

Visible Light-Driven Atom Transfer Radical Addition to Olefins using Bi₂O₃ as Photocatalyst

Paola Riente^[a] and Miquel A. Pericàs^{*[a, b]}

Dedicated to Prof. Antonio M. Echavarren on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Bismuth oxide, an inexpensive and non-toxic semiconductor, has been successfully used as a visible light photocatalyst for the atom transfer radical addition (ATRA) reaction of organobromides to diversely functionalized terminal olefins. The reaction takes place under very mild conditions, in the absence of any additive, and with low catalyst loading (1 mol%). The corresponding ATRA products are obtained with moderate to excellent yields (up to 95%).

The development of chemical transformations promoted by costless energy, such as sunlight, is at the forefront of research in catalysis.^[1] Within this area, the search for greener (non-toxic) and low-cost catalysts suitable for large-scale production has driven the entire field towards the intersection of organic chemistry, nanomaterials science, and photochemistry.^[2] In particular, much effort has been devoted to the discovery of visible-light photocatalysts allowing the replacement of the yet widely used, but economically and toxicologically inconvenient, Ru and Ir complexes.^[3] In this context, a variety of readily available semiconductors^[4] and organic dyes^[5] have been evaluated as photocatalysts.

Recently, we reported the photoinduced enantioselective α alkylation of aldehydes with α -bromocarbonyl compounds by merging the commercially available, inexpensive semiconducting oxide Bi₂O₃ as a photocatalyst and the second-generation MacMillan imidazolidinone as an organocatalyst.^[6] From a mechanistic perspective, these results strongly suggest that the readily available photoexcited state of Bi₂O₃ (this semiconductor is characterized by a low band gap of ca. 1.3 eV) is appropriate to promote the cleavage of reactive C–Br bonds leading to alkyl radicals. Bearing this in mind, we envisaged that Bi₂O₃ might be a convenient visible-light photocatalyst for other important organic reactions initiated by electron transfer to carbon–halogen bonds.

-	
[a]	Dr. P. Riente, Prof. Dr. M. A. Pericàs
	Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ)
	Avda. Països Catalans, 16. 43007 Tarragona (Spain)
	E-mail: mapericas@iciq.es
	priente@iciq.es
[b]	Prof. Dr. M. A. Pericàs
	Department de Química Orgànica
	Universitat de Barcelona
	c/ Martì i Franqués 1 - 11, 08028 - Barcelona (Spain)
	Supporting Information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201403466.

ChemSusChem 0000, 00, 0-0 Wiley Online Library

These are not the final page numbers! 77

1

Pioneered by Kharasch and co-workers^[7] and further studied by Curran et al.^[8] and others,^[9] the **a**tom transfer radical **a**ddition (ATRA) reaction^[10] consists of the addition of haloalkanes across C–C double (or triple) bonds promoting the simultaneous formation of a C–X (X = halogen) and a C–C bond. A closely related process, the **a**tom transfer radical **p**olymerization (ATRP) reaction,^[11,12] independently introduced by Sawamoto and co-workers^[12a] and Wang and Matyjaszewski,^[12b] has evolved into one of the most powerful methodologies to build up well-defined polymers and co-polymers.

Typically, the ATRA reaction is accomplished using transition metal complexes and reducing agents under harsh reaction conditions.^[13] In this regard, some valuable approaches have been reported involving the use of metal-mediated visible-light photoredox catalysis as an alternative for this useful synthetic transformation (Scheme 1).^[14] Most often, the photocata-



Scheme 1. Catalysts for the visible-light-induced ATRA reaction. Where $dF(CF_3)ppy = 2-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-5-trifluoromethylpyridine; dtbbpy = 4,4'-di-$ *tert*-butyl-2,2'-dipyridyl; bpy = 2,2'-bipyridyl, and dap = 2,9-bis(*para*-anisyl)-1,10-phenanthroline.

lysts employed for the photoinduced ATRA have been expensive metals based on Ir or Ru complexes in the presence of a Lewis acid. As an alternative to these expensive metals, Reiser and co-workers demonstrated that a homemade Cu complex was also an effective visible-light photocatalyst mediating the formation of ATRA products.^[15] In a different approach, Melchiorre and co-workers recently reported a photochemical metal-free process to mediate the ATRA reaction.^[16]

Herein, we report the successful application of the inexpensive, non-toxic, and commercially available Bi_2O_3 powder as a light-driven photocatalyst to promote the ATRA reaction of a variety of organobromides into activated and non-activated



Table 1. Bi ₂ O ₃ -photocatalyzed ATRA reaction under different conditions.HO \mathcal{H}_3 +HO \mathcal{H}_3 +EtO ₂ CCO ₂ EtConditions2aConditions23 W						
Entry	2 a [mmol]	Solvent	<i>t</i> [h]	Yield ^[b] [%]		
1 ^[c]	2	DMF	24	38		
2	2	DMF	24	81		
3	1.2	DMF	48	63		
4	2	DMSO	20	74		
5 ^[d]	1.2	DMSO	20	69		
6	1.2	DMSO	20	90		
7 ^[e]	1.2	DMSO	72	-		
8 ^[f]	1.2	DMSO	72	-		
9 ^[g]	1.2	DMSO	24	-		
10 ^[h]	1.2	DMSO	6 ^[h]	85		

[a] Conditions: Bi₂O₃ (5 mg, 0.01 mmol), **1a** (1 mmol), **2a** (1.2 or 2 mmol), degassed solvent (2 mL) at room temperature. [b] Isolated yield after column chromatography. [c] LiBr (2 mmol) was used as an additive. [d] LiBr (0.1 mmol) was used as an additive. [e] Reaction performed without Bi₂O₃. [f] Reaction carried out in the dark. [g] TiO₂ (P25, Degussa) was used instead of Bi₂O₃. [h] Reaction promoted by daylight in Tarragona (41°07′00″N) in the first week of December; daylight hours computed.

alkenes under very mild reaction conditions involving either simulated or actual sunlight.

To start our investigation, the reaction between 5-hexen-1-ol (1 a) and diethyl bromomalonate (2 a) was chosen as a model to test the performance of Bi₂O₃ (1 mol%) in the ATRA reaction under different conditions and using as light source a 23 W household fluorescent bulb lamp (Table 1). We initially studied the use of DMF as solvent, either in the presence or in the absence of LiBr as a Lewis acidic additive (Table 1, entries 1-3). Product 3a could be isolated in good yield using a twofold amount of the ATRA donor in the absence of additive (Table 1, entry 2); however, in contrast to previous reports,^[14] the presence of LiBr had a deletereous effect on the performance of the reaction causing a significant decrease in yield (Table 1, entry 1). In a similar manner, yield was also decreased when the reaction was carried out with a lower excess of diethyl bromomalonate (Table 1, entry 3). We next examined the effect on the ATRA reaction of using a slightly more polar aprotic solvent, such as DMSO. Also in this case, poorer outcomes were recorded either using 2 equiv of 2a (entry 4) or in the presence of LiBr (entry 5). Gratifyingly, when the reaction was performed in DMSO without any additive and with a 120% molar amount of ATRA donor, a substantial increase in yield was noted (entry 6). Control experiments clearly established that the presence of both Bi2O3 and light was essential for the reaction to proceed (entries 7 and 8). For comparison purposes, titanium dioxide was also tested as a photocatalyst under optimized conditions (entry 9), but no conversion was recorded. Finally, the reaction conditions employed in entry 6 were used for an additional experiment promoted by daylight (entry 10). In the first week of December 2014 (partly cloudy weather) in Tarragona, 6 h of exposure to daylight irradiation (see Supporting Information) sufficed to induce complete conversion of 1a.

Encouraged by the results achieved by the addition of **2 a** to **1 a**, we decided to examine the scope of the ATRA reaction

Table 2. St	ope of ATRA reaction using Bi ₂ O ₃ a Bi ₂ O ₂ (1 m	as the photocata ol%) Br	alyst. ^[a]
	FG Br + R DMSO, RT,		3
	2 1	3	
ATRA		CF ₂ BrCO ₂ Et	CBr ₄
	2a 2b	2c	2d
ATRA	acceptors:		
	$(CH_2)_{9}Br, -(CH_2)_{2}Ph, -CH_2Ph, -CH_2Ph,$	$H_2CH(CO_2Et)_2$	·П ₂ /зы,
ATRA dono	r Product	t	Yield
		[h]	[%]
	Br CO₂Et	20	00 (01) ^{[h}
2a	HO [°] V V [°] CO ₂ Et 3a	20	90 (91)
	Br CO ₂ Et		
	BnO CO ₂ Et	24	76 (84) ^{lb}
	Br CO ₂ Et		
	TBSO 3c	_{it} 15	60
	Br CO ₂ Et	24	- (73) ^{[b}
	3d CO ₂ Et	4	71 ^[c]
	Br CO₂Et	24	
	3e CO ₂ Et	24	64 (95) ¹⁸
	Br CO ₂ Et Br、 へい人 人	24	75 (01)[b
	\sim $\swarrow_7 \sim$ \sim \sim CO_2Et	24	75 (91)
	Br CO₂Et	24	16 (01) ^{[h}
	Ph ² V CO ₂ Et 3g	24	46 (91)
	Br CO ₂ Et	70	10 (5 c) ^{(h}
	HO' V V CO ₂ Et 3h	72	48 (56) ¹⁸
	Br CO₂Me	24	(0.0) ^{(h}
26	HO ^{CO2} Me	24	68 (80) ¹⁰
		40	60
20	HO ⁷ V CO ₂ Et	48	69
		10	
	3k CO ₂ Et	48	45
		24	60
	Ph ² CO ₂ Et 3I	36	60
	EtO ₂ C Br	24	74
20	EtO ₂ C ² 3m	24	76
		40	50
	$HO^{-} 3n 3n$	48	52
		10	56
	30 -	40	00
		٦/	68
	Pn 3p	24	00
	PhCBr ₃	10	54
	3q	48	54
	Br		
	Br CBr3		

[a] Conditions: Bi₂O₃ (5 mg, 0.01 mmol), **1** (1.0 mmol), **2** (1.2 mmol), DMSO (2 mL) at room temperature; FG = functional group, where TBS = *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl and boc = *tert*-butyloxycarbonyl. [b] Yields in parentheses are for reactions performed with **1** (1.1 mmol) and **2a** or **2b** (1.0 mmol). [c] Reaction promoted by daylight in Tarragona (41°07′00″N) on Dec. 10, 2014; daylight hours computed.

2



promoted by light and catalyzed by Bi_2O_3 . The results of this study have been summarized in Table 2.

Four different ATRA donors were tested (diethyl and dimethyl bromomalonate, ethyl bromodifluoroacetate, and carbon tetrabromide). Among them, diethyl and dimethyl bromomalonate displayed the highest reactivity in the ATRA reaction promoted by light and Bi₂O₃. For instance, the reaction of diethyl bromomalonate with a variety of functionalized terminal olefins led the expected adducts (3a-g) in good to excellent yields (up to 95%) in relative short reaction time (< 24 h). Interestingly, the highest yields in the preparation of some of these products were recorded when the limiting reagent was the ATRA donor (olefin/ATRA donor = 1.1), a fact not observed in the preparation of 3 a. Alkyne partners could also be used in combination with this ATRA donor, resulting in the formation of compound **3h** in a roughly 60:40 mixture of Z/E isomers.^[17] On the other hand, the use of dimethyl bromomalonate as ATRA donor appears to involve slightly longer reaction times and leads to somewhat lower yields (compare 3 a and 3 i).

It is worth mentioning that the ATRA additions of **2a** performed using this procedure can be readily scaled up. Thus, **3a** could be prepared on the 5 mmol scale (1.52 g, 90% isolated yield) with the same experimental setup and in the same reaction time by linearly scaling the amounts of reactants, solvent, and catalyst. As a further example of the robustness of this methodology, the preparation of **3d** was also performed with daylight promotion (71% yield). Remarkably enough, the reactions performed in this manner involved shorter reaction times (4 vs. 24 h) than with simulated sunlight (23 W lamp). Thus, the use of Bi_2O_3 as a photocatalyst effectively allows performing ATRA reactions promoted by costless sunlight energy.

Optimal conditions for the ATRA reaction of ethyl bromodifluoroacetate (2 c) involved working with a slight excess of organobromide (2 c/1 = 1.2), as established in the initial screening (Table 1). Thus, while the formation of 3j took place in 69% yield under these conditions, a significant yield decrease was noted (to 50%) when 2 c and 1 were used in a 1:1.1 molar ratio. A parallel behavior was observed for the formation of 3k and 3l.

Carbon tetrabromide (2d) could also be used as an ATRA donor for a variety of terminal olefins (3m-3r). As with 2c, the reactions proceeded better when the ATRA donor was used in slight excess with respect to the olefin partner (2d/1 = 1.2).

With the aim of verifying whether the reaction catalyzed by Bi_2O_3 takes place through radical intermediates, the known radical scavenger (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl (TEMPO; 1.2 mmol per mmol of **1**a) was used as an additive in the preparation of **3**a under otherwise optimized conditions.



www.chemsuschem.org

3

Scheme 2. Inhibition by TEMPO of the visible-light-induced ATRA reaction.

ChemSusChem 0000, 00, 0-0

CHEMSUSCHEM Communications



Figure 1. Proposed mechanism for the ${\rm Bi}_2{\rm O}_3\mbox{-}{\rm catalyzed},$ visible-light-induced ATRA reaction.

As anticipated, this provoked complete inhibition of the addition reaction (Scheme 2).

This fact, along with the semiconducting properties of the photocatalyst, enables us to propose the tentative mechanism shown in Figure 1.^[8b, 14, 18] According to it; the incident photons promote the photoexcitation of electrons on the surface of the semiconductor from the valence to the conduction band with generation of positive holes (h⁺). The photoexcited electrons induce reductive cleavage of the organobromide to generate the electrophilic radical R[•] (I). Then, this photogenerated radical undergoes addition to the partner olefin, giving rise to the radical intermediate II. From this point, two routes are possible. In route a (a radical-polar crossover), the radical intermediate II delivers an electron to the semiconductor to neutralize a positive hole and to provide a carbocation intermediate, which ultimately reacts with bromide leading to the ATRA product 3. In route b, a radical chain propagation pathway is proposed. Radical **II** subtracts a bromine atom from the starting material, leading directly to 3 and regenerating radical I that continues the chain. Likewise, routes a and b operate in a concomitant manner. While route b is well established in the context of the ATRA reaction,^[8b, 14] the nature of Bi₂O₃ (solid semiconductor particles) could importantly favor route a.^[19]

In conclusion, a simple catalytic system composed of a nontoxic, commercially available Bi_2O_3 powder operating at low loading (1%) under visible light irradiation in DMSO displays excellent performance in the atom transfer radical addition (ATRA) reaction between a variety of olefins and organobromides. The photocatalytic reaction works specially well for dia-

> Ikyl bromomalonate ATRA donors and allows using costless daylight to promote the process. Interestingly, the present methodology does not require the use of any additive for the reaction to proceed and offers advantages in cost and atom economy over previously reported methods involving the use of expensive metals or large amounts of organic materials.



Experimental Section

General procedure for ATRA reaction: To a sealed vial filled with Ar containing Bi₂O₃ powder (5 mg, 0.01 mmol), the corresponding organobromide (1.2 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and degassed dimethylsulfoxide (2 mL) was added through a septum. To this suspension, the alkene (1 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added using a syringe, and the mixture was degassed for 10 min by bubbling Ar through the reaction medium. Inlet and outlet needles were removed; the vial was sealed (parafilm) and placed at a distance of 10 cm from a household bulb lamp (26 W). When the reaction was complete according to thin layer chromatography (TLC) or ¹H NMR spectroscopy, the crude was poured into a funnel containing ethyl acetate (5 mL) and H₂O (5 mL). The layers were separated; the organic phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (3×5 mL), washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silicagel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate) to afford the corresponding product.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ) Foundation, MINECO (grant CTQ2012-38594-C02-01), EU-ITN network Mag(net)icFun (PITN-GA-2012-290248) and DEC Generalitat de Catalunya (Grant 2014SGR827) is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank MINECO for support through Severo Ochoa Excellence Accreditation 2014–2018 (SEV-2013-0319).

Keywords: addition reactions • bismuth oxide photocatalysis • radical reactions • visible light

- [1] a) A. Albini, M. Fagnoni, Green Chem. 2004, 6, 1–6; b) Y. Xi, H. Yi, A. Lei, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 2387–2403; c) D. M. Schultz, T. P. Yoon, Science 2014, 343, 1239176.
- [2] a) Y. Han, H. Huang, H. Zhang, Y. Liu, X. Han, R. Liu, H. Li, Z. Kang, ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 781–787; b) D. W. Manley, R. T. McBurney, P. Miller, J. C. Walton, A. Mills, C. O'Rourke, J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 1386–1398; For reviews, see: c) X. Lang, X. Chen, J. Zhao, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 473–486; d) Y. Wang, X. Wang, M. Antonietti, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 68–89; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 70–92.
- [3] For reviews, see: a) T. P. Yoon, M. A. Ischay, J. Du, *Nat. Chem.* 2010, *2*, 527–532; b) C. K. Prier, D. A. Rankic, D. W. C. MacMillan, *Chem. Rev.* 2013, *113*, 5322–5363; c) T. P. Yoon, *ACS Catal.* 2013, *3*, 895–902; d) T. Koike, M. Akita, *Inorg. Chem. Front.* 2014, *1*, 562–576.
- [4] For reviews, see: a) D. Ravelli, D. Dondi, M. Fagnoni, A. Albini, Chem.
 Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1999–2011; b) H. Kisch, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 812–847; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 842–879.
- [5] a) K. Fidaly, C. Ceballos, A. Falguières, M. S.-I. Veitia, A. Guy, C. Ferroud, *Green Chem.* 2012, *14*, 1293–1297; For reviews, see: b) D. A. Nicewicz, T. M. Nguyen, *ACS Catal.* 2014, *4*, 355–360; c) S. Fukuzumi, K. Ohkubo, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2014, *12*, 6059–6071.
- [6] P. Riente, A. M. Adams, J. Albero, E. Palomares, M. A. Pericàs, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9613–9616; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 9767– 9770.
- [7] a) M. S. Kharasch, E. V. Jensen, W. H. Urry, *Science* **1945**, *102*, 128; b) M. S. Kharasch, P. S. Skell, P. Fisher, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1948**, *70*, 1055–1059.

- [8] a) D. P. Curran, Synthesis 1988, 417–439; b) D. P. Curran, Synthesis 1988, 489–513; c) D. P. Curran, E. Bosch, J. Kaplan, M. Newcomb, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 1826–1831; d) D. P. Curran, M.-H. Chen, E. Spletzer, C. M. Seong, C.-T. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8872–8878; e) D. P. Curran, C. M. Seong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 9401–9403; f) D. P. Curran, J. Tamine, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2746–2750; g) D. P. Curran, S.-B. Ko, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 6629–6632.
- [9] a) H. Yorimitsu, T. Nakamura, H. Shinokubo, K. Oshima, J. Org. Chem.
 1998, 63, 8604–8605; b) H. Yorimitsu, T. Nakamura, H. Shinokubo, K. Oshima, K. Omoto, H. Fujimoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11041–11047; c) K. Weidner, A. Giroult, P. Panchaud, P. Renaud, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 17511–17515; d) J. M. Muñoz-Molina, A. Caballero, M. M. Díaz-Requejo, S. Trofimenko, T. R. Belderraín, P. J. Pérez, Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 7725–7730; e) L. Cao, K. Weidner, P. Renaud, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 3467–3472.
- [10] a) T. Pintauer, K. Matyjaszewski, Encyclopedia of Radicals in Chemistry, Biology and Materials, Vol. 4, Wiley, 2012, pp. 1851–1894; b) J. M. Muñoz-Molina, T. R. Belderrain, P. J. Pérez, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 3155–3164.
- [11] For review, see: K. Matyjaszewski, N. V. Tsarevsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 6513–6533.
- [12] a) M. Kato, M. Kamigaito, M. Sawamoto, T. Higashimura, *Macromolecules* 1995, 28, 1721–1723; b) J.-S. Wang, K. Matyjazewski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1995, 117, 5614–5615; For selected examples, see: c) B. Wenn, M. Conradi, A. D. Carreiras, D. M. Haddleton, T. Junkers, *Polym. Chem.* 2014, *5*, 3053–3060; d) V. A. Williams, T. G. Ribelli, P. Chmielarz, S. Park, K. Matyjazewski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 1428–1431; Metal-free ATRP: e) N. J. Treat, H. Sprafke, J. W. Kramer, P. G. Clark, B. E. Barton, J. Read de Alaniz, B. P. Fors, C. J. Hawker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, *136*, 16096–16101.
- [13] a) R. A. Gossage, L. A. van de Kuil, G. van Koten, Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 423-431; b) C. L. Mero, N. A. Porter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5155-5160; c) L. Quebatte, K. Thommes, K. Severin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7440-7441; d) W. T. Eckenhoff, T. Pintauer, Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 5844-5846; e) T. Pintauer, W. T. Eckenhoff, C. Ricardo, M. N. C. Balili, A. B. Biernesser, S. J. Noonan, M. J. W. Taylor, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 38-41; f) W. T. Eckenhoff, T. Pintauer, Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 4909-4917; g) K. Thommes, M. A. Fernández-Zúmel, C. Buron, A. Godinat, R. Scopelliti, K. Severin, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 249-255.
- [14] a) J. D. Nguyen, J. W. Tucker, M. D. Konieczynska, C. R. J. Stephenson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4160–4163; b) C.-J. Wallentin, J. D. Nguyen, P. Finkbeiner, C. R. J. Stephenson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 8875–8884.
- [15] a) M. Pirtsch, S. Paria, T. Matsuno, H. Isobe, O. Reiser, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2012, 18, 7336-7340; b) S. Paria, M. Pirtsch, V. Kais, O. Reiser, *Synthesis* 2013, 45, 2689-2698.
- [16] E. Arceo, E. Montroni, P. Melchiorre, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 12064–12068; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 12260–12264.
- [17] Diastereomeric ratios were determined by ¹H NMR analysis. The *E* and *Z* configurations were unambiguously assigned by 2D ¹H-¹H NOESY experiments.
- [18] F. Minisci, Acc. Chem. Res. 1975, 8, 165-171.
- [19] The participation in the process of solid semiconductor particles could allow that all the events involved in the generation of radical intermediate **II** and its conversion into **3** through route a could take place in solvent cages containing **1** and **2** on the surface of Bi_2O_3 particles. As a consequence, route a would benefit from a very favorable entropy factor.

Received: December 27, 2014 Revised: March 1, 2015 Published online on ■■ ■, 0000

COMMUNICATIONS

Let the sunshine in: Bismuth oxide, an inexpensive and non-toxic semiconductor, efficiently converts sunlight into chemical energy. The atom transfer radical addition (ATRA) of organobromides to terminal olefins is efficiently promoted by Bi_2O_3 (1 mol%) under the influence of actual or simulated sunlight.



P. Riente, M. A. Pericàs*



Visible Light-Driven Atom Transfer Radical Addition to Olefins using Bi₂O₃ as Photocatalyst