463

Stabilised 2,3-Naphthoquinodimethanes *via* Transient 1,3-Diphenylbenz[f]-inden-2-one

By David W. Jones*, Alan Pomfret, and Richard L. Wife (Department of Organic Chemistry, The University, Leeds LS2 9JT)

Summary 1,3-Diphenylbenz[f]inden-2-one (5) reversibly generated by thermal dissociation of its $(\pi^4 + \pi^4)$ -dimer (4) adds stereospecifically to cis- and trans-but-2-ene; the related adducts (6) and (7) undergo smooth photodecarbonylation to the long-lived 2,3-naphthoquinodimethanes (2; $R^1 = R^2 = Me$) and (2; $R^1, R^2 = [CH_2]_4$).

2,3-Naphthoguinodimethane (1) and a simple bridged derivative have been observed only at low temperature in a rigid matrix and are extremely reactive towards oligomerisation and autoxidation.¹ We describe preparation of

the 2,3-naphthoquinodimethanes (2; $R^1=R^2=Me$) and (2; $R^1,R^2=[CH_2]_4$) which are long-lived in fluid solution at 20 °C.

The 2-oxime of benz[f]indane-1,2,3-trione was prepared by reaction of benz[f]indane-1,3-dione with nitrous acid; with phenyl-lithium it gave a mixture of the stereoisomeric diols (3; X = NOH; Y = OH). With hydrogen iodide this mixture gave (3; X = O; Y = H) which, with bromine (CCl₄; 20 °C; 17 h), was converted into the dibromoketone (3; X = O; Y = Br) (m.p. 158—160 °C from benzene-petroleum). With sodium iodide in boiling acetone the

(8)

(1)

(2)

Ph Y

Ph Ph Ph

(3)

(4)

Ph Ph Ph

(4)

Ph Ph Ph

(4)

Ph Ph Ph

(5)

(6)
$$R^1 = R^2 = Me$$

(7) $R^1, R^2 = [CH_2]_4$

dibromide afforded the insoluble dimer (4) (96%) v_{max}(Nujol) 1770 cm⁻¹.† As judged by trapping experiments with various olefins (4) reversibly dissociates into (5) in o-dichlorobenzene at 155-160 °C. Trapping with cis- and trans-but-2-ene is stereospecific indicating a singlet ground state for (5) and concerted Diels-Alder addition to these olefins. Trapping with trimethylmaleimide gave the endo-adduct (6) (56%), $v_{max}(Nujol)$ 1 755(s) and 1 695(s) cm⁻¹, in which the NMe group is strongly shielded (δ 2.37). Similar trapping with (8) gave the endo-adduct (7). On irradiation (medium pressure mercury lamp, 20 °C, benzene solution) (6) and (8) gave deep-blue solutions of the 2,3-naphthoquinodimethanes (2; $R^1 = R^2 = Me$) and (2; $R^1, R^2 = [CH_2]_4$) respectively. The long wavelength u.v. bands of these compounds [(2; $R^1 = R^2 = Me)$ has λ_{max} (CH₂Cl₂) 594 nm] are similar in form to the spectra of (1)1a and a simple derivative1b but are displaced to longer wavelength by ca. 50 nm. The derivatives (2) survive for longer than 1 h in fluid solution at 20 °C. They are therefore much more stable than (1) and a bridged derivative, neither of which survive above -75 °C in fluid solution. The stability of the 2,3-naphthoquinodimethanes (2) is attributed to protection of the reactive ringc diene system by the phenyl and other ring-c substituents. It is likely that the phenyl groups are prevented from effective conjugation with the quinonoid system owing to steric clash with the ring-B hydrogens and other ring-c substituents. Accordingly they probably lie orthogonal to the quinonoid system so that their ortho-hydrogens protect the ring-c diene system.

The stability of the derivatives (2) does not match that of the corresponding o-quinodimethanes (2; lacking ring-A) which are isolable² and stable in air. This relative instability is associated with the ring-B diene system, which is unprotected; blue solutions of the derivatives (2) may be titrated to a colourless end-point with phenyltriazolinedione to give adducts derived by addition to the ring-B diene system, and on prolonged irradiation (2; R^1 , $R^2 = [CH_2]_4$) gives a $(\pi^4 + \pi^4)$ -dimer where the two monomer units (2) have joined using their ring-B diene systems.

(Received, 14th February 1980; Com. 165.)

† The spectrum shows no band at ca. 1 700 cm⁻¹ expected for a dimer of Diels-Alder type. The structure of the dimer is proposed by analogy with the dimer of (5; lacking ring-A), which has v_{max} 1 768 cm⁻¹; the carbon skeleton of the latter was established chemically [J. M. Holland and D. W. Jones, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1971, 608].

² D. W. Jones and G. Kneen, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1975, 171.

¹ (a) M. Gisin and J. Wirz, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1976, 59, 2273; (b) R. P. Steiner, R. D. Miller, H. J. Dewey, and J. Michl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 1820.