Application of Fragment-Based Screening to the Design of Inhibitors of *Escherichia coli* DsbA**

Luke A. Adams, Pooja Sharma, Biswaranjan Mohanty, Olga V. Ilyichova, Mark D. Mulcair, Martin L. Williams, Ellen C. Gleeson, Makrina Totsika, Bradley C. Doak, Sofia Caria, Kieran Rimmer, James Horne, Stephen R. Shouldice, Mansha Vazirani, Stephen J. Headey, Brent R. Plumb, Jennifer L. Martin, Begoña Heras,* Jamie S. Simpson,* and Martin J. Scanlon*

Abstract: The thiol-disulfide oxidoreductase enzyme DsbA catalyzes the formation of disulfide bonds in the periplasm of Gram-negative bacteria. DsbA substrates include proteins involved in bacterial virulence. In the absence of DsbA, many of these proteins do not fold correctly, which renders the bacteria avirulent. Thus DsbA is a critical mediator of virulence and inhibitors may act as antivirulence agents. Biophysical screening has been employed to identify fragments that bind to DsbA from Escherichia coli. Elaboration of one of these fragments produced compounds that inhibit DsbA activity in vitro. In cell-based assays, the compounds inhibit bacterial motility, but have no effect on growth in liquid culture, which is consistent with selective inhibition of DsbA. Crystal structures of inhibitors bound to DsbA indicate that they bind adjacent to the active site. Together, the data suggest that DsbA may be amenable to the development of novel antibacterial compounds that act by inhibiting bacterial virulence.

Antibiotic resistance is a growing problem that has been recognized as one of the major health challenges of the 21st century.^[1] With few antibacterials in the drug pipeline, new therapeutic targets and compounds with novel mechanisms of action are needed to treat resistant bacterial strains.^[1b,d,2] One approach is to target bacterial virulence.^[3] Antivirulence compounds are expected to exert less selective pressure, thus

[*] Dr. L. A. Adams,^[+] Dr. P. Sharma,^[+] Dr. B. Mohanty, O. V. Ilyichova,

Dr. S. Caria, Dr. K. Rimmer, Dr. J. Horne, M. Vazirani,

Medicinal Chemistry, Monash Institute of

E-mail: jamie.simpson@monash.edu

Pharmaceutical Sciences, Monash University

martin.scanlon@monash.edu

Homepage: http://www.pharm.monash.edu.au

School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences

Dr. S. R. Shouldice, Prof. J. L. Martin, Dr. B. Heras^[\$]

Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland

381 Royal Parade, Parkville, VIC 3052 (Australia)

Dr. M. D. Mulcair, Dr. M. L. Williams, E. C. Gleeson, Dr. B. C. Doak,

Dr. S. J. Headey, B. R. Plumb, Dr. J. S. Simpson, Dr. M. J. Scanlon

slowing down the development of resistance while sparing the normal host microbiota.^[4] The development of antivirulence agents presents a number of challenges, and targeting virulence remains to be proven in a clinical setting. None-theless, compounds have been reported that inhibit virulence processes.^[3c]

Many virulence factors produced by Gram-negative bacteria are secreted or surface-exposed proteins that are stabilized by disulfide bonds. Disulfide bond formation in the periplasm is catalyzed by enzymes of the Dsb (disulfide bond) family.^[5] DsbA is a soluble enzyme of the thioredoxin superfamily that directly catalyzes disulfide bond formation in substrates.^[6] DsbB is an all-a-helical integral membrane protein, which catalyzes reoxidation of DsbA.^[7] The DsbA/ DsbB system introduces disulfides bonds in a broad range of virulence factors and is therefore a central mediator of bacterial virulence.^[5] Compounds that inhibit DsbB in biochemical assays have been reported, although their activity as antivirulence agents is unknown.^[8] Genetic evidence has shown that Gram-negative bacteria lacking a functional DsbA are avirulent in animal infection models.^[9] For example, mice infected with Burkholderia pseudomallei, the causative agent of melioidosis, all died when infected with a wild-type organism, but all survived when infected with a dsbA-deficient mutant.^[10] Similarly, deletion of dsbA in uropathogenic Escherichia coli severely attenuated coloniza-

E-mail: b.heras@latrobe.edu.au

- [[#]] Present address: Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology Kelvin Grove, QLD 4059 (Australia)
- [⁺] These authors contributed equally to this work.
- [**] We acknowledge funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council (grant number 1009785) and the Australian Research Council for an Australian Laureate Fellowship, a Future Fellowship, and a Discovery Early Career Researcher Award to J.L.M., B.H., and M.T., respectively (grant numbers FL0992138, FT130100580, and DE130101169). We thank the Bio21 Institute NMR Facility and the CSIRO Collaborative Crystallisation Centre (www.csiro.au/C3). This research was undertaken on the MX1 and MX2 beamlines at the Australian Synchrotron and at the UQ ROCX Diffraction Facility.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201410341.

[⁵] Present address: La Trobe Institute for Molecular Science, La Trobe University Melbourne, VIC 3086 (Australia)

St. Lucia, QLD 4072 (Australia)

St. Lucia, QLD 4072 (Australia)

University of Queensland

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1-7

Dr. M. Totsika^[#]

C 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers!

Wiley Online Library



tion of the mouse bladder.^[11] The loss of virulence in *dsbA*-deficient mutants is attributed to misfolding and loss of function of DsbA substrates.

The central role of DsbA in bacterial virulence and our understanding of its catalytic mechanism make this enzyme an attractive target for the development of inhibitors. Crystal structures of DsbA have shown its mode of binding to substrates^[12] and DsbB,^[7] suggesting that it is amenable to structure-based approaches to the design of small-molecule inhibitors. However, inhibiting protein–protein interactions is often challenging.^[13] In this context, the substrate-binding site of DsbA comprises a large hydrophobic groove rather than a well-defined binding pocket. Herein we report our approach to overcome these challenges by developing functional smallmolecule inhibitors of *E. coli* DsbA (*Ec*DsbA), which to the best of our knowledge are the first reported nonpeptide inhibitors of DsbA.

We screened a library of 1132 fragments sourced from the Maybridge Ro3 collection to identify compounds that bind to oxidized *EcDsbA*. The primary screen used saturation transfer difference (STD) NMR spectra with mixtures of three to five fragments.^[14] Fragments with observable signals in STD-NMR spectra of the mixtures were retested as single



Figure 1. Identification of fragments that bind *Ec*DsbA. a) STD-NMR showed that phenylthiazole 1 binds to *Ec*DsbA. b) (Top) ¹H NMR spectrum of *Ec*DsbA; (middle) Off-resonance ¹H NMR spectrum of *Ec*DsbA plus 1; (bottom) STD-NMR spectrum of *Ec*DsbA plus 1. STD signals from 1 are indicated (*); buffer peaks (B) and impurities (I) are labeled. c) Overlay of ¹⁵N HSQC spectra of *Ec*DsbA in the presence (red) and absence (blue) of 1 (1 mM). d) Residues with CSP \geq 0.01 ppm in the HSQC spectrum are colored red on the structure of *Ec*DsbA (PDB ID: 1FVK). Also indicated are the active site (yellow sphere) and hydrophobic groove (blue).

compounds, as shown for phenylthiazole **1** (Figure 1 a and b). In this way, 171 fragments were identified as hits from the STD-NMR screen.

Hits were validated by measuring chemical shift perturbations (CSP) for backbone amide resonances in ¹⁵N heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) spectra of ¹⁵Nlabelled EcDsbA upon addition of single fragments (1 mM). Under these conditions, 37 fragments produced CSP> 0.01 ppm and were retained for further characterization. Each of the fragments caused CSP for residues in a hydrophobic groove of EcDsbA (Figure 1c and d) that is adjacent to the active site and is implicated in the binding of EcDsbA to both substrates and EcDsbB. CSP analysis showed that none of the fragments had achieved saturation at the highest concentration tested (1 mM) indicating weak binding. Therefore, a preliminary fragment scoring system was devised based on the magnitude of CSP observed for residues in the hydrophobic groove of EcDsbA that were unaffected by changes in DMSO concentration (Figure 2, see also Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information).



Figure 2. Scoring of ligand binding to *Ec*DsbA. Left: Histogram of CSP per residue for unambiguously assigned residues of *Ec*DsbA induced by the compound shown. Hydrophobic groove residues are colored red. Right: Expansion of HSQC spectra highlighting perturbation of Gln164 and Ser169. The magnitude of CSP was used to score ligand binding as a) ligand **2** score = 1, b) ligand **3** score = 2, and c) ligand **4** score = 3.

The 37 fragments could be clustered into 8 distinct chemical classes and 11 singletons based on linear fingerprints (Figure 3 and S3). To expedite the initial evaluation of structure–activity relationships (SAR), singletons were set aside, and classes 1–5 were examined based on the obvious scaffold similarities within the clusters. Class 5 was deprioritized as an examination of other anilines in the library generated no clear SAR. Classes 1–4 were evaluated through

www.angewandte.org

© 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



Figure 3. Structures and HSQC score for the 26 fragment hits clustered using linear fingerprints into the 8 chemical classes shown.

purchase and synthesis of analogues. Analysis of the binding of thiophenes in class 1 suggested multiple modes of binding to *Ec*DsbA, while analogues of the benzothiophenes of class 3 showed little improvement in binding as measured from their HSQC score, suggesting that progression of these classes was challenging. In contrast, the diphenyl ethers (class 4) and phenylthiazoles (class 2) both showed interpretable SAR, and are under development. The phenylthiazoles were prioritized because crystal structures of complexes were obtained for analogues in this class (see below) and the progression from phenylthiazole **1** is reported here.

Initially, 22 analogues of this scaffold were purchased and the HSQC score of each was determined (Figure 4 and S4). This produced initial SAR for the phenylthiazoles with the following trends. 2-Phenylthiazoles, in particular with halogen substituents on the aryl ring, were strongly favored (for example, fragments 4 and 36). In contrast, replacing the phenyl ring with pyridine (2 vs. 30), led to a loss of detectable



Figure 4. Chemical structures and HSQC score for phenylthiazole analogues.

binding. Substitution of the thiazole **2** for either imidazole **31** or oxazole **32** had a detrimental effect on binding, as did inverting the methyl and acid substituents on the thiazole ring (**33**). Replacing the acid with the corresponding hydroxymethyl group (**35** vs. **34**) reduced solubility in some cases and thus gave conflicting SAR.

The phenylthiazole analogues (4, 36, 37, 38) with the highest HSQC score were soaked into crystals of *EcDsbA*, which resulted in one structure of the complex with compound 4 at 1.45 Å resolution. However, it was observed that two molecules of 4 were stacked in the hydrophobic groove of *EcDsbA* (Figure S5; PDB ID: 4WF5). Therefore co-crystallization of 4 with *EcDsbA* was undertaken, resulting in a structure with the expected 1:1 stoichiometry (Figure 5; PDB ID: 4WF4). In this structure, the thiazole formed a π - π stacking interaction with His32 and the aromatic ring was located in a hydrophobic pocket. The carboxy group at position 5 was orientated toward the more hydrophilic, waterfilled portion of the hydrophobic groove.

Given the strong CSP in the HSQC spectrum and the available structural information, compound 4 was selected for further elaboration. A growth vector attached to the carboxy group of 4 was identified to enable extension along the hydrophobic groove of *EcDsbA*. Preliminary studies into simple esters or amides identified these as poor analogues because of their lack of solubility in the aqueous buffer used for screening. Thus we chose to synthesize a series of amino acid derivatives to extend from the carboxy group at

www.angewandte.org



Figure 5. Co-crystal structure of 4 bound to *EcD*sbA. a) CSP observed in HSQC spectra upon addition of 4 are mapped onto the structure of *EcD*sbA using a color gradient from white (<0.001 ppm) to dark blue (\geq 0.15 ppm). Active-site residues Cys30–Cys33 are yellow. b) Phenylthiazole 4 (depicted as green sticks) binds within the hydrophobic groove of *EcD*sbA. A π - π stacking interaction with His32 is indicated. Residues of *EcD*sbA within 4 Å of 4 are shown as purple sticks and labeled. Water molecules are shown as blue spheres and the sulfur atoms of Cys30 and Cys33 are shown as yellow spheres. c) Simulated annealing omit σ_A -weighted mFo-DFc electron density maps contoured at 2.5 σ are shown as grey mesh.

position 5, in order to explore chemical space while maintaining the solubilizing carboxylic acid group.

The binding affinity of these derivatives for *EcDsbA* was evaluated using SPR. As shown in Figure 6 and Table S1, the K_D values measured by SPR correlated well with the preliminary HSQC scores. The majority of compounds identified as strong hits by HSQC were observed by SPR to bind with K_D values in the 200–400 μ M range, while weaker HSQC hits gave K_D values above 1 mM. The strongest binder was the phenylalanine derivative **39** with a K_D value of 196 μ M. Preliminary SAR suggested that aromatic (**39** and **40**) or



Figure 6. HSQC score, binding affinity, and inhibitory concentration of selected amino acid derived ligands. K_D and IC₅₀ values were determined by SPR and POA, respectively.

short polar substituents (**41** and **42**) are more favorable, whereas lysine **43** proved detrimental to binding.

Inhibition of *Ec*DsbA activity was measured using a previously described peptide oxidation assay (POA).^[15] Figures 6 and 7, Figures S7–S17, and Table S1 show that the inhibitory concentrations correlated well with the K_D values determined by SPR.

The structure of **40** in complex with *Ec*DsbA at 1.63 Å resolution was determined from crystals prepared by cocrystallization (Figure 7d; PDB ID: 4WET). Unexpectedly, the tyrosine of **40** orientated perpendicular to the phenylthiazole core as opposed to extending along the hydrophobic groove. This structure showed two hydrogen-bond interactions from **40** to His32 and Gln164 of *Ec*DsbA (Figure 7e).

Compound **40** was tested for its ability to inhibit EcDsbA in a bacterial motility assay.^[12] As DsbA is not required for growth in rich media, but is essential for effective folding of the FlgI component of the bacterial flagella, the expected phenotype for an active EcDsbA inhibitor is inhibition of E. coli motility, but no effect on growth. Addition of **40** (600 µM) in soft agar plates caused a 59% reduction in the zone of E. coli swarming motility compared to DMSOcontaining control plates (Figure 7g), yet it had no effect on bacterial growth in liquid culture at 1 mM concentration (Figure 7h). Thus **40** was capable of inhibiting EcDsbA in an isolated enzyme assay in vitro. In cell-based assays, **40** inhibited E. coli in liquid media, which is consistent with selective inhibition of DsbA.

In conclusion, through a combination of fragment-based screening, biophysical assays, and X-ray structure determination, we have identified fragments that bind noncovalently to EcDsbA and inhibit its activity. The fragments show concentration-dependent effects on EcDsbA in biochemical (activity) and biophysical (binding) assays. Structural data indicate that the fragments occupy a hydrophobic groove



© 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



Figure 7. Biochemical, structural, and phenotypic characterization of **40**. a) Raw sensorgrams and b) doseresponse plot of **40** binding to *EcDsbA* by surface plasmon resonance. c) Dose-dependent inhibition of *EcDsbA* by **40** determined using a peptide oxidation assay. d) Crystal structure of **40** in complex with *EcDsbA*. The surface is colored according to CSP observed in HSQC spectra of *EcDsbA* upon addition of **40**. Active-site residues Cys30–Cys33 are colored yellow. e) Detailed view of the binding site for **40** on *EcDsbA*. f) Simulated annealing omit σ_A -weighted mFo-DFc electron-density maps for **40** contoured at 2.5 σ are shown as grey mesh g) Motility of *E. coli* JCB816 on soft LB agar containing DMSO (left) and **40** (right). h) Growth of *E. coli* JCB816 in LB broth containing DMSO or **40**.

adjacent to the active site of EcDsbA. Taken together, our data show that these compounds may represent a starting point for the development of antivirulence agents that inhibit EcDsbA. Compounds with this spectrum of activity may exert less selective pressure than traditional antibiotics that kill bacteria or inhibit their growth, thus slowing down the development of resistance, and could therefore represent a future treatment of multi-drug resistant bacterial infections.^[3c]

Received: October 22, 2014 Revised: November 25, 2014 Published online:

Keywords: bacterial virulence · drug design · *Ec*DsbA · fragment-baseddrugdiscovery· medicinal chemistry

- [1] a) M. A. Cooper, D. Shlaes, Nature 2011, 472, 32; b) B. Obama, Executive Order-Combating antibiotic-resistant bacteria, http://www. whitehouse.gov/the-pressoffice/2014/09/18/executiveorder-combating-antibioticresistant-bacteria; c) R. R. Uchil, G.S. Kohli, V.M. Katekhaye, O. C. Swami, J. Clin. Diagn. Res. 2014, 8, ME01-04: d) World Health Organization in Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance 2014; e) G. D. Wright, Chem. Biol. 2012, 19, 3-10.
- [2] J. Fernebro, Drug Resist. Updates 2011, 14, 125-139.
- [3] a) R. C. Allen, R. Popat, S. P. Diggle, S. P. Brown, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2014, *12*, 300–308; b) B. Heras, M. J. Scanlon, J. L. Martin, *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 2014, DOI: 10.1111/bcp.12356; c) D. A. Rasko, V. Sperandio, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* 2010, *9*, 117–128.
- [4] a) A. K. Barczak, D. T. Hung, Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 2009, 12, 490-496;
 b) A. E. Clatworthy, E. Pierson, D. T. Hung, Nat. Chem. Biol. 2007, 3, 541-548; c) S. A. Stanley, D. T. Hung, Biochemistry 2009, 48, 8776-8786; d) M.

Zucca, S. Scutera, D. Savoia, *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.* 2011, 11, 888-900.

- [5] B. Heras, S. R. Shouldice, M. Totsika, M. J. Scanlon, M. A. Schembri, J. L. Martin, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2009, 7, 215–225.
- [6] J. L. Martin, J. C. Bardwell, J. Kuriyan, Nature 1993, 365, 464– 468.
- [7] K. Inaba, S. Murakami, M. Suzuki, A. Nakagawa, E. Yamashita, K. Okada, K. Ito, *Cell* **2006**, *127*, 789–801.
- [8] V. Früh, Y. Zhou, D. Chen, C. Loch, E. Ab, Y. N. Grinkova, H. Verheij, S. G. Sligar, J. H. Bushweller, G. Siegal, *Chem. Biol.* 2010, 17, 881–891.
- [9] T. Miki, N. Okada, H. Danbara, J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 34631– 34642.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1-7

© 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.angewandte.org



- [10] P. M. Ireland, R. M. McMahon, L. E. Marshall, M. Halili, E. Furlong, S. Tay, J. L. Martin, M. Sarkar-Tyson, *Antioxid. Redox Signaling* 2014, 20, 606–617.
- [11] M. Totsika, B. Heras, D. J. Wurpel, M. A. Schembri, J. Bacteriol. 2009, 191, 3901–3908.
- [12] J. J. Paxman, N. A. Borg, J. Horne, P. E. Thompson, Y. Chin, P. Sharma, J. S. Simpson, J. Wielens, S. Piek, C. M. Kahler, H. Sakellaris, M. Pearce, S. P. Bottomley, J. Rossjohn, M. J. Scanlon, *J. Biol. Chem.* **2009**, *284*, 17835–17845.
- [13] T. L. Nero, C. J. Morton, J. K. Holien, J. Wielens, M. W. Parker, *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2014, 14, 248–262.
- [14] M. Mayer, B. Meyer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1784– 1788; Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 1902–1906.
- [15] F. Kurth, K. Rimmer, L. Premkumar, B. Mohanty, W. Duprez, M. A. Halili, S. R. Shouldice, B. Heras, D. P. Fairlie, M. J. Scanlon, J. L. Martin, *PLoS One* **2013**, *8*, e80210.

6 www.angewandte.org

C 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1-7

Communications



Application of Fragment-Based Screening to the Design of Inhibitors of *Escherichia coli* DsbA



Combating bacterial virulence: DsbA is an oxidoreductase enzyme and a key mediator of virulence in *Escherichia coli*. Using fragment-based screening, compounds were developed that inhibit DsbA activity in vitro and *E. coli* motility in a cell-based assay. Crystal structures of the compounds in complex with DsbA provide a rationale for their activity.

© 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim