This article was downloaded by: [North Carolina State University] On: 02 August 2012, At: 20:57 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals Science and Technology. Section A. Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gmcl19

New Nematics with High Birefringence

Y. Goto^a, T. Inukai^a, A. Fujita^a & D. Demus^a ^a Chisso Petrochemical Corporation, Research Center, 5-1 Goi Kaigan, Ichihara, Chiba, 290, Japan

Version of record first published: 23 Sep 2006

To cite this article: Y. Goto, T. Inukai, A. Fujita & D. Demus (1995): New Nematics with High Birefringence, Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals Science and Technology. Section A. Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals, 260:1, 23-38

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10587259508038681

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

© 1995 OPA (Overseas Publishers Association) Amsterdam B.V. Published under license by Gordon and Breach Science Publishers SA Printed in Malaysia

NEW NEMATICS WITH HIGH BIREFRINGENCE#

Y. GOTO, T. INUKAI, A. FUJITA and D. DEMUS

Chisso Petrochemical Corporation, Research Center, 5-1 Goi Kaigan, Ichihara, Chiba 290 Japan

ABSTRACT We prepared new compounds with the hexenunit as central bridging group. The substances diyne nematic, with clearing temperatures much higher are than those of other two ring compounds. They show the highest birefringence ever observed in liquid crystals, modest viscosities and medium dielectric anisoallows Their stability in low polar mixtures tropy. their use in displays.

INTRODUCTION

Liquid crystals have a broad spectrum of practical applications¹. In large quantities they are used in displays of different types. Each of these types needs substances with specific properties. Here we report on recent advances in substance research and development in Chisso Petrochemical Corporation, presenting compounds with high optical birefringence. We also make some considerations about the additivity of optical properties of mixtures.

GENERAL

Nematic liquid crystals with high birefringence are useful

Part of a plenary lecture at the 15th International Liquid Crystal Conference, Budapest 1994 as mixture components for all kinds of displays, and especially for application in displays using light scattering phenomena like the PDLC's and related techniques¹. Considering the relation 1 between dielectric constant and refractive index at high frequencies above the dipole relaxation region,

$$\varepsilon = n^2 \tag{1}$$

the Onsager theory for dielectric polarization, applied by Maier and Meier² to nematic liquid crystals, delivers by omitting the dipole term as usual at high frequencies.

$$\Delta \varepsilon = (NhF/\varepsilon_0)\Delta \alpha P_2$$

$$= \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 = n_e^2 - n_o^2 = (n_e + n_o)(n_e - n_o)$$
(2)

Introducing n = $1/3(n_e + 2n_o)$ and assuming the approximation $n_o = 1.5$, we obtain :

$$\Delta n = NhF \Delta \alpha P_2 / \epsilon_0 (3n - 1.5)$$
 (2a)

 n_e , n_o = refractive indices of the nematics n = mean refractive index N = number density h, F are factors of the Onsager theory describing the reaction field, here both are considered to be constant, and to be approximately equal to 1. ε_o = dielectric constant of the vacuum $\Delta \alpha$ = anisotropy of molecular polarizability P_2 = order parameter of the liquid crystal

The birefringence of a given compound is mainly controlled by the polarizability anisotropy and the order parameter, because the expression in parentheses (eq. 2a) is only slightly dependent on the chemical structure. The order parameter can be somewhat enhanced by increasing the length-to-breadth ratio of the molecules, but there are narrow limits. Much more potential lies in the increase of the polarizability anisotropy. We tried to obtain nematic compounds with high birefringence by introducing the hexendiyne bridge between two ring systems.

SYNTHESIS

General

The structure of the compounds was confirmed by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy using a JEOL EX-90 and GC mass spectroscopy using a SHIMADZU GCMS-QP1000A at 70EV. Transition temperatures were determined using a RIGAKU DSC-8230 differential scannning calorimeter and by polarization microscopy using a Mettler FP52 hot stage. Bulk viscosities of these compounds were measured using a TOKIMEC ELD-R rotational viscometer and birefringence of the samples were measured using an ATAGO 4T & 2T Abbe refractometer.

Typical procedure for synthesis of hexendiyne derivatives.

Synthesis of (E)-chloro-4-(4-propylphenyl)buten-3-yne



The diethylamine (1000ml) solution of dichlorobistriphenylphosphine palladium (7.0g), copper iodide (1.0g) and 1,2-dichloroethylene (50g) was added dropwise to a diethylamine (150ml) solution of 4-propylphenylacetylene (70.0g) under argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature and quenched with cold dil. HCl (500ml) and extracted with heptane (3 times with 300ml). The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulphate and concentrated under reduced pressure. The catalyst was removed by silicagel (100g) short column chromatography, the resulting yellow oil of title compound (71g) was purified by distillation (121-125 ^OC/3mm Hg,55g).

¹H-NMR(CDCl₃)&7.34(2H,d,J=8.2Hz),7.12(2H,d,J=8.2Hz), 6.60(2H,d,J=13.7Hz),6.13(2H,d,J=13.7Hz),2.58(2H,t,J=7.0Hz), 1.62(2H,brtq),0.92(3H,t,J=7.3Hz) MS; 175, 139, 28, 204 (M+), 190

Synthesis of 1-(4-ethylphenyl)-6-(propylphenyl)-3E-hexen-1,5-diyne.



The diethylamine (80ml) solution of tetrakistriphenyl phosphine palladium (1.0g), copper iodide (0.68g) and (E)-chloro-4-(4-propylphenyl)buten-3-yne (5.0g, 24mmol) was added dropwise to a diethylamine (20ml) solution of 4-ethylphenylacetylene (3.2g) under argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature, quenched with cold dil. HCl (50ml) and extracted with heptane (3 times with 50ml). The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulphate and concentrated under reduced pressure. The catalyst was removed by silicagel (50g) short column chromatography, the resulting brown oil (8.5g) was crystallized in heptane (7.8g). Recrystallization from heptane gave colorless crystals (5.2g, 16.8mmol) of title compound.

¹H-NMR(CDCl₃) δ 7.38(2H,d,J=8.1Hz),7.16(2H,d,J=8.1Hz), 6.26(2H,brs),2.63(4H,m),1.60(2H,brtq),1.24(3H,t,J=8.1Hz), 0.93(3H,brt)

MS; 298 (M+), 269, 127, 299, 247

PROPERTIES

Table 1 presents the transition temperatures and transition enthalpies of different hexendiyne derivatives. All compounds are nematic. The clearing temperatures are much higher than those of other two ring compounds (Table 2) TABLE 1.

Mesomorphic properties and transition enthalpies of hexendiyne compounds.

and are rather comparable to those of typical three ring compounds³. This surprising fact should be due to the very high mean polarizability , because the intermolecular attraction in these low polar compounds is mainly based on dispersion energy, which is approximately proportional to α^2 . In the sense of the van der Waals molecular statistical theories of the nematic phase⁴ the attraction is coupled with the shape anisotropy of the molecules. According to space filling models calculated by the semi-empirical



molecular orbital methods (MOPAC ver.6, AM1)⁶ molecular shapes of compounds nos. 4,9-12 in table 2 are strongly linear. Only compound no. 13 resulted in a bent shape, which probably is the reason for the lack of liquid crystalline properties. In these calculations the alkyl groups are all-trans connected to the core to form a zigzag shape. According to a routine program of evaluation, some properties of mixtures containing the new compounds were deter-

A-()-C5H11	T_m T_{NI} $\overline{\alpha} [10^{-41} J^{-1} C^2 m^2]^a$	64.1 146.0 492.0	65.0 116.7 435.0	39.0 35.8 363.6	62.5 112.4 422.4	07.8 355.8	58.3 — ^b 418.6	rage polarizability $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha X + \alpha Y + \alpha Z)/3$, where $\alpha X, \alpha Y$ and αZ y ellipsoid. Iot mesomorphic.
C ₃ H ₇	Α	4	6	10 3		12 10	13 5	 a; Calculated with MOPAC ver. 6. Avei are the principal axis of polarizability b; Isotropic melt supercooled to 15°C; no

Downloaded by [North Carolina State University] at 20:57 02 August 2012

Comparison of clearing temperatures. TABLE 2.

mined (Table 3). A highly polar (Mixture A), a low polar (Mixture B) and a medium polar (Mixture C) basic mixture were used. The obtained clearing temperatures follow approximately the linearity

Cle	earing point	s(°C) of	TABL) mixtures	E 3. containing	hexendiy	ne compo	unds.		
		Ä	asic mixtu	Ire + 15 w	eight % of	compoun	d No.		
Basic mixture	none	1	0	ŝ	4	5	9	7	8
Mixture A	72.4	83.2	83.4	82.3	83.1	87.0	88.5	81.8	80.4
Mixture B	55.3	67.8	70.2	67.3	68.7	75.2	79.0	66.6	64.4
Mixture C	74.6	84.1	85.7	83.7	84.7	90.6	92.0	82.7	80.5
Mixture A NC $$	24% 36% 25% 5H ₁₁ 15%	ٽ _ک ن ٿن ٿڙ	Mixture B $I_{1} \rightarrow I_{2} \rightarrow I_{3} \rightarrow I_{4} \rightarrow I$		l3 20% l3 20% l3 20% l3 20% H3 20%	1 C ₃ H ₅ C ₃ H ₁ C ₃ H ₁		D oc.H, D oc.H, D oc.H, D oc.H, D oc.H,	28% 21% 21% 17%

Downloaded by [North Carolina State University] at 20:57 02 August 2012

29

$$T_{NI} = x_1 T_{NI1} + x_2 T_{NI2}$$
(3)

where x_1 , x_2 = weight fractions of the basic mixture (1) and the added component (2), respectively, and T_{NI} , T_{NI1} , T_{NI2} = clearing temperatures of the actual mixture, the basic mixture (1) and the added component (2), respectively.

			Basic	: mixture +	- 15weight	% of com	pound No.		
Basic mixture	none	1	5	£	4	Ś	9	٢	8
Mixture A	0.1390	0.1797	0.1771	0.1741	0.1725	0.1840	0.1801	0.1558	0.1393
Mixture B	0.2908 ^b	0.2958	0.2961	0.2937	0.2988	0.3029	0.3031	0.2828	0.2720
Mixture C	0.0868	0.1446	0.1434	0.1403	0.1339	0.1475	0.1479	0.1191	0.0985
a;Temperature b; Measured a	e=T _{NI} -50, un It T _{NI} -45.	less otherw	vise indica	ted.					

Birefringence (Δn) of mixtures containing hexendiyne compounds^a.

TABLE 4.



FIGURE 1. Temperature dependence of Δn .



mixture=T _{NI} -50.	
the actual	
mixture and	
ature of basic	
Temper	

Downloaded by [North Carolina State University] at 20:57 02 August 2012

Basic mixture	none	1	0	Con 3	ipound No. 4	5	9	L	8
Mixture A	0.139	0.410	0.393	0.373	0.362	0.439	0.413	0.251	0.141
Mixture B	0.291 ^b	0.324	0.326	0.246	0.344	0.371	0.303	0.237	0.165
Mixture C	0.087	0.471	0.463	0.442	0.400	0.490	0.493	0.301	0.164
a;Concentra	tion is 15we	ight%. b; M	easured at	T _{NI} -45.					
	TABLE	5b. Birefri	ngence(Δn	.), extrapol	ated from r	nixtures ^a .			
Tempe	rature of the ac	tual mixture≓T	NI-30, tempe	erature of the	e basic mixtur	e is the same	e absolute ten	1perature(°C).	
Basic mixture		. 1	5	3 Col	mpound No 4	5	9	L	8
Mixture A		0.450	0.433	0.407	0.402	0.492	0.473	0.284	0.171
Mixture B		0.422	0.439	0.401	0.445	0.511	0.549	0.338	0.209
Mixture C		0.487	0.482	0.457	0.415	0.527	0.531	0.313	0.170
a;Concentr	ation is 15w	eight%.							

Table 5a

Birefringence (δn) , extrapolated from mixtures^a.

BIREFRINGENCE IN MIXTURES

Table 4 shows the measured birefringences of the mixtures. In all cases there is a distinct increase of the birefringence of the basic mixtures, which is more clearly seen in the example presented in Fig. 1.

Assuming the linear dependence of the birefringence on the composition

$$\Delta \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{x}_1 \Delta \mathbf{n}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 \Delta \mathbf{n}_2 \tag{4}$$

we extrapolated the birefringences for the pure compounds, using weight fractions instead of molar fractions (Table 5a and 5b). The data show that the same compound delivers different results, extrapolated from the different basic mixtures. We performed the extrapolation for the condition of equal reduced temperature of the basic mixture and the actual mixture (Table 5a). Partly the three sets of mixtures deliver very different results for the same compound (e. g. compound no. 1, 6). Generally, the low polar mixture (Mixture B) yields the smallest values, that with medium polarity (Mixture C) the highest. We also made the extrapolation using the same reduced temperature only for the actual mixtures, and taking as reference the basic mixture at the same absolute temperature as the actual mixture (Table 5b). Now the data show better coincidence. Comparing with Table 5a it becomes clear, that all extrapolated values now are higher. It is not easy to decide which method should be preferred.

Another point to be discussed is the validity of the empirical eq. 4. Referring to eq. 2, we define

$$R = n_e^2 - n_o^2 = NhF \,\Delta\alpha P_2 / \varepsilon_o$$
⁽⁵⁾

In mixtures the polarizabilities are accepted to be approximately additive. Then presuming small changes of n and P_2 , in mixtures we may assume additivity also for R :

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{R}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{R}_2 \tag{6}$$

 x_1 , x_2 = molar fractions of the components

We extrapolated typical Δn_2 using both formulas 4 and 6, and found that for small birefringes there is only a insignificant difference (Table 6). Because of convenience, then eq. 4 may be preferred.

TABLE 6

Extrapolation of birefringence in mixtures

 $x_1 = 0.8$, $x_2 = 0.2$

n _{e1}	n _{ol}	ne	no	Δn :	from
				eq. 6	eq. 4
1.6	1.5	1.68	1.5	0.468	0.500
1.6	1.5	1.63	1.5	0.245	0.250
1.6	1.5	1.59	1.5	0.049	0.050

In practice, in eq. 4 instead of mole fractions often the more convenient mass fractions are used. We can compare:

$$\mathbf{x}_{1} = \mathbf{n}_{1} / (\mathbf{n}_{1} + \mathbf{n}_{2}) - \mathbf{m}_{1} \mathbf{M} / \mathbf{M}_{1} (\mathbf{m}_{1} + \mathbf{m}_{2}) - \mathbf{m}_{1} / (\mathbf{m}_{1} + \mathbf{m}_{2})$$
(7)

 n_1 , n_2 = amounts of moles of the components M_1 , M_2 = molar masses of the components m_1 , m_2 = masses of the components

when $M_1 - M$. Using basic mixtures with average molar masses M similar to those of the compounds, we may expect only small errors from this source. The reasons for the large deviations found by use of three different basic mixtures should be based on the different intermolecular interactions.

The new compounds exhibit very high values of birefringence. There are several compounds with birefringences Downloaded by [North Carolina State University] at 20:57 02 August 2012

TABLE 7. Polarizability data. $[\times 10^{-41} J^{-1} C^{2} m^{2}]$, calculated with MOPAC ver. 6.

C5H11
-A-
C ₃ H ₇

Δn	0.421 ^a	0.402 ^b	0.272 ^b	0.366 ^b	0.243 ^b
Φα	726.4	618.8	420.3	539.6	484.7
αZ	151.3	141.5	138.0	153.2	172.5
αΥ	348.5	315.9	309.0	331.9	341.6
αΧ	976.3	847.5	643.7	782.2	741.8
А			1		
	4	6	10	11	13

a; Average from the three values of TABLE 5b. b; Extrapolated from Mixture C (15wt%) at T_{NI} -50.

NEMATICS WITH HIGH BIREFRINGENCE

12
20
August
02
0:57
it 2(
y] a
ersity
Jniv
ate l
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{t}}$
arolina
hC
[ort]
Ξ
by
Ided
vnloa
Dow

Basic mixture	none	-	Basic mix	ture + 15	weight %	of compot	ind No.		×
		-	4		-	٦		-	0
Mixture A	27.0	21.9	17.1	22.3	21.8	24.2	,ع	24.1	27.8
Mixture B	16.8	17.2	17.6	17.9	17.9	، م	ٍم	17.9	ِ م
Mixture C	19.4	9.8	19.2	19.0	19.3	20.9	م'	19.3	۹,
a; Temperatu T	re=20°C. b; Cry ABLE 9. Extra	rstallization	1. scosity(mI	Pa•s) ^a of I	iexendiyne	e compoun	ds.		
Basic mixture			Basic mix 2	ture + 15 3	weight %	of compou 5	oN bui 6	μ	8
Mixture A		-7.0	-39.0	-4.3	L.L-	8.3	,م	7.7	32.3
Mixture B		19.5	22.1	24.1	24.1	م.	٩	24.1	_م

a; Temperature=20°C. b; Crystallization.

ٍم ٍ

18.7

۹,

4.4

18.7

16.7

18.1

-44.6

Mixture C

Y. GOTO ET AL.

above 0.5. Compound 6 in mixture B shows $\Delta n = 0.549$. This is higher than the maximum value reported until now in the literature, $\Delta n = 0.43$ in reference 5. With respect to the large polarizability anisotropy calculated for a representative example of this substance class (Table 7) these high values are plausible.

VISCOSITY

Table 8 presents the shear viscosities of the basic mixtures and actual mixtures and Table 9 contains the extrapolated data for the new compounds. It seems that most of these compounds have low viscosities. Of course, the negative values do not have physical reality, but are only calculation data. However, they point to the fact, that especially the viscosity of polar mixtures can be remarkably lowered by use of the new compounds.

In low polar mixtures the new compounds were proved to possess acceptable thermal, electrochemical and photochemical stability.

CONCLUSIONS

The hexenediyne derivatives were proved to be compounds with

- high nematic clearing temperatures
- high birefringence
- low viscosity
- in low polar mixtures acceptable stability

They have a dielectric anisotropy of about +7. So they should be useful as components for mixtures with high birefringence, applied in displays.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. W. Doane in: B. Bahadur (ed.) Liquid Crystals. Applications and Uses (World Scientific, Singapore 1990), Vol. 1 p. 362
- 2. W. H. de Jeu, <u>Physical Properties of Liquid</u> <u>Crystalline</u> <u>materials</u> (Gordon & Breach, New York 1980), p. 56
- 3. D. Demus and H. Zaschke, <u>Fluessige Kristalle in Tabellen</u> <u>II</u> (Deutscher Verlag f. Grundstoffindustrie, Leipzig 1984)
- 4. M. Cotter, <u>Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London</u> A309, 127 (1983)
- 5. M. Hird, K. J. Toyne, G. W. Gray, S. E. Day and D. G. McDonnell, <u>Liq. Cryst.</u> 15, 123 (1993)
- 6. MOPAC ver.6, J.J.Stewart, <u>QCPE</u> <u>Bull.</u>, <u>9</u>, 10 (1989)