



BIOORGANIC & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY LETTERS

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 13 (2003) 3797-3800

Investigation of the Effect of Varying the 4-Anilino and 7-Alkoxy Groups of 3-Quinolinecarbonitriles on the Inhibition of Src Kinase Activity

Diane H. Boschelli,^{a,*} Fei Ye,^a Biqi Wu,^a Yanong D. Wang,^a Ana Carolina Barrios Sosa,^a Deanna Yaczko,^a Dennis Powell,^a Jennifer M. Golas,^b Judy Lucas^b and Frank Boschelli^b

^aChemical and Screening Sciences, Wyeth Research, 401 N. Middletown Road, Pearl River, NY 10965, USA ^bOncology, Wyeth Research, 401 N. Middletown Road, Pearl River, NY 10965, USA

Received 20 May 2003; revised 25 July 2003; accepted 29 July 2003

Abstract—Several 7-alkoxy-4-anilino-3-quinolinecarbonitriles were synthesized and evaluated for Src kinase inhibitory activity. Optimal inhibition of both Src enzymatic and cellular activity was seen with analogues having a 2,4-dichloro-5-methoxyaniline group at C-4. Compound **18**, which has a 1-methylpiperidinemethoxy group at C-7, showed in vivo activity in a xenograft model. © 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Signaling via protein tyrosine kinases (TKs), including Src, is central to many cellular events.¹ Src acts in the regulation of many biological pathways and therefore small molecule Src inhibitors may be useful for the treatment of a variety of disease states, including cancer and osteoporosis.² The relevance of Src as a therapeutic target is highlighted by the variety of known classes of Src kinase inhibitors described in the literature including pyrrolo[2,3-*d*]pyrimidines,^{3a} pyrido[2,3-*d*]pyrimidines^{3b} and oxindoles.^{3c}

We previously reported that the 4-anilino-6,7-dimethoxy-3-quinolinecarbonitriles of formula **1a–c** were potent Src inhibitors and that these analogues had greater activity than the corresponding 4-anilino-6,7dimethoxyquinazolines.^{4–6} For compounds **1a–c**, superior Src inhibitory activity was seen with **1c** which has a 2,4-dichloro-5-methoxyaniline group at C-4.⁵ Addition of various 3-(dialkylamino)-propoxy groups at C-7 of **1c** increased the inhibition of Src cell activity, with the optimal derivative being **2**, SKI-606.^{6,7} While addition of a 3-(4-methyl-1-piperazinyl)-propoxy substituent at C-7 also increased the Src cell activity of **1b**, this analogue **3**, was not as potent as **2**.⁵





The 4-(3,4,5-trimethoxyanilino) derivative 4^8 was prepared from the known intermediate⁴ as shown in Scheme 1. As was observed with 3, 4 showed decreased Src cell activity compared to 2 (Table 1).

We next looked at the effect on Src inhibitory activity upon retaining these three anilino groups at C-4 and adding solubilizing groups other than 3-(4-methyl-1piperazinyl)-propoxy at C-7. A survey of various 4-anilinoquinazoline kinase inhibitors currently in clinical trials suggested the use of two rather disparate groups.



Scheme 1. (a) 1-Methylpiperazine, NaI, ethylene glycol dimethyl ether.

⁰⁹⁶⁰⁻⁸⁹⁴X/\$ - see front matter \odot 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2003.07.001

The EGFr inhibitor Tarceva, 5,⁹ has 2-methoxyethoxy groups at both C-6 and C-7, while the KDR inhibitor, ZD6474, 6,¹⁰ has a methoxy group at C-6 and a 1methylpiperidinemethoxy group at C-7.



The analogues of **1a–c** with 2-methoxyethoxy groups at both C-6 and C-7 were prepared from the 4-Cl derivative 7¹¹ as shown in Scheme 2. Addition of 2,4-dichloro-5-methoxyaniline in the presence of sodium hydride provided 8. Analogues 9 and 10 were obtained by treatment of 7 with the corresponding aniline in the presence of pyridine hydrochloride. Of these three, the best Src inhibitory activity was seen with the 2,4dichloro-5-methoxy analogue, 8. However, this compound was about 2-fold less potent in the enzyme and cell assays than 2.

Since we had earlier shown that large groups at C-6 could be detrimental to the inhibition of Src activity,⁵ the analogues of 8-10 with a methoxy group at C-6 instead of the 2-methoxyethoxy group were prepared (Scheme 3). Starting from 11,⁴ addition of 2,4-dichloro-5-methoxyaniline provided 12. The phenol group of 12 was alkylated with 2-bromoethyl methyl ether to provide 13. Since the overall yield by this route was low, an alternative approach was examined. This route utilized a Mitsunobu reaction of 11 with 2-methoxyethanol to provide 14. Addition of 2,4-dichloro-5-methoxy aniline to 14 resulted in 13. This route was then also used to prepare analogues 15 and 16 via addition of 2,4dichloroaniline and 3,4,5-trimethoxyaniline respectively to 14. As shown in Table 1, the analogues with a 6-OMe

Table 1.







Scheme 3. (a) 2,4-Dichloro-5-methoxyaniline, pyridine hydrochloride, 2-ethoxyethanol; (b) 2-bromoethyl methyl ether, K_2CO_3 , DMF; (c) triphenylphosphine, diethylazodicarboxylate, 2-methoxyethanol, THF; (d) aniline, pyridine hydrochloride, 2-ethoxyethanol.

group were roughly 2-fold more potent in the Src enzyme assay than those with a 6-(2-methoxyethoxy) group. For unknown reasons 16 showed better Src cell activity than was expected.

Intermediate 11 was next used to prepare analogues of **1a-c** with the solubilizing tail of ZD6474 at C-7, as shown in Scheme 4. Mitsunobu reaction of 11 with 1methyl-4-piperidinemethanol provided 17. The 4-anilino

$R^{3}(CH_{2})_{n} = O$								
Compd	R ¹	\mathbb{R}^2	R ³	n	Src enzyme ¹² IC ₅₀ nM (SD)	Src Cells ¹² IC ₅₀ nM (SD)		
2	2,4-diCl, 5-OMe	Me	Me-piperazine	3	1.26	1006		
3	2,4-diCl	Me	Me-piperazine	3	8.75	1000^{5}		
4	3,4,5-tri-OMe	Me	Me-piperazine	3	5.1 (1.2)	1200 (57)		
8	2,4-diCl, 5-OMe	CH ₂ CH ₂ OMe	ÔMe	2	2.8 (0.93)	190 (74)		
9	2,4-diCl	CH ₂ CH ₂ OMe	OMe	2	12 (0.71)	1200 (250)		
10	3,4,5-tri-OMe	CH ₂ CH ₂ OMe	OMe	2	25 (8.6)	1400 (400)		
13	2,4-diCl, 5-OMe	Me	OMe	2	1.5 (0.52)	370 (211)		
15	2,4-diCl	Me	OMe	2	4.7 (2.1)	1400 (390)		
16	3,4,5-tri-OMe	Me	OMe	2	14 (1.7)	330 (97)		
18	2,4-diCl, 5-OMe	Me	Me-piperidine	1	2.0 (0)	220 (68)		
19	2,4-diCl	Me	Me-piperidine	1	6.6 (0.56)	1400 (210)		
20	3,4,5-tri-OMe	Me	Me-piperidine	1	8.3 (1.1)	1600 (320)		
23	2,4-diCl, 5-OMe	Me	Me-piperidine	2	2.4 (0.74)	230 (56)		
24	2,4-diCl, 5-OMe	Me	Me-piperidine	3	1.4 (0.07)	100 (42)		

$$R^{2}O$$
 CN CN CN $CH_{2}h^{-}O$ N



Scheme 4. (a) Triphenylphosphine, diethylazodicarboxylate, 1methylpiperidine- $(CH_2)_nOH$, THF; (b) 3,4,5-trimethoxyaniline, pyridine hydrochloride, 2-ethoxyethanol (for 20); aniline, NaH, THF (for 18, 19, 23 and 24).

derivatives 18–20 were prepared by the usual reaction conditions. Again, when tested in the Src kinase and cell proliferation assays, the best activity of the three analogues was seen with 18, which contains the 2,4dichloro-5-methoxyaniline at C-4. However, 18 was about 2-fold less potent than 2. To investigate the effect of lengthening the chain, the ethoxy and propoxy analogues of 18 were prepared as shown in Scheme 4. Mitsunobu reaction of 11 with 1-methyl-4-piperidineethanol or 1-methyl-4-piperidinepropanol provided 21 and 22, respectively. Addition of 2,4-dichloro-5-methoxyaniline to 21 and 22 resulted in 23 and 24, both of which had Src enzyme and cell activity in the same range as 18 and 2.

Compounds 8, 13, 18, and 24 had fairly comparable activity in the Src cell assay and were chosen for a PK study. Blood samples were drawn at 0.5, 4, and 8 h after a 50 mg/kg ip dose administered to nude mice in a Tween/Methocel vehicle.⁶ As shown in Table 2, the group at C-7 had a significant effect on the observed plasma concentrations. Of these four analogues, 18 had superior plasma levels and was therefore selected for further investigation.

In order to prepare additional amounts of **18**, an alternate route to key intermediate **17** was investigated. As shown in Scheme 5, methyl vanillate was converted to **25** which contains the desired 1-methylpiperidine-methoxy group. Nitration and subsequent reduction of the nitro group provided **26**.¹³ Formation of the amidine of **26** followed by addition of the anion of acetonitrile and then chlorination of the resultant quin-

Table 2.

Compd	0.5 h	4.0 h	8.0 h
8	1.7	1.2	0.20
13	0.37	0.23	0.14
18	4.5	2.9	1.6
24	0.40	0.50	0.50

Nude mouse plasma concentrations in $\mu g/mL$ at 0.5, 4.0 and 8.0 h following a 50 mg/kg ip dose.



Scheme 5. (a) (1) *N-tert*-Butoxycarbonyl-4-(4-toluenesulphonyloxymethyl)piperidine, K_2CO_3 , DMF; (2) HCO₂H, 37% aqueous formaldehyde; (b) (1) fuming nitric acid, TFA, CH₂Cl₂; (2) H₂/Pd/C, MeOH; (c) (1) *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal; (2) *n*-BuLi, acetonitrile, THF; (3) phosphorous oxychloride.

oline-4-one with phosphorous oxychloride provided 17. This route, while only one step shorter than that utilized earlier, proved more suitable for larger scale synthesis.

Compound 18 was tested in a xenograft assay employing Src transformed rat fibroblasts.⁶ In a staged model, where the tumors were implanted in nude mice prior to compound administration, a 50 mg/kg ip dose of 18 for 5 days gave a statistically significant inhibition of tumor growth (T/C = 35% at day 5) as depicted in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Antitumor activity of **18** versus a Src-transformed fibroblast xenograft. Mice (n = 10) were treated with **18** for 5 days at 50 mg/kg ip qd (- \blacksquare -); Vehicle alone (-▲-).

We have shown here that 3-quinolinecarbonitriles substituted at C-4 with 2,4-dichloroanilino, 3,4,5-trimethoxyanilino or 2,4-dichloro-5-methoxyanilino groups inhibit Src enzymatic and cell activity. The best Src enzymatic and cell inhibitory activity was seen with those analogues with a 4-(2,4-dichloro-5-methoxyanilino) group. In addition, it was demonstrated that **18** can inhibit Src activity in vivo. We are continuing to investigate the biological properties of **18** and other 4-(2,4-dichloro-5-methoxyanilino)-3-quinolinecarbonitriles with additional alkoxy groups at C-7.

Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Wyeth Discovery Analytical Chemistry department for the spectral data and elemental analyses.

References and Notes

1. (a) Cohen, P. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2002**, *1*, 309. (b) Blume-Jensen, P.; Hunter, T. *Nature* **2001**, *411*, 355.

2. (a) Frame, M. C. *Biochem. Biophys. Acta* 2002, *1602*, 114.
(b) Metcalf, III, C.A.; van Schravendijk, M. R.; Dalgarno, D. C.; Sawyer, T. K. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2002, *8*, 2049. (c) Courtneidge, S. A. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 2002, *30*, 11.

3. (a) Missbach, M.; Jeschke, M.; Feyen, J.; Muller, K.; Glatt, M.; Green, J.; Susa, M. *Bone* **1999**, *24*, 437. (b) Kraker, A. J.; Hartl, B. G.; Amar, A. M.; Barvian, M. R.; Showalter, H. D. H.; Moore, C. W. *Biochem. Pharm.* **2000**, *60*, 885. (c) Blake, R. A.; Broome, M. A.; Liu, X.; Wu, J.; Gishizky, M.; Sun, L.; Courtneidge, S. A. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **2000**, *20*, 9018.

4. Wang, Y. D.; Miller, K.; Boschelli, D. H.; Ye, F.; Wu, B.; Floyd, M. B.; Powell, D. W.; Wissner, A.; Weber, J. M.; Boschelli, F. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2000**, *10*, 2477.

5. Boschelli, D. H.; Wang, Y. D.; Ye, F.; Wu, B.; Zhang, N.; Dutia, M.; Powell, D. W.; Wissner, A.; Arndt, K.; Weber, J. M.; Boschelli, F. J. Med. Chem. **2001**, 44, 822.

Boschelli, D. H.; Ye, F.; Wang, Y. D.; Dutia, M.; Johnson,
 S.; Wu, B.; Miller, K.; Powell, D. W.; Arndt, K.; Discafani,
 C.; Etienne, C.; Gibbons, J.; Grod, J.; Lucas, J.; Weber, J. M.;
 Boschelli, F. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 3965.

7. Golas, J. M.; Arndt, K.; Etienne, C.; Lucas, J.; Nardin, D.; Gibbons, J.; Frost, P.; Ye, F.; Boschelli, D. H.; Boschelli, F. *Cancer Res.* **2003**, *63*, 375.

8. All compounds were characterized by MS, NMR and CHN combustion analysis.

9. Moyer, J. D.; Barbacci, E. G.; Iwata, K. K.; Arnold, L.;

Boman, B.; Cunningham, A.; DiOrio, C.; Doty, J.; Morin, M. J.; Moyer, M. P.; Neveu, M.; Pollack, V. A.; Pustilnik, L. R.; Reynolds, M. M.; Sloan, D.; Theleman, A.; Miller, P. *Cancer Res.* **1997**, *57*, 4838. Hidalgo, M.; Siu, L. L.; Nemunaitis, J.; Rizzo, J.; Hammond, L. A.; Takimoto, C.; Eckhardt, S. G.; Tolcher, A.; Britten, C. D.; Denis, L.; Ferrante, K.; Von Hoff, D. D.; Silberman, S.; Rowinsky, E. K. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2001**, *19*, 3267.

10. Hennequin, L. F.; Stokes, E. S. E.; Thomas, A. P.; Johnstone, C.; Ple, P. A.; Ogilvie, D. J.; Dukes, M.; Wedge, S. R.; Kendrew, J.; Curwen, J. O. *J. Med. Chem.* **2002**, *45*, 1300. Hennequin, L. F., Thomas, A. P., Johnstone, C., Stokes, E. S. E., Ple, P., Wedge, S. R., Ogilvie, D. J., Kendrew, J., Curwen, J. O., Dukes, M. *Proc. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res.* **2001**, Abstract 3152.

11. Wissner, A.; Floyd, M. B.; Rabindran, S. K.; Nilakantan, R.; Greenberger, L. M.; Shen, R.; Wang, Y.-F.; Tsou, H.-R. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2002**, *12*, 2893.

12. Compounds were tested according to the Src enzymatic and cellular assays reported previously.⁵ The IC_{50} values reported represent the means of at least two determinations. The standard deviations (SD) are shown in the brackets.

13. The ethyl ester of **26** has been reported in the literature: Hennequin, L. F.; Pasquet, G. WO 0021955, April 20, 2000; CAN 132:293771.