Lewis Acid Promoted Rearrangements of 1,3-Dioxolanyl-Substituted 1,2-Oxazines into Novel Products with 1,3,6-Trioxa-7-azacyclopenta[cd]indene Skeletons

Fabian Pfrengle, Ahmed Al-Harrasi, Hans-Ulrich Reissig*

Institut für Chemie und Biochemie, Freie Universität Berlin, Takustraße 3, 14195 Berlin, Germany Fax +49(30)83855367; E-mail: hans.reissig@chemie.fu-berlin.de

Received 29 September 2006

Dedicated to Professor Manfred Christl on the occasion of his 65th birthday

Abstract: Lewis acid promoted rearrangements of 4-methoxy- and 4-benzyloxy-substituted 1,2-oxazines *syn*-**1b** and *syn*-**1c** furnished novel tricyclic products **5** and **6**. A mechanistic rationale is suggested for the different rearrangement pathways depending on the configuration and the nature of the 4-alkoxy groups of the precursor 1,2-oxazines. Short period hydrogenolyses of these rearrangement products afforded tetrahydrofuranyl-annulated 5,6-dihydro-4*H*-1,2-oxazines **10** and **11**, whereas longer reduction times led to formation of tetrahydrofuran derivatives **14**, **15** and **16**, **17** in good yields.

Key words: heterocycles, 1,2-oxazines, furans, Lewis acids, rearrangements, 1,2-alkyl shifts, hydrogenations

We recently reported that enantiopure 4-(2-trimethylsilyl)ethoxy-substituted 1,2-oxazines such as *anti*-**1a** or *syn*-**1a** smoothly undergo Lewis acid promoted rearrangements providing bicyclic ketones **2** or **4** (Scheme 1 and Scheme 2).¹ These bicyclic 1,2-oxazine derivatives are versatile precursors for the synthesis of highly substituted tetrahydropyrans which can be regarded as carbohydrate mimetics. We now present the results of experiments involving related 1,2-oxazines with other 4-alkoxy substituents, which led to different types of rearrangement products.



Scheme 1 Lewis acid promoted rearrangement of 1,2-oxazines *anti*-1a and *anti*-1b leading to bi- or tricyclic 1,2-oxazine derivatives 2 and 3.

SYNLETT 2006, No. 20, pp 3498–3500 Advanced online publication: 08.12.2006 DOI: 10.1055/s-2006-956463; Art ID: G31206ST © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York 1,2-Oxazines *anti*-1 and *syn*-1 are easily available in a stereodivergent manner by reaction of lithiated alkoxyallenes with a D-glyceraldehyde-derived nitrone.² When 4-methoxy-substituted 1,2-oxazine *anti*-1b was exposed to tin tetrachloride the tricyclic acetal 3 was obtained in 50% yield rather than the expected product 2 (Scheme 1). Compound 3 can be regarded as an internally protected derivative of bicyclic 1,2-oxazin-4-one 2. Constitution and configuration of 3 were proven by X-ray crystallographic analysis.³

The Lewis acid induced reaction of 4-methoxy-substituted 1,2-oxazine *syn*-**1b** followed a different, even more surprising pathway giving the novel product **5** with three annulated heterocycles (hexahydro-2H,4H-1,3,6-trioxa-7-azacyclopenta[cd]indene derivative) in good yield (Scheme 2).⁴ This transformation was also performed with 4-benzyloxy-substituted precursor *syn*-**1c** which furnished the analogous tricyclic product **6** in 55% yield.



Scheme 2 Lewis acid promoted rearrangements of 1,2-oxazines *syn*-1a, *syn*-1b, and *syn*-1c leading to bicyclic product 4 and novel tricyclic compounds 5 or 6.

The formation of the tricyclic products **3**, **5** and **6** can be rationalized by the following mechanisms. Coordination of the Lewis acid to the 'outer' dioxolane oxygen of *syn*-**1** or *anti*-**1**, subsequent ring opening of the ketal and intramolecular attack of the resulting stabilized carbenium ion onto the enol ether moiety of the 1,2-oxazine ring lead to stabilized carbenium ions **7** and **8** as crucial intermediates (Scheme 3). Depending on the nature of the 4-alkoxy group different pathways are possible. With 4-(2-trimethylsilyl)ethoxy substitution the bicyclic products **2** and **4**

are formed, as this group allows for fast fragmentation of 7 or 8 into the carbonyl compound, ethylene and a Me_3SiX species.¹ On the other hand, the methoxy group is not capable of undergoing a similarly fast fragmentation and therefore alternative steps have to follow. In the case of carbenium ion 8 derived from anti-1b a direct cyclization under expulsion of the Lewis acid is geometrically possible and hence the observed tricyclic product 3 is formed. This pathway is not accessible in the syn-series for steric reasons. Instead, intermediate 7 suffers a 1,2-shift of an alkyl group⁵ with retention of configuration resulting in new carbenium ion 9 which is now stabilized by the nitrogen rather than the oxygen atom. The significantly higher stability of the iminium-ion-type intermediate and possibly a steric relaxation are the driving forces for the rearrangement. Carbenium ion 9 is now able to smoothly react with the remaining oxygen under displacement of the Lewis acid, delivering compound 5 with a methoxy group at the central carbon atom of the tricyclic skeleton. The formation of compound 6 also follows this pathway, demonstrating that the 4-benzyloxy group does not undergo fragmentation into a benzyl cation at the stage of intermediate 7.6

In order to prove the structure of rearrangement products **5** and **6** and to demonstrate their synthetic potential subse-

quent reactions were conducted. Depending on the time of a hydrogenolysis it is possible to induce debenzylation and N–O bond cleavage of 2-*N*-benzyl-protected 1,2-oxazines.⁷ Hydrogenolysis of tricycles **5** and **6** first led to debenzylation at the nitrogen with subsequent ring opening of the *N*,*O*-acetal moiety affording novel 1,2-oxazines **10** and **11** with annulated furan rings in moderate to good yields (Scheme 4). Acetylation of **10** provided the corresponding 1,2-oxazine **18** which afforded suitable crystals for an X-ray crystallographic analysis.³ This allowed not only unambiguous proof of the constitution and configuration of **18**, but also that of the structure of its precursor **5** thus supporting our mechanistic rationale as illustrated in Scheme 3.

Hydrogenolysis of **5** for a longer period in the presence of Boc-anhydride led to a 7:1 mixture of two constitutional isomers, the N-protected *N*,*O*-acetals **14** and **15** in good yield (Scheme 4). A similar result was obtained with tricyclic precursor **6**, however, now the *O*-benzyl group was also removed, which provided the two isomers **16** and **17** (ratio: 9:1) in moderate yield. Under these reaction conditions intermediate formation of **10** and **11** is followed by the cleavage of the N–O bonds to furnish dihydroxy-imines **12** and **13**, respectively. These intermediates cyclize and, depending on which of the two primary hydroxyl groups is adding to the imine, either bicyclic isomers **14**, **16** or **15**, **17** are formed. The intermediates are



Scheme 3 Proposed reaction pathways for the rearrangements leading to compounds 2, 4, 3, 5, and 6.



Scheme 4 Hydrogenolyses of rearrangement products 5 and 6 leading to 1,2-oxazine derivatives 10 and 11 or to tetrahydrofuryl annulated tetrahydrofurans 14, 15 and 16, 17.

Synlett 2006, No. 20, 3498-3500 © Thieme Stuttgart · New York

then in situ protected to generate the NHBoc moiety. In the case of 4-benzyloxy-substituted 1,2-oxazine **6** the benzyl group was also reductively removed giving compounds **16**, **17** with a free tertiary hydroxyl group.

In summary, a novel stereoselective Lewis acid promoted rearrangement of 1,3-dioxolanyl-substituted 1,2-oxazines was discovered, which led to enantiopure heterocyclic compounds with a complex skeleton. The resulting tricyclic products **5** and **6** are suitable precursors for further transformations as demonstrated by their reductive transformations into compounds such as **10**, **11** and **14–17**. Easily accessible intermediates such as 5,6-dihydro-4*H*-1,2-oxazines **10** and **11** should be excellent starting materials for addition reactions to the C=N double bond, thus leading to new enantiopure heterocycles. All these options will enhance the synthetic utility of 1,2-oxazines, which has already been demonstrated in several reports.⁸

Acknowledgment

Support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and the Schering AG is most gratefully acknowledged. We thank Prof. Dr. H. Hartl and I. Brüdgam for the Xray crystallographic analyses.

References and Notes

- Al-Harrasi, A.; Reissig, H.-U. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6227; Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 6383.
- (2) (a) Schade, W.; Reissig, H.-U. *Synlett* 1999, 632.
 (b) Helms, M.; Schade, W.; Pulz, R.; Watanabe, T.; Al-Harrasi, A.; Fisera, L.; Hlobilova, I.; Zahn, G.; Reissig, H.-U. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2005, 1003.
- (3) Brüdgam, I.; Hartl, H., Institut für Chemie und Biochemie, Freie Universität Berlin, *unpublished results*.
- (4) **Typical Procedure, Conversion of** *syn***-1b into 5.** SnCl₄ (0.78 mL) was added to a solution of *syn***-1b** (0.65 g, 2.13 mmol) in MeCN (18 mL) at -30 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm up to 0 °C within 3 h, then stirred for an additional 5 h at r.t., H₂O (32 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic extracts were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane–EtOAc, 2:1) to give **5** (0.44 g, 68%) as a colorless oil.

Analytical Data for (4a*R*,7a*S*,7b*S*)-7-Benzyl-7bmethoxy-4,4-dimethylhexahydro-2*H*,4*H*-1,3,6-trioxa-7azacyclopenta[*cd*]indene.

[α]_D²² +4.8 (*c* 0.42, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.35, 1.41 (2 s, 3 H each, Me), 2.15 (dd, *J* = 1.1, 5.1 Hz, 1 H, 4a-H), 3.42 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.85 (dd, *J* = 4.6, 10.4 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 3.99 (dd, *J* = 1.1, 12.3 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.00 (dd, *J* = 1.0, 10.4 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 4.01 (d, *J* = 14.2 Hz, 1 H, NCH₂), 4.08 (dd, *J* = 5.1, 12.3 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.22 (d, *J* = 14.2 Hz, 1 H, NCH₂), 4.49 (dd, *J* = 1.0, 4.6 Hz, 1 H, 2a-H), 4.50 (s, 1 H, 7a-H), 7.24–7.40 (m, 5 H, Ph) ppm. IR (film): v = 3055–3030 cm⁻¹ (=C–H), 2970–2870 (C–H), 1605 (C=C). MS (EI, 80 eV, 150 °C): *m*/*z* (%) = 305 (44) [M]⁺, 244 (100) [M – OCH₃ – CH₂O]⁺, 214 (3) [M – C₇H₇]⁺, 91 (57) [C₇H₇]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₃NO₄ (305.4): C, 66.86; H, 7.59; N, 4.59. Found: C, 66.51; H, 7.27; N, 4.54. HRMS (EI, 80 eV, 150 °C): *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₇H₂₃NO₄: 305.16272; found: 305.16366.

- (5) Review concerning 1,2-sigmatropic shifts of alkyl groups:
 (a) Shubin, V. G. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 1984, *116-117*, 267; the rearrangement described here has some similarities to the pinacol rearrangement. (b) For Prins–pinacol tandem reactions, see: Lavigne, R. M. A.; Riou, M.; Girardin, M.; Morency, L.; Barriault, L. *Org. Lett.* 2005, *7*, 5921. (c) Also see: Overman, L. E.; Pennington, L. D. *J. Org. Chem.* 2003, *68*, 7143.
- (6) In case of a *p*-methoxybenzyloxy substituent fragmentation leading to bicyclic ketone 4 was observed (Pfrengle, F.; Reissig, H.-U. *unpublished results*).
- (7) Helms, M. Dissertation; Freie Universität Berlin: Germany, 2005.
- (8) Reviews: (a) Gilchrist, T. L. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1983, 12, 53. (b) Tsoungas, P. G. Heterocycles 2002, 57, 915. (c) Tsoungas, P. G. Heterocycles 2002, 57, 1149. For selected recent publications of our group, see: (d) Pulz, R.; Watanabe, T.; Schade, W.; Reissig, H.-U. Synlett 2000, 983. (e) Pulz, R.; Al-Harrasi, A.; Reissig, H.-U. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2353. (f) Pulz, R.; Cicchi, S.; Brandi, A.; Reissig, H.-U. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 1153. (g) Schmidt, E.; Reissig, H.-U.; Zimmer, R. Synthesis 2006, 2074. Selected contributions of other groups, see: (h) Kibayashi, C.; Aoyagi, S. Synlett 1995, 873. (i) Denmark, S. E.; Thorarensen, A. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 137. (j) Streith, J .; Defoin, A. Synlett 1996, 189. (k) Young, I. S.; Kerr, M. A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3023; Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 3131. (l) Cardona, F.; Goti, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7832; Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 8042.