



Accepted Article

Title: Brønsted Acid-Catalyzed Direct C(sp2)-H Heteroarylation Enabling the Synthesis of Structurally diverse Biaryl Derivatives

Authors: Shuo Yuan, Bin Yu, and Hong-Min Liu

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Adv. Synth. Catal. 10.1002/adsc.201801226

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201801226

COMMUNICATION

DOI: 10.1002/adsc.201((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Brønsted Acid-Catalyzed Direct C(sp2)-H Heteroarylation Enabling the Synthesis of Structurally diverse Biaryl Derivatives

Shuo Yuan^{a, b, c}, Bin Yu^{a, b, c, d,} *, Hong-Min Liu^{a, b, c, *}

^a School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, PR China;
 E-mail: zzuyubin@hotmail.com, website: https://zzuyubin.weebly.com; liuhm@zzu.edu.cn

- ^c Key Laboratory of Advanced Technology of Drug Preparation Technologies (Zhengzhou University), Ministry of Education of China, Zhengzhou 450001, PR China;
- ^d State key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China.

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201#######.((Please delete if not appropriate))

Abstract. Biaryl scaffold is an important class of structural frameworks that exists in many natural products and drug molecules. The development of transition metal-catalyzed approaches for the efficient construction of biaryl scaffolds has long been pursued because of the interesting structural features and broad biological profiles of biaryl scaffolds. Herein, we describe the Brønsted acid-catalyzed direct C(sp2)-H heteroarylation that enables the synthesis of biaryl fragments (70 examples) in moderate to excellent yields (up to 99% yield), which was also performed at a gram scale and successfully applied to the privileged quinazoline scaffolds of the first-generation epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors Gefitinib and Erlotinib, offering rapid access to a series of quinazolinebased biaryl compounds. Additionally, the late-stage diversifications were performed based on the compound **3b**, generating a library of structurally diverse and complex biaryl compounds.

Keywords: Brønsted Acid; C(sp2)-H heteroarylation; Biaryl derivatives

Biaryl scaffolds are prevalent substructures found in a variety of natural products (e.g. Alocasin A, Annomontine, Meridianin D, Farinamycin, etc.) and targeted drug molecules (e.g. Osimertinib, Rosuvastatin, AM-2099, Ibrutinib, etc.) (Figure 1).^[1] Analysis of the IEBX database (ca. 6.2 million compounds) by Brown et al. have also demonstrated that the biphenyl substructure is one of the three most common functional groups in biologically active molecules.^[2] Because of the prevalence of biaryl scaffolds in nature and interesting properties, numerous synthetic strategies for the construction of biaryls have been developed in last decades mainly based on two strategies: transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of aryl halides with aryl metal species ^[3] and transition-metal-free approaches ^[4]. New synthetic strategies that could facilitate efficient construction of the biaryl scaffolds are highly desirable.



Figure 1. Selected biaryl containing natural products and

drugs.

Hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) has been extensively used in organic synthesis, particularly favorable for electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions (e.g. Friedel-Crafts reactions) because of its unique properties such as strong H-bond donating (HBD) ability.^[5] Khaledi et al. reported that the HFIP-H₂O system can facilitate the electrophilic aromatic substitution of arenes and heteroarenes with benzyl halide to form diaryl alkanes by forming a hydrophobic environment.^[6] Very recently, the Aubé group revealed HFIP promote that can intramolecular/intermolecular Friedel-Crafts acylation reactions.^[7] Moran et al. described that Brønsted acid catalysts can form hydrogen-bonding interactions in HFIP, thereby facilitating Friedel-

^b Co-Innovation Center of Henan Province for New Drug R & D and Preclinical Safety, Zhengzhou 450001, PR China

Crafts reactions of highly electronically deactivated benzylic alcohols.^[8] Given the strong HBD ability and mild acidity of HFIP, herein we speculate that the hydrogen-bonding interactions between Brønsted acid and HFIP could facilitate the direct C(sp2)-H heteroarylation of electron-rich arenes with aryl halides, enabling the efficient construction of structurally novel and biologically interesting biaryl scaffolds.

Initially, 7-chloro-5-methyl-[1, 2, 4]triazolo[1,5a]pyrimidine (1a, 0.5 mmol) and 1-methyl-1Hpyrrole (2a, 1.5 mmol) were used as the model substrates to optimize the reaction conditions. When the reaction was performed for 6 h in solvents such as isopropanol (IPA), MeCN, THF, DCM, CHCl₃, Toluene, and Et₂O, no product was observed (Table S1, entry 1). While a trace of compound 3a was obtained when the reaction was performed in HFIP for 6 h (Table S1, entry 2). When 10% mmol of bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (abbreviated as HTFSI) was used, compound 3a was formed in 12 % yield (Table 1, entry 1). To our delight, compound 3a was obtained in 60% and 66% yield, respectively when the reaction was carried out in HFIP at 60 °C or 100 °C (Table 1, entries 2 and 3), highlighting the importance of the temperature for the reactivity. When 0.5 or 1.0 mmol of 2a was used, the yield of compound **3a** decreased to 40% and 56% yield, respectively (Table 1, entries 4 and 5), lower than that of the reaction, in which 1.5 mmol of 2a was used (Table 1, entry 3). When the reaction was carried out in IPA, toluene, DMF, DMSO, dioxane, and MeCN, the yield decreased correspondingly (Table 1, entries 6-11). In contrast, when Lewis acid such as AlCl₃, ZnCl₂, CuI, CuCl₂, and Cu(CF₃SO₃)₂ was employed as the catalyst, the corresponding product was obtained in relatively low yields (Table 1, entries 12-16), significantly lower than that of the reaction catalyzed by HTFSI (Table 1, entry 3). When 10% mmol of TfOH was used, the corresponding product 3a was formed in 60% yield, comparable to that of the reaction catalyzed by HTFSI. While the reaction was kept for 12h, the product was generated in 69% yield (Table 1, entry 18), comparable to that of the reaction for 6h treatment under the standard condition (Table 1, entry 3).

Table 1. Optimization of reaction conditions [a]



Entry	Solvent	Time	Т	Catalyst	Yield ^[b]
		(h)	(°C)		(%)
1	HFIP	6	rt	(CF ₃ SO ₂) ₂ NH	12
2	HFIP	6	60	$(CF_3SO_2)_2NH$	60
3	HFIP	6	100	$(CF_3SO_2)_2NH$	66
					(53) ^[c]
4	HFIP	6	100	$(CF_3SO_2)_2NH$	40 ^[d]
5	HFIP	6	100	$(CF_3SO_2)_2NH$	56 ^[e]

6	IPA	6	100	(CF ₃ SO ₂) ₂ NH	38
7	Toluene	6	100	(CF ₃ SO ₂) ₂ NH	46
8	DMF	6	100	(CF ₃ SO ₂) ₂ NH	14
9	DMSO	6	100	$(CF_3SO_2)_2NH$	13
10	Dioxane	6	100	$(CF_3SO_2)_2NH$	37
11	MeCN	6	100	$(CF_3SO_2)_2NH$	54
12	HFIP	6	100	AlCl ₃	37
13	HFIP	6	100	$ZnCl_2$	20
14	HFIP	6	100	CuI	18
15	HFIP	6	100	CuCl ₂	42
16	HFIP	6	100	$Cu(CF_3SO_3)_2$	N.D. ^[f]
17	HFIP	6	100	TfOH	60
18	HFIP	12	100	$(CF_3SO_2)_2NH$	69

[a] Unless otherwise specified, all experiments were conducted in sealed reaction tubes. Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2a** (1.5 mmol), solvent (1.0 mL), catalyst-(0.05 mmol). [b] NMR yields determined by ¹H NMR using the triphenylmethane as an internal standard. [c] Isolated yields. [d] The ratio of **1a**:**2a** is 1:1. [e] The ratio of **1a**:**2a** is 1:2.[f] N. D. means Not Detected.

With the optimal reaction conditions established for the Brønsted acid-catalyzed direct C(sp2)-H heteroarylation, we next examined the reactivity of 7chloro-5-methyl-[1, 2, 4] triazolo [1, 5-a] pyrimidine (1a) with a wide range of electron-rich arenes. As shown in Scheme 1, 7-chloro-5-methyl-[1, 2, 4] triazolo [1, 5-a] pyrimidine (1a) reacted well with most of the electron-rich arenes, affording the biaryl fragments in moderate to good yields. In particular, when α -naphthol was used, compound **3d** was obtained in a regioselective manner in 91% yield, while for β -naphthol, the corresponding product **3**. was generated in only 22% yield. Indole containing biaryl fragments are prevalent in natural products (e.g. Schizandrin) and drug molecules (e.g. Osimertinib), which have shown interesting biological activities. Therefore, we also examined the reactivity of indole derivatives with compound 1a. Intriguingly, the corresponding biaryl compounds were obtained in moderate to good yields (44-89%), the substituents_ attached to the indole ring had no remarkable effect on the reactivity. Among these biaryl compounds, compounds 3b (CCDC number: 1581862) and 3s (CCDC number: 1581861) were further confirmed by the X-ray crystallographic studies. To examine the scalability, the reaction between 7-chloro-5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine (**1a**, 5.0 mmol) and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (15.0 mmol) was performed under a slightly modified condition, affording compound **3b** in 70% yield.

Scheme 1. Substrate scope of electron-rich arenes ^[a]



[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2** (1.5 mmol), HFIP (1.0 mL), catalyst (0.05 mmol), 6h, 100 °C; [b] **1a** (5 mmol), 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (15 mmol), HFIP (3.0 mL), catalyst (1.5 mmol), 6h, 100 °C; [c] **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2** (2.5 mmol), HFIP (1.0 mL), catalyst (0.05 mmol), 6h, 60 °C.

In view of the good reactivity of indole substrates with heteroaryl halide 1a (Scheme 1), we next examined the reactivity of 1-ethyl-2-phenylindole 4-chloroquinazolines with substituted and [1,2,3]triazolo[4,5-*d*]pyrimidyl-7-chloride **1a**. The corresponding products were obtained in moderate to good yields (43-99% yield) (Scheme 2). Particularly, compound 4a was formed in 99% yield. As shown in Scheme 2, 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene reacted smoothly with diverse heteroaryl chlorides regardless of their substituents, giving compounds 4f-k in 52-85% yields. It should be noted that the left Cl atom in compounds 4g and 4i did not react with 1,3,5trimethoxybenzene further, which may be due to that introduction electron-rich the of 1.3.5trimethoxybenzene increased the electron density of both compounds, thereby hampering the reaction of compounds with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene. both Besides, the triazole fused pyrimidyl chlorides reacted with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene quite well, giving the corresponding products 41-r in excellent yields While (85-96%). for the 1,2,3trimethoxybenzene, the corresponding product 4s was obtained in only 22% yield, which could be attributed to the relatively low electron density of the reactive site.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of structurally diverse biaryl fragments ^[a]



[a] Reagents and conditions: **1** (0.5 mmol), **2** (1.5 mmol), HFIP (1 mL), catalyst (0.05 mmol), 6h, 100 °C.

In light of the prevalence of the quinazoline scaffold in biologically active molecules and their diverse bioactivities of quinazoline-based analogs, in work two different 4-chloroquinazoline this substrates derived from the first-generation epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs) gefitinib and erlotinib for the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and several electron-rich arenes were employed to further investigate the reactivity, hoping to construct the quinazoline-based focused library. As shown in 4-chloro-6,7-bis(2-methox) Scheme 3, ethoxy)quinazoline derived from erlotinib reacted with several different electron-rich arenes, affording compounds 5a-d in 42-83% yields. While the 4-chloro-6,7-bis(2-methoxy reactions between ethoxy)quinazoline and indole derivatives underwent smoothly, giving the corresponding products **5e-k** in moderate to excellent yields (up to 98% yield). 4-chloro-7-methoxy-6-(3-Similarly morpholinopropoxy)quinazoline derived from gefitinib also reacted smoothly with indole derivatives, affording compounds **51-n** in 72-73% Interestingly, this series of compounds yields. showed promising biochemical potency against EGFR (data not shown here), the biology work will be reported in due course. In comparison with the first-generation EGFR-TKIs (e.g. gefitinib and erlotinib), the compounds in Scheme 3 represent new scaffolds for further design of EGFR inhibitors. Scheme 3. Construction of 4-arylquinazoline derivatives ^[a]



[a] Reagents and conditions: [a] **1b** (0.5 mmol), **2** (1.5 mmol), HFIP (1 mL), catalyst (0.05 mmol), 6h, 100 °C; [b] **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2** (2.5 mmol), HFIP (1 mL), catalyst (0.05 mmol), 6h, 60 °C.

With these biaryl compounds in hand, compound **3b** was chosen as a model substrate for late-stage diversification (Scheme 4). Treatment of compound **3b** with NBS in the presence of TMSCl led to the C(sp2)-H bromination, giving compound **6a** in 98% yield, which could be used as a starting point for constructing biaryl-based focused compound collections in the presence of metal catalysts (e.g. Pd, Cu, etc.). BF₃·Et₂O treatment led to selective demethylation of compound 3b, yielding compound 6b in 43% yield. Treatment of 3b with benzyl bromide afforded compound 6c in 95% yield in a regioselective manner. Acetylation of compound 3b with acetic anhydride in the presence of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ formed compound 6g structurally featuring adjacent hydroxy and acyl groups, which then reacted with benzaldehyde in the presence of NaOH to afford the hydroxylated chalcone derivative 7c in 63% yield. Treatment of compound 7c with I₂ (0.1 eq) in DMSO gave the flavone derivative 8a through the Algar-Flynn-Oyamada (AFO) reaction, the methyl group in 7c was simultaneously oxidized to the formyl group through the catalytic C-H oxidation. Conceivably, the formyl group could be employed as a versatile synthetic handle for further diversity-oriented synthesis (DOS). Similarly, compound 6f was also obtained through the I₂-mediated oxidation reaction in 33% yield. Subsequent reduction of compound 6f led to the formation of 7b in 99% yield. Compound **3b** also reacted with benzaldehyde in the presence of NaOH to give the stilbene analog 6e in 84% yield. Treatment of 6e with trimethylsulfoxonium iodide in the presence of NaH yielded compound 7a in 80% yield *via* the cyclopropanation reaction. Interestingly, 2-carboxybenzaldehyde, aniline, and compound **3b** reacted smoothly in AcOH, affording compound 6d in 91% yield. In this three-component one-pot reaction, the N-Ph isoindolin-1-one ring, two C-N bonds, one C-C bond were formed simultaneously. The method could deserve further investigation for accessing to the N-Ph isoindolin-1-one derivatives. Through above-mentioned modifications, we have

achieved the late-stage diversification of compound **3b** through chemical controls at multiple sites, generating a structurally novel biaryl-based focused library. Of particular interest is that the methyl group attached to the heterocycle scaffold was used in this work for diverse transformations under common conditions, which provides an example for other C(sp3)-H functionalizations. Conceivably, presented here is just an example illustrating the general strategies for late-stage diversification, further chemical transformations could be done based on the versatile synthetic handles (e.g. -Me, -OH, -CHO, acetyl, α,β -unsaturated carbonyl group, etc.) to construct structurally diverse and complex DOS library for biochemical phenotypic screening. Biological evaluation of the synthesized compounds is currently undergoing, some of them have presented interesting bioactivities, and the data will be reported in due course.

Scheme 4. Late-stage diversification based on the 5-methyl-7-(2, 4, 6-trimethoxyphenyl)-[1, 2, 4] triazolo [1, 5-*a*] pyrimidine (**3b**)



Reagents and conditions: (a) TMSCl (0.1 eq), NBS (1.1 eq), MeCN and *n*-hexane (1:1). (b) $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ (3 eq), DCM, -78 °C. (c) Benzyl bromide (1.0 eq), MeCN, reflux. (d) Aniline (1.0 eq), 2-carboxybenzaldehyde (1.0 eq), AcOH₁₀₀ °C, 6h. (e) NaOH (3.0 eq), EtOH, rt 1-12h. (f) trimethylsulfoxonium iodide (1.0 eq), NaH (1.1 eq), DMF, rt, 5-6h. (g) I₂ (0.1 eq), DMSO, reflux, 5-6h. (h) NaBH₄ (1.0 eq), MeOH, rt, 15-30 min. (i) (Ac)₂O (16.0 eq), BF₃·Et₂O (22.0 eq), DCM, 0 °C, rt, 48h.

In view of the practicability of the Brønsted acidcatalyzed direct C(sp2)-H heteroarylation, we also proposed the reaction mechanisms (Scheme 5). HTFSI first activated C-Cl bond through forming the H-Cl interaction with **1a**, thus facilitating the subsequent nucleophilic substitution reaction with Nmethylpyrrole. A similar phenomenon was also observed recently in the Friedel-Crafts benzylation of heteroarenes.^[10] arenes and several Further isomerization led to the formation of compound 3a (Path A). Interestingly, we also found that the intermediate A (characterized by NMR and HRMS, please see supplementary information for related spectra.) was formed in 29% and 18% isolated yield, respectively in the presence or absence of HTFSI (Path B). The intermediate A reacted smoothly with

N-methylpyrrole under the standard condition, affording **3a** in 95% yield (28% overall yield within two steps in the presence of HTFSI). We speculate that the acidic HFIP or HTFSI first protonated the oxygen atom of the intermediate **A**, thereby promoting the substitution reaction of *N*-methylpyrrole. Further isomerization led to the formation of compound **3a**. To conclude, compound **3a** was formed through at least two distinct pathways as depicted in Scheme 5.

Scheme 5. Proposed reaction mechanism for the Brønsted acid-catalyzed direct C(sp2)-H heteroarylation



Biaryl scaffolds are prevalent substructures found in a variety of natural products and drug molecules. In view of the structural features and their interesting biological profiles, the development of new methods enabling efficient access to such compounds have always been pursued, most of which involve the use of metal catalysts. In this work, we have developed Brønsted acid-catalyzed direct the C(sp2)-Hthat enables the synthesis of heteroarylation structurally interesting biaryl derivatives. The reactions were also performed at a gram scale and successfully applied to the privileged quinazoline scaffolds of the first-generation EGFR inhibitors Gefitinib and Erlotinib, offering rapid access to a series of new quinazoline-based biaryl compounds. Finally, compound **3b** was used as a starting point to carry out late-stage diversifications based on the versatile synthetic handles, generating a library of structurally diverse biaryl compounds. Biological evaluation of the synthesized compounds against EGFR and epigenetic proteins (e.g. BRD4, LSD1) is currently undergoing in our lab, some of them have presented interesting bioactivities, and the data will be reported in due course.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for the synthesis of biaryl derivatives

To an oven-dried tube were added the heteroaromatic chloride (0.5 mmol), electron-rich arene (1.5 mmol), and bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (0.05 mmol), followed by addition of HFIP (1.0 mL). The tube was sealed and stirred at 100 °C for 6 h, the solvent was removed under

reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (DCM/MeOH as the eluent) to give the pure products **3**, **4**, **5**.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81430085, 81773562 and 81703326), the open fund of state key laboratory of Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Nan-jing University, China (Grant no. KF-GN-201902), Scientific Program of Henan Province (No. 182102310123), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2018M630840), Key Research Program of Higher Education of Henan Province (No. 18B350009), and the Starting Grant of Zhengzhou University (No. 32210533).

References

[1] a) J. Wencel-Delord, A. Panossian, F. R. Leroux, F. Colobert, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 3418; b) I. E. Marx, T. A. Dineen, J. Able, C. Bode, H. Bregman, M. Chu-Moyer, E. F. DiMauro, B. Du, R. S. Foti, R. T. Fremeau, Jr., H. Gao, H. Gunaydin, B. E. Hall, L. Huang, T. Kornecook, C. R. Kreiman, D. S. La, J. Ligutti, M. J. Lin, D. Liu, J. S. McDermott, B. D. Moyer, E. A. Peterson, J. T. Roberts, P. Rose, J. Wang, B. D. Youngblood, V. Yu, M. M. Weiss, ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2016, 7, 1062; c) M. Nett, C. Hertweck, J. Nat. Prod. 2011, 74, 2265; d) E. V. Costa, M. L. B. Pinheiro, C. M. Xavier, J. R. A. Silva, A. C. F. Amaral, A. D. L Souza, A. Barison, F. R. Campos, A. G. Ferreira, G. M. C. Machado, L. L. P. Leon, J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 292 e) Y. Satoh, K. Yasuda, Y. Obora, Organometallics. **2012**, *31*, 5235.

[2] a) D. G. Brown, J. Boström, J. Med. Chem. 2016, 59, 4443; b) Y.-L. Zhang, Y.-F. Li, Y.-K. Shi, B. Yu, G.-C. Zhang, P.-P. Qi, D.-J. Fu, L.-H. Shan, H.-M. Liu, Steroids. 2015, 104, 1; c) B. Yu, X.-J. Shi, Y.-F. Zheng, Y. Fang, E. Zhang, D.-Q. Yu, H.-M. Liu, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2013, 69, 323; d) Y.-K. Shi, B. Wang, X.-L. Shi, Y.-D. Zhao, B. Yu, H.-M. Liu, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2018, 145, 11; e) B. Wang, B. Zhao, L.-P. Pang, Y.-D. Zhao, Q. Guo, J.-W. Wang, Y.-C. Zheng, X.-H. Zhang, Y. Liu, G.-Y. Liu, W.-G. Guo, C. Wang, Z.-H. Li, X.-J. Mao, B. Yu, L.-Y. Ma, H.-M. Liu, Pharmacol. Res. 2017, 122, 66; f) Y.-L. Zhang, Y.-F. Li, X.-L. Shi, B. Yu, Y.-K. Shi, H.-M. Liu, Steroids. 2016, 115, 147.

[3] a) L. J. Gooßen, G. Deng, L. M. Levy, Science. 2006, 313, 662; b) J. Hassan, M. Sévignon, C. Gozzi, E. Schulz, M. Lemaire, Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1359; c) J. K. Stille, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1986, 25, 508; d) Y. Yang, N. J. Oldenhuis, S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 615; e) B. H. Lipshutz, N. A. Isley, J. C. Fennewald, E. D. Slack, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10952; f) D.-H. Lee, M. Choi, B.-W. Yu, R. Ryoo, A. Taher, S. Hossain, M.-J. Jin, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 2912; g) D. H. Lee, A. Taher, W. S. Ahn, M. -J. Jin, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 478; h) D. H. Lee, J. Y. Jung, M. -J. Jin, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 9046; i) O. M. Kuzmina, A. K. Steib, J. T. Markiewicz, D. Flubacher,

P. Knochel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4945; j)H. -M. Guo, P. Li, H. -Y. Niu, D. -C. Wang, G. -R. Qu, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6016; k) T. A. Clohessy, A. Roberts, E. S. Manas, V. K. Patel, N. A. Anderson, A. J. B. Watson, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 6368; 1) H. S. Buchanan, S. M. Pauff, T. D. Kosmidis, A. Taladriz-Sender, O. I. Rutherford, M. Z. C. Hatit, S. Fenner, A. J. B. Watson, G. A. Burley, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 3759; m) S. Achelle, J. Rodriguez-Lopez, F. Robin-le Guen, J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 7564; n) T. Fekner, H. Muller-Bunz, P. J. Guiry, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5109; o) I. Cerna, R. Pohl, B. Klepetaova, M. Hocek, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5389; p) A. Tikad, S. Routier, M. Akssira, J-M. Leger, C. Jarry, G. r. Guillaumet, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4673; q) P. Capek, R. Pohl, M. Hocek, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 8001; r) I. Cerna, R. Pohl, B. Klepetaova, M. Hocek, J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 9048; s) M. K. Lakshman, J. H. Hilmer, J. Q. Martin, J. C. Keeler, Y. Q. V. Dinh, F. N. Ngassa, L. M. Russon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 7779; t) B. Sreedhar, D. Yada, P. S. Reddy, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 2823; u) K.-X. Huang, M. -S. Xie, G. -F. Zhao, G. -R Qu, H. M. Guo, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2016, 358, 1.

[4] a) C.-L. Sun, Z.-J. Shi, *Chem. Rev.* 2014, *114*, 9219; b)
T. M. Swager, Y. Kim, *Synfacts.* 2018, *14*, 0581; c) C.-L.
Sun, H. Li, D.-G. Yu, M. Yu, X. Zhou, X.-Y. Lu, K.
Huang, S.-F. Zheng, B.-J. Li, Z.-J. Shi, *Nat. Chem.* 2010, *2*, 1044; d)
T. Yanagi, S. Otsuka, Y. Kasuga, K. Fujimoto, K.
Murakami, K. Nogi, H. Yorimitsu, A. Osuka, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. 2016, *138*, 14582; e)
A. Graml, I. Ghosh, B. Konig, *J. Org. Chem.* 2017, *82*, 3552.

[5] a) J. P. Begue, D. Bonnet-Delpon, B. Crousse, *Synlett.* **2004**, 2004, 18; b) I. A. Shuklov, N. V. Dubrovina, A. Boerner, *Synthesis*. 2007, 2007, 2925.

[6] N. Weisner, M. G. Khaledi, Green Chem. 2016, 18, 681.

[7] R. H. Vekariya, J. Aubé, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 3534.

[8] V. D. Vuković, E. Richmond, E. Wolf, J. Moran, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 3085.

[9] L. Chen, W. Fu, L. Zheng, Z. Liu, G. Liang, J. Med. Chem. 2018, 61, 4290.

[10] P. L. Manna, C. Talotta, G. Floresta, M. D. Rosa, A. Soriente, A. Rescifina, C. Gaeta, P. Neri, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 5423.

COMMUNICATION

