Enolizable β -Fluoroenones: Synthesis and Asymmetric 1,2-Reduction

Lukas Zygalski, Christoph Middel, Klaus Harms, and Ulrich Koert*©

Fachbereich Chemie, Philipps-University Marburg, Hans-Meerwein-Strasse 4, D-35043 Marburg, Germany

S Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: The hydrofluorination of enolizable ynones with AgF in t-BuOH/DMF is reported. The formation of furans as side products can be suppressed using 2.2'-biphenol. The corresponding β -fluoroenones were obtained with good Z-selectivity. A variety of functional groups are tolerated. β -Fluoroenones are vinylogous acid fluorides whose hydrolysis to vinylogous acids can be avoided under the reported reaction conditions. The asymmetric 1,2-reduction of β -fluoroenones to 3-fluoroallylic alcohols is possible with pinacolborane and a Ni(0) catalyst prepared from a pyrimidyloxazoline ligand.

he establishment of methods for the selective introduction of C-F bonds in organic molecules is an important task and constitutes a significant synthetic challenge due to the numerous applications of organofluorine compounds in life and material sciences.¹⁻³ Fluoroolefins are used as monomers in polymer chemistry and peptide mimics⁴ and can be synthesized by olefin metathesis⁵ or by olefination⁶ of a carbonyl precursor. Methods for the synthesis of fluoroolefins as peptide mimetics require stereoselectivity (E,Z) and functional group tolerance (e.g., protected amines).

Fluoroenones such as 1 and 4 are valuable building blocks for the synthesis of fluoroorganics (Scheme 1). While α fluoroenones 1 are readily available, e.g., by Julia-Kocienski olefination⁶ from sulfone 2 and aldehyde 3, the synthesis of β fluoroenones 4 is less well developed. The addition of HF (hydrofluorination) to ynones 5 is a promising solution to this problem. The use of a 1,3 dicarbonyl precursor 6 is limited to symmetrical 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds or at least monoaryl ketones in order to avoid the formation of regioisomers.' Aryl β -fluoroenones have been prepared from 1,2-allenic ketones using TBAF.⁸ The reaction of β -fluoroacroyl chlorides with organo cuprates^{9a} and the copper-catalyzed fluoroolefination of silvl enol ethers^{9b} are other entries to β -fluoroenones. The addition of DMPU/HF to triple bonds has been reported for propiolic esters to generate β -fluoroacrylates.¹⁰ The goldcatalyzed hydrofluorination of arylalkylalkynes¹¹ has not been reported for ynones. Hydrofluorinations using Bu₄NH₂F₃¹² or Au(I)-NHC catalysis¹³ have been limited to the preparation of diaryl β -fluoroenones 4a. Recently, the gold-catalyzed hydrofluorination of electron-deficient alkynes using RuPhos-AuCl and Et₃N·3HF has been reported.¹⁴ Here, a more general method to synthesize enolizable dialkyl- β -fluoroenones 4b is presented.

Scheme 1. Synthetic Routes to Fluoroenones



Ynone 7a was chosen as an enolizable test substrate to optimize the reaction conditions for the hydrofluorination. All ynones used within this study were synthesized from the corresponding Weinreb amides (Supporting Information (SI)). For ynone 7a, the carboxylic acid 8 was converted into the Weinreb amide 9, which upon treatment with the lithiated 1-octyne gave the desired ynone 7a (Scheme 2).

Received: July 31, 2018

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Ynone 7a



The starting point for our hydrofluorination study was Jiang's observation that AgF in CH₃CN/H₂O leads to diaryl- β -fluoroenones **4a**.¹⁵ With these conditions, the hydrofluorination of ynone **7a** led to a mixture of the (*Z*)- β -fluoro enone **10a** (${}^{3}J_{\alpha HF}$ = 40 Hz), the furan **11**, and the β -hydroxy enone **12** (Table 1, entry 1).

Table 1. Optimizing Reaction Conditions for theHydrofluorination of Ynone 7a

7a —		^{Bu} + C	<u>)</u>	H ~Bu
	10a	11	12	
entry	conditions	yield of 10a (%) (Z/E)	yield of 11 (%)	yield of 12 (%)
1	2 equiv of AgF, H ₂ O, DMF, 80 $^\circ\text{C},~3.5~\text{h}$	52 (7:1)	23	18
2	2 equiv of AgF, <i>t</i> -BuOH, DMF, 80 °C, 3.5 h	51 (5.4:1)	19	
3	2 equiv of AgF, 2 equiv of DTBPy ^{<i>a</i>} <i>t</i> -BuOH, CH ₃ CN, 80 °C, 2.5 h	18 (1:1.2)	55	
4	2 equiv of AgF, 0.1 equiv of BINOL t-BuOH, DMF, 80 °C, 5 h	74 (6.4:1)	2	
5	2 equiv of AgF, 2 equiv of BINOL <i>t</i> -BuOH, DMF, 80 °C, 5 h			
6	3 equiv of AgF, 0.45 equiv of 2,2'-biphenol, <i>t</i> -BuOH, DMF, 70 °C, 5 h	75 (10.0:1)	2	
7	RuPhosAuCl, AgBF ₄ , Et ₃ N·3HF, <i>p</i> -Cl benzoic acid ^B	60 (19:1)		2
^a DTB rt. ¹⁴	Py = 6,6'-di- <i>tert</i> -butylbipyridine.	^b MeCN/CH	H ₂ Cl ₂ 4:1,	24 h

The formation of the vinylogous acid 12 by partial hydrolysis of the vinylogous acid fluoride with water as cosolvent is not surprising. Remarkably, the hydrolysis was not complete under Jiang's conditions. Substitution of water by t-BuOH suppresses the hydrolysis side reaction (entry 2). Attempts to improve the reaction by addition of chelating ligands were undertaken next. For 6,6'-di-t-Bu-bipyridine the furan 11 was formed as the main product (entry 3). With 10% BINOL, the desired β -fluoroenone **10a** was produced in good yield and only with traces of side product (entry 4). The use of 2 equiv of BINOL, however, blocked the reaction (entry 5). With 2,2'-biphenol, the best yield was obtained (entry 6). Hydrofluorination using Toste's conditions¹⁴ (entry 7) resulted in the formation of the desired product in moderate yield and good stereoselectivity. BINOL and 2,2'-biphenol can act as ligand and proton source.

The formation of furan 11 can be rationalized by rearrangement of the ynone 7a to the allenone 13 under the reaction conditions (Scheme 3). The latter can undergo via 14

Scheme 3. Formation of the Furan Side Product 11



a Ag-mediated cyclization to form the 2,5-disubstituted furan.^{16,17} The absence of an enolizable position in diaryl β -fluoroenones **4a** prohibits furan formation for these cases.

The scope and limitations of the optimized hydrofluorination conditions were studied for a series of ynones with different aliphatic substitution patterns and functional groups present (Scheme 4). Good to excellent Z/E selectivites were





observed for all cases, except for 7b where no hydrofluorination product 10b could be obtained. A variety of functional groups were tolerated under the hydrofluorination conditions (10i, 10j, 10k, 10l, 10m, 10n). Noteworthy is the excellent Z-selectivity for the case of the hydroxy-substituted substrate ($7m \rightarrow 10m$).

 β -Fluoroenones could be valuable building blocks, e.g., as peptide mimetics, if a stereocontrolled functionalization could be achieved. In this context, a stereocontrolled 1,2-reduction of β -fluoroenones is of interest. This reaction is challenged by the competing 1,4-reduction, defluorination, and potential double bond Z/E isomerization. The stereocontrolled 1,2-reduction was studied and optimized for the case of the β -fluoroenone **10a** (Table 2). DIBAL-H was a suitable reagent for the racemic

Table 2. Optimizing Reaction Conditions for the

Stereocontrolled 1,2-Reduction of β -Fluoroenone 10a

0.04 equiv Ni(COD)₂ 0.1 equiv (S)-t-Bu-Pmrox 1.2 equiv HBPin, 1.5 equiv DABCO toluene, 0 °C R^{1'} (1 - 26 h) 15 10 он ОН 15a 15d 15c 71% (ee = 97%) 12% 51% (ee = 99%) ŌН OH F ОН 15e 15f 15i 66% ee > 99 72% (ee = 99%) 73% (ee = 91%) ŌН ŌН ŌН BocHN **15k** 73% ee = 95% 151 15j 73% ee = 89% 81% ee = 30% ŌН ŌН 15n **15m** 61% ee = 96% 60% ee = 99%

Scheme 5. Scope and Limitations for Asymmetric 1.2-

were obtained for the 3-fluoroallylic alcohols 15 for different aliphatic substitution patterns in the α' -position of the ketone, while an aromatic substituent in β -position (15d) resulted in low yield and no significant ee. A variety of functional groups were tolerated under the hydrofluorination conditions (15i, 15j, 15k, 15l, 15m, 15n).

Chiral 3-fluoroallylic alcohols 15 offer a variety of synthetic applications in fluoroorganics. One example is the stereo-controlled cyclopropanation $(15g \rightarrow 18)$, which exhibits a remarkably high diastereoselectivity (Scheme 6).

The relative configuration of the cyclopropanation product 18 was secured by X-ray crystallography of its 3,5dinitrobenzoate (SI). While no stereoselective cyclopropanation of 3-fluoroallylic alcohols has been reported, the stereochemical outcome for $(15g \rightarrow 18)$ is in agreement

Scheme 6. Diastereoselective Cyclopropanation of Fluoroallylic Alcohol 15g and X-ray Crystal Structure of the 3,5-Dinitrobenzoate of 18





^{*a*}Et₂O, -10 °C. ^{*b*}0.05 equiv of KOtBu, H₂ (5 bar), *i*PrOH, 25 °C. ^{*c*}1.5 equiv of HCO₂H/NEt₃, CH₃CN, 25 °C. ^{*d*}1 equiv of BH₃·SMe₂, THF, 0 °C. ^{*e*}3.3 equiv of LAH, 7.2 equiv pf 1,2,3,4-tetrahydrochinoline, Et₂O, -78 to +50 °C. ^{*f*}1.2 equiv of HBpin, 1.5 equiv of DABCO, toluene. ^{*g*}-25 °C. ^{*h*}0 °C. ^{*i*}1.5 equiv of DABCO, toluene. ^{*j*}L = (*S*)-*t*-Bu-PMROX.

1,2-reduction (entry 1).¹⁸ Ru-catalyzed hydrogenation of β -fluoroenone **10a** under various conditions gave no conversion of the starting material (entries 2 and 3).¹⁹

The CBS reduction provided the desired fluoroallylic alcohol 15a in very good yield, however, with only low enantioselectivity (entry 4).²⁰ A LiAlH₄ reduction using Nmethylephedrine as chiral ligand was less effective (entry 5).²¹ The NiH/PMROX-catalyzed 1,2-reduction of 10a using Zhu's conditions²² gave the desired product 15a in low yield and good enantioselectivity (entry 6). Under these conditions, the defluorinated allylic alcohol 16 was formed as side product in nearly equal amounts. It was found that changing the catalyst loading to 4% Ni(COD)₂ and 10% (S)-t-Bu-PMROX resulted in a very good yield and excellent enantioselectivity (entry 7). Only traces of side products 16 and 17 were observed in this case. Without borane, no conversion of the starting material was observed (entry 8). The absolute configuration of 15a was determined using Mosher-NMR analysis²³ (SI) and is in accordance with the prediction made by Zhu.

The scope and limitations of the optimized conditions for the asymmetric 1,2-reduction were studied for a series of β fluoroenones **10** (Scheme 5). Excellent enantioselectivities with the related Furukawa cyclopropanation of the corresponding 3-iodoallylic alcohols and 3-alkylallylic alcohols.^{23,24}

In summary, the (Z)-selective hydrofluorination of enolizable ynones to β -fluoro enones has been achieved. The formation of furan byproducts was suppressed using 2,2'biphenol as additive. An enantioselective 1,2-reduction of the β -fluoroenones to 3-fluoroallylic alcohols is possible with pinacolborane as hydride donor and a Ni(0)catalyst prepared from Ni(COD)₂ and (S)-t-Bu-PMROX. Both the hydrofluorination and the asymmetric 1,2-reduction exhibit a good functional group compatibility. These results offer synthetic access to chiral 3-fluoroallylic alcohols. The latter could have various applications in the synthesis of chiral fluoroorganics. One example given here is the diastereoselective Furukawa cyclopropanation to provide chiral fluorocyclopropanes.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.8b02435.

Experimental details; spectroscopic and analytical data of all new compounds (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 1824992 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: + 44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: koert@chemie.uni-marburg.de.

ORCID

Ulrich Koert: 0000-0002-4776-8549

Author Contributions

Experimental work was done by L.Z. and C.M.; X-ray crystallography was done by K.H.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft is gratefully acknowledged. The authors thank Christian Müller (Fachbereich Chemie, Philipps-University Marburg) for GC analysis.

REFERENCES

(1) Uneyama, K. Organofluorine Chemistry; Blackwell: Oxford, 2006.

- (2) Kirsch, P. Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis, Reactivity,
- Applications, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2013. (3) O'Hagan, D. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 308–319.

(4) Couve-Bonnaire, S.; Cahard, D.; Pannecoucke, X. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 1151-1157.

(5) Fustero, S.; Simon-Fuentes, A.; Barrio, P.; Haufe, G. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 871–930.

(6) Zajc, B.; Kumar, R. Synthesis 2010, 2010, 1822-1836.

(7) Sano, K.; Fukuhara, T.; Hara, S. J. Fluorine Chem. 2009, 130, 708-713.

(9) (a) Gillet, J. P.; Sauvetre, R.; Normant, J. F. Synthesis 1982, 1982, 297–301. (b) Li, Y.; Liu, J.; Zhao, S.; Du, X.; Guo, M.; Zhao, W.; Tang, X.; Wang, G. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 917–920.

(10) Okoromoba, O. E.; Han, J.; Hammond, G. B.; Xu, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 14381-14384.

(11) Akana, J. A.; Bhattacharyya; Müller, P.; Sadighi, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7736–7737.

(12) Albert, P.; Cousseau, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 961–962.

(13) Nahra, F.; Patrick, S. R.; Bello, D.; Brill, M.; Obled, A.; Cordes, D. B.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; O'Hagan, D.; Nolan, S. P. *ChemCatChem* **2015**, *7*, 240–244.

(14) O'Connor, T. J.; Toste, F. D. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 5947–5951.
(15) Li, Y.; Liu, X.; Ma, D.; Liu, B.; Jiang, H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 2683–2688.

(16) (a) Marshall, J. A.; Robinson, E. D. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3450–3451. (b) Marshall, J. A.; Bartley, G. S. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 7169–7171.

- (17) Kel'i, A. V.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 95-98.
- (18) Dubuffet, T.; Bidon, C.; Martinet, P.; Sauvêtre, R.; Normant, J.-F. J. Organomet. Chem. **1990**, 393, 161–172.
- (19) (a) Fujii, A.; Hashiguchi, S.; Uematsu, N.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 2521–2522. (b) Ohkuma, T.; Koizumi, M.; Doucet, H.; Pham, T.; Kozawa, M.; Murata, K.; Katayama, E.; Yokozawa, T.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, 120, 13529–13530.

(20) Kita, Y.; Futamura, J.; Ohba, Y.; Sawama, Y.; Ganesh, J. K.; Fujioka, H. J. Org. Chem. **2003**, 68, 5917–5924.

- (21) Kawasaki, M.; Terashima, S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1985, 33, 347–350.
- (22) Chen, F.; Zhang, Y.; Yu, L.; Zhu, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 2022–2025.
- (23) Seco, J. M.; Quinoa, E.; Riguera, R. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 17–117.

(24) (a) Piers, E.; Coish, P. D. Synthesis 1995, 1995, 47–55.
(b) Lebel, H.; Marcoux, J. F.; Molinaro, C.; Charette, A. B. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 977–1050.

⁽⁸⁾ He, Y.; Shen, N.; Fan, X.; Zhang, X. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 8818-8823.