

0040-4039(95)01938-3

New Supramolecular Host Systems. 4¹ Novel Diacetal Podands, Diazacrowns and Cryptands.

Klaus Frische, Moshe Greenwald, Eli Ashkenasi, N. Gabriel Lemcoff, Sarah Abramson, Larisa Golender and Benzion Fuchs*

School of Chemistry**, Tel-Aviv University, Ramat-Aviv, 69978 Tel-Aviv, Israel

Abstract. New and important key podands bearing the cis-1,3,5,7-tetraoxadecalin (TOD) core are reported, viz., 2,6-di(hydroxymethyl)- (2) and 2,6-di(aminomethyl)cis-1,3,5,7-TOD (6), which lead to the first diazacrown-TOD compounds (9a) and (9b) and a corresponding cryptand (11) in the series. 9a&b exhibit very good alkali and earth-alkali ion inclusion ability with selectivity for K^+ and Ba^{+2} . Molecular dynamics (Insight/Discover/AMBER) on the crowns and cryptand were performed, including reparametrized MM3-GE calculations. A convincing case for the TOD based hosts was thus established.

The 1,3,5,7-tetraoxadecalin[†] diacetalic system (1) is known mainly from the carbohydrate field⁴. We have recently put forward an approach to a new type of podands and macrocycles built on a molecular "core" consisting of cis-1,3,5,7-tetraoxadecalin[†] (1,3,5,7-TOD) in its "O-inside" form (1ci)¹⁻³. The 1,3,5,7-TOD diastereoisomeric forms have been thoroughly analysed in a structural study² and a theoretical - computational one³, in order to understand the system with its peculiar built-in high lone pair electron concentration in the cis-decalin cavity. In that framework, we have reparametrized the MM3 force field (MM3-GE)³ for TOD and in general O-C-C-O containing molecules (exhibiting the gauche effect), to provide a computational tool for reproducing and predicting structure and stability in these systems and diaryl-TOD and corresponding macro-*m*-cyclophanes were made and reported¹.



We present now two important new key podands, *viz.*, judiciously functionalized 2,6-dialkyl-cis-1,3,5,7-TOD systems and the highly interesting crown and cryptand macrocyclic systems thereof. Thus, reactions of (*rac-* or) D-threitol with glycolaldehyde (dimer) provided the expected 2,6di(hydroxymethyl)-cis-1,3,5,7-TOD (2) product (Scheme 1). This was further derivatized, to give activated ester derivatives (**3a-e**) as well as the chloromethyl derivative (**4**). In spite of their good leaving group character, all these substituents caused surprisingly low reactivity towards standard nucleophilic attack. Some literature parallels could be found, in particular on the retardation of S_N^2 rates in β -haloethylbromides⁵, but a good explicit explanation is still to come⁶.

^{**}Part of the Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences at Tel-Aviv University.



 $\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}$ \left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}) \\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}) \\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}) \\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}) \\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}) \\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}) \\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}) \\
\end{array} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left(\end{array} \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left(\end{array} \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left(\end{array} \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left(\end{array} \\
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left(\end{array} \\
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left(\end{array} \\
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left(\end{array} \\
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left(\end{array} \\
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left) \\
\left(\end{array} \\
\left

Scheme 3. i: DMF, K₂CO₃, 90°C, 7d, n=1: 26%, n=2: 41%; ii: 1. BH₃-SMe₂, 2. 10% HCl, n=1: 86%, n=2: 63%

Scheme 1. i: HCl 1M, 15 min, 98%; ii: for 3b: MeSO3Cl, NEt3, DMF, 67% iii: similar to ii but 45 min. at 1500C, 24%





Scheme 4. 1: MeCN, K2CO3, 800C, 5d, 17%; ii: MeCN, Na2CO3, 800C, 17%

Scheme 2. i: DMF, NaN3, 75%; ii: MeOH, H2, Pd/C, 97%

The dimesylate (3b) reacted, though, satisfactorily (albeit slowly) with sodium azide (Scheme 2) and the resulting diazide (5) was reduced to give 2,6-di(aminomethyl)-cis-1,3,5,7-TOD (6). We attribute much importance to 2 and 6, as precursors of a large variety of future macrocyclic compounds and hosts.

As first achievements in this direction (Scheme 3), the reaction of 2,6-di(aminomethyl)-TOD (6) with tri- and tetraglycolic acid dichloride $(7a, 7b)^7$ (as also with the corresponding dimethyl ester⁸, albeit in lesser yield) gave the macrocyclic dilactams (8a, 8b), which were reduced with BH₃.SMe₂⁹ to (2,11-diaza-5,8-dioxadodecanyl-1,12-idene)- and 2,6-(2,14-diaza-5,8,11-trioxapentadecanyl-1,15-idene)-1,3,5,7-tetraoxadecalin (9a, 9b)[†]. These (8a&b and 9a&b) are the first genuine crown-TOD compounds in this series. In an alternate procedure the diazacrown compounds (9a&b) were obtained by reacting the diamine (6) with tri- or tetraethyleneglycol dimesylate (10)¹⁰ (Scheme 4). In the case of 9a, addition of one more mole of the dimesylate (10) lead to the corresponding cryptand (11). These (8-9) macrocycles are of the aza-crown, *chiral* type and are of considerable interest, in view of their anticipated complexation behaviour¹¹.

While the dilactams (8) showed only weak ion inclusion ability, the diaza-crown compounds (9) exhibited very good alkali and earth-alkali ion inclusion behavior, by any standards¹¹. This was probed, using the NMR shift technique¹² in MeOH-d₄/D₂O (2:8) solution and the results for 9b are given in Figure 1(1), showing that the Ba⁺² complex has the highest stability constant, so far, followed by Sr^{+2} in the same group and by K⁺ among the alkalis (Ba⁺² and K⁺ have similar ionic radii). Complexation studies of other crowns and of the cryptand (11) and analogues are in course.

^T We use consistently the 1,3,5,7-tetraoxadecalin nomenclature. Other possible names are: (1R)-cis-2,4,7,9-tetraoxabicyclo[4.4.0]-decane, 1,3:2,4-di-O-methylene-D-threitol or (4aR)-(4ar,8ac)-tetrahydro-[1,3]dioxino[5,4-d]-1.3-dioxin. Also, due to a minor but basic omission of the CIP rules, one cannot assign configurations to chiral cis-decalin (and similar) systems, other than by 9,10-helicity specification. Thus, compound 2 is (2S,6S,9R;9,10-M)-2,6-di(hydroxymethyl)-cis-1,3,5,7-tetraoxadecalin.

The above crowns and cryptand were reliably characterized by chemical and physical methods but not yet by full X-ray diffraction analysis. We calculated, however, the low energy conformations of the crowns (9a, 9b) and the cryptand (11), which were obtained in the conformational search carried out using the AMBER force field within the Biosym Insight/Discover software in three consecutive runs of constant temperature molecular dynamics (at 300, 400 and 500 K) for each compound, for 200 ps; sampling and minimization (10 ps) lead to a variety of conformations. The starting structure was taken, using the Insight/Builder module and inferred from available NMR data. Thus, the crown's (9b) disymmetric (C_2) minimum (its global minimum is asymmetric) was attained by optimisation with AMBER and, for the sake of force field comparison, was reminimized using the Insight/CFF91 and the MM3-GE force fields, producing C_2 structures with similar dihedral angle sequence pattern, e.g., $(aag)_5$ in the coronand part of the macrocycles, but significantly different bond lengths. The latter turned out to be implausibly long in CFF91 (Figure 1(ii)). MM3-GE is the only force field to account well for both the anomeric and the gauche effects in the C-O and the C-C bond lengths and appears to provide a reliable computational tool for predictive studies of these and similar systems.



Figure 1. Molecular model of the symmetric (C₂) form of the diazacrown-TOD 9b, on top of (i) a plot of its complex stability constants with alkali and alkaline earth ions (in methanol-d₄/water-d₂) vs. ionic radii and (ii) its tabulated bond lengths, from various force field calculations.

Selected Experimental Data

2: ¹H.NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 3.70, 3.73 (bs and d, ³J = 4.7 Hz, 6H, CH and CH₂OH), 3.93 (dd, ²J = 12.6, ³J = 1.4 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (ax)), 4.23 (bd, ²J = 12.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (eq)), 4.76 (t, ³J = 4.7 Hz, 2H, OCH); ¹³C.NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 63.7, 69.3, 69.6, 100.1; EI-MS (7 ev), m/z (%): 189 (7), 175 (100), 145 (27), 133 (71), 103 (M/2⁺, 25). [α]_D²⁵ = -18.9 (c=1, MeOH); analysed correctly for C₈H₁₄O₆. 6: ¹H.NMR (200 MHz, D₂O) δ (ppm): 2.57 (m, 4H, CH₂NH₂), 3.71 (s, 2H, CH), 3.83 (d, ²J = 13.1 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (ax)), 3.92 (d, ²J = 13.1 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (eq)). 4.57 (t, ³J = 4.9 Hz, 2H, OCH). [α]_D²⁵ = -31.8 (c=1, methanol): analysed correctly for C₈H₁₆O₄N₂. **9a:** ¹H.NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 2.06 (bs, 2H, NH), 2.8-3.0 (m, 8H, CH₂NHCH₂), 3.58-3.65 (m, 8H, CH₂OCH₂), 3.66(bd. ²J = 11.9 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (ax)), 3.68 (s, 2H, CHang), 4.10 (bd, ²J = 11.9 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (eq)), 4.79 (t, ³J = 2.3 Hz, 2H, OCH); ¹³C.NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 29.9, 49.56, 52.26, 62.6, 68.8, 69.02, 69.45, 96.61; EIMS: m/z (%) = 318 (M⁺, 87); analysed correctly for C₁₄H₂₆O₆N₂.

9b: ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.66 (m, 2H, NH), 2.88 (m, 8H, CH₂NHCH₂), 3.57-3.67 (m, 14H, CH, CH₂OCH₂), 3.83 (dd, ³J = 1.3, ²J = 12.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (ax)), 4.13 (d, ²J = 12.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (eq)), 4.72 (t, ³J = 3.6 Hz, 2H, OCH); ¹³C-NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 48.75, 51.60, 69.13, 69.24, 69.70, 70.21, 70.64, 99.81; EIMS: m/z (%) = 362 (M⁺, 58); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ = -6.8 (c=1, chloroform); analysed correctly for C₁₆H₃₀O₇N₂.

11: ¹H.NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 2.81-3.10 (m, 12H, CH₂N), 3.48-3.71 (m, 28H, CH₂OCH₂, CH₂ (ax), CH), 4.07 (d, ²J = 12.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (eq)), 4.81 (m, 2H, OCH); EIMS: m/z (%) = 520 (M⁺, 10). $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -6.8$ (c=1, chloroform); analysed correctly for $C_{\gamma_{2}}H_{44}O_{10}N_{2}$.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported in part by research grants from the Israel Science Foundation administered by the National Academy of Sciences and from the Ministry of Science and the Arts. A postdoctoral fellowship (to K. F.) from the Kurt Lion Foundation and the Konstanz-Tel-Aviv Förderkreis and a grant-in-aid from the Ministry of Absorption (to L. G.) are gratefully acknowledged. Skillful technical assistance was provided by Mrs. Sarah Weinman.

REFERENCES

- Part 3: Abramson, S.; Alkalay, E.; Ashkenazi, E.; Goldberg, I.; Jatzke, H.; Vardi, M.; Weinman, S.; Fuchs, B. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm. 1994, 1611.
- 2. Senderowitz, H.; Golender, L.; Fuchs, B. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 9707.
- 3. Senderowitz, H.; Linden, A.; Golender, L.; Abramson, S.; Fuchs, B. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 9691.
- 4. a. Barker, S. A.; Bourne, E. J. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. 1952, 7, 138.
 - b. Mills, A. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. 1955, 10, 1,
 - c. Stoddart, J. F. "Stereochemistry of Carbohydrates"; Wiley, New York, 1971; p. 210.
 - d. Foster, A. B. in "The Carbohydrates"; Pigman W. Horton D. Eds.; Vol. 1A, Academic Press, New York, 1972; Ch. 11.
- 5. Hine, J.; Brader, Jr. W. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 3964.
- a. Kirby, A. J. "The Anomeric Effect and Related Stereoelectronic Effects at Oxygen"; Springer, Berlin 1983; p. 75.

b. cf., however, Shaik, S. S. Progr. Phys. Org. Chem. 1985, 15, 197.

- 7. Dietrich, B.; Lehn, J.-M.; Sauvage, J.-P.; Blanzat, J. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 1629.
- 8. Jurczak, J.; Stankiewicz, T.; Salanski, P.; Kasprzyk, S.; Lipkowski, P. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 1478
- 9. Brown, H. C.; Heim, P. J. Org. Chem., 1973, 38, 912
- 10. Krakowiak, K. E.; Krakowiak, P. A.; Bradshaw, J. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 777.
- a. An, H.; Bradshaw, J. S.; Izatt, R. M. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 543.
 b. Krakowiak, K. E.; Bradshaw, J. S.; Zamecka-Krakowiak, D. J. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 929.
 c. Bradshaw, J. S.; Krakowiak, K. E.; Izatt, R. M. "Aza-crown Macrocycles"; Wiley, New York, 1993.
- a. Zhu, C.; Bradshaw, J. S.; Oskarson, J. L.; Izatt, R. M. J. Incl. Phenom. 1992, 21, 275.
 b. Bradshaw, J. S.; An, H.; Krakowiak, K. E.: Wang, T.; Zhu, C.; Izatt, R. M. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 6112;
 - C. R. S. Macomber J. Chem. Ed. 1992, 69, 375.

(Received in UK 10 August 1995; revised 9 October 1995; accepted 13 October 1995)