

Metal- and Protection-Free [4 + 2] Cycloadditions of Alkynes with Azadienes: Assembly of Functionalized Quinolines

Rakesh K. Saunthwal, Monika Patel, and Akhilesh K. Verma*

Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, Delhi 110007, India

Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: A base promoted, protection-free, and regioselective synthesis of highly functionalized quinolines via [4 + 2] cycloaddition of azadienes (generated in situ from *o*-aminobenzyl alcohol) with internal alkynes has been discovered. The reaction tolerates a wide variety of functional groups which has been successfully extended with diynes, (2-aminopyridin-3-yl)methanol, and 1,4-bis(phenylethynyl)benzene to afford (*Z*)-phenyl-2-styrylquinolines, phenylnaphthyridine, and alkyne-substituted quinolines, respectively. The proposed mechanism and significant role of the solvent were well supported by isolating the azadiene intermediate and deuterium-labeling studies.

O wing to their diverse pharmaceutical and biological activities,¹ quinoline nuclei are common templates for steroids that are used as antimalarial, schistosomiasis, and antifungal drugs.² The construction of suitably functionalized quinoline frameworks plays a vital role in many natural product syntheses.³

A number of traditional approaches for synthesizing quinolines have arisen from many renowned reactions, such as the Skraup,⁴ Friedlaender,⁵ Combes,⁶ and Larock quinoline syntheses⁷ and many others.⁸ The DeShong,^{9a} Wang,^{9b} and Liang^{9c} groups have demonstrated a facile route for the synthesis of substituted quinolines. Recently, Ghorai,^{9d} Red-dy,^{9e} and co-workers reported the synthesis of quinolines via oxidative cycloisomerization and via hydroamination, respectively. In 2014, Selig et al. explored the base-mediated, three-step cascade synthesis of quinolines using protecting amino aldehyde via Michael addition¹⁰ (Scheme 1a); however, a base-promoted regioselective synthesis of quinolines by intermolecular [4 + 2] cycloaddition has not been well explored.

The [4 + 2] cycloaddition reaction is among the most powerful tool for generating carbocycles¹¹ and *N*-heterocycles,¹² which is often difficult to form systems that are highly congested or possess substituent arrays that are incompatible with the reaction. An elegant work on [4 + 2] cycloaddition for the synthesis of pyridines using a dienimine (azadiene)-type motif was reported by Arndt et al.^{12d,e} in 2008 (Scheme 1b). Recently, the Rovis,¹³ Cheng,^{14a,b} Chiba,^{14c} and Bergman and Ellman^{14d} groups have demonstrated the *N*-protected azadiene core moiety and alkynes for the synthesis of pyridines via rhodium-catalyzed C–H functionalization (Scheme 1c). A literature survey revealed that metal- and protection-free, basepromoted intermolecular [4 + 2] cycloaddition for the synthesis of quinoline remains elusive.





In continuation of our ongoing research on base-mediated reactions¹⁵ and *N*-heterocyclic synthesis,¹⁶ we hypothesized that the direct synthesis of quinoline could occur via KOH–DMSO-promoted oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde followed by the hydroamination though the reaction of 2-aminobenzaldehyde with alkyne was unsuccessful (Scheme 1d, route A, and Scheme 7 (i). We also speculated a modular route

Received: March 21, 2016

for the assembly of structurally diverse quinolines with excellent regioselectivity via KOH–DMSO-mediated [4 + 2] cycloaddition of azadiene (can be generated in situ from *o*aminobenzyl alcohol) with internal alkyne (Scheme 1d, route B).

However, four major challenges have to be overcome:

- (1) Intermolecular [4 + 2] cycloaddition of 2-aminobenzyl alcohol must occur in the presence of base without using any activation source/metal.
- (2) Chemoselectivity: Aminobenzyl alcohol has two nucleophilic sites, and the in situ generated azadienes also have two nucleophilic sites. Thus, it is challenging to achieve high chemoselectivity.
- (3) Protection-free: It is tedious to perform the selective quinoline synthesis without protection due to the presence of two labile sites in the substrate.
- (4) Regioselectivity: Besides the chemoselectivity, cycloaddition of the C-C triple bond should be regioselective.

Our investigation to explore the base-assisted [4 + 2] cycloaddition began with the examination of a number of bases reported in the literature using 2-aminobenzyl alcohol 1a and diphenylacetylene 2a as a model substrates (Table 1). Inspired

Table 1. Reaction Development^a

	NH ₂ 1a	Ph +	base	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
entry	base	solvent	time (h)	temp (°C)	yield ^b of $3a$ (%)
1 ^{9d}	K ^t OBu	DMSO	24	25	trace
2	K ^t OBu	DMSO	24	50	30
3	K ^t OBu	DMSO	24	80	65
4	КОН	DMSO	24	80	67
5	КОН	DMSO	24	90	75
6	КОН	DMSO	24	100	68
7	NaOH	DMSO	24	90	65
8	CsOH	DMSO	24	90	63
9	K_2CO_3	DMSO	12	90	С
10	K_3PO_4	DMSO	12	90	с

^{*a*}Reactions were performed using 0.5 mmol of **1a**, **2a** (0.4 mmol), and base (1.0 equiv) in 2.0 mL of solvent. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}No reaction. CCDC no. for **3a** is 1435832.

by Ghorai conditions,^{9d} we carried out the reaction of 1a with alkyne 2a using K^tOBu in DMSO at 25 °C, but the desired product 3a was obtained in only trace amounts (Table 1, entry 1). The promotional effect of temperature increases the yield of the product 3a (Table 1, entries 2 and 3). On replacing K^tOBu with KOH, a slight improvement in the yield of the desired product was observed (Table 1, entry 4). The reaction of 1a with internal alkyne 2a at 90 °C fruitfully provided the quinoline 3a in 75% yield (Table 1, entry 5). Further increasing the reaction temperature declines the yield of product 3a (Table 1, entry 6). A number of bases such as NaOH and CsOH were examined, and it was found that the nature of bases, as well as their counterions, influenced the reactivity of cycloaddition reaction and provided the product 3a in moderated yields (Table 1, entries 7 and 8), while no product was observed with K₂CO₃ and K₃PO₄ (Table 1, entries 9 and 10) (for detailed optimization, see the SI).

With optimized reaction conditions in hand, we extended the scope of the developed protocol with various symmetrical and unsymmetrical aryl alkynes (Scheme 2). The reaction of





^{*a*}Using optimized conditions (entry 5, Table 1). ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}30 h.

substrate 1a with electron-neutral 2a, electron-releasing 2b, and alkyne 2c afforded the product 3a-c in 75-78% yields. It is worth noting that reaction of 1a with electron-rich thiophenesubstituted alkyne 2d provided the desired product 3d in 80% yield. Reaction of substrate 1d with alkyne 2a provided the tricyclic product 3e in 70% yield. Notably, the halogensubstituted (2-aminophenyl)methanol 1b,c effectively gave the corresponding quinolines 3f and 3g in 65 and 64% yields, respectively. The unsymmetrical internal alkynes 2e-g afforded the desired quinolines 3h-j regioselectively in 76–78% yields. The reactions of unsymmetrical heteroalkynes 2h-k were well implemented to form the intriguing fused products 3k-o in 66-82% yields. The electronic bias of the ring/substituents on the C-C triple bond of the unsymmetrical alkynes plays an important role in the regioselective formation of quinolines 3h-o (Scheme 2).¹⁷

Encouraged by the above results, the reaction of 1a with aryl alkyl unsymmetrical internal alkynes was performed (Scheme 3). The reaction of aryl alkyl substituted alkynes 4a-c provided the desired quinolines 5a-c in 65, 64, and 66% yields, respectively, with excellent regioselectivity. When a combination of unsymmetrical internal alkynes 4d-g containing a



^{*a*}Using optimized conditions (entry 5, Table 1). ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}40 h. ^{*d*}Inseparable complex mixture. N.R. = no reaction.

substituted aryl ring on one side and a cyclohexyl ring on the other side was used for the reaction, the functionalized quinolines 5d-g were obtained in 66-74% yields. The reaction of heteroalkyl alkynes 4h-m with substrate 1a afforded the products 5j-m in 66-75% yields. The cycloaddition of (2-amino-5-chlorophenyl)methanol 1b with alkyne 4c provided the desired product 5n in 60% yield. Inferior results were obtained in the reaction of 1a with oct-4-yne (4n) and diethyl but-2-ynedioate (4o) (Scheme 3).

The scope of this base-assisted [4 + 2] cycloaddition was further investigated by using phenyldiynes 6a-d (Scheme 4a).

Scheme 4. Scope of 1,3-Diyne and Pyridinyl Methanol



Electron-donating diynes were tolerated in this transformation, providing the (*Z*)-phenyl-2-styrylquinolines 7a-d in 59–63% yields (see the SI for the mechanism). The regio- and stereochemistry of the reaction was established on the basis of chemical shifts, coupling constants (J_{H-H}), and NOESY studies. To our delight, the reaction of (2-aminopyridin-3-yl)methanol **8** with alkyne **2a** produced the biologically important naphthyridine **9** albeit in lower yield (Scheme 4b).

Achieving the selectivity in base-mediated annulations of 2aminophenylmethanol 1 is a significant challenge with 1,4bisalkyne 10. When H and Cl groups were used as FG, the reactions were well implemented to form the cyclized products 11a-b in 50–55% yields (Scheme 5).



Reaction of secondary alcohol 12 with internal aryl alkynes 2a, 2e, and 2j was successful and provided the highly functionalized quinolines 13a-d in good to moderate yields (Scheme 6).





In order to support the proposed mechanistic pathway, various preliminary experiments were performed (Scheme 7). Initially, we thought the reaction was proceeding via aldehyde formation; for validation of the possible reaction intermediate, we performed the reaction of 2-aminobenzaldehyde 14 and 2-aminoacetophenone 15 with 2a; however we failed to obtain the desired products 3a and 13a (eqs i and ii). The results of the above two control experiments rule out the formation of product via hydroamination (Scheme 1, route A). We further examined the reaction of 1a with KOH–DMSO at 90 °C; the formation of the highly unstable azadiene intermediate 16





(confirmed by ¹H, ¹³C and HRMS; along with unidentified complex mixture) supports the formation of the desired products via [4 + 2] cycloaddition (eq iii). The reaction of azadiene intermediate 16 with alkyne 2a provided the quinoline 3a in 10% yield along with an unidentified complex mixture which further supports the proposed mechanistic pathway (eq iv). The deuterium-labeling experiment of 2-aminobenzylalcohol 1a with 2a in DMSO- d_6 provided the desired product 3a' in 70% yield with 90% exchange of D at the C-4 position (eq v). In order to validate the exchange of deuterium with quinoline protons, we heated the quinoline 3a with KOH-DMSO- d_6 at 90 °C for 24 h, and only 20% of H-D exchange was observed in product **3a**" (eq vi). The reaction of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline 17 in the presence of deuterated DMSO provided the product 17' with 56% incorporation of D at C-4 position (eq vii), which supports the formation of transition state C in the proposed mechanism (see Scheme 8).





Based on the previous mechanistic studies, we put forward the following mechanistic hypothesis as described in Scheme 8. The mechanism is initiated by protonation^{15e,f} of the 2aminobenzyl alcohol 1 via KOH–DMSO suspension, which leads to the formation of imine type motif **B**. The anion of the DMSO abstracts the proton and forms an intermediate 16.¹⁸ The reaction of azadiene intermediate 16 with alkyne would form new N–C and C–C bonds (**C**) in a [4 + 2] cycloaddition manner, which upon autoxidation^{12d} successfully provided the final quinoline product.

In conclusion, we have described an efficient base-promoted metal- and protection-free synthesis of highly functionalized quinolines from in situ generated azadiene and internal alkynes via a [4 + 2] cycloaddition process with excellent chemo- and regioselectivity. An electronic effect of the substrates plays a key role in controlling the chemo- and regioselectivity in the reaction. The three pairs for substrate scope, namely Ar–Ar, Ar–alkyl, and diynes with 2-amino benzyl alcohols, were

established for the synthesis of biologically active quinolines. The preliminary control experiments succeeded in the isolation of the azadiene intermediate, which supports the proposed mechanistic pathway.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.6b00817.

X-ray crystallographic data for 3a (CIF)

Experimental details, crystallographic information, and spectral data for all new compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: averma@acbr.du.ac.in.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by SERB (SB/EMEQ-098/2014) and (SB/S1/OC-84/2013). R.K.S and M.P. thank the UGC and SERB for fellowships.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Musiol, R.; Magdziarz, T.; Kurczyk, A. In Science against Microbial Pathogens: Communicating Current Research and Technological Advances; Mendez-Villas, A., Ed.; Formatex: Badajoz, 2011; Vol. 1, p 72. (b) Afzal, O.; Kumar, S.; Haider, M. R.; Ali, M. R.; Kumar, R.; Jaggi, M.; Bawa, S. Eur. J. Med. Chem. **2015**, *97*, 871.

(2) (a) Achan, J.; Talisuna, A. O.; Erhart, A.; Yeka, A.; Tibenderana, J. K.; Baliraine, F. N.; Rosenthal, P. J.; D'Alessandro, U. *Malar. J.* 2011, 10, 144. (b) Michael, J. P. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2001, 18, 543. (c) Chen, Y. J.; Fang, K. C.; Sheu, J.-Y.; Hsu, S. L.; Tzeng, C. C. *J. Med. Chem.* 2001, 44, 2374. (d) Kalluraya, B.; Sreenivasa, S. *Farmaco* 1998, 53, 399.

(3) (a) Balasubramanian, M.; Keay, J. G. *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II*; Katritzky, A. R., Rees, C. W., Scriven, E. F. V., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1996; Vol. 5, p 245. (b) Michael, J. P. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **1997**, *14*, 605.

(4) (a) Theoclitou, M. – E.; Robinson, L. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 3907. (b) Yamashkin, S. A.; Oreshkina, E. A. Chem. Heterocycl. Compd. 2006, 42, 701. (c) Saggadi, H.; Luart, D.; Thiebault, N.; Polaert, I.; Estel, L.; Len, C. Catal. Commun. 2014, 44, 15.

(5) (a) Jia, C.-S.; Zhang, Z.; Tu, S.-J.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 104. (b) Palimkar, S. S.; Siddiqui, S. A.; Daniel, T.; Lahoti, R. J.; Srinivasan, K. V. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 9371. (c) Bañón-Caballero, A.; Guillena, G.; Nájera, C. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 5349.
(6) (a) Sloop, J. C. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2009, 22, 110. (b) Bergstrom, F. W. Chem. Rev. 1944, 35, 77.

(7) (a) Stone, M. T. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2326. (b) Larock, R. C.; Kuo, M.-Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 569. (c) Zhang, X.; Yao, T.; Campo, M. A.; Larock, R. C. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 1177. (d) Larock, R. C.; Babu, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 5291.

(8) (a) Zhao, P.; Yan, X.; Yin, H.; Xi, C. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1120.
(b) Liu, J.; Liu, F.; Zhu, Y.; Ma, X.; Jia, X. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1409.
(c) Midya, S. P.; Sahoo, M. K.; Landge, V.; Rajamohanan, P. R.; Balaraman, E. Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 8591. (d) Yan, R.; Liu, X.; Pan, C.; Zhou, X.; Li, X.; Kang, X.; Huang, G. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4876.
(e) Jia, X.; Peng, F.; Qing, C.; Huo, C.; Wang, X. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4030. (f) Zhang, Y.; Wang, M.; Li, P.; Wang, L. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2206. (g) Sakai, N.; Tamura, K.; Shimamura, K.; Ikeda, R.; Konakahara, T. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 836. (h) Senadi, G. C.; Hu, W.-P.; Garkhedkar, A. M.; Boominathan, S. S. K.; Wang, J. – J. Chem. *Commun.* 2015, *51*, 13795. (i) Toh, K. K.; Sanjaya, S.; Sahnoun, S.; Chong, S. Y.; Chiba, S. *Org. Lett.* 2012, *14*, 2290. (j) Tanaka, S.; Yasuda, M.; Baba, A. *J. Org. Chem.* 2006, *71*, 800. (k) Bharate, J. B.; Vishwakarma, R. A.; Bharate, S. B. *RSC Adv.* 2015, *5*, 42020 and references cited therein.

(9) (a) Sandelier, M.; DeShong, J. P. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3209.
(b) Zhang, Z.; Tan, J.; Wang, Z. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 173. (c) Ali, S.; Zhu, H.-T.; Xia, X.-F.; Ji, K.-G.; Yang, Y.-F.; Song, X.-R.; Liang, Y.-M. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2598. (d) Rehan, M.; Hazra, G.; Ghorai, P. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1668. (e) Kumar, Y. K.; Kumar, G. R.; Reddy, T. J.; Sridhar, B.; Reddy, M. S. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2226.

(10) Selig, P.; Raven, W. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5192.

(11) (a) Ginn, J. D.; Lynch, S. M.; Padwa, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 9387. (b) Zhao, L.-M.; Zhang, A.-L.; Gao, H.-S.; Zhang, J.-H. J. Org. Chem. **2015**, *80*, 10353. (c) Kim, S. M.; Park, J. H.; Chung, Y. K. Chem. Commun. **2011**, *47*, 6719.

(12) (a) Behforouz, M.; Ahmadian, M. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 5259.
(b) Gulevich, A. V.; Dudnik, A. S.; Chernyak, N.; Gevorgyan, V. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 3084. (c) Groenendaal, B.; Ruijter, E.; Orru, R. V. A. Chem. Commun. 2008, 5474. (d) Lu, J.-Y.; Arndt, H.-D. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 4205. (e) Lu, J.-Y.; Keith, J. A.; Shen, W.-Z.; Schürmann, M.; Preut, H.; Jacob, T.; Arndt, H.-D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13219.
(f) Wu, J.; Xu, W.; Yu, Z.-X.; Wang, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 9489 references cited therein.

(13) (a) Neely, J. M.; Rovis, T. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 1010.
(b) Hyster, T. K.; Rovis, T. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11846.
(c) Neely, J. M.; Rovis, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 2735.
(d) Neely, J. M.; Rovis, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 66.

(14) (a) Parthasarathy, K.; Jeganmohan, M.; Cheng, C.-H. Org. Lett.
2008, 10, 325. (b) Parthasarathy, K.; Cheng, C.-H. Synthesis 2009, 2009, 1400. (c) Too, P. C.; Noji, T.; Lim, Y. J.; Li, X.; Chiba, S. Synlett
2011, 2011, 2789. (d) Martin, R. M.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 2501.

(15) (a) Joshi, M.; Patel, M.; Tiwari, R. K.; Verma, A. K. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 5633. (b) Verma, A. K.; Kesharwani, T.; Singh, J.; Tandon, V.; Larock, R. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1138. (c) Verma, A. K.; Patel, M.; Joshi, M.; Likhar, P. R.; Tiwari, R. K.; Parang, K. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 172. (d) Patel, M.; Saunthawal, R. K.; Verma, A. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 1310. (e) Patel, M.; Saunthwal, R. K.; Dhaked, D. K.; Bharatam, P. V.; Verma, A. K. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2015, 4, 894. (f) Patel, M.; Saunthwal, R. K.; Dhaked, D. K.; Bharatam, P. V.; Verma, A. K. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2016, 5, 213.

(16) (a) Verma, A. K.; Danodia, A. K.; Saunthwal, R. K.; Patel, M.; Choudhary, D. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3658. (b) Saunthwal, R. K.; Patel, M.; Danodia, A. K.; Verma, A. K. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 1521.
(c) Saunthwal, R. K.; Patel, M.; Tiwari, R. K.; Parang, K.; Verma, A. K. Green Chem. 2015, 17, 1434. (d) Saunthwal, R. K.; Patel, M.; Kumar, S.; Verma, A. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 677. (e) Saunthwal, R. K.; Patel, M.; Kumar, S.; Danodia, A. K.; Verma, A. K. Chem. - Eur. J. 2015, 21, 18601.

(17) (a) Maity, B.; Gooßen, L. J.; Koley, D. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 2532.
(b) Rubin, M.; Trofimov, A.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10243. (c) Verma, A. K.; Joshi, M.; Singh, V. P. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1630.

(18) (a) Zhan, G.; Shi, M. – L.; He, Q.; Du, W.; Chen, Y.-C. Org. Lett. **2015**, *17*, 4750. (b) Lai, Z.; Wang, Z.; Sun, J. Org. Lett. **2015**, *17*, 6058.