

Synthesis of 6-Substituted Piperidin-3-ones via Rh(II)-Catalyzed Transannulation of *N*-Sulfonyl-1,2,3-triazoles with Electron-Rich Aromatic Nucleophiles

Yang Li,[†] Ran Zhang,[†] Arshad Ali,[†] Jing Zhang,[†] Xihe Bi,^{*,†,‡} and Junkai Fu^{*,†}

[†]Jilin Province Key Laboratory of Organic Functional Molecular Design & Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, China

[‡]State Key Laboratory of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

(5) Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A highly diastereoselective rhodium(II)-catalyzed transannulation of aldehyde-tethered *N*-sulfonyl triazoles with electron-rich aromatic nucleophiles is reported for the first time to afford functionalized 6-substituted piperidin-3ones. The reaction has a broad substrate scope including both aliphatic and aromatic *N*-sulfonyl-1,2,3-triazoles together with various aromatic nucleophiles. The addition of a catalytic



amount of Lewis acid has proven to be crucial for the yield improvement. By employing this methodology, hardly accessible piperidin-3-ones bearing quaternary carbons could be obtained.

T he piperidin-3-one is a frequently encountered intermediate in the synthesis of alkaloid natural products and pharmaceutical agents.¹ This moiety could be easily converted into diversely functionalized compounds, such as piperidin-3-ol, a versatile building block in organic synthesis,² as well as piperidin-3-amine via reductive amination.³ However, a practical and efficient access to piperidin-3-one remains scarce. The aza-Achmatowicz reaction is a general method for the preparation of this scaffold, which requires multiple steps and affords 6-alkoxy or hydroxyl piperidin-3-ones (eq 1 in Figure 1).⁴ Other methods including ring expansion of cyclopropanes,⁵ [1, 2]-shift of ammonium ylides,⁶ and modification of amino acids⁷ usually need particular precursors to generate specific piperidin-3-ones. Recently, Zhou et al. reported an



Figure 1. Different strategies for the construction of piperidin-3-ones.

iridium-catalyzed hydrogenation of 3-hydroxypyridinium salts, but this reaction is restricted to the formation of 6-*H*-piperidin-3-ones (eq 2 in Figure 1).⁸ Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, the synthesis of piperidin-3-ones bearing quaternary carbons are still unexplored. In this context, the search for a step-economical and efficient system to generate structural diversity of piperidin-3-ones is of great value.

The *N*-sulfonyl triazole chemistry has attracted much attention in recent years.⁹ Disclosed initially by Fokin, Gevorgyan and co-workers,¹⁰ the *N*-sulfonyl-1,2,3-triazoles have emerged as stable and readily available precursors of α -imino metal carbenes¹¹ and have proven to be an excellent nitrogen source for the construction of numerous heterocycles.^{9d} The Shi and Huang groups independently reported the synthesis of a mixture of 1,2-dihydroisoquinolines and 1-indanones from *N*-sulfonyl 1,2,3-triazoles bearing an ether tether upon treatment with a rhodium(II) catalyst.¹² Quite recently, Li and co-workers disclosed the rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular reaction of benzyl bromide and *N*-sulfonyl triazoles to afford versatile 4-bromo-1,2-dihydroisoquinolines, and a bromonium ylide was proposed as the key intermediate.¹³

In continuation of our interest in the development of novel carbene transformations involving *N*-sulfonyl triazoles,¹⁴ we present herein a diastereoselective rhodium(II)-catalyzed intermolecular transannulation of *N*-sulfonyl triazoles with electron-rich aromatic nucleophiles to construct diversely functionalized 6-substituted piperidin-3-ones, including these with quaternary carbons.

Received: April 19, 2017

Organic Letters

In alignment with our previous work, the reaction of *N*-sulfonyl triazole **1a** and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene **2** in chloroform at 120 °C in the presence of catalytic $Rh_2(Oct)_4$ was selected as a model system (Table 1).^{14b} To our delight, the

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions^a

г ^{_0} м	I=N,	OMe	at., solvent	Ts Ar N	Jess.
	NTs MeO	OMe	mp, additiv		to a le
1a		2 Ar = 1	1,3,5-(MeO)	₃ C ₆ H₂ 3a ⊃	K-ray of 3a
entry	catalyst	solvent	temp (°C)	additive	yield (%) ^b
1	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$	CHCl ₃	120	none	10
2	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$	CHCl ₃	120	MgSO ₄	35
3	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$	CHCl ₃	100	MgSO ₄	47
4	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$	CHCl ₃	80	MgSO ₄	39
5	$Rh_2(OAc)_4$	$CHCl_3$	100	MgSO ₄	20
6	none	CHCl ₃	100	MgSO ₄	0
7	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$	DCE	100	MgSO ₄	28
8	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$	toluene	100	MgSO ₄	25
9	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$	CHCl ₃	100	MgSO ₄ /Sc(OTf) ₃ (2 mol %)	78
10	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$	CHCl ₃	100	MgSO ₄ /Cu(OTf) (2 mol %)	2 71
11	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$	$CHCl_3$	100	MgSO ₄ /Yb(OTf) ₃ (2 mol %)	69
12	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$	$CHCl_3$	100	MgSO ₄ /Sc(OTf) ₃ (10 mol %)	50

^{*a*}All reactions were carried out in 0.04 M solvent with 5 mol % catalyst and 5.0 equiv of nucleophile under a nitrogen atmosphere. ^{*b*}Isolated yields.

desired 6-substituted piperidin-3-one 3a was detected albeit in just 10% yield (entry 1), and its structure was further confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis.¹⁵ The addition of anhydrous magnesium sulfate into the reaction mixture would improve the yield up to 35%, suggesting that water could compete with the aromatic nucleophile to take part in the reaction (entry 2). Screening the reaction temperature showed that 100 °C was the best choice (entries 3 and 4), and the product was obtained in 47% yield. Replacing $Rh_2(Oct)_4$ with other rhodium(II) catalysts, such as $Rh_2(OAc)_4$, gave a lower yield (entry 5). When the reaction was carried out without a metal catalyst, no desired product could be detected, indicating that $Rh_2(Oct)_4$ played an essential role (entry 6). Other solvents, for instance, 1,2-dichloroethane or toluene, all gave unsatisfactory yields (entries 7 and 8). Lewis acids have been used as efficient promoters for some N-sulfonyl triazole reactions,^{14b,16} so we tested different kinds of Lewis acids. Delightedly, the yield of 3a was dramatically improved, and when 2 mol % Sc(OTf)₃ was employed, a yield of 78% was obtained (entries 9-11). Further increasing the amount of $Sc(OTf)_3$ up to 10 mol %, in contrast, made the yield drop to 50% (entry 12).

With the optimized reaction conditions secured, we explored the scope and generality of the reaction with a variety of aliphatic *N*-sulfonyl triazoles. As shown in Scheme 1, the substrates with either dimethyl groups or different rings, including four-, five-, and six-membered rings, at the C5 position could be transformed into the corresponding products 3b-e in excellent yields. Functional groups, such as a double bond and heteroatom, could also be tolerated under the reaction conditions to give 3f-h. Especially, all these formed products contain quaternary carbons, which could not be Scheme 1. Substrate Scope of Aliphatic N-Sulfonyl-1,2,3-triazoles a,b



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **1** (0.20 mmol), aromatic nucleophile (1.0 mmol), $Rh_2(Oct)_4$ (10 μ mol), $Sc(OTf)_3$ (4.0 μ mol), and $MgSO_4$ (0.40 g) in CHCl₃ (5.0 mL) at 100 °C for 2 h. ^{*b*}Isolated yields. ^{*c*}Reaction for 6 h. ^{*d*}Without Sc(OTf)₃.

accessed by a normal aza-Achmatowicz reaction. When the C5 position of the substrate was monosubstituted by *n*-butyl, benzyl, various phenyl, or isopropyl groups, the desired products 3i-n were obtained as single trans-isomers. The relative stereochemistry of 3j was established by X-ray crystallographic analysis.¹⁵ The C4 position of the substrate could be substituted with a variety of groups as well, including dimethyl groups, cyclohexane, and an isopropyl group, to give products 30-q in good yields. Besides 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene, other electron-rich aromatic compounds could also serve as good nucleophiles. For 1,3-dimethoxy- and 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene, the products 3r and 3t were isolated in 61% and 77% yields respectively, while 1,3-dimethoxy-5-methylbenzene gave isomers 3s and 3s' in nearly equal yields. When 3-dimethylaminoanisole was employed, the desired product 3u was obtained in just 59% yield in the absence of a Lewis acid.¹⁷ The aromatic nucleophiles with less electron-rich substituent groups, such as anisole and N,N-dimethylaniline, did not give the corresponding products. It is noteworthy that, during all the above cases, β -H elimination or [1, 2]-alkyl migration,¹⁸ which potentially occurs along with alphatic rhodium carbenoid intermediates, was not observed.¹⁹

Later, the aromatic *N*-sulfonyl triazoles were found to be suitable substrates for this transannulation (Scheme 2). When 2-triazole-benzaldehyde was employed as the substrate, the product 5a was isolated in 84% yield, and the structure of 5a

Scheme 2. Substrate Scope of Aromatic N-Sulfonyl-1,2,3-triazoles a,b



^aReaction conditions: 4 (0.20 mmol), aromatic nucleophile (1.0 mmol), Rh₂(Oct)₄ (10 μ mol), Sc(OTf)₃ (4.0 μ mol), and MgSO₄ (0.40 g) in CHCl₃ (5.0 mL) at 100 °C for 2 h. ^bIsolated yields.

was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis.¹⁵ The effect of substituents on the phenyl ring was evaluated. Both electrondonating (Me–, MeO–, BnO–, 3,4-(MeO)₂–, or $-OCH_2O-$) and electron-withdrawing (Cl– or F–) groups were tolerated well to give the desired products **5b**–**i** in excellent yields. The 1,3-dimethoxy- and 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene could also be efficiently used as nucleophiles affording **5j** and **5l** in 72% and 80% yields, respectively. For 1,3-dimethoxy-5-methylbenzene, the major product was **5k**, along with minor isomer **5k**' which was generated from the nucleophilic attack of the *N*-sulfonyl triazole via the C2 position of 1,3-dimethoxy-5-methylbenzene. The ratio of the isomers was proposed due to the stronger electron effect of the *para*-methoxyl group compared to the *ortho*-methoxyl group.

Based on the above results, a proposed mechanism is illustrated in Scheme 3. Upon treatment with the rhodium(II) catalyst, N-sulfonyl triazole 1 or 4 decomposes into the corresponding α -imino metal carbene, which could be further trapped by the intramolecular aldehyde to form oxonium ylide A.^{14b,20} Nucleophilic addition of an electron-rich aromatic nucleophile to the oxonium intermediate affords zwitterion B, which releases a proton to generate intermediate C. There are two plausible pathways for the subsequent transformations. In path a, the oxygen atom bearing lone pair electrons coordinates to the Lewis acid, and subsequent C-O bond cleavage takes place under the simultaneous action of the electron-rich aromatic ring to give zwitterion E. Release of the rhodium catalyst and recombination of the nitrogen atom with the benzylic carbon center deliver the cycloadduct F, which after protonation would isomerize into 6-substituted piperidin-3-one

Scheme 3. Proposed Mechanism



3 or 5. On the other hand, path b involving demetalation, followed by a formal [1, 4]-migration and isomerization to product 3 or 5, could also not be ruled out. However, previous reports show that a formal [1, 3]-migration is possible for intermediate G.^{14c,21} In this case, path a is more favorable.

In conclusion, a novel rhodium (II)-catalyzed intermolecular transannulation of aldehyde-tethered N-sulfonyl triazoles with electron-rich aromatic nucleophiles has been developed for the first time. This methodology provides a step-economical and practical access to functionalized 6-substituted piperidin-3-ones, especially to these with quaternary carbons, which are not easily obtained through other methods. A mechanism involving oxonium ylide formation, Lewis acid assisted C–O cleavage, C–N recombination, and enol isomerization has been proposed. The broad substrate scope for both aliphatic and aromatic N-sulfonyl triazoles together with the high diastereoselectivity make this reaction promising for the construction of useful scaffolds in biomedical research and drug discovery.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.7b01180.

Experimental procedures and spectra copies (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: fujk109@nenu.edu.cn. *E-mail: bixh507@nenu.edu.cn. ORCID [©]

Junkai Fu: 0000-0002-7714-8818

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the NSFC (21522202, 21372038), the Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China (NCET-13-0714), the Jilin Provincial Research Foundation for Basic Research (20140519008JH), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2412015BJ005).

REFERENCES

 (1) For a selected review, see: (a) Weintraub, P. M.; Sabol, J. S.; Kane, J. M.; Borcherding, D. R. *Tetrahedron* 2003, 59, 2953. For selected examples, see: (b) Ciufolini, M. A.; Hermann, C. W.; Whitmire, K. H.; Byrne, N. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 3473.
 (c) Harris, J. M.; Padwa, A. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 4371.
 (d) Kulagowski, J. J.; Curtis, N. R.; Swain, C. J.; Williams, B. J. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 667. (e) Koh, P.-F.; Wang, P.; Huang, J.-M.; Loh, T.-P. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 8324.

(2) For a selected review on piperidin-3-ols, see: Wijdeven, M. A.;
Willemsen, J.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2010, 2010, 2831.
(3) (a) Pansare, S. V.; Paul, E. K. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2012, 10, 2119.

(b) Kokotos, C. G.; Aggarwal, V. K. Chem. Commun. 2006, 42, 2156.
(4) For selected reviews on aza-Achmatowicz reaction, see:

(a) Ciufolini, M. A.; Hermann, C. Y. W.; Dong, Q.; Shimizu, T.; Swaminathan, S.; Xi, N. Synlett **1998**, 1998, 105. (b) van der Pijl, F.; van Delft, F. L.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, 2015, 4811.

(5) (a) Shirai, M.; Okamoto, S.; Sato, F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, 40, 5331. (b) Lee, J.; Berritt, S.; Prier, C. K.; Joullié, M. M. Org. Lett. **2011**, 13, 1083.

(6) (a) West, F. G.; Naidu, B. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 1177.

(b) West, F. G.; Naidu, B. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8420.

(c) Vanecko, J. A.; West, F. G. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2813.

(7) Swaleh, S.; Liebscher, J. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3184.

(8) Huang, W.-X.; Wu, B.; Gao, X.; Chen, M.-W.; Wang, B.; Zhou, Y.-G. Org. Lett. **2015**, *17*, 1640.

(9) For leading reviews, see: (a) Chattopadhyay, B.; Gevorgyan, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 862. (b) Anbarasan, P.; Yadagiri, D.; Rajasekar, S. Synthesis 2014, 46, 3004. (c) Davies, H. M.; Alford, J. S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 5151. (d) Jiang, Y.; Sun, R.; Tang, X.-Y.; Shi, M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2016, 22, 17910.

(10) Horneff, T.; Chuprakov, S.; Chernyak, N.; Gevorgyan, V.; Fokin, V. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14972.

(11) For selected examples, see: (a) Chuprakov, S.; Kwok, S. W.; Zhang, L.; Lercher, L.; Fokin, V. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 18034. (b) Chuprakov, S.; Malik, J. A.; Zibinsky, M.; Fokin, V. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 10352. (c) Zibinsky, M.; Fokin, V. V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 1507. (d) Parr, B. T.; Davies, H. M. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10044. (e) Schultz, E. E.; Sarpong, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4696. (f) Spangler, J. E.; Davies, H. M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 6802. (g) Miura, T.; Tanaka, T.; Hiraga, K.; Stewart, S. G.; Murakami, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 13652. (h) Boyer, A. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1660. (i) Yang, J. M.; Zhu, C. Z.; Tang, X. Y.; Shi, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 5142. (j) Shang, H.; Wang, Y. H.; Tian, Y.; Feng, J.; Tang, Y. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 5662. (k) Chuprakov, S.; Worrell, B. T.; Selander, N.; Sit, R. K.; Fokin, V. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 195. (1) Miura, T.; Funakoshi, Y.; Murakami, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 2272. (m) Alford, J. S.; Davies, H. M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 10266. (n) Lee, D. J.; Han, H. S.; Shin, J.; Yoo, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 11606. (o) Lee, E.; Ryu, T.; Shin, E.; Son, J.-Y.; Choi, W.; Lee, P. H. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2470. (p) Yang, Y.; Zhou, M. B.; Ouyang, X. H.; Pi, R.; Song, R. J.; Li, J. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6595. (q) Lindsay, V. N. G.; Viart, H. M.-F.; Sarpong, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 8368. (r) Kurandina, D.; Gevorgyan, V. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 1804. (s) Qian, J.; Sheng, G.; Huang, K.; Liu, S.; Lu, P.; Wang, Y. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 3682. (t) Chen, Z.-S.; Huang, L.-Z.; Jeon, H. J.; Xuan, Z.; Lee, S. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 4914. (u) Chen, W.; Bai, Y.-L.; Luo, Y.-C.; Xu, P.-F. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 364.

(12) (a) Sun, R.; Jiang, Y.; Tang, X.-Y.; Shi, M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2016, 22, 5727. (b) Yu, Y.; Zhu, L.; Liao, Y.; Mao, Z.; Huang, X. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2016, 358, 1059.

(13) He, J.; Shi, Y.; Cheng, W.; Man, Z.; Yang, D.; Li, C.-Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 4557.

(14) (a) Fu, J. K.; Shen, H. J.; Chang, Y. Y.; Li, C. C.; Gong, J. X.; Yang, Z. Chem. - Eur. J. **2014**, 20, 12881. (b) Shen, H. J.; Fu, J. K.; Gong, J. X.; Yang, Z. Org. Lett. **2014**, 16, 5588. (c) Mi, P.; Kumar, R. K.; Liao, P.; Bi, X. Org. Lett. **2016**, 18, 4998.

(15) CCDC 1539648 (3a), CCDC 1539578 (3j), and CCDC 1539582 (5a) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

(16) (a) Zhang, Y.-S.; Tang, X.-Y.; Shi, M. Org. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 1516. (b) Tang, X.-Y.; Zhang, Y.-S.; He, L.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 133. (c) Zhang, L.; Sun, G.; Bi, X. Chem. - Asian J. 2016, 11, 3018.

(17) When the reaction was carried out in the presence of $Sc(OTf)_{3y}$, piperidin-3-one bearing two 3-dimethylaminoanisole moieties at C6 and C2 position could be obtained in 64% yield.

(18) (a) Miura, T.; Biyajima, T.; Fujii, T.; Murakami, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 194. (b) Miura, T.; Funakoshi, Y.; Morimoto, M.; Biyajima, T.; Murakami, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 17440. (c) Selander, N.; Worrell, B. T.; Fokin, V. V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2012**, 51, 13054.

(19) We propose that the in situ generated α -imino rhodium carbene could be readily trapped by the intramolecular carbonyl group, which would suppress the potential side reactions.

(20) (a) Li, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Du, Q.; Zhai, H. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 4076.
(b) Yuan, H.; Gong, J.; Yang, Z. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 5500.

(21) Nasveschuk, C. G.; Rovis, T. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 240.