DOI: 10.1002/eiic.200500845

Synthesis, Characterization, and Catalytic Properties of *ansa*-Zirconocenes $[Zr{1-Me_2Si(3-\eta^5-C_9H_5R)_2}Cl_2]$ (R = Me, *n*Pr, *n*Bu, and Bz)

Carlos Alonso-Moreno,^[a] Antonio Antiñolo,^[a] Fernando Carrillo-Hermosilla,^[a] Antonio Otero,*^[a] Ana M. Rodríguez,^[a] José Sancho,^[b] Victoria Volkis,^[c] and Moris Eisen^[c]

Dedicated to Professor Victor Riera on the occasion of his 70th birthday^[\ddagger]

Keywords: Metallocenes / Zirconium / Homogeneous catalysis / Polymerization / Ethylene

The ansa-indene liquids $\{1-Me_2Si(3-C_9H_6R)_2\}$ [R = Me (1), nPr (2), nBu (3), and Bz (4)] were prepared by alkylation of the unsubstituted ansa-indene. These ligands were converted, by reaction with nBuLi, to the di-lithium compounds $[{\rm Li}_2\{1{\rm -Me}_2{\rm Si}(3{\rm -C}_9{\rm H}_5{\rm R})_2\}]\;[{\rm R}={\rm Me}\;({\bf 5}),\,n{\rm Pr}\;({\bf 6}),\,n{\rm Bu}\;({\bf 7}),\,{\rm and}\;{\rm Bz}$ (8)]. ansa-Zirconocenes, $[Zr{1-Me_2Si(3-\eta^5-C_9H_5R)_2}Cl_2]$ [R = Me (9), nPr (10), nBu (11), and Bz (12)], have been prepared by the reaction of $ZrCl_4$ with 5-8 in diethyl ether/toluene at -78 °C. The molecular structure of meso-[Zr{1-Me₂Si[3-η⁵- $C_9H_5(CH_3)_2Cl_2$ (9) and rac-[Zr{1-Me_2Si[3-\eta^5-C_9H_5- $(CH_2CH_2CH_3)_{2}Cl_{2}$ (10) have been determined by single-

Introduction

A large number of new catalysts for olefin polymerization, classified as metallocene catalysts, have been prepared during the last decade.^[1] The majority of these catalysts consist of a zirconium complex with the general formula LL'ZrCl₂ and these systems are activated by methylaluminoxane (MAO). The ligands L and L' are typically equal or different dienyl ligands that may be linked together by a bridge. A major evolution has been achieved in the field of polypropylene, which can now be produced with different types and degrees of stereoregularity.^[2] In fact, a new class of catalysts based on C2-symmetric metallocenes, with ansabis(indenyl) ligands, produce almost isotactic polypropylenes. The best-known example is Brintzinger's rac-[Zr{1- $(C_2H_4)(\eta^5-C_9H_6)_2$ Cl₂].^[3] Although the introduction of an alkyl substituent in the cyclopentadienyl fragment of the

[a] Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Orgánica y Bioquímica, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Campus Universitario de Ciudad Real, 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain E-mail: Antonio.Otero@uclm.es Fernando.Carrillo@uclm.es

- [b] Centro Tecnológico Repsol-YPF, Carretera de Extremadura, Km. 18, 28931 Móstoles, Spain [c] Department of Chemistry and Institute of Catalysis Science and Technology Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000. Israel
- [‡] In recognition of his important contribution to organometallic chemistry and as a mark of friendship.

crystal X-ray diffraction studies. Ethylene polymerization catalysis has been studied for complexes 9-12 as a mixture of meso and rac isomers in the presence of methylaluminoxane (MAO) as cocatalyst. Complexes 9-12 exhibit high activities and give rise to polyethylene with low molecular weights without the introduction of molecular hydrogen. In addition, active species from these complexes were found to be very stable in comparison with unsubstituted analogues.

(© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, Germany, 2006)

indenyl group has been studied,^[4] few examples of ansa-bisindenyl complexes, bearing SiMe2 bridges, have been described^[5–9] and, to the best of our knowledge, there is not a complete structural characterization of these complexes. The target for these catalysts was to study the influence of the metallocene structure in the performance of propylene polymerization. Less attention has been paid to the study of the influence on the properties of the polyethylene obtained with these catalysts, i.e., on the molecular weight of the obtained polymer. Usually, this parameter can be controlled during the polymerization by either adjusting the temperature of the polymerization or by adding molecular hydrogen, which acts as a chain transfer agent.^[10]

With this in mind, and as part of our continued interest in this field,^[11] we have developed new examples of ansazirconocene catalysts of the general formula [Zr{1-Me₂Si(3- η^5 -C₉H₅R)₂Cl₂. These catalysts have proved to be very active and are able to produce polyethylene with low molecular weight without introducing molecular hydrogen to control the process. It was of particular interest to compare the results with the analogous unsubstituted complex [Zr{1- $Me_2Si(\eta^5-C_9H_6)_2$ Cl₂.^[12]

Here we provide the details of the preparation and polymerization behavior of four members of this class of catalysts [Zr{1-Me₂Si($3-\eta^5-C_9H_5R$)₂}Cl₂] [R = Me (9), *n*Pr (10), nBu (11), and Bz (12)]. The activities of these systems in the polymerization of ethylene and the molecular weights



of the resulting polymers are discussed. In addition, the molecular structures of *rac*-10 and *meso*-9 have been determined by X-ray diffraction methods.

Results and Discussion

The preparation of the substituted *ansa*-indene precursors $\{1-Me_2Si(3-C_9H_6R)_2\}$ [R = Me (1), *n*Pr (2), *n*Bu (3) and Bz (4)] was achieved by alkylation of the dilithium salt of $\{1-Me_2Si(3-C_9H_7)_2\}$, generated with *n*BuLi in Et₂O, with a large excess of RX (X = I and R = Me for 1, X = Br and R = *n*Pr for 2, X = Br and R = *n*Bu for 3, and X = Br and R = Bz for 4) (Scheme 1). Column chromatography was used to purify the ligands 1–4.



RX = Mel (1), *n*PrBr (2), *n*BuBr (3), BzBr (4)

Scheme 1.

This is the preferred route because of the very simple synthesis and purification of the intermediate $\{1-Me_2-Si(C_9H_7)_2\}$ and because of its intrinsic versatility. In fact, $\{1-Me_2Si(C_9H_7)_2\}$ is a convenient starting material for the synthesis of a series of different 3-alkyl- and 3-silyl-*ansa*-bisindenyl ligands.^[13]The ¹H NMR spectra show the *meso*-isomer as the major isomer for derivatives **1–4**, with two singlets for the *ansa*-bridge methyl groups, a doublet for H1, a doublet for H2, and four multiplets corresponding to the protons of the C₆ ring of the indenyl moiety. In addition, the corresponding signals were observed for the pendant alkyl chain (see Exp. Sect.).

As shown in Scheme 2, the deprotonation of 1–4 with *n*BuLi gave the lithium salts [Li₂{1-Me₂Si(3-C₉H₅R)₂}] [R = Me (5), *n*Pr (6), *n*Bu (7), and Bz (8)]. The subsequent reaction of the lithium salts with ZrCl₄, in diethyl ether/ toluene, gave the corresponding zirconocene complexes [Zr{1-Me₂Si(3- η^5 -C₉H₅R)₂}Cl₂] [R = Me (9), *n*Pr (10), *n*Bu (11), and Bz (12)]. The orange solids obtained are sensitive towards air and moisture and are soluble in polar solvents.



Scheme 2.

While the total yield of this last step is satisfactory, a random *raclmeso* ratio is obtained. In general, the *raclmeso* ratio ranges from 0.6:1 (10) to 1.86:1 (12). For complex 9, samples of the pure *meso* diastereomer and a product enriched in the *rac* isomer (11.5:1) were obtained by careful recrystallization from CH_2Cl_2/Et_2O .

The ¹H NMR spectra for the *meso* isomers of the complexes **9–12** show the following signals: two singlets for the *ansa*-bridge methyl groups, a singlet for the proton of the indenyl C₅ ring, and four multiplets corresponding to the protons of the C₆ ring of the indenyl moiety. In addition, the appropriate signals were observed for the pendant alkyl chain (see Exp. Sect.). Furthermore, the following signals were observed for the *rac* isomers of the complexes **9–12**: a singlet for the *ansa*-bridge methyl groups, a singlet for the proton of the indenyl C₅ ring, and four multiplets corresponding to the protons of the C₆ ring of the indenyl moiety. In addition, several signals were observed for the pendant alkyl chain (see Exp. Sect.).

¹H NMR nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) difference spectroscopy allowed unambiguous identification of each isomer. Irradiation of the signal due to H2 in the *meso* isomer (which appears as a singlet in the NMR spectrum) led to measurable enhancement of the protons of the C3-alkyl substituent and the protons in one of the methyl groups of the SiMe₂ bridge. However, a similar irradiation in the *rac* isomer led to enhancement of the corresponding signals due to the C3-alkyl and the silicon methyl groups as well as the



Figure 1. ¹H NOE difference experiments provide information on the spatial proximity between protons.

The carbon signal assignments were made following a procedure on the basis of ¹H and ¹³C NMR correlated spectroscopy (HETCOR) (see Exp. Sect.). For the *meso* isomer of these compounds **9–12**, the ¹³C analysis showed two signals for the *ansa*-bridge methyl groups, a signal for the carbon C2 of the indenyl C₅ ring, and four signals corresponding to the carbons (C4–C7) of the C₆ ring of the indenyl moiety. For the *rac* isomers, only one signal was found for the ansa-bridge methyl groups. In addition, a signal of the carbon C2 of the indenyl C₅ ring was observed, and four signals corresponding to the carbons (C4–C7) of the C₆ ring of the indenyl moiety. The corresponding signals were observed for the pendant alkyl chain for both isomers (see Exp. Sect.).

X-ray Molecular Structures of Complexes *meso-9* and *rac-*10

Single crystals of *meso*-9 and *rac*-10 that were suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained from CH_2Cl_2 /ether and toluene. Their molecular structures, as determined by the crystallographic analysis, are depicted in Figure 2.

The *meso-9* complex crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$. The crystal structure reveals the existence of one unique isomer. The *rac*-10 complex crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P_2/c with a solvate toluene molecule. The crystal structure reveals the existence of two racemic enantiomers. The bonding parameters for *meso-9* and *rac*-10 are summarized in Table 1. The mutual arrangement of the indenyl fragments corresponds to C₂ symmetry for *rac* and C_s for *meso*.

In both cases the zirconium atom is in a pseudotetrahedral environment formed by the two chloride ligands and two η^5 -coordinated indenyl ligands. The Zr–C bond lengths of five-membered rings range between 2.448(6) and 2.662(6) Å. These values are close to that found in other *ansa*-bis(indenyl) zirconocene complexes (2.5 Å).^[14] The Zr–Cl distances for these complexes are within the range

Table 1. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for *meso-9* and *rac-10*.

| | meso-9 | rac-10 |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Bond lengths | | |
| $Zr(1)$ -Cent $(1)^{[a]}$ | 2.246(1) | 2.243 |
| Zr(1)-Cent(2) ^[b] | 2.252(1) | |
| $av^{[c]} Zr(1) - C[Cent(1)]^{[a]}$ | 2.550(6) | 2.548(3) |
| $av^{[c]} Zr(1) - C[Cent(2)]^{[b]}$ | 2.556(6) | |
| Zr(1)-Cl(1) | 2.409(2) | 2.4130(11) |
| Zr(1)-Cl(2) | 2.4375(19) | |
| Zr(1) - C(1) | 2.448(6) | 2.451(3) |
| Zr(1)-C(2) | 2.467(6) | 2.483(3) |
| Zr(1)-C(3) | 2.631(6) | 2.619(3) |
| Zr(1)-C(4) | 2.662(6) | 2.656(3) |
| Zr(1)-C(5) | 2.540(6) | 2.529(3) |
| Bond angles | | |
| $Cent(1)^{[a]}$ Zr– $Cent(2)^{[b]}$ | 128.84 | 128.81 |
| $Si(1)-C(1)-Cent(1)^{[a]}$ | 163.6(4) | 163.5 |
| Si(1)-C(11)-Cent(2) ^[b] | 163.5 | |
| $Cl(1)$ – Zr – $Cent(1)^{[a]}$ | 106.71 | 106.76(3) |
| $Cl(1)$ – Zr – $Cent(2)^{[b]}$ | 106.65(5) | 106.82(2) |
| $Cl(2)$ – Zr – $Cent(1)^{[a]}$ | 106.40 | |
| Cl(2)–Zr–Cent(2) ^[b] | 106.97 | |

[a] Cent(1) is the centroid of C(1)–C(5) for both complexes. [b] Cent(2) is the centroid of C(11)–C(15) for the complex *meso-9*. [c] Refers to the average bond length between Zr(1) and the carbon atoms of the C₅ ring of the cyclopentadienyl moiety.

observed previously for other bis(indenyl)-zirconium dichlorides [2.407(1)–2.465(2) Å].^[15–20]

The angles between some relevant planes are collected in Table 2 along with the geometrical parameters described in Figure 3 for compounds *meso-9*, *rac-*10, and the unsubstituted parent *rac-*[Zr{1-Me₂Si(η^5 -C₉H₆)₂}Cl₂].^[12] As usual for bent metallocenes, the overall coordination environment is best described by the set of parameters depicted in Figure 3, which describes the metal accessibility. In particular, the smaller the "bite angle" β and the larger the Cp–M–Cp' *a* angle, the more the metal is tucked into the ligand envelope.

The main geometrical difference of *meso-9* and *rac-10* from *rac*-[Zr{1-Me₂Si(η^{5} -C₉H₆)₂}Cl₂] is associated with the angle β and the range of Zr–C_{Cp} bond lengths. β , in *meso-9* (63.9°) and *rac-10* (62.9°), is increased compared to that of *rac*-[Zr{1-Me₂Si(η^{5} -C₉H₆)₂}Cl₂] (60.2°). The range of Zr–C_{Cp} for both complexes (0.21 Å for *meso-9* and 0.20 Å for *rac-10*) is similar to that in *rac*-[Zr{1-Me₂Si(η^{5} -C₉H₆)₂}Cl₂] (0.20 Å).

These differences are, likely, caused by the bulky R substituents at C(3) of both indenyl fragments. This conclusion is supported by significant displacements of the C(3)–R bonds from the planes of the parent cyclopentadienyl rings opposite to Zr (4.1° and 4.5° for *meso-9*, and 4.2° for *rac*-**10**).

It can be seen from Figure 2 that, for the structures of the complexes *meso-9* and *rac-10*, both C_5 rings are eclipsed, including, in the case of *meso-9*, the methyl-substituent in position 3. In *meso-9*, it can be observed that one of the chloride ligands is very close to the C_6 aromatic rings, whereas the other one is in an intermediate location between the methyl-substituents. In the case of *rac-10*, each



Figure 2. Solid state structure of rac-10 and meso-9 (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).

Table 2. Relevant geometrical parameters.^[a]

| | meso-9 | rac-10 | rac-[Me ₂ Si(Ind) ₂]ZrCl ₂ |
|------------|------------|------------|--|
| a | 128.7 | 128.8 | 127.8 |
| β | 63.9 | 62.9 | 60.2 |
| δ | 83.6, 83.4 | 83.9 | 85.7 |
| φ | 95.3 | 95.3 | 94.4 |
| γ | 15.6, 15.8 | 16.1 | 17.8; 16.4 |
| r(Zr–CCp), | 2.45-2.66, | 2.45-2.65, | 2.46-2.66, 0.20 |
| Δr | 0.21 | 0.20 | |

[a] All quantities in degrees, apart from Δ (Å). The angles α , β , γ , δ , and φ are defined in Figure 3. Δ is the distance between the perpendicular projection of the heavy atom on the ring least-squares plane and the ring centroid.

chloride ligand is between a ring and an alkyl substituent. This situation could give rise to a great influence of the rings and their alkyl substituents on the positions occupied by the active sites of the catalysts. These features could be



Figure 3. Schematic representation of an *ansa*-metallocene detailing the angles listed in Table 2.

established to control the molecular weight of the polymers obtained.

Polyethylene Polymerization using Complexes 9–12

Complexes 9–12, used as *raclmeso* mixtures, after being activated with methylaluminoxane (MAO), are very active

| Table 3. Ho | omogeneous ethylene | polymerization | results for 9-12 and | $I [Zr{1-Me_2Si(\eta^5)]}$ | $-C_9H_6)_2$ Cl ₂ as | s reference. | Conditions: | 343 K, | 1.5 bar |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|---------|
| monomer j | pressure, 6 µmol Zr, | MAO/Zr = 2000 |), 100 mL toluene, t_r | $_{\rm pol} = 30 \rm min.$ | | | | | |

| Complex | Activity ^[a] [kg _{PE} /mol _{Zr} ×h] | Activity (after 12 h) ^{[a][b]} [$kg_{PE}/mol_{Zr} \times h$] | $M_{ m w}$ [gmol ⁻¹] | M_n | $M_{\rm w}/M_n$ | M.p. [°C] |
|--|---|--|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| $[Zr\{1-Me_2Si[3-(\eta^5-C_9H_5)Me]_2\}Cl_2] (9)$ | 6333 | 1757 | 82000 | 21000 | 3.82 | 132 |
| $[Zr{1-Me_2Si[3-(\eta^{5}-C_9H_5)nPr]_2}Cl_2] (10)$ $[Zr{1-Me_2Si[3-(\eta^{5}-C_9H_5)nBu]_2}Cl_2] (11)$ | 3473 2946 | 2333 1856 | 87000 74000 | 26000 17000 | 3.40 4.35 | 134 132 |
| $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} & [Zr\{1\text{-}Me_2Si[3\text{-}(\eta^5\text{-}C_9H_5)Bz]_2\}Cl_2] \ \textbf{(12)} \\ & [Zr\{1\text{-}Me_2Si(Ind)_2\}Cl_2] \end{split}$ | 1849 3573 | 1843 No reaction | $70000 \\ 166000$ | 17000 53000 | 4.06 3.16 | 134 136 |

[a] Average value for three different runs. [b] Precatalyst/MAO solutions were aged for 12 h, at room temperature, before monomer introduction.

catalysts for the polymerization of ethylene. In the present study, we used a 10% solution of MAO in toluene, which contains about 20–30% of AlMe₃. In all polymerization experiments, Al(*i*Bu)₃ was used to scavenge possible impurities in the monomer. The polymerization results are compared with those of one of the best zirconocene catalysts, i.e., [Zr{1-Me₂Si(η^5 -C₉H₆)₂}Cl₂].^[12] The polymerization results and the available polymer characterization data are shown in Table 3.

Complexes 9–12 show similar or higher activities than the reference complex and, moreover, these new catalysts produced lower molecular weight polyethylene than the reference catalyst. Although it is not possible to establish any relation between the size of the substituent and the polymer molecular weight, we suggest that this low molecular weight arises due to the steric influence of the substituents. The activities of complexes 9–12 decrease as the bulk of the substituent in position 3 increases.

In addition, the melting points of all the polymers were determined (see Table 3). The catalysts 9-12 produced crystalline materials with relatively high melting points (near 130 °C).

The stability of metallocene complexes is one of the most important variables for its future industrial use. The activities of activated forms of complexes **9**–**12** were tested after 12 h of contact between the complexes and MAO solution. It is worth noting that these complexes maintained a reasonable level of activity after this contact time. In contrast, the reference complex ([Zr{1-Me_2Si(η^5 -C₉H₆)₂}Cl₂]) did not give any reaction with ethylene after the same time of contact with MAO. It therefore appears that an increase in the volume of the substituent in the 3-position leads to an increase in the stability of the catalyst.

Conclusions

We have carried out the synthesis of new examples of *ansa*-bis-indenylzirconocene complexes, bearing a SiMe₂ bridge, with alkyl substituents in the 3 position. The presence of these groups allows stable and very active catalysts to be obtained in ethylene polymerization, producing polyethylenes of low molecular weight, in comparison with the unsubstituted analogue. In addition, complexes *meso*-[Zr{1-Me₂Si[3- η ⁵-C₉H₅(CH₃)]₂}Cl₂] and *rac*-[Zr{1-Me₂Si[3- η ⁵-C₉H₅(CH₃)]₂}Cl₂] have been characterized by X-ray diffraction methods.

Experimental Section

General Remarks: All reactions were carried out using standard Schlenk-tube and glove-box techniques in dry nitrogen. Solvents were distilled from appropriate drying agents and degassed before use. ZrCl₄, indene, SiMe₂Cl₂, ICH₃, BrCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃, BrCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃, and BrCH₂Ph were purchased from Aldrich and used directly. ¹H and ¹³C spectra were recorded with Varian GEMINI FT-400 and Varian INNOVA FT-500 spectrometers and referenced to the residual deuterated solvent. Microanalyses were carried out with a Perkin–Elmer 2400 microanalyzer. Mass spectrometry was performed with a Hewlett–Packard 5988A (m/z = 50-1000) (electron impact) spectrometer.

Preparation of {1-Me₂Si[3-C₉H₆(CH₃)]₂} (1): nBuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (38.00 mL, 60.74 mmol) was added over 15 min to a cooled (-78 °C) solution of [Me₂Si(C₉H₇)] (7.30 g, 25.31 mmol) in Et₂O (100 mL) in a 250-mL Schlenk tube. At the end of the addition the solution was allowed to reach room temperature and stirred for 4 h, cooled again to -78 °C, and MeI (14.37 g, 101.24 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature and stirred for 16 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo and hexane (100 mL) was added. Water (200 mL) was added, the organic product was extracted with hexane, the aqueous layer was washed with hexane $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$, the organic layers combined, dried with MgSO₄, filtered and the solvent removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure to yield the title compound as a yellow oil. Compound 1 was purified by column chromatography (Et₂O/ hexane, 1:9) (yield 7.05 g, 88%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) (for the predominant isomer): $\delta = 0.10$ and 0.14 (2s, each 3 H, SiMe₂), $0.82 \text{ (m, 6 H, CH}_3), 3.56 \text{ (d, } J = 1.8 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, 1\text{-H}), 6.82 \text{ (d, } J =$ 1.8 Hz, 2 H, 2-H), 7.11–7.37 (m, 8 H, 4-H–7-H) ppm. MS electron impact: m/z (%) = 316 (3) [M, {1-Me₂Si[3-C₉H₆(CH₃)]₂}]⁺, 187 $(100) [M - (3-Me - C_9H_6)]^+, 129 (56) [M - Me_2Si]^+.$

Preparation of {1-Me₂Si(3-C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₃))₂} (2): The synthesis of **2** was carried out in an identical manner to **1**. [Me₂Si(C₉H₇)] (7.30 g, 25.31 mmol), *n*BuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (38.00 mL, 60.74 mmol), and BrCH₂CH₂CH₃ (12.45 g, 101.24 mmol). Yield 8.48 g (89%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) (for the predominant isomer): δ = 0.19 and 0.32 (2s, each 3 H, SiMe₂), 0.95 (m, 6 H, CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.39–2.73 (m, 8 H, -CH₂CH₂CH₃), 3.50 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 2 H, 1-H), 6.98 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 2 H, 2-H), 7.10–7.50 (m, 8 H, 4-H–7-H) ppm. MS electron impact: *m*/*z* (%) = 372 (3) [M, {1-Me₂Si(3-C₉H₆(CH₂CH₃))₂]⁺, 216 (100) [M – (3-Pr – C₉H₆)]⁺, 156 (23) [M – Me₂Si]⁺.

Preparation of $\{1-Me_2Si(3-C_9H_6(CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3))_2\}$ (3): The synthesis of 3 was carried out in an identical manner to 1. [Me_2-Si(C_9H_7)] (7.30 g, 25.31 mmol), *n*BuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (38.00 mL, 60.74 mmol), and BrCH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3 (13.87 g, 101.24 mmol). Yield 9.13 g (90%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) (for the predominant isomer): $\delta = 0.22$ and 0.08 (2s, each 3 H,

SiMe₂), 0.95–2.73 (m, 18 H, -CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 3.80 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2 H, 1-H), 6.89 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2 H, 2-H), 7.10–7.50 (m, 8 H, 4-H–7-H) ppm. MS electron impact: m/z (%) = 400 (3) [M, {1-Me₂Si(3-C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₃))₂]⁺, 228 (100) [M – (3-Bu-C₉H₆)]⁺, 59 (55) [M – Me₂Si(C₉H₆)]⁺.

Preparation of {1-Me₂Si(3-C₉H₆(CH₂C₆H₅))₂} (4): The synthesis of **4** was carried out in an identical manner to **1**. [Me₂Si(C₉H₇)] (7.30 g, 25.31 mmol), *n*BuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (38.00 mL, 60.74 mmol), and BrCH₂C₆H₅ (8.66 g, 50.62 mmol). Yield 5.34 g (45%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) (for the predominant isomer): $\delta = 0.16$ and 0.13 (2s, each 3 H, SiMe₂), 2.72–3.00 (m, 4 H, -CH₂C₆H₅), 3.54 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 2 H, 1-H), 6.78 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 2 H, 2-H), 7.14–7.39 (m, 18 H, 4-H–7-H, CH₂C₆H₅) ppm. MS electron impact: *m/z* (%) = 468 (2) [M, {1-Me₂Si(3-C₉H₆(CH₂C₆H₅))₂]⁺, 264 (83) [M – (3-Bz-C₉H₆)]⁺, 91 (100) [M – Me₂Si(C₉H₆)]⁺.

Preparation of Li₂{1-Me₂Si(3-C₉H₅(CH₃))₂} (5): *n*BuLi (1.60 m in hexane) (23.79 mL, 37.92 mmol) was added dropwise over 15 min to a cooled (-80 °C), stirred solution of { $1-Me_2Si(3-C_9H_6(CH_3))_2$ } (1) (5.00 g, 15.80 mmol) in Et₂O (80 mL) in a 250-mL Schlenk tube. The solution was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 4 h. An increasing turbidity developed and this finally led to the formation of a yellow-orange suspension. The solvent was removed in vacuo to give a yellow solid, which was washed with hexane (2 × 50 mL) and dried under vacuum to yield **5** as a free flowing yellow solid (yield 3.94 g, 76%). C₂₂H₂₂Li₂Si (328.28): calcd. C 80.47, H 6.75; found C 80.53, H 6.80.

Preparation of Li₂{1-Me₂Si(3-C₉H₅(CH₂CH₂CH₃))₂} (6): The synthesis of **6** was carried out in an identical manner to **5**. {1-Me₂Si(3-C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₃))₂} (**2**) (5.00 g, 13.28 mmol), *n*BuLi (1.60 M in hexane), and (19.91 ml, 31.85 mmol). Yield 4.48 g (87%). C₂₆H₃₀Li₂Si (384.48): calcd. C 81.22, H 7.86; found C 81.43, H 7.93.

Preparation of Li₂{1-Me₂Si(3-C₉H₅(CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃))₂} (7): The synthesis of 7 was carried out in an identical manner to **5**. {1-Me₂Si(3-C₉H₆(CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃))₂} (**3**) (5.00 g, 12.48 mmol) and *n*BuLi (1.60 M *in* hexane) (18.72 mL, 29.95 mmol). Yield (4.37 g, 85%). C₂₈H₃₄Li₂Si (412.54): calcd. C 81.52, H 8.31; found C 81.43, H 8.40.

Preparation of Li₂{1-Me₂Si(3-C₉H₅(CH₂C₆H₅))₂} (8): The synthesis of **8** was carried out in an identical manner to **5**. {1-Me₂Si(3-C₉H₆(CH₂C₆H₅))₂} (4) (5.00 g, 10.67 mmol) and *n*BuLi (1.60 M in hexane) (16.00 mL, 26.21 mmol). Yield 4.10 g (80%). C₃₄H₃₀Li₂Si (480.57): calcd. C 84.97, H 6.29; found C 84.83, H 6.34.

Preparation of [**Zr**{1-**Me**₂**Si**(3- η^5 -**C**₉**H**₅(**CH**₃))₂}**Cl**₂] (9): A cooled (-78 °C) slurry of ZrCl₄ (2.79 g, 12.00 mmol) in toluene (80 mL) was rapidly added to a cooled (-78 °C) solution of Li₂{1-Me₂Si(3-C₉H₅(CH₃))₂} (5) (3.94 g, 12.00 mmol) in Et₂O (80 mL) in a 250-mL Schlenk tube. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at -20 °C and then overnight at room temperature (16 h). The orange suspension was filtered through a G4 frit with Celite. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure to yield a sticky dark-orange product. The product was washed with Et₂O (30 mL) and the residue was dried to give an orange solid (0.86 g, 15%). *raclmeso* = 55:45. For this complex, samples of the pure *meso* diastereomer and a product enriched in the *rac* isomer (11.5:1) were obtained by careful recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O.

meso Isomer: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 0.80$ [s, 3 H, Si(CH₃) *exo*], 1.27 [s, 3 H, Si(CH₃) *endo*], 2.35 (s, 6 H, CH₃), 5.50 (s, 2 H, 2-H), 6.83 and 7.09 (2 t, J = 8.8 Hz, each 2 H, 5-H, 6-H), 7.32 and 7.44 (2 d, J = 8.8 Hz, each 2 H, 4-H, 7-H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 13.03$ [Si(CH₃) *exo*], 13.62 [Si(CH₃)

endo], 43.62 (CH₃), 76.78, 86.00 (C1, C3), 118.40 (C2), 123.21 and 125.11 (C5, C6), 126.15, 126.48 (C4, C7) ppm.

rac Isomer: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 1.09 [s, 6 H, Si(CH₃)₂], 2.22 (s, 6 H, CH₃), 5.68 (s, 2 H, 2-H), 6.97 and 7.23 (2t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, each 2 H, 5-H, 6-H), 7.35 and 7.38 (2d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, each 2 H, 4-H, 7-H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = -1.44 ppm [Si(CH₃)₂], 13.34 (CH₃), 78.04, 86.40 (C1, C3), 119.32 (C2), 124.25 and 125.06 (C5, C6), 126.55 and 126.85 (C4, C7) ppm.

Both Isomers: C₂₂H₂₂Cl₂SiZr (476.6): calcd. C 55.44, H 4.65; found C 55.63, H 4.68.

Preparation of $[Zr{1-Me_2Si[3-\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2CH_2CH_3)]_2}Cl_2]$ (10): The synthesis of 10 was carried out in an identical manner to 9. Li₂{1-Me₂Si[3-C₉H₅(CH₂CH₂CH₃)]₂} (6) (4.48 g, 11.65 mmol) and ZrCl₄ (2.72 g, 11.65 mmol). Yield 1.61 g (26%). *rac/meso* = 38:62.

meso Isomer: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.04 [s, 3 H, Si(C*H*₃) *exo*], 1.51 [s, 3 H, Si(C*H*₃) *endo*], 1.07 (t, 6 H, *J* = 7.9 Hz, CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.74 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₃), 2.98 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₃), 5.73 (s, 2 H, 2-H), 7.07 and 7.32 (2t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, each 2 H, 5-H, 6-H), 7.57 and 7.65 (2d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, each 2 H, 5-H, 6-H), 7.57 and 7.65 (2d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, each 2 H, 4-H, 7-H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -2.45 [Si(CH₃) *exo*], -0.71 [Si(CH₃) *endo*], 14.46 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 23.62 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 30.78 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 77.30, 80.10 (C1, C3), 117.27 (C2), 124.36 and 126.04 (C5, C6), 126.30 and 126.47 (C4, C7) ppm.

rac Isomer: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.25$ [s, 6 H, Si(CH₃)₂], 1.03 (t, 6 H, J = 7.9 Hz, CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.65 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₃), 2.84 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₃), 5.91 (s, 2 H, 2-*H*), 7.19 and 7.47 (2t, J = 8.8 Hz, each 2 H, 5-H, 6-H), 7.57 and 7.65 (2d, J = 8.8 Hz, each 2 H, 4-H, 7-H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -1.12$ ppm [Si(CH₃)₂], 14.34 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 23.54 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 30.49 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 77.40, 85.31 (C1, C3), 117.27 (C2), 124.55 and 124.92 (C5, C6), 126.62 and 126.72 (C4, C7) ppm.

Both Isomers: $C_{26}H_{30}Cl_2SiZr$ (532.73): calcd. C 58.62, H 5.68; found C 58.71, H 5.69.

Preparationof[Zr{1-Me₂Si[3-η⁵-C₉H₅(CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃)]₂{Cl₂](11): The synthesis of 11 was carried out in an identical manner to9.Li₂{1-Me₂Si[3-C₉H₅(CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃)]₂}(7)(4.37 g,10.59 mmol) and ZrCl₄ (2.47 g, 10.59 mmol). Yield 2.08 g (35%).*rac/meso* = 59:41.

meso Isomer: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.87$ [s, 3 H, Si(CH₃) *exo*], 1.36 [s, 3 H, Si(CH₃) *endo*], 0.89 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 6 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.34 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.51 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 2.72 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 5.58 (s, 2 H, 2-H), 6.91 and 7.16 (2t, J = 8.8 Hz, each 2 H, 5-H, 6-H), 7.41 and 7.49 (2d, J = 8.8 Hz, each 2 H, 4-H, 7-H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -2.69$ [Si(CH₃) *exo*], -0.96 [Si(CH₃) *endo*], 13.95 (CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 22.77 (CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 28.24 (CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 32.29 (CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 77.10, 84.81 (C1, C3), 116.88 (C2), 123.98 and 125.77 (C5, C6), 126.03, 126.19 (C4, C7) ppm.

rac Isomer: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.11$ [s, 6 H, Si(CH₃)₂], 0.90 (t, 6 H, J = 7.9 Hz CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.34 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.51 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 2.82 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 5.77 (s, 2 H, 2-H), 7.06 and 7.32 (2t, J = 8.8 Hz, each 2 H, 5-H, 6-H), 7.41 and 7.49 (2d, J = 8.8 Hz, each 2 H, 4-H, 7-H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -1.37$ [Si(CH₃)₂], 13.95 (CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 22.77 (CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 28.12 (CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 32.29 (CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 77.69, 85.06 (C1, C3), 117.00 (C2), 124.08 and 124.67 (C5, C6), 126.34 and 126.43 (C4, C7) ppm.

Both Isomers: $C_{28}H_{34}Cl_2SiZr$ (560.79): calcd. C 59.97, H 6.11; found C 60.21, H 6.19.

Preparation of $[Zr\{1-Me_2Si[3-\eta^5-C_9H_5(CH_2C_6H_5)]_2\}Cl_2]$ (12): The synthesis of 12 was carried out in an identical manner to 9. Li₂{1-Me₂Si[3-C₉H₅(CH₂C₆H₅)]₂} (8) (4.10 g, 8.53 mmol) and ZrCl₄ (2.00 g, 8.53 mmol). Yield 2.15 g (40%). *rac/meso* = 65:35.

meso Isomer: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.01$ [s, 3 H, Si(CH₃) *exo*], 1.29 [s, 3 H, Si(CH₃) *endo*], $\delta_A = 4.28$, $\delta_B = 4.22$ (AB, $J_{AB} = 21.6$ Hz, 4 H, $CH_2C_6H_5$), 5.77 (s, 2 H, 2-H), 7.02–7.48 (m, 8 H, 4-H–7-H), 7.02–7.48 (m, 10 H, $CH_2C_6H_5$) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -1.40$ [Si(CH₃) *exo*], -0.99 [Si(CH₃) *endo*], 34.22 (*C*H₂C₆H₅), 65.84, 85.90 (C1, C3), 118.12 (C2), 124.06 and 125.85 (C5, C6), 126.12 and 126.14 (C4, C7), 118.04–140.17 (CH₂C₆H₅) ppm.

rac Isomer: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.79$ [s, 6 H, Si(CH₃)], $\delta_{\rm A} = 4.17$, $\delta_{\rm B} = 4.04$ (AB, $J_{AB} = 19.6$ Hz, 4 H, $CH_2C_6H_5$), 5.59 (s, 2 H, 2-H), 7.02–7.48 (m, 8 H, 4-H–7-H), 7.02–7.48 (m, 10 H, CH₂C₆H₅) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -2.85$ [Si(CH₃)₂], 34.58 (*C*H₂C₆H₅), 65.94, 86.32 (C1, C3), 118.04 (C2), 124.25 and 124.74 (C5, C6), 126.57 and 126.78 (C4, C7), 118.04– 140.17 (CH₂C₆H₅) ppm.

Both Isomers: $C_{34}H_{30}Cl_2SiZr$ (628.82): calcd. C 64.94, H 4.81; found C 65.02, H 4.86.

Polymerization Experiments: Polymerizations (three runs for catalyst) were carried out in a 250 mL glass reactor using toluene as a solvent (100 mL). Catalysts (6 µmol) were treated with the appropriate quantity (Al/Zr = 2000) of a commercial (CROPTOM) solution of MAO in toluene (10% Al) for 15 min. Toluene (80 mL), Al(*i*Bu)₃ scavenger (2 mL), and activated catalyst were introduced, in this order, into the reactor and the temperature was maintained at 343 K. The nitrogen atmosphere was removed and a continuous flow of ethylene (1.5 bar) was introduced over 30 min. The reaction mixture was then quenched by the addition of acidified methanol. The polymer was collected by filtration, washed with methanol, and dried under vacuum at room temperature for 24 h.

Polymer Analysis Procedures: Mw, Mn, and Mw/Mn were measured with an Alliance GPC-2000 high temperature GPG device from Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA. The mobile phase was 2,4,5-trichlorobenzene (HPLC grade, J. T. Baker Ltd.) at 150 °C. Prior to use as a mobile phase, TCB was filtered through a 0.2-µ fiberglass filter (PALL Corp.). The GPC device was completed with 3 Styragel® columns (Waters Corp.) and an additional GARD column. All columns were placed in an oven and heated to 150 °C. The RI detector at 150 °C was used for MW determination by the relative method. Data processing was performed using Millennium® ver. 4.2 Software (Waters Corp.). The sample (0.020 g) was dissolved in 2,4,5-trichlorobenzene (10.0 mL) (HPLC grade, J. T. Baker Ltd.) at 150 °C over 7 h. The solution was stirred four times during this period. The hot solution (7 mL) of each sample was placed into the metal vial equipped with a mechanism for automatic filtration through 0.2-µ metal filters prior to injection. The vials were immediately placed in an autosampler heated continually at 150 °C. The sample was stirred for 15 min in the autosampler prior to injection. 12 PS narrow standards in the range of M_W from 2430 Dalton to 1.800.000 Dalton (Aldrich) were used for the calibration of the GPC device. The standards were prepared analogous to the sample preparation. The concentrations of standard solutions were calculated according the recommendations of Aldrich. The calibration curve is linear in all range of M_W and indicates the good working order of the device and especially the columns.

X-ray Crystal Structure Determination of *meso-9* **and** *rac-10***:** Intensity data were collected with a Nonius-MACH3 diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator and a Mo- K_a radiation source ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) using a $\omega/2\theta$ -scan technique. The final unit cell parameters were determined from 25 well-centered reflections and refined by least-squares methods. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and a semi-empirical absorption correction (Psi-scans) was made.^[21]

The structures were solved using direct methods,^[22] completed by subsequent difference Fourier syntheses, and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures on $F^{2,[23]}$ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. The hydrogen atoms were placed using a "riding model" and included in the refinement at calculated positions. Weights were optimized in the final cycles. Crystallographic data are given in Table 4.

Table 4. Crystal data and structure refinement for *meso-9* and *rac-10*.

| | meso-9 | rac-10 | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Empirical formula | C22H22Cl2SiZr·CH2Cl2 | C26H30Cl2SiZr • 0.5C7H8 | | | |
| Formula weight | 561.53 | 578.78 | | | |
| Temperature [K] | 290(2) | 250(2) | | | |
| Wavelength [Å] | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | | | |
| Crystal system | triclinic | monoclinic | | | |
| Space group | PĪ | P2/c | | | |
| a [Å] | 9.994(5) | 11.062(4) | | | |
| <i>b</i> [Å] | 10.430(8) | 12.206(4) | | | |
| c [Å] | 12.264(5) | 11.311(3) | | | |
| a [°] | 86.23(4) | | | | |
| β [°] | 70.28(4) | 91.59(3) | | | |
| γ [°] | 78.74(6) | | | | |
| Volume [Å ³] | 1180(1) | 1526.7(8) | | | |
| Ζ | 2 | 2 | | | |
| Density (calculated) [g/cm3] | 1.580 | 1.259 | | | |
| Absorption coefficient [cm ⁻¹] | 9.78 | 5.89 | | | |
| F(000) | 568 | 598 | | | |
| Crystal size [mm] | $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$ | $0.4 \times 0.3 \times 0.2$ | | | |
| Index ranges | $-12 \le h \le 13$ | $-14 \le h \le 14$ | | | |
| | $-13 \le k \le 13$ | $0 \le k \le 16$ | | | |
| | $0 \le l \le 16$ | $0 \le l \le 14$ | | | |
| Reflections collected | 5894 | 3858 | | | |
| Independent reflections | 5638 [$R(int) = 0.0497$] | 3674 [<i>R</i> (int) = 0.0168] | | | |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 5638/0/266 | 3674/0/147 | | | |
| Goodness-of-fit on F_2 | 1.056 | 1.111 | | | |
| Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ | $R_1 = 0.0630$ | $R_1 = 0.0466$ | | | |
| | $wR_2 = 0.1479$ | $wR_2 = 0.1460$ | | | |
| R indices (all data) | $R_1 = 0.1260$ | $R_1 = 0.0656$ | | | |
| | $wR_2 = 0.1745$ | $wR_2 = 0.1567$ | | | |
| Largest diff. peak/hole [e·Å-3] | 1.076/-1.384 | 1.218/-0.461 | | | |
| $R_1 = \Sigma F_o - F_c \Sigma F_o ; \ wR_2 = \Sigma [w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \Sigma [w(F_o^2)^2]^{0.5}$ | | | | | |

CCDC-283808 and -283809 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain (Grant Nos. CTQ2005-07918-C02-01/BQU and MAT2003-05345), and the Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha (Grant No. PBI05-029). C. A. acknowledges a fellowship from REPSOL-YPF-UCLM (contract CTR-00-084).

- See reviews by: a) P. C. Möring, N. J. Coville, J. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 479, 1; b) H.-H. Brintzinger, D. Fischer, R. Mülhaupt, B. Rieger, R. M. Waymouth, Angew. Chem. 1995, 107, 1255; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 1143; c) T. J. Marks, J. C. Stevens, Topics Catal. 1999, 7, 1; d) J. A. Gladysz, Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1167; e) M. Bochmann, J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 3982; f) W. Kaminsky, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2004, 42, 3911.
- [2] J. A. Ewen, M. J. Elder, R. L. Jones, L. Haspeslagh, J. L. Atwood, S. G. Bott, K. Robinson, *Makromol. Chem. Macromol. Symp.* 1991, 48/49, 253.
- [3] W. Kaminsky, K. Külper, H.-H. Brintzinger, F. Wild, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 507.
- [4] a) W. Spaleck, M. Antberg, J. Rohrmann, A. Winter, B. Bachmann, P. Kiprof, J. Behm, W. Herrmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1992**, *31*, 1347; b) L. Resconi, F. Piemontesi, I. Camurati, O. Sudmeijer, I. E. Ninfant'ev, P. V. Ivchenko, L. G. Kuz'mina, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 2308.
- [5] T. H. Warren, G. Erker, R. Fröhlich, B. Wibbleing, Organometallics 2000, 19, 127.
- [6] W. Spaleck, M. Antberg, L. Böhm, L. Rohrmann, J. Lüker, EP0399348, 1990.
- [7] J. A. Ewen, Macromol. Symp. 1995, 89, 181.
- [8] S. C. Yoon, J.-W. Park, H. S. Jung, H. Song, J. T. Park, S. I. Woo, J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 559, 149.
- [9] D. Balboni, G. Moscardi, G. Baruzzi, V. Braga, I. Camurati, F. Piemontesi, L. Resconi, I. E. Nifant'ev, V. Venditto, S. Antinucci, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* 2001, 202, 2010.
- [10] R. Blom, I. M. Dahl, Macromol. Chem. Phys. 1999, 200, 442.
- [11] a) C. Alonso-Moreno, A. Antinolo, F. Carrillo-Hermosilla, P. Carrión, I. López-Solera, A. Otero, S. Prashar, J. Sancho, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2005, 2924; b) A. Antinolo, R. Fernandez-Galan, A. Otero, S. Prashar, I. Rivilla, A. M. Rodriguez, M. A. Maestro, *Organometallics* 2004, 23, 5108; c) A. Antinolo, I. Lo-

pez-Solera, A. Otero, S. Prashar, A. M. Rodriguez, E. Villaseñor, *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 2460.

- [12] W. A. Herrmann, J. Rohrmann, E. Herdtweck, W. Spaleck, A. Winter, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 1511.
- [13] L. Resconi, L. Caballo, A. Fait, F. Piemontesi, *Chem. Rev.* 2000, 100, 1253.
- [14] a) M. Toto, L. Cavallo, P. Corradini, G. Moscardi, L. Resconi, G. Guerra, *Macromolecules* **1998**, *31*, 3431; b) L. Resconi, D. Balboni, G. Baruzzi, C. Fiori, S. Guidotti, P. Mercandelli, A. Sironi, *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 420.
- [15] a) G. Erker, B. Temme, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 4004; b) G. Erker, M. Aulbach, M. Knickmeier, D. Wingbermühle, C. Kruger, M. Nolte, S. Werner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4590.
- [16] C. Krüger, F. Lutz, M. Notle, G. Erker, M. Aulbach, J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 452, 79.
- [17] N. E. Grimmer, N. J. Coville, C. B. de Koning, J. M. Smith, L. M. Cook, J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 616, 112.
- [18] G. Jany, M. Gustafsson, T. Repo, E. Aitola, J. A. Dobado, M. Klinga, M. Leskelä, J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 558, 11.
- [19] R. L. Halterman, D. R. Fahey, E. F. Baillo, D. W. Docker, O. Stenzel, J. L. Shipman, M. A. Khan, S. Dechert, H. Suman, *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 5464.
- [20] S. Knüppel, J.-L. Fauré, G. Erker, G. Kehr, M. Nissinen, R. Fröhlich, Organometallics 2000, 19, 1262.
- [21] A. C. T. North, D. C. Phillips, F. S. Mathews, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 1968, 24, 351–359.
- [22] SIR92, A program for crystal structure solution: A. Altomare, G. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1993, 26, 343–350.
- [23] G. W. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97, Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures from Diffraction Data, University of Göttingen, 1997.

Received: September 22, 2005 Published Online: January 19, 2006