H-H contact for 3 is 2.3 Å; the sum of the van der Waals radii.

the structure into  $\beta$ -tubulin suggested that the additional CH<sub>2</sub> in the newly installed cyclohexane ring would not experience steric congestion with the protein (Figure 2).

(6) For recent reviews on the synthesis of epothilones and analogs, see: (a) Rivkin, A.; Cho, Y. S.; Gabarda, A. E.; Yoshimura, F.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Nat. Prod. **2004**, 67, 139. (b) Luduvico, I.; Le Hyaric, M.; De Almeida, M. V.; Da, Silva, A. D. *Mini-Rev. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *3*, 49. (c) Watkins, E. B.; Chittiboyina, A. G.; Avery, M. A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 4071.

(7) (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Vourloumis, D.; Li, T. H.; Pastor, J.; Winssinger, N.; He, Y.; Ninkovic, S.; Sarabia, F.; Vallberg, H.; Roschangar, F.; King, N. P.; Finlay, M. R. V.; Giannakakou, P.; VerdierPinard, P.; Hamel, E. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 2097. (b) Su, D. S.; Balog, A.; Meng, D. F.; Bertinato, P.; Danishefsky, S. J.; Zheng, Y. H.; Chou, T. C.; He, L. F.; Horwitz, S. B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 2093. (c) For reviews, see: ref 5c.

(8) (a) Carlomagno, T.; Blommers, M. J. J.; Meiler, J.; Jahnke, W.; Schupp, T.; Petersen, F.; Schinzer, D.; Altmann, K. H.; Griesinger, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 2511. (b) Taylor, R. E.; Chen, Y.; Beatty, A.; Myles, D. C.; Zhou, Y. Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, *125*, 26. (c) Heinz, D. W.; Schubert, W. D.; Hofle, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2005**, *44*, 1298. (d) For reviews, see: ref 5c.

(9) Nettles, J. H.; Li, H. L.; Cornett, B.; Krahn, J. M.; Snyder, J. P.; Downing, K. H. Science **2004**, 305, 866.

(10) (a) Klar, U.; Buchmann, B.; Schwede, W.; Skuballa, W.; Hoffinann, J.; Lichtner, R. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7942. (b) Conlin, A.; Fornier, M.; Hudis, C.; Kar, S.; Kirkpatrick, P. Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2007, 6, 953.

(11) MacroModel 9.0 (Maestro 7.0 interface), supplied by Schrödinger, Portland, OR; www.schrodinger.com.

In addition, SAR studies have suggested that the C1–C8 sector is critical for maintenance of biological activity and is not amenable to significant change.<sup>7</sup> However, certain modifications within C1–C8 have yielded potent analogues.<sup>12</sup> An important data point is available from the work of Martin et al. who introduced a six-membered ring between C4–C6 from the *pro-R* methyl at C4 in the corresponding EpoB analogue.<sup>13</sup> The compound proved to be inactive against the MCF-7 tumor cell line. The electron crystallographic structure<sup>9</sup> suggests a *pro-S* attachment to be the compatible link. Stereochemical inversion might then be responsible for the lack of activity. In this context, EpoA analogue **3** was conceived as a potential diagnostic test of the electron crystallographic epothilone binding model.

The retrosynthesis of compound **3** is summarized in Scheme 1. The approach adopts a Suzuki–Miyaura coupling



strategy initially developed by Danishefsky for the synthesis of epothilones A and B.<sup>14</sup> The advanced intermediate **6**, in which the cyclohexane core structure has been constructed, was conceived to derive from **7** utilizing sequential substrate directed epoxidation and epoxide opening.<sup>15</sup> Homoallylic alcohol **7** is accessible from aldehyde **8** by Brown's method for preparing 1-(2-cyclohexenyl)-1-alkanols.<sup>16</sup>

(15) (a) For a review of substrate directed reactions, see: Hoveyda, A. H.; Evans, D. A.; Fu, G. C. *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 1307. For substituent directed epoxide opening, see: (b) Flippin, L. A.; Brown, P. A.; Jalaliaraghi, K. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 3588. (c) Chini, M.; Crotti, P.; Flippin, L. A.; Gardelli, C.; Macchia, F. J. Org. Chem. **1992**, *57*, 1713.

(16) (a) Brown, H. C.; Jadhav, P. K.; Bhat, K. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 2564. (b) Brown, H. C.; Bhat, K. S.; Jadhav, P. K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1991**, 2633.

<sup>(5)</sup> For recent general reviews, see: (a) Altmann, K. H.; Florsheimer, A.; Bold, G.; Caravatti, G.; Wartmann, M. *Chimia* **2004**, *58*, 686. (b) Altmann, K. H. *Cur. Pharm. Des.* **2005**, *11*, 1595. (c) Altmann, K. H.; Pfeiffer, B.; Arseniyadis, S.; Pratt, B. A.; Nicolaou, K. C. *Chemmedchem* **2007**, *2*, 396. (d) Feyen, F.; Cachoux, F.; Gertsch, J.; Wartmann, M.; Altmann, K. Acc. Chem. Res. **2008**, *41*, 21.

<sup>(12)</sup> Regueiro-Ren, A.; Leavitt, K.; Kim, S. H.; Hofle, G.; Kiffe, M.; Gougoutas, J. Z.; DiMarco, J. D.; Lee, F. Y. F.; Fairchild, C. R.; Long, B. H.; Vite, G. D. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 3815.

<sup>(13)</sup> Martin, H. J.; Pojarliev, P.; Kahlig, H.; Mulzer, J. Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 2261.

<sup>(14) (</sup>a) Meng, D. F.; Bertinato, P.; Balog, A.; Su, D. S.; Kamenecka, T.; Sorensen, E. J.; Danishefsky, S. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 10073.
(b) Chemler, S. R.; Trauner, D.; Danishefsky, S. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 4544.

Our synthesis commenced with the known aldehyde 9,<sup>17</sup> which was first converted to an enantiomerically enriched homoallylic alcohol intermediate (98% yield, ee > 95%, Mosher ester determination) by reaction with (+)-allyldiisopinocampheylborane prepared from (-)-chlorodiisopinocampheylborane and allylmagnesium bromide.<sup>18</sup> The homoallylic alcohol intermediate was subsequently subjected to silylation with TBSOTf to give silyl ether **10** in quantitative yield (Scheme 2). Ozonolysis of **10** followed by a Wittig



reaction furnished the desired *gem*-dimethyl olefin **11** in 80% yield (2 steps).<sup>19</sup> By exposure to HF/pyr, the primary silyl ether of **11** was selectively demasked in 72% yield,<sup>20</sup> and aldehyde **8** was achieved by subsequent Swern oxidation (quantitative yield).

Preparation of the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling precursor **6** was undertaken as shown in Scheme 3. Aldehyde **8** was combined with freshly prepared *B*-2-cyclohexen-1-yldiiso-pinocampheylborane **12** followed by oxidative cleavage of the B–O bond to provide intermediate **7** (96% yield, dr > 20:1 by <sup>1</sup>H NMR).<sup>16</sup> Surprisingly, both C–C bond formation and B–O bond cleavage by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in this reaction were unexpectedly sluggish (see Supporting Information), but nonetheless, the reaction gives satisfactory yield and selectivity. Stereochemistries at C5 and C6 were assigned on the basis of Brown's study.<sup>16</sup>

Homoallylic alcohol directed epoxidation of **7** was achieved by a vanadium-catalysis strategy<sup>21</sup> to provide the hydroxy

- (19) (a) Lin, M. Y.; Das, A.; Liu, R. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9340. (b) Takenaka, Y.; Ito, H.; Iguchi, K. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 510.
  (20) Million T. D. Counder P. D. Such via 100 (1021)
- (20) Nelson, T. D.; Crouch, R. D. Synthesis 1996, 1031.
   (21) (a) Mihelich, E. D.; Daniels, K.; Eickhoff, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- 1981, 103, 7690. (b) Bolm, C. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 237, 245. (22) Carre, M. C.; Houmounou, J. P.; Caubere, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 3107.

(23) Eliel, E. L.; Allinger, N. L.; Angyal, S. J.; Morrison, G. A. Conformational Analysis; Interscience: New York, 1965; p 102.



epoxide 13 in 93% yield (dr > 20:1 by  $^{1}$ H NMR). The crucial regiocontrolled alkyl opening of the epoxide was successfully performed by treatment of 13 with allylmagnesium bromide in the presence of CuCN (10 mol %) to give the desired diol 14 (90% yield) along with a trace of C7-alkylated isomer and bromohydrin.<sup>22</sup> It is worth noting that an excess of Grignard reagent (8 equiv) was required to reduce the formation of bromohydrin. We reasoned that the regioselectivity of this metal-catalyzed epoxide opening was controlled not only by the Fürst-Plattner rule,<sup>23</sup> which favors a diaxial orientation, but also by stereoelectronic factors implicated in a chelation process.<sup>15b,c</sup> Selective silvlation of the sterically less hindered OH group in 14, followed by Swern oxidation afforded the desired keto diene 6 in 72% yield (2 steps). The relative configuration of 15 was confirmed by NOESY cross-peak analysis. To further confirm the absolute configuration, the conversion of olefin 6 to carboxylic acid 16 was carried out in three steps: (i) regioselective Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation<sup>24</sup> which led to a mixture of diastereometric diols (79% yield, ca. 5:1 ratio by <sup>1</sup>H NMR), (ii) cleavage of glycol to aldehyde with NaIO<sub>4</sub>, and (iii) Pinnick oxidation<sup>25</sup> with NaClO<sub>2</sub> (56%, 2 steps). Single crystals of 16 were obtained from hexanes. X-ray crystallography confirmed that the desired stereochemistry has been maintained (see Supporting Information).

For the Suzuki–Miyaura cross coupling, vinyl iodide **5** was prepared from the previously reported aldehyde  $17^{18b}$  (85% yield, Z/E = 10:1) using the Stork and Zhao olefination

<sup>(17)</sup> Richter, F.; Bauer, M.; Perez, C.; Maichle-Mossmer, C.; Maier, M. E. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 2474.

<sup>(18) (</sup>a) Jadhav, P. K.; Bhat, K. S.; Perumal, P. T.; Brown, H. C. J. Org. Chem. **1986**, 51, 432. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Ninkovic, S.; Sarabia, F.; Vourloumis, D.; He, Y.; Vallberg, H.; Finlay, M. R. V.; Yang, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 7974.

<sup>(24)</sup> For Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation rate of substituted olefins, see: (a) Andersson, P. G.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1993**, 115, 7047. (b) For a review, see: Becker, H.; Soler, M. A.; Sharpless, K. B. Tetrahedron **1995**, 51, 1345.

<sup>(25)</sup> Bal, B. S.; Childers, W. E.; Pinnick, H. W. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 2091.

protocol (Scheme 4).<sup>26</sup> The geometry of the C=C was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( ${}^{3}J = 7.5$  Hz).<sup>26</sup> With the requisite



coupling precursors in hand, the final steps in the synthesis of bridged epothilone 3 were carried out as depicted in Scheme 5. After regioselective hydroboration in the presence



of 9-BBN, olefin **6** was coupled with vinyl iodide **5** following an approach reported by Danishefsky et al.<sup>14</sup> to furnish *cis*-

olefin **4** in 92% yield. The *gem*-dimethyl olefin of triene **4** was regioselectively dihydroxylated by the Sharpless protocol to give diol **18** as a mixture of diastereomers (36% yield, 78% BORSM, ca. 5:1 ratio by <sup>1</sup>H NMR). Diols **18** were cleaved to carboxylic acid **19** (78%, 2 steps) in a fashion similar to that utilized in the preparation of carboxylic acid **16**.

Completion of the synthesis of bridged epothilone **3** entailed the conversion of **19** to dihydroxy lactone **20** by employing a procedure used by Nicolaou et al.<sup>18b</sup> Selective desilylation with TBAF, followed by Yamaguchi lactonization and global desilylation in the presence of freshly prepared TFA/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (v/v, 1:4) gave dihydroxy macrolactone **20** in 44% overall yield, which is a bridged epothilone C analogue.<sup>27</sup> Finally, we obtained the C6–C8 bridged epothilone **3** by treatment with 3,3-dimethyldioxirane (DMDO) as described by Danishefsky<sup>14a</sup> to afford a mixture of **3** and its *cis*-epoxide diastereomer **3'** in a ca. 2:1 ratio by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. Fortunately, these two diastereomers were separatable by preparative thin-layer chromatography. The stereochemistry of the epoxide was determined by NOESY analysis.

A preliminary evaluation of the potency of compound **3** was probed with the A2780 ovarian cancer cell line. Bridged EpoA **3** is only weakly active with an  $IC_{50} = 8.5 \,\mu$ M. This corresponds to a potency loss of 3900-fold in comparison with the activity of EpoA in the isogenic 1A9 cell line.<sup>2</sup> Syntheses of other conformationally restrained epothilone analogs are currently being pursued. If low potency against tumor cells for such epo-modifications persists, it may necessitate a re-examination of the electron crystallographic epothilone–tubulin binding representation.<sup>9</sup>

Acknowledgment. The work was supported in part by the NIH Grant No. CA-69571. We thank Kenneth Hardcastle (Emory University) for determination of the X-ray crystal structure of compound 16.

**Supporting Information Available:** Molecular modeling and docking; experimental details, characterization data of all compounds, and NMR spectra of key intermediates; and X-ray crystallography data of **16**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## OL800422Q

<sup>(26)</sup> Stork, G.; Zhao, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 2173.

<sup>(27)</sup> Tang, L.; Shah, S.; Chung, L.; Carney, J.; Katz, L.; Khosla, C.; Julien, B. Science **2000**, 287, 640.