

PII: S0968-0896(96)00143-5

ACAT Inhibitors Derived from Hetero-Diels-Alder Cycloadducts of Thioaldehydes

Richard G. Wilde,* Jeffrey T. Billheimer, Sandie J. Germain, Elizabeth A. Hausner, Paul C. Meunier, Deborah A. Munzer, Janet K. Stoltenborg, Peter J. Gillies, Deborah L. Burcham, Shiew-Mai Huang, John D. Klaczkiewicz, Soo S. Ko and Ruth R. Wexler The DuPont Merck Pharmaceutical Company, DuPont Experimental Station, P.O. Box 500, Wilmington, DE 19880-0500,

U.S.A.

Abstract—Acyl-CoA:cholesterol acyltransferase (ACAT) is the enzyme largely responsible for intracellular cholesterol esterification. A systemic inhibitor of ACAT is believed to be able to slow or even reverse the atherosclerotic process. Towards that goal, a series of cyclic sulfides, derived from the hetero-Dicls–Alder reaction of thioaldchydes with 1,3-dienes, and bearing carboxamide substituents, were prepared and evaluated for in vitro (in several tissues and species) and ex vivo ACAT inhibition. Minor changes in subsequent structure were found to have a significant effect in optimization of the biological activity of this series of compounds. Copyright © 1996 The DuPont Merck Pharmaceutical Company. Published by Elsevier Science Ltd

Introduction

Agents which lower lipid levels in man are being sought as therapy against the onset of atherosclerosis, a major contributing factor to coronary artery disease. A recent approach involves the inhibition of the enzyme acyl-CoA:cholesterol acyltransferase (ACAT), which catalyses the intracellular esterification of cholesterol to cholesterol ester.1 The enzyme is widely found in various tissues, and inhibition of intestinal,² hepatic,³ and arterial macrophage⁴ ACAT is believed to exert an antilipidemic/antiatherosclerotic effect by decreasing cholesterol absorption, apoB secretion, and foam cell formation, respectively. The results of a recent study by Parke-Davis have decoupled the dual effects of vessel wall ACAT inhibition and serum cholesterol lowering.5 The conclusion is that a circulating ACAT inhibitor may have the dual affect of lipid lowering and direct antiatherosclerotic action. The trend in ACAT inhibitor research has therefore shifted somewhat in recent years from intestinally directed agents to more bioavailable compounds."

The purpose of this work was to design a potent, systemically available series of ACAT inhibitors. Particular emphasis was to be given to the ability of the compound to inhibit hepatic and macrophage ACAT, as models for systemic activity in man. The database of current investigational compounds was to be employed as a guide in the psucdorational design process.⁷

Chemistry

In the design of a new series of ACAT inhibitors, we examined the lead compound structures from a number of groups, including Pfizer's CP-113,818,8 Rhone-Poulenc Rorer's RP 70676,9 and RP 64477,10 and our own DuP 128¹¹ (Fig. 1). All of these molecules bear certain structural elements in common, including amide or urea groups, aromatic or heterocyclic head groups (such as substituted phenyl or pyridyl groups), and lipophilic hydrocarbon side chains. Many of these compounds also have sulfide groups. We believed this was no coincidence, and that these structural elements are important for high inhibitory potency. The hydrocarbon groups may be needed because of the location of the enzyme in cells (ACAT is primarily membranebound). The role of sulfur atoms in these various chemical series was unclear.

Armed with this information, we then began designing a new series. Such an entity (Fig. 2) would contain a carboxamide group bearing some heterocyclic system. The 'tail' portion of the molecule would contain a cyclic sulfide, which would act as a template to hold one or more hydrocarbon chains. Ring size, as well as chain attachment and stereochemistry, could be optimized for the best interaction in the lipid bilayer matrix. Six-membered ring systems were chosen first for investigation. The synthesis of such a compound (1, Scheme 1) was envisioned to employ as a starting material the unsaturated ring compound 2. The dihydrothiopyran ring of compound 2 could be prepared from the hetero-Diels-Alder reaction¹² of a

Key words: acyl-CoA:cholesterol acyltransferase, atheroselerosis, thioaldehyde, cycloaddition.



Figure 1. Recent ACAT inhibitors.

thioaldehyde (3) with an appropriately-substituted 1,3-butadiene. Thioaldehydes have traditionally been regarded in the organic chemistry literature as unstable compounds with very short lifetimes, and therefore not worthy of synthetic utility.¹³ However, this was probably an artifact of the inadequate methods of preparation, because it was later demonstrated that the in situ presence of protic catalysts facilitated trimerization/ polymerization.¹⁴ Thioaldehydes have more recently achieved the status of a viable synthetic intermediate, as the increased volume of synthetic literature can attest.15 The hetero-Diels-Alder reaction would allow control of the stereochemistry of the product by the stereospecificity and stereoselectivity of the cycloaddition, and (for unsymmetrical 1,3-dienes) the chain attachment would be controlled by the regioselectivity of the reaction. Issues of regiosclectivity¹⁶ and stereoselectivity¹⁷ of thioaldehyde Diels-Alder reactions have been documented in the literature.

Synthesis of the substituted butadienes employed methods known in the literature for control of diene substitution and olefin stereochemistry (Scheme 2). The method of Butsugan et al.¹⁸ was used for the preparation of the 2,3-disubstituted compounds 5, which involves the Cu¹-catalysed double-S_N2' reaction of a Grignard reagent with butyne-1,4-diol diphosphonoester 4. The 1,4-disubstituted dienes 7 were prepared by hydroalumination of alkynes 6, followed by Cu¹-catalyzed head-to-head coupling of the resulting alkenyla-luminum intermediate, according to the procedure of Zweifel and Miller.¹⁹ Finally, the alkyne 6d was allowed to react with catecholborane according to the method of Brown et al.,²⁰ the 2-alkenyl-1,3,2-benzodioxaborole

S H O Head Group

Figure 2. Design of cyclic inhibitors.



Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis of cyclic sulfides.

intermediate was converted into alkenyl mercuric salt 8d,²¹ and the Pd^{II}-catalyzed head-to-tail coupling procedure of Larock et al.²² was employed to prepare the 1,3-disubstituted diene 9d. Several other 1,3-dienes used in subsequent studies were obtained from commercial sources.

The initial preparation of the desired sulfur-containing cycloadducts employed the method of Hogeveen and Smit²³ for the transient generation of thioaldehydes. A phenacyl sulfide compound (such as 10 or 16, Scheme 3) is irradiated in benzene solution with a simple sun-lamp (270 W). The phenacyl chromophore has a very low-lying *n*-to- π^* transition, which may be effected using such a low-power device. The modification by Vedeis²⁴ may be used, whereby a photochemical filter (such as aqueous CuSO₄ solution, used as the cooling bath medium) is placed in the beam in order to filter out radiation of wavelength below 310 nM, which results in fewer photolytically generated byproducts. The mechanism of the reaction, as investigated initially by Caserio²⁵ and Padwa,²⁶ and later by Wagner,²⁷ follows a Norrish-type-II path, involving γ -hydrogen abstraction by the excited carbonyl group. The resulting 1,4-diradical quickly fragments at the adjacent carbon-sulfur bond, giving the thioaldehyde and (transiently) the enol form of acetophenone.



Scheme 2. Diene synthesis.

In the presence of an excess (usually 3 equiv or more) of a 1,3-diene, the thioaldehyde undergoes an uncatalysed hetero-Diels-Alder reaction at ambient temperature to afford the dihydrothiopyran products. In the case of the carboethoxy-substituted thial, ester-bearing products 11a-g (from dienes 5a-g) and 17a-I (from dienes 7a-1) were obtained. These compounds were converted to the amide final products by saponification to the acids 12 and 18, conversion to the corresponding acid chlorides, and coupling with amines 13A-R. A number of different amine-substituted substrates were investigated, including various substituted anilines, aminopyridines and amino-bearing five-membered heterocycles. This group included some amide substituents which had been demonstrated in previous series to impart ACAT inhibitory potency to the compounds in question. Alternatively, the final products could be prepared by photolysis and thial trapping of phenacyl sulfides 16A-R. The amines 13A-R were reacted with chloroacetyl chloride to give chloroacetamides 14A-R, which were in turn coupled with thiol 15 to afford the sulfide photosubstrates. Irradiation and Diels-Alder trapping as before gave the cyclic sulfide amides directly.

The photolytic procedure has the advantage of thioaldehyde generation under completely neutral, aprotic conditions, which minimizes polymerization and trimer-



Scheme 3. Photolytic thioaldehyde generation and cycloaddition.

ization, two processes that plagued thioaldehyde chemistry in the past. Also, one is allowed virtually any substituent group, not just carboalkoxy or carbonamide groups. However, one drawback to this method is that the physical limitation of the size of the photolysis apparatus (which is roughly the area of the sun-lamp bulb) requires that fairly small flasks (and therefore small amounts of substrate) be used in the reaction. An alternative procedure was therefore sought which would be amenable to scale-up. The method of Kirby et al.²⁸ generates carboalkoxymethyl sulfenyl chlorides (such as 19, Scheme 4) from the corresponding thiols and N-chlorosuccinimide. The chloride is then added to a mixture of triethylamine and the trapping agent. Triethylamine-mediated dehydrochlorination generates the thioaldehyde 20, which is trapped in situ in the above-described Diels-Alder reaction. The original Kirby papers described using only 1 equiv of cyclopentadienc as the trapping agent. For this work, 5 equiv of less-reactive dienes 5 and 7 were required for efficient trapping. Also, a fivefold excess of triethylamine was found to be necessary, since use of a smaller amount resulted in the formation of significant quantities of a sulfenyl chloride-diene addition product as an impurity. This modified procedure proved to be amenable to scale-up, so that the synthesis of multigram quantities of the intermediates became possible.

Stereochemical control was a feature of interest, since it was believed that optimization of the stereochemical SAR would result in useful information as to the nature of the inhibitor-enzyme interaction. In the case of the dehydrochlorination of 19 (performed at 0 °C in the presence of base), compound 17d was obtained as an inseparable 2:1 mixture of endo/exo isomers (Scheme 5). ¹H NMR clearly showed the two isomeric products whose structures could be assigned based on extrapolation of literature data for chemical shifts and coupling constants for compounds of this type.^{24b} Ester hydrolysis, followed by conversion to the carbonyl chloride derivative and coupling with the pyridyl amine 13A gave the isomers 50 and 51 in variable ratios (ranging from 2:1 to 10:1, depending on reaction conditions and temperature). The photolysis of sulfide 10 and diene trapping gave a product ratio of 4:1, and conversion of the mixture of esters thus obtained to the amides as above gave the same variable ratios of final products, seemingly independent of the diastereomeric ratio in the starting material. That a ketene intermediate was involved here could be demonstrated by



Scheme 4. Thioaldehyde generation via sulfenyl chlorides.

treatment of the chloride **18d** at low temperature with amine base, and subsequent addition of the pyridyl amine, to afford a 12:1 mixture of products. The presence of triethylamine (neat or in solvent) was not sufficient to equilibrate the mixture of amides, but catalytic potassium *tert*-butoxide in refluxing THF converted the single isomer **50** to a 3:1 mixture. Photolysis of sulfides such as **16A** with dienes **7** gave mixtures of products with low diasteriomeric ratios. For 1,4-disubstituted butadienes, ratios generally were lower as the steric bulk of the subsequent groups of the thioaldehyde increased.

The results of this stereochemical study can be understood by employing the reaction mechanisms involved. The endo selectivity of thioaldehydes bearing π -bond substituents has been explained by traditional secondary orbital overlap arguments using a transition state such as the one shown in Figure 3. This preference was diminished somewhat for dienes with bulky \mathbf{R}^1 and \mathbf{R}^2 groups, which interact with the thioaldehyde substituent X. The reason why the photolysis and dehydrochlorination experiments gave different product ratios is not clear but did not involve triethylamine-catalysed equilibration. Perhaps some of the cycloaddition in the dehydrochlorination method occurred from a bipolar intermediate. It is unlikely that any cycloaddition occurring in the photolysis experiment involved the reaction of the diene with an inter-



Scheme 5. Diastereoselectivity of thioaldehyde cycloaddition.



Figure 3. Cycloaddition transition state.

mediate in the Norrish-type II process, since biradicals in such processes are thought to be very short-lived species. In any case, conversion of the ester groups to amides proceeding through ketene intermediates gave products with good selectivity. Thus, control of stereochemistry in the dihydrothiopyrancarboxamide series was achieved.

Cyclic dienes could also be used in this chemistry (Scheme 6), which produced amides 65-78. In this case, particularly with cyclohexadienes, the cycloaddition proceeded with very high endo selectivity. This selectivity has been observed in previous studies,¹⁷ and can be rationalized from the transition state in Figure 3, wherein \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{R}^4 form a ring. Here, steric interactions and secondary orbital overlap work in tandem to favor endo products. With unsymmetrical substitution, cyclic dienes gave rise to regioisomeric mixtures of products. Bulkier aryl groups on the amide increasingly favored the isomer wherein the R^2 position was occupied by the sterically less demanding substituent, given that R^1 and R^2 were electronically similar (e.g., CH_3 and C_3H_2). For large differences in electronic properties (e.g., H and OCH₃), HOMO-LUMO interactions began to play a large role in the reaction regioselectivity, as has been demonstrated by Vedejs and Houk.16

We next wanted to investigate the role of the sulfur atom in the ability for this class of compounds to inhibit the ACAT enzyme. The bicyclic series was used in this way, and some all-carbon bicyclic compounds (81-84, Scheme 6) were prepared. These were derived from acrylate Diels-Alder reactions, and employed the same amide-forming technology which was used for the thiabicycloalkanes. The oxidation state of the sulfur was also varied (Scheme 7) by oxidizing the single sulfide diastereomer 50 to a mixture of diastereomeric sulfoxides, the major one (85) of which was characterized. The sulfur atom was also replaced with oxygen, by preparing compounds 89-98 (Scheme 8). The starting point for this synthesis was the Diels-Alder cycloaddition of diethyl ketomalonate according to the method of Bonjouklian and Ruden.²⁹ The resulting diesters (86a,b) were saponified to diacids 87a,b, which were then readily decarboxylated by heating with morpholine in pyridine solution to afford acids 88a,b. Amides 89-98 were then prepared in the usual manner.

Our original design had tetrahydrothiopyrancarboxamides as the final products. They could be prepared from the dihydro compounds by olefin reduction (Scheme 9). When compound **17d** (as a mixture of isomers) was present during the in situ generation of diimide (potassium diazodicarboxylate, acetic acid), the *exo* isomer was selectively reduced so that conversion of the ester to the *N*-substituted amide afforded *exo* compound **102**. The corresponding *endo* isomer **103**



Scheme 6. Use of cyclic dienes.





Scheme 8. Preparation of cyclic others.

could be produced by catalytic hydrogenation of the endo olefin 50, although the reaction proceeded in very poor conversion, due probably to poisoning of the catalyst by the presence of sulfur-containing compounds.

The synthesis of a compound bearing a tertiary amide nitrogen atom could be accomplished by performing the cycloaddition sequence with a substituted amide group in place. Thus, 2,6-diisopropylaniline (13B) was acylated (acetyl chloride/triethylamine), and the resulting amide 104 was reduced (lithium aluminum hydride) to give the N-ethyl compound (105, Fig. 4). Chloroacetylation (to give 106), coupling with 15 (to give 107), and photolytic trapping with diene 5d gave N-ethyl compound 108.



Scheme 9. Saturation of dihydrothiopyran rings.





Figure 4. Alternate sites of substitution.

Many of the compounds discussed thus far were substituted with medium-length alkyl groups at the 3,6 or 4,5-positions. A compound bearing alkyl chain substitution at the 2-position was prepared by Diels-Alder cycloaddition of a thioketone. Ethyl 2-bromoheptanoate (109) was converted to thioacetate 110, and then thiol 111, by standard reactions. Coupling with chloroacetophenone gave sulfide 112, which was photolysed in the usual manner with diene trapping to generate cycloadduct 113. The yield of this thioketone cycloaddition was comparable to the thioaldchyde reactions, because the thioketone also bore activating substitution (carboethoxy). The remainder of the synthesis (saponification to acid 114 and amide-coupling to compound 115, Fig. 4). proceeded without incident.

The next area of concern was absolute stereochemistry. Recenic acid 18d was coupled to (R)- or (S)-2-amino-2-phenylethanol to give four compounds, one endo isomer in each case (compound 116) being cleanly separable. The absolute assignment of all the stereocenters in (+)- and (-)-116 could not be made with certainty at this point. Compound 116 could be subjected to methanolysis to give enantiomerically enriched methyl ester 117, or acid hydrolysis to give (+)- or (-)-18d. Amide formation as before gave the enantiomers of 50, which were measured with optical rotations of + or $-10.4 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$ (c 0.60, ethanol, 25 °C). An alternative approach to (+)-50 was investigated, which generated (+)-116 from cycloaddition of the thioaldehyde derived from (R)-N-(2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl)-2-phenacylthioacetamide (119, available from chloroacetamide 118 in the usual manner). The former route, starting from acid 18d, proved more convenient.

The compounds prepared by the methods described in the section above were evaluated by biological assays which are referred or described in the Experimental. The results from these assays are examined in the following section.

Results

Table 1 presents inhibition data for 4,5-dialkyl-dihydrothiopyrancarboxamides wherein the aryl substituent on the amide nitrogen atom was varied, and some observations regarding the amide substituent can be made. Substituted phenyl groups, such as 2,4-difluorophenyl (found in DuP 128, our earlier lead compound¹¹). provided limited potency to this series. The 2.6-disubstituted phenyl compounds, particularly 2,6-diisopropylphenyl analogues 22, 23, 27 and 34, were hoped to strongly inhibit either liver or macrophage ACAT, but these routinely had only submicromolar IC₅₀s. Much more promising were the compounds bearing the 2,4-bis(methylthio)-6-methylpyridin-3-yl group (especially 21 and 26, although for a compound bearing long-chain R groups such as 37, potency dropped off considerably). This particular group was also used by Pfizer in their series of acyclic sulfide amides.8 Changing the substitution pattern of the pyridine ring (i.e., 31, 32, and 33) significantly lowered potency, as did substituting the pyridinyl group with five-membered heterocyclic groups as potential isosteres (**38–43**). The substitution of the remaining amide position with an ethyl group (**108**) had an adverse effect on ACAT inhibition. The IC₅₀ for **108** was > 50 μ M, which represented a dramatic dropoff from the analogous N—H compound **27** (IC₅₀ 600 nM).

A more limited survey of aryl substituents was performed for the 3,6-dialkyl-3,6-dihydro-2*H*-thiopyran-2-carboxamide series (Table 2). Again, the 2,4-bis(methylthio)-6-methylpyridin-3-yl group (in compound **50**, for example) proved most successful. We looked at the length and nature of the alkyl groups at the 3,6-positions. For the in vitro inhibition of rat liver ACAT, compounds with groups of 3 to 8 carbons were all sub-100 nM in IC₅₀, with C₃-C₇ (**50**, **52**, **53**, **54**, **55**, and **56**) all being virtually identical. Branching in

Table 1. ACAT in vitro data for 4.5-dialkyldihydrothiopyrancompounds



Compd	R١	R^2	R-	R ⁶	X	AIV ^a
21	CH ₃	CH ₃ S	CH ₃	CH ₃ S	N	120
22	CH_3	(CH_3) CH	H	$(CH_3)_2CH$	CH	3300
23	C₄H,	$(CH_3)_2CH$	П	$(CH_3)_2CH$	CH	600
24	C ₄ H ₉	CH ₃	Н	CH,	CH	1200
25	C_4H_4	Cl	Н	Cl	CH	900
26	$C_{3}H_{\pm}$	CH ₃ S	CH_3	CH ₃ S	Ν	30
27	$C_{s}H_{11}$	$(CH_3)_2CH$	Н	$(CH_3)_2CH$	CH	600
28	C_5H_{11}	CH_3	Н	CH_3	CH	700
29	C_5H_{11}	Cl	H	Cl	CH	600
30	$C_{s}H_{11}$	F	F	Н	CH	7000
31	C_5H_{11}	CH ₃ S	Н	Н	Ν	37,000
32	$C_{3}H_{\perp}$	H	CH,S	Н	Ν	49,000
33	$C_{s}H_{11}$	CH ₂ S	CH ₃ S	Н	Ν	> 50,000
34	C6H3	$(CH_3)_2CH$	Н	$(CH_3)_2CH$	CH	600
35	C_0H_{13}	CH,	Н	CH_{3}	CH	900
36	$C_0 H_{13}$	Cl	Н	Cl	CH	5700
37	$C^* H^{1_2}$	CH ₃ S	CH_3	CH ₃ S	Ν	1720



Compd	\mathbf{R}^{1}	R^2	X	Y	AIV ^a
38	CH,	CH,	СОН	NCH,	> 50,000
39	$C_3 H_{11}$	CO ₂ C ₂ H ₅	NC_6H_5	CH	2400
40	C _s H ₁₁	Br	NCH,	CH	30,500
41	C_5H_{11}	CH_{3}	NCH,	CCH'	800
42	C ₅ H	SCH ₃	CCH ₃	NCH ₃	1100
43	$C_5 H_{11}$	H	NCH ₃	CH	> 50,000

"AIV designates ACAT in vitro IC₃₀ in nM.

the chain (59), introduction of heteroatoms such as halogen (60) or sulfur (61), or introduction of unsaturation (58 and 62) all lowered in vitro potency from 2- to 20-fold. Thus, it appeared that straight-chain alkyl substituents on the dihydrothiopyran nucleus bearing an N-pyridyl carboxamide group were the superior combination in terms of in vitro ACAT inhibition.

Since the positional isomers 26 and 50 were equipotent in in vitro inhibition of hepatic ACAT, substituent positioning on the dihydrothiopyran ring was studied (Table 3). For two alkyl substituents and either the 2,6-diisopropylphenyl or 2,4-bis(methylthio)-6-methylpyridin-3-yl groups on the amide nitrogen, the 4,5-, 3,6and 3,5-substitutions were roughly equal in terms of in vitro inhibition (although positional isomerism clearly had an effect on other biological tests). However, substitution of a pentyl group at the 2-position (115) had the effect of lowering potency 20-fold. Thus, for in vitro ACAT inhibition, straight-chain alkyl group substitution at the 3-, 4-, 5-, or 6-positions of the dihydrothiopyran ring proved optimal. Compound 50 (DuPont Merck designation XP767) remained one of the most promising analogues in the series.

The results for bicyclic compounds are presented in Table 4. None of the bicyclic sulfides (65-78) were particularly potent, probably because substituent alkyl groups were insufficiently long. However, we were able to use these series to demonstrate a small dropoff in potency by replacement of sulfur with a methylene

Table 2. ACAT in vitro data for 3,6-dialkyldihydrothiopyran compounds



When the sulfur atom was replaced with oxygen, a more dramatic dropoff in in vitro potency was observed (Table 5). Cyclic ether 89 was greater than 300-fold less potent than sulfide 21, and ether 92 (bearing the less effective 2,6-diisopropylphenyl group) was threefold less potent than the corresponding sulfide 22. A similar loss of potency was observed for all of the 3.6-disubstituted dihydropyrans from the corresponding dihydrothiopyrans. Oxidation of the sulfur atom to sulfoxide (compounds 50-85) lowered inhibition activity threefold. At this point, the role of the sulfur atom is still unclear, but its presence does impart significant potency to these ACAT inhibitors. Perhaps the sulfur atom assists in stabilizing the hydrate of the amide, which might act as a transition state mimic for the acyl transfer reaction. Another hypothesis involves the sulfur atom mimicking the sulfur of the coenzyme A structure.

The effect of relative stereochemistry and ring saturation is shown in Table 6. The IC_{50} increased consistently by twofold by fully saturating the thiopyran ring for both the *endo* and *exo* isomers (50–103, 51–102). There was little difference between *endo* isomer 50 and *exo* isomer 51, a small preference for the *endo* compound 52 over the *exo* isomer 99, but a more dramatic example of a stereochemistry difference between *endo* and *exo* isomers 57 and 101, where only *endo* isomer 57 is active in vitro. In this case, perhaps the conformation of the exo compound prevents the



Compd	R'	R	R⁴	R ⁶	X	AIV
44	C ₃ H ₁₁	(CH ₃) ₂ CH	Н	(CH ₃) ₂ CH	СН	300
45	$C_{s}H_{11}$	CH ₃	Н	CH,	CH	700
46	$\mathbf{C}_{5}\mathbf{H}_{11}$	Cl	Н	Cl	CH	800
47	$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{H}}$	F	F	Н	СН	9000
48	$C_{s}H_{11}$	CH ₃ O	CH O	$O_{\rm F}HJ$	CH	1000
49	$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{H}}$	C-H.O	CH_3	C ₂ H ₂ O	Ν	760
50	C ₄ H ₁₁	CH _s S	CH ₃	CH S	Ν	32
52	CH_3	CH _s S	CH	CH	N	56
53	$C_{3}H_{2}$	CH _s	CH,	CH.S	N	24
54	$C_4 H_9$	CH _s S	CH ₃	CH _S	Ν	34
55	C_6H_{13}	CHS	CH,	CILS	Ν	30
56	C ₂ H ₁₅	CH _s S	CH	CH _s	Ν	19
57	$C_{8}H_{17}$	CH ₃ S	CH	CH _s	Ν	42
58	$C_{3}H_{7}CH = CH(CH_{3})_{3}$	CH ₃ S	CH	CH ₃ S	N	410
59	$(CH_3)_{2}CH(CH_2)_{3}$	CH ₃ S	CH_{3}	CH _S	Ν	107
60	CI(CH ₂) ₃	CH ₃ S	CH	CH ₃ S	N	730
61	$c - C_6 H_{11} S(CH_2)$	CH ₃ S	CH,	CH ₃ S	Ν	63
62	C ₀ H ₅	CH ₃ S	CH ₃	CH ₃ S	Ν	94

^aAIV designates ACAT in vitro IC_{sb} in nM.

Table 3. ACAT in vitro data for positional isomers



Compd	R ²	R3		R ⁸	R ⁶	R ² .	R**	R ⁶	x	$\overline{AIV^{a}}$
27	Н	Н	C_5H_{11}	C,H ₁	н	(CH ₄),CH	н	(CH ₃),CH	CH	600
44	Н	C ₅ H ₁₁	Н	Н	$C_{s}H_{11}$	(CH ₃) ₂ CH	Н	(CH ₃) ₂ CH	CH	300
63	Н	$C_{\rm s}H_{\rm H}$	Н	$C_{*}H_{1}$	н	(CH ₃),CH	Н	$(CH_3)_2CH$	CH	600
21	Н	H	CH ₃	CH,	Н	CH _s S	CH ₃	CH ₃ S	Ν	120
52	Н	CH ₃	H	Н	CH_3	CH ₃ S	CH.	CH ₃ S	Ν	56
26	Н	Н	$C_{s}H_{11}$	C_5H_{11}	Н	CH ₃ S	CH_3	CH ₃ S	Ν	30
50	Н	$C_{s}H_{11}$	H	Н	$C_{s}H_{11}$	CH,S	CH	CH ₃ S	Ν	32
115	C_5H_{11}	Н	CH_3	CH_3	H	CH ₃ S	CH ₃	CH ₃ S	Ν	2600

^aAIV designates ACAT in vitro IC₅₀ in nM.

key structures of the molecule from acting in the inhibition process. The difference in absolute stereochemistry for compound 50 is shown in Table 7. Most of the activity resides with the (+) enantiomer, which is the opposite rotation from that of Pfizer's CP-113,818.

Having identified XP767 and related compounds as the most potent examples in the series, these compounds

were chosen to determine the hepatic bioavailability using a rat ex vivo model. Rats were dosed orally with the compounds for 3 days (see Experimental), livers were excised, and ACAT activity was determined using the standard in vitro assay. Decreased ACAT activity compared with that of the control animals would suggest that the compounds were absorbed and present in the liver in an active form. Compounds where the substituent alkyl groups were C_4 or longer showed

Table 4. ACAT in vitro data for bicyclic compounds



Compd	R ⁶	R ³	n	Y	R ² '	R ⁴	R ⁶ '	x	AIV ^a
65	CH ₃	(CH ₃) ₂ CH	2	S	CH'S	CH ₃	CH ₃ S	N	370
66	(CH ₅) ₅ CH	CH ₃	2	S	CH'S	CH ₃	CH _s S	Ν	1300
67	$(CH_1)_{3}CH$	CH ₃	2	S	(CH ₄),CH	Н	$(CH_3)_2CH$	CH	1000
68	CH,	$(CH_3)_2CH$	2	S	CH,	н	CH ₃	СН	8000
69	$(CH_3)_2CH$	CH,	2	S	CH,	Н	CH ₃	СН	9000
70	CH.	$(CH_3)_2CH$	2	S	Cl	Н	CI	CH	5000
71	$(CH_3)_2CH$	CH_{3}	2	S	Cl	Н	Cl	CH	7000
72	CH,	$(CH_3)_2CH$	2	S	F	F	H	CH	6000
73	$(CH_3)_2CH$	CH ₃	2	S	F	F	Н	CH	15,000
74	CH ₃ O	Н	2	S	CH ₃ S	CH_{λ}	CH ₃ S	Ν	2600
75	Н	CH ₁ O	2	S	CH ₃ S	CH,	CH ₃ S	Ν	1400
81	Н	CH ₃ O	2	CH_2	CH _s S	CH,	CH ₃ S	Ν	6800
76	Н	CH ₃ O	2	S	CH,	н	CH,	CH	16,500
82	Н	CH'O	2	CH ₂	CH,	Н	CH ₁	CH	68,000
77	Н	H	1	s	CH ₃ S	CH_3	CH ₃ S	Ν	1170
83	Н	Н	1	CH_{2}	CHIS	CH,	CH ₃ S	Ν	780
78	Н	Н	1	s	CH,	Н	CH,	CH	37,000
84	Н	Н	1	CH_2	CH_3	Н	CH_3	CH	> 50,000

^aAIV designates ACAT in vitro IC₅₀ in nM.

Table 5. The effect of replacement or oxidation of the ring sulfur atom on ACAT in vitro inhibition



Compd	R ³		R ⁵	R ⁶	R ² ,	R	R	x	Y	AIV ^a
21	н	CH,	CH1	H	CH ₁ S	CH ₃	CH ₃ S	N	S	120
89	Н	CH_3	CH ₂	н	CH'S	CH ₃	CH ₃ S	Ν	0	41,000
50	C_5H_{11}	H	H	$C_{s}H_{11}$	CH	CH,	CHIS	Ν	S	32
85	C_5H_{11}	Н	Н	C _s H ₁₁	CHIS	CH,	CH ₃ S	N	SO	100
90	$C_{s}H_{11}$	Н	Н	C_5H_{11}	CH ₃ S	CH ₃	CH ₃ S	N	0	2100
22	Н	CH_3	CH ₃	Н	(CH ₃) ₂ CH	Н	$(CH_3)_2CH$	CH	S	3300
92	Н	CH,	CH,	Н	$(CH_3)_2CH$	Н	$(CH_3)_2CH$	CH	0	11,100
44	C₅H⊔	H	H	$C_s H_{11}$	$(CH_3)_2CH$	Н	$(CH_3)_2CH$	CH	S	300
94	C_5H_{11}	Н	Н	$C_s H_{11}$	$(CH_3)_2CH$	Н	$(CH_3)_2CH$	CH	0	> 50,000
48	C_5H_{11}	Н	Н	C_5H_{11}	CH ₃ O	CH_3O	CH ₃ O	CH	S	1000
96	C_5H_{11}	Н	Н	$C_{s}H_{11}$	CH ₃ O	CH ₃ O	CH O	CH	0	> 50,000
49	C_5H_{11}	Н	Н	C ₅ H ₁₁	C ₁ H ₅ O	CH,	C ₂ H ₅ O	Ν	S	760
98	C ₅ H ₁₁	Н	Н	C_5H_{11}	C ₂ H ₅ O	CH ₃	C_2H_5O	Ν	0	> 50,000

^aAIV designates ACAT in vitro IC₅₀ in nM.

greatly reduced ex vivo ACAT activity (Table 8). No inhibition was observed when the chains were C_3 or less. This absence of activity was not due to lack of absorption, because similar serum levels were observed for both **50** and **53**. Differences in tissue distribution or metabolism could explain the inactivity in C_3 versus C_4 . An additional possibility is that the C_3 -compound, but not C_4 , was diluted during the preparation of the liver microsomes for the ACAT assay. However, since these two compounds differ by only one methylene group, one would not expect to observe such 'all-or-nothing' activity. There was a large stereochemical difference between *endo/exo* isomers **50** and **51**, and the (+) isomer of **50** appeared to have somewhat greater ex vivo activity than the (-) isomer.

 Table 6. The effect of relative stereochemistry and ring saturation on

 ACAT in vitro inhibition



Compd	A	R ³⁵	$R^{5\beta}$	R	R ^{6β}	AlV ^a
52	CH = CH	Н	CH,	Н	CH,	56
99	CH = CH	CH_{3}	Н	CH_3	Ч	99
50	CH = CH	H .	$C_{s}H_{11}$	НÌ	$C_{c}H_{1}$	32
51	CH = CH	$C_{3}H_{11}$	Н	C_5H_{11}	Н	43
103	CH ₂ CH ₃	H	C_3H_{11}	П	C_5H_{11}	80
102	CH ₂ CH ₃	$C_{3}H_{11}$	H	$C_{4}H_{11}$	Н	85
57	CH = CH	Н	$C_8 H_{17}$	Н	$C_{3}H_{17}$	42
101	CH = CH	C_8H_{17}	Н	C_8H_{17}	Н	>10,000

*AIV designates ACAT in vitro IC₅₀ in nM.

It was clear that these compounds were sufficiently absorbed to work in the liver. A model for action at the arterial wall was obtained by measuring ACAT inhibition in human macrophage cells (Table 8, fourth column). Cultures of macrophages obtained from human monocytes were grown, and the cholesterol esterification in the presence of these inhibitors was measured in the cell culture upon addition of labeled oleic acid. The IC₅₀ in nM was obtained for each compound as shown for **50** (XP767) in Figure 5 and as presented in Table 8.

The hepatic ACAT inhibition by the compounds with substituents of varying chain lengths was of comparable magnitude. In constrast, inhibition of macrophage ACAT activity was 20–35 times less potent with a substituent chain length of C_7 or C_8 than corresponding compounds with shorter chains. This decrease is not thought to be due to decreased solubility of the more

Table 7. The effect of absolute stereochemistry on ACAT in vitro inhibition



Compd	$[\alpha]_{25}^{a}(^{\circ})$	AIV ^b
rac- 50	0	32
(–)-50	- 10.30	160
(+)-50	+10.50	56

Optical rotation, c 0.60, ethanol.

^bAIV designates ACAT in vitro IC₅₀ in nM.

Compd	Comment	AIVa	Ex vivo ^b	Serum levels ^e	HFC
99	All endo; side-chain = CH.	99	20	v	Ċ
53	C_3H_7	24	0	1293	19
54	C.H.	34	76	e	c
50	C.H.	32	64	896	12
55	$C_{o}H_{13}$	30	v	e.	f
56	$C_{7}H_{15}$	19	91	2302	363
57	C_8H_{17}	42	86	584	708
51	All C_3H_1 ; stereochem. = exo	43	0	L.	46
()-50	<i>endo</i> , absolute	160	33	¢	24
(+)-50	endo, absolute	56	86	1	1.4
103	Reduced double bond	80	68	2110	e
	CP-113,818	20	60	958	58

Table 8. The effect of structure on various biological results

'AIV designates ACAT in vitro IC_{s0} in nM.

^bACAT ex vivo: % inhibition in in vitro assay by liver microsomes compared with control.

Serum levels by HPLC. in ng/mL.

^dInhibition of ACAT in human foam cells, IC₅₀, in nM.

'Not recorded.

Standard deviation = \pm 15, SEM = \pm 4, 50 used as positive standard for each compound.

lipophilic analogues because the same vehicle (DMSO) was used in both the in vitro hepatic and macrophage assays. This is not dissimilar from data obtained from the diarylthioimidazole ACAT inhibitor series, where it was demonstrated that two similar compounds had quite different potencies with respect to hepatic and macrophage ACAT,³⁰ and suggests either the presence of isozymes or that a different microenvironment surrounds the enzyme in the two tissues. A twofold increase in potency was measured for endo isomer 50 over exo 51. Again, (+)-50 was preferred, being 17 times more potent than the other enantiomer. Taken together, the data suggest that to obtain optimal hepatic and arterial wall (macrophage) ACAT inhibition, the substituent chain length should be between four and six carbons.

The pharmacokinetics of **50** was then studied. The compound was dosed in rats at 5 mg/kg (iv) and 10 mg/kg (po). The graph in Figure 6 shows the serum levels versus time. At the T_{max} of 4 h, the C_{max} was 1.0 µg/mL. The bioavailability (F) was found to be 71%.

Finally, compounds 50 and 57 were taken into safety assessment to look for adverse effects on adrenal tissue, which has been observed for other systemic Warner-Lambert's ACAT inhibitors (such as PD-132301, in dogs³¹). The compounds were dosed orally to rabbits at three different doses for 7 days, after which the animals were sacrificed and adrenals removed for further study. The effects of the drugs are presented in Table 9 as the percent ratio of the weight of the adrenals to that of the brain. Significant damage to the adrenals was observed with XP767 (50), as adrenal weight loss was recorded at dosing as low as 10



Figure 5. The effect of XP767 (50) on cholesterol esterification and triglyceride formation in human macrophages.



Figure 6. Pharmacokinetic profile of XP767.

mg/kg. Histology slides revealed severe cellular damage. The thickness of the zona fasiculata and reticularis portions of the cells was markedly reduced compared with controls (roughly one-half the normal thickness). Close examination revealed multifocal vacuolar degeneration of epithelial cells and a mild inflammatory cell infiltrate. On the other hand, the higher homologue 57 (DuPont Merck designation XR920), which was equipotent in terms of liver ACAT and even slightly more effective at liver ex vivo inhibition than 50, was not significantly toxic toward adrenal tissue. Organ weight loss was significantly lower, and cellular damage was noticeably less. Hepatic activity was measured in this study, and both 50 and 57 significantly affected ACAT activity in the livers of the rabbits. Since there appeared to be some difference in tissue selectivity, inhibition of ACAT in adrenal cells was determined for 50 (IC₅₀ 13 nM) and 57 (IC₅₀ 84 nM). Therefore, the potency of ACAT inhibition varied somewhat between tissue types, with little difference seen in the liver for 50 and 57, more in the adrenals (sixfold), and a large difference seen in human foam cells (60-fold).

Discussion and Conclusions

A new series of compounds derived from cycloaddition products of in situ-generated thioaldehydes were shown to be a source of potent ACAT inhibitors. The most promising compound in terms of inhibiting ACAT (in rat liver, human foam cells and rabbit adrenals) and absorption was XP767 (50). However, XP767 was shown to be toxic toward adrenal tissue in rabbits. A similar compound, XR920 (57), did not exhibit significant toxicity, but compounds 50 and 57 had similar potency of inhibiting ACAT in adrenal tissue. Although other groups have observed adrenal toxicity for other ACAT inhibitors, the above results indicate that inhibition of ACAT in any tissue and adrenal toxicity are not inherently linked by mechanism. A low-toxicity, well-absorbed compound such as XR920 would make a fine candidate for further study, save for the fact that the potency of XR920 for inhibition of ACAT in human foam cells, which should be a good model for antiatherogenesis, was observed to be quite low. Therefore, future efforts in this field need to focus

Table 9. Safety assessment data for compounds 50 and 57

Compd	Dose ^a	Plasma ^b	Wt %	Hepatic activity ^d
Vehicle	-		2.5 + 0.3	100
50	100	513 ± 76	0.6 + 0.2	10 ± 2
50	30	420 + 117	0.9 ± 0.9	12 + 2
50	10	41 ± 4	1.1 - 0.3	51 ± 17
57	100	306 + 80	2.2 + 0.2	5+2
57	30	261 + 45	2.1 ± 0.2	22 + 16
57	10	197 ± 43	2.1 ± 0.1	13 ± 5

"Dose of compound to rabbits (n = 3), mg/kg.

^bConcentration of drug in plasma, ng/mL.

Weight ratio of adrenals to brain, in percent.

^dActivity of hepatic ACAT, percent of control.

on finding potent inhibitors without adverse sideeffects in adrenal tissue.

Experimental

All reactions detailed below were performed using reagent-grade materials and solvents, and were performed under dry nitrogen atmosphere. Starting materials were purchased from Aldrich, Lancaster Synthesis, or Janssen (Spectrum). The phrase 'flash chromatography' and related phrases refer to the separation method reported by Still et al.³² Melting points are uncorrected, and were obtained in an open capillary tube in an Electrothermal 9100 apparatus. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained on a Unity 300 MHz spectrometer, and chemical shifts are reported in ppm δ using tetramethylsilane as reference. IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer 1600 FTIR spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained on a Hewlett Packard 5988A MS spectrometer, employing NH₃ CI detection. Elemental analyses were performed by Quantitative Technologies, Inc., Bound Brook, NJ. Selected physical and spectral data for the compounds of interest are collected in Table 10.

Assay of the inhibition of ACAT

The ability of the compounds to inhibit ACAT in rat hepatic microsomes was determined by measuring the formation of labeled cholesteryl oleate (pmol/min/mg) from [¹⁴C] oleyl-CoA as described in the literature.³³ The data are expressed as the concentration at which ACAT activity is inhibited by 50% (IC₅₀). IC₅₀s were obtained from assays performed in duplicate containing a minimum of four inhibitor concentrations which bracket the IC₅₀. The average range of replicates was $\pm 17\%$.

The inhibition of esterification of cholesterol in the human foam cell model was determined as follows: human blood was obtained in heparin by venipuncture. Mononuclear cells were isolated using LeucoPREP™ tubes. The cells were resuspended in growth media, then seeded at 75,000 cells/cm². They were incubated 3 h at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. Nonadherent cells were removed by washing three times with 10% FBS-PBS, and the cells were re-fed with growth media with 10% human serum added. The cells were grown for 7-10 days, and re-fed every 2-3 days. They were cholesterol loaded with ac-LDL (100 µg/mL) 17 h prior to use in the experiments. After loading, cholesterol esterification was determined in the presence or absence of inhibitors. Compounds of interest were dissolved in DMSO and incubated with the cells for 4 h. Cells were incubated with $[^{4}C]$ oleic acid (100 mM) for the last 2 h of drug incubation, and quantitation of cholesterol ester formation was performed as described by Maduskuie et al.³⁰

The inhibition of esterification of cholesterol ex vivo from liver cells was determined as follows: male Sprague–Dawley rats were dosed (oral gavage) with

Table 10. Selected physical and spectral data for new compounds

		'Η	INMR				
Compd	Mp (°C)	ð"	$J^{\mathfrak{h}}$	¹³ C NMR ^e	IR ^a	MSe	Analysis
21	188-190	3.73	4.8	169.8	1658	355	f
22	189-191	3.77	5.1	170.3	1650	332	ť
23	111-113	3.79	5.3	170.5	1650	416	f
24	115-117	3.77	5.5	169.4	1654	360	f .
25	105 - 107	3.78	5.0	169.5	1666	400	1
26	80-82	3.73	5.3	169.9	2	467	n
27	88-89	3.79	5.1	170.5	1652	444	t
28	75-77	3.77	5.3	169.4	1652	388	1
29	93-94	3.78	5.1	169.5	1666	428	1
30		3.80	5.0	169.8	1690	396	1
31	1	3.80	5.0	170.2	1688	407	
32	99-100	3.78	5.1	170.0	1698	407	1
33	96~97	3.78	4.9	170.0	1686	453	f
34	60-63	3.79	5.1	170.5	1652	472	r F
35	79-81	3.76	5.3	169.5	1682	416	
36	91–93	3.75	5.1	169.4	1666	456	r, f
37	77-79	3.73	5.3	169.9	1658	551	ſ
38	186-188	3.69	4.9	<i>p</i> :	1696	358	h
39	ı	3.54	5.3	169.7	R.	498	h
40	84-86	3.76	5.0	169.5	1686	442	
41	94-96	3.75	5.1	170.5	1654	392	•
42	94-95	3.76	5.1	170.5	1668	424	í í
43	I	3.70	5.5	168.9	1688	364	, ,
44	99-101	4.02	4.4	169.5	1650	444	1
45	125 - 127	4.01	4.0	168.5	1650	388	1 b
46	110-112	4.02	4.0	168.7	1662	428	h
47	i	3.44	2.2	р,	£	396	h
48	55-57	3.48	1.8	169.7	1686	450	
49	83-85	3.45	[.]	169.2	1662	463	
50	88-89	3.46	2.2	169.1	1656	467	ŕ
51	150-151	4.03	4.4	168.9	1656	467	, *
52	138-139	3.38	3.0	169.5	1658	355	, *
53	97–98	3.46	3.0	169.8	1670	411	r
54	117-118	3.46	2.2	169.8	1656	439	r F
55	1	3.45	2.6	169.8	ę.	495	r
56	i	3.45	2.2	169.8	1689	523	r
57	1	3.45	2.6	g La constante	1658	551	, f
58	52-54	3.46	2.2	169.8	8	467	
59	87-89	3.47	2.5	169.2	1692	479	h
60	I	3.46	2.2	ц Х	P 	547	 h
61	1	3.46	2.0	÷	Е	639	r
62	185-186	3.68	1.8	168.9	E .	479	
63	85-86	3.82	4.8	169.8	5	444	h
65		4.29	k	175.4	1664	409	ŕ
66	149150	3.89	ĸ	169.8	1664	409	ſ
67	177-178	3.89	ĸ	170.3	1660	380	ſ
68	155-156	4.27	, L	169.0	1654	330	э
69	159-160	3.88	r L	169.2	1654	330	h
70		4.28	k	5	1000	370	f
71	140-141	3.86	n l	169.1	1008	370	h
72	i	4.22	k	169.1	۲- ۷	220	h
75	72 74	5.81	" ~ 1	109.2	1400	300	h
/4	12-14	4.24	5.5	109.4	1680	202	h
75	/5-//	4.56	k	108.2	1080	282	ť
76	14/-148	4.53	1.0	167.9	1030	304	ŕ
77	158-159	4.51	4.0	169.1	1662	339	i
78	139-140	4.52	4.0	168.4	1652	200	ŕ
81	140-141	2.92	9.9, 3.6 " 10.0 1.2 m	171.9	1000	303 204	f
82	152-153	2,92	10.0, 4.2 "	1/1./	1048	280	f
83	189-190	2.98	r L	172.9	1002	202	ſ
84	190-191	2.98	00470	171.9	1002	242	f
бУ 04	149-150	4.21	9.9, 4.7	170.5	1099	339 451	f
90		4.31	3.3	104.0		+.) I	

		'Η	H NMR	¹³ C NMR ^c		MS ^c	Analysis
Compd	Mp (°C)	δ ^a	J ^h		\mathbf{IR}^{d}		
91	i	4.14	6.6	170.5	Ļ	451	ſ
92	150-151	4,21	11.0, 4.0 ^{-m}	171.0	1664	316	f
93	126-127	4.33	7 .0	171.0	F	428	f
94	i	4.32	3.3	170.1	Ľ.	428	f
95	1	4.17	5.5	170.5	4	434	h
96	i	4.26	3.3	169.7	ц	434	h
97	74-76	4.15	5.5	170.0	1698	447	h
98	i	4.25	3.3	169.1	ĸ	447	h
99	147-149	4.08	4.0	168.4	1558	355	h
100	i	4.03	4.0	<u>j</u>	1670	411	b
101	116-117	4.03	4.0	169.0	1658	551	h
102	1	3.35	2.2	170.2	r.	469	h
103	i	3.35		170.2	ř.	469	h
108	i	п	п	173.0	1652	427	h
115	i	-		171.9	1670	425	ſ

Table 10. Selected physical and spectral data for new compounds (continued)

^aChemical shift of methine proton adjacent to sulfur atom and amide group (H²), in ppm δ .

^bCoupling constant of H² doublet in Hz.

Chemical shift of carbonyl carbon in ppm δ .

"IR stretch of carbonyl group in cm

*MS, CI detection, $M + H^-$, m/z.

^tElemental analysis $\pm 0.4\%$ with calculated values for C. H, and N.

^sNot taken.

^hHigh-resolution MS ± 5 ppm with calcd values, and compound homogeneous by TLC and ¹H NMR.

Viscous oil or waxy solid.

Elemental analysis $\pm 0.4\%$ on C and H.

*Singlet in 'H MMR; "" doublet of doublets in 'H NMR; " signal obscurred; " elemental analysis ±0.6% on C, ±0.4% on H, N.

the compound at 10 mg/kg for 2 days. The animals were euthanized, and the livers were perfused and homogenized. Postnuclear microsomes were obtained by centrifugation, and assayed for ACAT activity as described above. The data are expressed as the degree of ACAT activity lowering compared with the control. In a few cases, serum samples were obtained immediately prior to euthanasia, samples were extracted, and the amount of inhibitor was determined by HPLC; serum levels are expressed in ng/mL. This data is not intended as a formal pharmacokinetic study, but rather as a general indication that the compounds are being absorbed.

Pharmacokinetic data for 50 was obtained by administering the compound to fed, male Sprague-Dawley rats (n=4 each) at 5 mg/kg (iv) and 10 mg/kg (po). Work up and analysis proceeded according to standard protocols.

Safety assessment data for 50 and 57 were obtained by the following: the compounds were dosed orally in methocel to male, drug-naive normal-diet rabbits (n=3) at 10, 30, and 100 mg/kg/day for 7 days. The animals were sacrificed and dissected for necropsy.

Preparation of 2,3-dipentyl-1,3-butadiene (5d). A solution of pentylmagnesium bromide (200 mmol) in THF (270 mL) was cooled to 0 °C, and CuI (3.81 g, 20.0 mmol) was added slowly over 10 min. After an additional 5 min, a solution of dipropargyl ester 4^{18} (23.9 g, 66.7 mmol) in THF (135 mL) was added

dropwise. The mixture was stirred overnight, then cooled to 0 °C, and quenched by the slow addition of isopropanol (35 mL). The resulting mixture was filtered through a short column of CeliteTM, and the filtrate was evaporated. The resulting oil was eluted through a short column of silica gel (hexane), and the filtrate was evaporated to afford **5d** as an oil (11.7 g, 60.0 mmol, 90%).

Using this procedure and the appropriate starting materials, the following compounds were prepared: 5c from butylmagnesium chloride (79%), 5e from hexylmagnesium bromide (69%), 5g from octylmagnesium chloride (93%).

Preparation of 6,8-tetradecadiene (7d). A modification of the method of Zweifel and Miller¹⁹ was used here. Thus, a commercial solution of DIBAL (400 mL, 1 M, 400 mmol) was treated dropwise with neat heptyne (6d) (52.0 mL, 396 mmol). This solution was heated to gentle reflux for 4 h, then cooled and evaporated. The flask was purged with nitrogen off the rotary evaporator, and rapidly cooled to 0 °C. THF (400 mL) was carefully added, and the solution was stirred while CuCl (47.3 g, 478 mmol) was added in small portions over 20 min. The mixture was allowed to stir overnight, then was cooled to 0 °C and quenched by the addition of a solution of water (20 mL) and THF (200 mL). The resulting mixture was filtered through a short column of Celite^{1M}, with THF washing. The filtrate was poured into an ice-cooled flask containing an equal volume of 5% aq H.SO₄. The resulting biphasic mixture was

separated, and the aq layer was extracted with hexane $(2 \times 1 \text{ L})$. The organic phases were washed in portions with brine (500 mL), then combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated. The resulting oil was distilled (70-75 °C, 0.5 mm) to afford 7d (26.1 g, 134 mmol, 68%).

Using the same procedure, the following compounds were prepared: **7b** from 1-pentyne, **6b** (46%), **7c** from 1-hexyne, **6c** (56%), **7e** from 1-octyne, **6e** (75%), **7f** from 1-nonyne, **6f** (100%), **7g** from 1-decyne, **6g** (92%), **7h** from 5-methyl-1-hexyne, **6h** (63%), and **7i** from 5-chloro-1-pentyne, **6i** (49%). Compound **6j** was prepared from 5-hexynal³⁴ from Wittig coupling with butyltriphenylphosphonium bromide. Copper-mediated coupling of the DIBAL adduct as above gave **7j** (64%). The reaction of **7i** with cyclohexyl mercaptan (2 equiv; 2 equiv K₂CO₃, 0.3 equiv Bu₄NI, THF, 67 °C) gave **7k** in 51% yield, after work up and chromatography.

of 2-pentyl-1,3-nonadiene (9d). A Preparation solution of catecholborane (77.0 mL, 1.00 M, 77.0 mmol) in THF was treated dropwise at ambient temperature with 1-heptyne, 6d (10.0 mL, 76.2 mmol). The mixture was heated to reflux for 4 h, then cooled to 0 °C, and treated with Hg(OAc), (24.2 g, 76.0 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 1 h, then treated with NaCl (45 g, 77 mmol) in H₂O (300 mL). This was stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h, then the biphasic mixture was separated. The organic phase was evaporated to afford an organomercurial intermediate as a gum, which was dissolved in benzene (500 mL). The solution was treated in sequence with PdCl₂ (0.81 g, 7.61 mmol), CuCl₂ (22.0 g, 164 mmol) and Et₃N (22.0 mL, 158 mmol). After stirring for 10 h, the mixture was filtered through a short column of celite. and the filtrate was evaporated. The resulting oil was eluted through a short column of silica gel (hexane), and the filtrate was evaporated to afford 9d as a liquid (1.01 g, 5.20 mmol, 7%).

Preparation of ethyl 2-phenacylthioacetate (10). A solution of 2-chloroacetophenone (14.1 g, 91.2 mmol). ethyl 2-mercaptoacetate (10.0 mL, 91.2 mmol), and K₂CO₃ (13.9 g, 100 mmol) in THF (200 mL) was stirred at ambient temperature for 10 h. The mixture was poured into H_2O (400 mL), and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2×400 mL). The extracts were combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated. The residual oil was separated by flash chromatography (1:4 EtOAc:hexane) to afford the product, 10, as an oil (16.1 g, 67.7 mmol, 74%). H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.97 (2H, dd, J=8.0, 1.5 Hz), 7.62 (1H, tt, J=5.1, 1.4 Hz),7.48 (2H, t, J = 7.7 Hz), 4.19 (2H, q, J = 7.3 Hz), 4.04 (2H, s), 3.33 (2H, s), 1.27 (3H, t, J=7.3 Hz). MS $(NH_3-CI/DDIP): m/z 256 (100\%), 240 (6), 239 (42),$ 210 (1), 193 (1).

Preparation of ethyl 3,6-dipentyl-3,6-dihydro-2*H***-thiopyran-2-carboxylate (standard photolysis procedure).** The preparation of compound **17d** is representative of this type of reaction. A solution of the sulfide **10** (4.00) g, 16.8 mmol) and **7d** (15.61 g, 80.3 mmol) in benzene (180 mL) was partitioned to 12 100-mL Pyrex roundbottom flasks. The flasks (four per run; this reaction was performed in three runs) were suspended in a Pyrex cooling bath (with a tapwater line for cooling), the bath was filled with 5% aq $CuSO_4$ soln (to filter out light wavelengths lower than 310 nm), and the bath was supported above a 270 W sunlamp. The reaction flasks were irradiated for 7 h (cach run); at the end of the three runs, the contents of the flasks were combined and evaporated. The oily residue was separated by flash chromatography (3:97 EtOAc:hexane) to afford the product, 17d, as an oil (4.53 g, 14.5 mmol, 86%). The material was determined to be a mixture of diastereomers, with one favored to the extent of about 5:1. ¹H NMR (major isomer, CDCl₃): δ 5.80–5.68 (2H, m), 4.19 (2H, q, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.41 (1H, br t, J = 5.9 Hz), 3.33 (1H, d, J=4.4 Hz), 2.55-2.46 (1H, m), 1.70-1.27 (16H, m), 1.29 (3H, t, J-7.0 Hz), 0.89 (6H, t, J=6.8 Hz). MS (NH₃-CI/DDIP): m/z 330 (30%), 314 (18), 313 (100), 279 (2), 239 (4).

The following compounds were prepared by treatment of 10 with the appropriate diene and sun lamp photolysis: 11a from 5a (89%), 11d from 5d (88%), 11g from 5g (99%), 17f from 7f (85%), 17i from 7i (18%), 17j from 7j (31%), 17k from 7k (12%), and 17l from 7l (20%).

Alternate preparation of ethyl 3,6-dipentyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-2-carboxylate (standard dehydrochlorination procedure). The preparation of compound 17d is representative of this type of reaction, a modification of the method of Kirby et al.28 A slurry of N-chlorosuccinimide (5.85 g, 43.8 mmol) in benzene (60 mL) was cooled to 5° C, and ethyl mercaptoacetate (4.00 mL, 36.5 mmol) was added by syringe. The reaction was observed to be colorless for about 20 min, at which time a vellow color evolved, signaling the formation of the sulfenyl chloride intermediate. The mixture was allowed to stir for 2 h, then settle. The supernatant was delivered dropwise by cannula to an ice-cooled solution of diene 7d (35.1 g, 181 mmol) and triethylamine (25.0 mL, 179 mmol) in (1:1) benzene:methanol (120 mL). After stirring for 10 h, the mixture was poured into H_2O (400 mL), and was extracted with EtOAc (200 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted again with EtOAc (400 mL), and the extracts were washed in sequence with brine (400 mL), then combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated. The residual oil separated by flash chromatography (3:97 was EtOAc:hexane) to afford the product 17d (8.63 g, 27.6 mmol, 76%) as a 2:1 mixture of diastercomers.

The dehydrochlorination procedure was used in the preparation of the following compounds: 17b from 7b (74%), 17c from 7c (90%), 17e from 7e (90%), 17g from 7g (86%), 17h from 7h (83%), and 17l from 7l (12%).

Preparation of 3,6-dipentyl-3,6-dihydro-2*H***-thiopyran-2-carboxylic acid (18d). A solution of the ester 17d (4.53 g, 14.5 mmol) and NaOH (0.25 N solution) in 95% EtOH (120 mL, 30.0 mmol NaOH) was stirred for** 12 h at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was evaporated, and the residue acidified with HCl (1 N, 150 mL). This was saturated with NaCl and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 200 mL). The extracts were combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to afford the product, **18d**, as an oily mixture of diastereomeric products (5:1 by NMR: 4.01 g, 14.1 mmol, 97%). ¹H NMR (major isomer; CDCl₃): δ 5.73 (2H, s), 3.48 (1H, br t, *J* = 7 Hz). 3.40 (1H, d, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 2.60–2.52 (1H, m), 1.71–1.24 (16H, m), 0.89 (6H, t, *J* = 3.7 Hz). MS (NH₃-Cl/DDIP): *m/z* 287 (6%), 286 (18), 285 (100),

Using the same hydrolysis procedure, the following compounds were prepared: 12d from 11d (96%), 12g from 11g (93%), 18b from 17b (98%), 18c from 17c (95%), 18e from 17e (97%), 18f from 17f (95%), 18g from 17g (97%), 18h from 17h (95%), 18i from 17i (86%), 18j from 17j (95%), 18k from 17k (83%), and 114 from 113 (76%).

239 (25), 211 (2).

Preparation of endo and exo isomers of N-[2,4-bis(methylthio)-6-methylpyridin-3-yl]-3,6-dipentyl-2,3-dihydro-2Hthiopyran-2-carboxamide (50 and 51) (standard amide formation procedure). A solution of the acid 18d (4.01 g, 14.1 mmol) in benzene (30 mL) was treated with DMF (0.15 mL), and then oxalyl chloride (4.00 mL, 45.8 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 10 h, then evaporated. The residue was taken up in THF (30 mL), and delivered dropwise by cannula to an ice-cooled, 3-amino-2,4-bis(methylthio)stirred solution of 6-methylpyridine (13A, prepared according to the methods of Wang,35 Albert et al.36 and McCarthy et al.,³⁷ 2.80 g, 14.0 mmol) in THF (30 mL). After being stirred for 14 h, the mixture was poured into H₂O (200) mL), and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 200 \text{ mL})$. The extracts were washed with saturated brine (200 mL), then combined, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated. The residue was separated by flash chromatography (3:17 ethyl acetate:hexane) to afford the title product as a waxy solid mixture of two diastereomers (6.46 g, 13.8 mmol, 98%). A portion of the mixture (5 g) was separated by HPLC (column: preparative Pirkle DNBPG, 20 × 250 mm; temperature: 20 °C; solvent: 0.1% triethylamine/20% isopropanol/80% hexane; flow:10.0 mL/min; detection: 265 nm UV) to afford the two isomeric products [major (50): $t_{\rm R}$ 34 min, weight 3.80 g; minor (51): $t_{\rm R}$ 40 min, weight 0.85 g). 50: mp 88-89 °C. H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.31 (1H, br s), 6.65 (1H, s), 5.81 (1H, ddd, J=11.0, 5.1, 1.8 Hz), 5.69 (1H, s)br d, J = 11.0 Hz), 3.90 - 3.81 (1H, m), 3.46 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz), 3.08-2.99 (1H, m), 2.50 (3H, s), 2.49 (3H, s), 2.40 (3H, s), 1.75-1.25 (16H, m), 0.89 (6H, t, J=6.4Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 169.1, 156.8, 148.7, 133.0, 130.4, 128.1, 123.3, 113.6, 49.5, 41.0, 37.6, 35.3, 32.4, 32.0, 31.7, 27.2, 24.5, 22.7, 22.6, 14.1, 14.0, 13.0, 12.9. IR (KBr): 3440, 3226, 2954, 2922, 2854, 1656, 1564, 1514, 1466, 1432, 1334, 1310, 806 cm⁻¹. MS (NH₃-Cl/ DDIP): m/z 469 (17%), 468 (29), 467 (100). Analysis: HRMS. 51: mp 150–151 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.33 (1H, br s), 6.64 (1H, s), 5.99 (1H, ddd, J = 10.3, 5.2, 2.6)Hz), 5.82 (1H, dt, J = 10.3, 2.0 Hz), 4.03 (1H, d, J = 4.4Hz), 3.69-3.60 (1H, m), 2.69-2.59 (1H, m), 2.50 (3H, s), 2.48 (3H, s), 2.40 (3H, s), 1.89–1.60 (4H, m), 1.56–1.22 (12H, m), 0.90 (3H, t, J=7.0 Hz), 0.87 (3H, t, J=6.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 168.9, 157.3, 156.7, 148.5, 146.1, 133.1, 130.4, 113.7, 49.5, 41.0, 37.6, 35.3, 32.4, 32.0, 31.7, 27.1, 26.7, 24.4, 22.6, 22.5, 14.1 (2C), 14.0, 12.9. MS (NH₃-CI/DDIP): m/z 469 (19%), 468 (29), 467 (100). Elemental analysis: C, H, N.

Using this amide-forming procedure, the following compounds were prepared: **37** from **18g** and **13A** (52%), **53** and **100** from **18b** and **13A** (65%), **54** from **18c** and **13A** (68%), **44** from **18d** and **13B** (72% total), **49** from **18d** and **13F** (18%), **48** from **18d** and **13G** (73%), **55** from **18e** and **13A** (78%), **56** from **18f** and **13A** (32%), **57** and **101** from **18g** and **13A** (64%), **58** from **18h** and **13A** (64%), **59** from **18k** and **13A** (19%), **60** from **18j** and **13A** (42%), **61** from **18k** and **13A** (19%), **62** from **18l** and **13A** (45%), **89** from **88a** and **13A** (79%), **92** from **88a** and **13B** (36%), **90** and **91** from **88b** and **13A** (95%), **93** and **94** from **88b** and **13B** (59%), **97** and **98** from **88b** and **13F** (92%), **95** and **96** from **88b** and **13G** (93%), and **115** from **114** and **13A** (17%).

Preparation of N-[2,4-bis(methylthio)-6-methyl-pyridin-3-yl]-2-chloroacetamide (14A). A solution of 3-amino-2,4-bis(methylthio)-6-methylpyridine, 13A (0.38 g, 1.88 mmol), and triethylamine (0.30 mL, 2.07 mmol) in THF (8 mL) was cooled to 0 °C, and treated with a solution of chloroacetyl chloride (0.18 mL, 2.26 mmol) in THF (4 mL). After being stirred for 10 h, the mixture was poured into H₂O (100 mL), and this was extracted with EtOAc (2×100 mL). The extracts were combined, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated. The resulting solid was recrystallized to purity from ether, mp 190-192 °C, to afford 14A (0.39 g, 1.41 mmol, 75%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.69 (1H, br s), 6.68 (1H, s), 4.26 (2H, s), 2.53 (3H, s), 2.51 (3H, s), 2.43 (3H, s). MS (NH₃-CI/DDIP): m/z 279 (42%), 278 (17), 277 (100), 227 (4).

Using this same procedure, the following compounds were prepared: **14B** from **13B** (79%), **14E** from **13E** (99%), **14H** from **13H** (83%), **14J** from **13J** (57%), **14K** from **13K** (52%), **14L** from **13L** (80%), **14M** from **13M** (80%), **14N** from **13N** (71%), and **14P** from **13P** (83%), **14Q** from **13Q** (80%), and **14R** from **13R** (63%).

Preparation of 2-mercaptoacetophenone (15). A modified version of the procedure of Vedejs et al.²⁴ was used here. K_2CO_3 (19.4 g, 140 mmol) was suspended in THF (500 mL), and thiolacetic acid (10.0 mL, 140 mmol) was added dropwise. Then, a solution of 2-chloroacetophenone (16.6 g, 108 mmol) in THF (100 mL) was added dropwise, and the mixture was allowed to stir for 10 h. It was poured into H₂O (700 mL), and the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc (700 mL), then CH₂Cl₂ (700 mL). The extracts were combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The residual oil was purified by filtration through a short plug of silica gel (1:1, EtOAc:hexane) to afford 2-thioacetylacetophenone (20.0 g, 103 mmol, 96%) as

an oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.99 (2H, d, J=8.4 Hz), 7.60 (1H, t, J=7.7 Hz), 7.48 (2H, t, J=8.1 Hz), 4.41 (2H, s), 2.41 (3H, s). MS (NH₃-CI/DDIP): m/z 212 (100%), 197 (2), 196 (4), 195 (19), 153 (1).

A solution of 2-thioacetylacetophenone (8.70 g, 44.8 mmol) in other (50 mL) was stirred vigorously while aq NaOH soln (50 mL, 2 N, 100 mmol) was added. This mixture was stirred for 2 h, then separated. The aqueous layer was cooled to 0 °C, and acidified. This was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2×100 mL), and the extracts were combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered. and evaporated. The residual liquid was distilled (110–120 °C, 1 mm Hg, bulb-to-bulb) to afford the pure product, **15** (6.00 g, 39.4 mmol, 88%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.97 (2H, dd, *J*=8.2, 1.2 Hz), 7.63–7.57 (1H, m), 7.52–7.45 (2H, m), 3.97 (2H, d, *J*=7.3 Hz), 2.14 (1H, t, *J*=7.3 Hz). MS (NH₃-CI/DDIP): *m/z* 172 (5%), 171 (10), 170 (100), 153 (20), 138 (15).

Preparation of *N*-[2,4-bis(methylthio)-6-methylpyridin-3-yl]-2-phenacylthioacetamide (16A). A solution of compound 14A (0.49 g, 1.77 mmol). 15 (0.49 g, 3.22 mmol), and K₂CO₃ (0.27 g, 1.95 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was heated to 50 °C for 6 h. The mixture was then cooled, and poured into H₂O (100 mL). This was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 100 mL). The extracts were combined. dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The resulting solid was recrystallized from ether (mp 140–142 °C) to afford pure 16A (0.433 g, 1.10 mmol, 62%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.11 (1H, br s), 8.02–7.96 (2H, m), 7.65–7.45 (3H, m), 6.66 (1H, s), 4.31 (2H, s), 3.46 (2H, s), 2.49 (3H, s), 2.48 (3H, s), 2.39 (3H, s). MS (NH₃-CI/DDIP): *m/z* 395 (17%). 394 (25), 393 (100). 285 (1), 275 (5).

Using the same procedure described above, the following compounds were prepared: **16B** from **14B** (81%), **16C** from **14C** (90%), **16D** from **14D** (73%), **16E** from **14E** (88%), **16H** from **14H** (11%), **16J** from **14J** (84%), **16K** from **14K** (82%), **16L** from **14L** (56%), **16M** from **14M** (80%), **16N** from **14N** (55%), **16P** from **14P** (87%), **16Q** from **14Q** (65%), and **16R** from **14R** (73%).

Alternate photolytic preparation of 50 and 51. The standard photolysis procedure was employed for the sulfide 16A (280 mg, 0.71 mmol) and 7d (1.11 g, 5.71 mmol) in 1:5 DMF:benzene soln in a single 100-mL Pyrex round-bottom flask. Evaporation and flash chromatography gave the product mixture 50 + 51, which was separated by HPLC exactly as above and recrystallized to purity from ether (100 mg total, 0.21 mmol, 30%), and which showed identical spectral characteristics to the samples prepared from the other method.

Using the same procedure as above, the following compounds were prepared: 21 from 5a and 16A (85%), 22 from 5a and 16B (67%), 38 from 5a and 16R (47%), 23 from 5c and 16B (57%), 24 from 5c and 16C (50%), 25 from 5c and 16D (55%), 26 from 5d and 16A (71%), 27 from 5d and 16B (72%), 28 from 5d and

16C (57%), 29 from 5d and 16D (54%), 30 from 5d and 16E (51%), 39 from 5d and 16H (42%), 40 from 5d and 16J (53%), 41 from 5d and 16K (56%), 31 from 5d and 16L (20%), 32 from 5d and 16M (23%), 33 from 5d and 16N (21%), 42 from 5d and 16P (59%), 43 from 5d and 16Q (45%), 34 from 5e and 16B (51%), 35 from 5e and 16C (53%), 36 from 5e and 16D (56%), 52 and 99 from 7a and 16A (86%), 53 and 100 from 7b and 16A (78%), 44 from 7d and 16B (55%), 45 from 7d and 16C (36%), 46 from 7d and 16D (37%), 47 from 7d and 16E (47%), 57 and 101 from 7g and 16A (71%), 63 from 9d and 16B (64%), 65 and 66 from α -terpinene and 16A (58%), 67 from α -terpinene and 16B (47%), 68 and 69 from α -terpinene and 16C (52%), 70 and 71 from α -terpinene and 16D (61%), 72 and 73 from α -terpinene and 16E (28%), 74 and 75 from 1-methoxy-1,3-cyclohexadiene and 16A (31%), 76 from 1-methoxy-1,3-cyclohexadiene and 16C (27%), 77 from cyclopentadiene and 16A (52%), 78 from cyclopentadiene and 16C (48%), 77 from cyclopentadiene and 16A (52%), and 108 from 5d and 107 (60%).

Preparation of 1-methoxybicyclo[2.2.2]oct-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid (80). A solution of methyl 1-methoxybicyclo[2.2.2]oct-5-ene-2-carboxylate (Aldrich, 5.00 mL, 24.9 mmol) in 95% ethanol (200 mL) was treated with sodium hydroxide (50 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 20 h at ambient temperature, then evaporated. The residual material was taken up in 20 mL 1 N ag sodium hydroxide, and washed with ether (40 mL). The aqueous phase was adjusted to pH 5 with 6 N HCl, then extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 100 \text{ mL})$. The extracts were combined, dried over MgSO₂, filtered, and evaporated to afford 80 as an oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.42-6.20 (2H, m), 4.20-4.05 (1H, m), 3.51 (3H, s), 2.94-2.53 (2H, m), 2.03-1.20 (5H, m). MS (CI): m/z 200 (100%), 184 (5), 183 (43), 165 (22), 137 (2).

Preparation of N-12,4-bis(methylthio)-6-methylpyridin-3-yl]-1-methoxybicyclo-[2.2.2] oct-5-ene-2-carboxamide (81). A solution of the acid 80 (3 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) containing 1 drop DMF was treated with oxalyl chloride (10 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL). The solution was stirred for 2 h, then evaporated. The crude acid chloride thus produced was taken up in THF (5 mL), and added slowly to an ice-cooled solution of 3-amino-2,4-bis(methylthio)-6-methylpyridine (13A, 443 mg, 2.21 mmol) and triethylamine (1.00 mL. 7.17 mmol) in THF (10 mL). The mixture was allowed to stir for 12 h, then poured into water (100 mL). This was extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 100$ mL), and the extracts were combined, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The resulting solid was triturated with warm ether, filtered and dried to afford 81, mp 140-141 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.52 (1H. br s), 6.62 (1H, s), 6.45 (1H, d, J=8.8 Hz), 6.35 (1H, dd, J=8.8, 6.4 Hz), 3.53 (3H, s), 2.92 (1H, dd,J = 9.9, 3.6 Hz), 2.65-2.59 (1H, m), 2.49 (3H, s), 2.47 (311, s), 2.38 (3H, s), 2.28–2.18 (1H, m), 1.89–1.61 (4H, m), 1.49–1.37 (1H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 171.9, 156.4, 156.2, 148.4, 134.8, 131.4, 124.3, 113.4, 79.4, 50.9,

47.5, 29.5, 29.3, 27.4, 26.7, 24.4, 14.0, 12.9. IR (KBr): 3262, 2940, 1666, 1562, 1500, 1434, 1340, 810, 698 cm⁻¹. MS (CI): m/z 367 (13%), 366 (25), 365 (100), 349 (2), 201 (1). Elemental anal. C, H, N.

In a similar manner, compounds 82, 83, and 84 were prepared from the appropriate starting materials.

Preparation of racemic (1S,2R,3S,6R)-N-|2,4-bis-(methylthio)-6-methylpyridin-3-yl]-3,6-dipentyl-1oxo-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-2-carboxamide (85). A mixture of sulfides 50 and 51 (650 mg, 1.39 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) was cooled to 0 °C, and treated with tetra-n-butylammonium periodate (602 mg, 1.39 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 10 h at ambient temperature, then heated to 45 °C for and additional 20 h. The mixture was cooled and evaporated, and the residue was separated by flash chromatography (3:7 EtOAc:hexane to 1:1 EtOAc:hexane) to afford, first, a solid identified as compound 85 (28 mg, 58 mmol, 4%), then a fraction corresponding to other stereoisomers of the title product (18 mg, 37 mmol, 3%). For 85: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.74 (1H, br s), 6.65 (1H, s), 5.88 (1H, br d, J=11 Hz), 5.49 (1H, br d, J=11 Hz), 4.09-4.00 (1H, m), 3.74 (1H, d, J=5 Hz), 3.39-3.30(1H, m), 2.51 (3H, s), 2.49 (3H, s), 2.41 (3H, s), 1.80-1.25 (16H, m), 0.91 (3H, t, J=6 Hz), 0.90 (3H, t, J = 6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 166.0, 156.7, 156.6, 148.4, 132.2, 123.4, 121.5, 113.6, 59.0, 56.4, 35.8, 34.3, 31.8, 31.7, 30.0, 26.7, 25.9, 24.4, 22.6, 22.4, 14.1, 14.0, 13.9, 13.0. MS (CI): m/z 485 (19%), 484 (29), 483 (100). Anal. HRMS.

Preparation of diethyl 4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2Hpyran-2,2-dicarboxylate (86a). A modification of the method of Bonjouklian and Ruden²⁹ was employed here. Thus, a solution of diethyl ketomalonate (10.0 mL, 65.6 mmol) and 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene (16.3 mL, 144 mmol) in acetonitrile (22 mL) was divided into two portions, and delivered to two scalable tubes. The tubes were degassed under vacuum and sealed, then heated to 140 °C for 4 h. After being cooled, the contents of the tubes were evaporated, and the oily residue was separated by flash chromatography (1:4, ethyl acetate:hexane) to afford 86a as an oil (13.6 g, 53.1 mmol, 81%). H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.26 (4H, q, J = 7.0 Hz), 4.15 (2H, br s), 2.57 (2H, br s), 1.69 (3H, s), 1.51 (3H, s), 1.28 (6H, t, J = 7.0 Hz). MS (CI) m/z275 (14%), 274 (100), 257 (23), 183 (1).

A similar procedure was used to prepare **86b** from **7d** (66%).

Preparation of 4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-2,2dicarboxylic acid (87a). A modification of the method of Bonjouklian and Ruden²⁹ was employed here. Thus, a solution of **86a** (9.63 g, 37.6 mmol) in THF (150 mL) was treated with aq KOH (150 mL, 10 N, 1.5 mol). The mixture was stirred vigorously for 10 h, then filtered. The resulting solid was taken up in a small amount of water, and neutralized to pH 5 with 1 N HCl. The aqueous mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 100 \text{ mL})$. The extracts were washed with brine, combined, dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered, and evaporated to afford **87a** as a solid (7.46 g, 37.3 mmol, 99%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.16 (2H, br s), 2.57 (2H, br s), 1.68 (3H, br s), 1.51 (3H, br s).

A similar procedure was used to prepare 87b from 86b.

Preparation of 4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2*H*-pyran-2carboxylic acid (88a). A solution of 87a (7.46 g, 37.3 mmol) in dry pyridine (50 mL) was treated with morpholine (5.00 mL, 57.2 mmol). The mixture was heated to reflux for 12 h, then cooled and partially evaporated. The residue was taken up in CH₂Cl₂ (200 mL), and washed with 1 N aq HCl (3×150 mL). The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The resulting solid was recrystallized from ether:hexane to afford purc 88a, mp 82–84 °C (3.32 g, 21.3 mmol, 57%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 10.45 (1H, br s), 4.24 (1H, dd, J=9.5, 4.8 Hz), 4.12 (2H, br s), 2.40–2.20 (2H, m), 1.69 (3H, br s), 1.55 (3H, br s). MS (CI): m/z 175 (10%), 174 (100).

The same procedure was used to convert **87b** to **88b** (96%).

Preparation of racemic (2R,3R,6S)-N-[2,4-bis-(methylthio)-6-methylpyridin-3-yl]-3,6-dipentyl-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2H-thiopyran-2-carboxamide (102). A solution of 17d (1.95 g, 6.24 mmol) in methanol (15 mL) was treated with potassium diazadicarboxylate³⁸ (3.64 g, 18.7 mmol). Then, a solution of acetic acid (2.25 mL, 39.3 mmol) in methanol (5 mL) was added by syringe pump over 4 h. After stirring for an additional 8 h, the reaction mixture was evaporated, and the residue was taken up between water and cthyl acetate (100 mL each). The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (100 mL), and the extracts were washed in sequence with brine (100 mL), combined, dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered, and evaporated. The residual oil was dissolved in 95% ethanol (48 mL), and treated with aq NaOH (3.00 mL, 4 M, 12.0 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 12 h, then evaporated. The residue was neutralized to pH 5 with 1 N HCl, then diluted to 100 mL with water. This mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (2×100 mL). The extracts were washed with brine, combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The residual oil was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), and treated with 1 drop DMF, then oxalyl chloride (10.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ solution (5.00 mL). After stirring for 2 h, the solution was evaporated, and the residue was taken up in THF (5 mL), which was added slowly to an ice-cooled solution of 13A (510 mg, 2.55 mmol) and triethylamine (0.50 mL, 3.58 mmol) in THF (10 mL). The mixture was allowed to stir for 10 h, then was poured into water (100 mL). This was extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 100 \text{ mL})$. The extracts were washed with brine (100 mL), combined, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was separated by flash chromatography (1:4, ethyl acetate: hexane) to afford first, 102 (220 mg, 0.47 mmol, 15%), then, 50 (650 mg, 1.39 mmol, 45%), both as low-melting solids. For 102: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.84 (1H, br s), 6.67 (1H, s), 3.35 (1H, d, J=2.2 Hz),

3.20–3.10 (1H, m). 2.74–2.64 (1H, m), 2.52 (3H, s). 2.50 (3H, s). 2.42 (3H, s), 1.80–1.24 (20H, m), 0.90 (3H, t, J=7 Hz), 0.88 (3H, t, J=7.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₄): δ 170.2, 156.7, 156.5, 148.5, 124.0, 113.7, 49.7, 41.5, 36.1, 32.3, 32.0, 31.8, 31.6, 27.9, 27.0, 26.5, 26.2, 24.4, 22.7, 22.5, 14.1, 14.0 (2C), 12.9. MS (CI): m/z 471 (18%), 470 (30), 469 (100), 312 (10). Anal. HRMS.

Preparation of racemic (2R,3S,6R)-N-[2,4-bis-(methylthio)-6-methylpyridin-3-yl]-3,6-dipentyl-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2H-thiopyran-2-carboxamide (103). A solution of 50 (600 mg, 1.29 mmol) in ethyl acetate (20 mL) was treated with 5% Pd on carbon (2.00 g) in a Parr shaker bottle. This was subjected to hydrogenation (50 psi) for 12 h. The vessel was purged with N₂, and the contents were filtered through Celite[™] and evaporated. The residue was separated by flash chromatography (1:3 ethyl acetate:hexane) to afford first the product 103 (60 mg, 0.13 mmol, 10%), then unreacted 50 (150 mg, 25%). The product was a viscous oil: 'H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.85 (1H, br s), 6.68 (1H, s), 3.35 (1H, br s), 3.20-3.10 (1H, m), 2.74-2.64 (1H, m), 2.55 (3H, s), 2.53 (3H, s), 2.42 (3H, s), 1.79–1.25 (20H, m), 0.89 (3H, t, J=6 Hz), 0.88 (3H, t, J=6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 8 170.2, 156.6, 156.4, 149.1, 124.1, 113.8, 49.7, 41.5, 36.1, 32.2, 32.0, 31.8, 31.6, 27.8, 27.0, 26.4, 26.3, 24.3, 22.7, 22.5, 14.1, 14.0 (2C), 13.2. MS (CI): m/z 471 (18%), 470 (3), 469 (100).

Preparation of 50 through a ketene intermediate. A solution of **18d** (600 mg, 2.11 mmol) in 10 mL CH₃Cl₂ was treated with 1 drop DMF, then oxalyl chloride (0.60 mL, 6.88 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added. The mixture was allowed to stir for 2 h, then was evaporated. The acid chloride was taken up in THF (10 mL), and cooled to -50 °C. Triethylamine (0.35 mL, 2.51 mmol) was slowly added by syringe, and the mixture was allowed to stir for 10 min. Then, amine **13A** was added in THF solution (5 mL), and the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. Work up as before gave a mixture of **50** and **51**, measured to be 12:1 by 'H NMR.

Equilibration of 51. A solution of 51 (120 mg) in THF (2 mL) was treated with *tert*-butanol (100 mL) and potassium *tert*-butoxide (40 mL of a 1 M THF solution, 40 mmol). The solution was allowed to heat at reflux for 14 h, then cooled. The mixture was poured into water (30 mL), and was extracted with ethyl acetate (2×30 mL). The extracts were washed with brine (30 mL), combined, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated. Analysis by ¹H NMR showed a mixture of 50 and 51 (3:1).

Preparation of 2,6-diisopropylacetanilide (104). An ice-cooled solution of 2,6-diisopropylaniline (5.00 mL, 26.5 mmol) and triethylamine (4.10 mL, 29.2 mmol) in THF (30 mL) was treated with a solution of acetyl chloride (2.30 mL, 31.8 mmol) in THF (20 mL). The mixture was allowed to stir for 10 h, then was poured into water. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (2×100 mL), and the extracts were combined.

dricd over Na_2SO_4 , filtered, and evaporated. The resulting solid was recrystallized from ether:hexane (mp 189–190 °C) to afford **104** (5.06 g, 23.1 mmol, 87%). The 'H NMR showed rotational isomerism. MS (CI): *m/z* 237 (26%), 221 (17), 220 (100), 176 (1).

Preparation of N-ethyl-2,6-diisopropylaniline (105). A solution of 104 (5.00 g, 22.8 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added to an ice-cooled solution of LiAlH₄ (50.2 mL, 1.0 M, 50.2 mmol) in THF. The ice bath was removed after 1 h, and the solution was heated to reflux for 10 h. The solution was again cooled in an ice bath, and quenched by the careful addition of 2 mL water in 20 mL THF, followed by 6 mL of 15% aq NaOH, followed by 6 mL water. The resulting mixture was filtered through celite with THF washing, and the filtrate was dried over K₂CO₃. filtered, and evaporated. The residue was separated by flash chromatogaphy (1:4 ethyl acetate:hexane) to afford, first, 105 (3.01 g, 14.7 mmol, 64%), then unreacted 104 (1.66 g, 33%). Amine 105 was an oil: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.12-7.00 (311, m), 3.26 (2H, heptet, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.91 (2H, q, J = 7.3 Hz), 2.86 (1H, br s), 1.26 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.24 (12H, d, J = 7.0 Hz). MS (Cl): m/z 207 (18%), 206 (100), 178 (2), 106 (4).

Preparation of ethyl 2-thioacetylheptanoate (110). A solution of ethyl 2-bromoheptanoate (10.0 mL, 51.1 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was treated with K_2CO_3 (8.47) g, 61.3 mmol), and cooled to 0 °C. Thioacetic acid (4.10 mL. 56.2 mmol) was added dropwise in THF solution (15 mL), and the mixture was warmed to 60 °C and stirred for 10 h. An additional 1 mL thioacetic acid was added, and the mixture was stirred for 6 h. It was cooled, poured into water (200 mL), and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 200 mL). The extracts were combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The oily residue was separated by flash chromatography (3:97 ethyl acetate:hexane) to afford 110 as an oil (11.5 g, 49.4 mmol, 97%). 'H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.24-4.11 (3H, m), 2.35 (3H, s), 1.95–1.81 (1H, m), 1.79–1.65 (1H, m), 1.40–1.21 (9H, m), 0.88 (3H, t, J=6.6 Hz).

Preparation of ethyl 2-mercaptoheptanoate (111). A solution of the thiolacetate 110 (5.00 g, 21.5 mmol) in 95% ethanol (30 mL) was cooled to -10 °C, and a solution of sodium hydroxide (1.76 g, 44.1 mmol) in 95% ethanol (45 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to stir for 4 h, then was brought to 0 °C and neutralized to pH 4 with 6 N ag HCl. The solution was evaporated, and the residual material was partitioned between water and CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL each). The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to afford sufficiently pure thiol 111 as an oil (3.68 g, 19.3 mmol, 90%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.20 (2H, g, J=7.3 Hz), 3.29 (1H, dt, J=9.1, 7.7 Hz), 2.03 (1H, d, J=9.1 Hz), 1.97-1.84 (1H, m), 1.78-1.63 (1H, m)m), 1.50-1.25 (6H, m), 1.29 (3H, t, J=7.3 Hz), 0.89 (3H. t, J = 7.0 Hz).

Preparation of ethyl 2-phenacylthioheptanoate (112). A solution of 111 (3.68 g, 19.3 mmol), 2-chloroaceto-

phenone (2.72 g, 17.6 mmol) and K_3CO_3 (2.92 g, 21.1 mmol) in THF (40 mL) was heated to 60 °C for 12 h, then cooled and poured into water (200 mL). This was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 200 mL), and the extracts were combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The oily residue was separated by flash chromatography (1:19, ethyl acetate:hexane) to afford sulfide **112** as an oil (5.10 g, 16.5 mmol, 85%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.99–7.94 (2H, m), 7.62–7.56 (1H, m), 7.50–7.44 (2H, m), 4.18 (2H, q, J=7.3 Hz), 4.03 (2H, s), 3.37 (1H, dd, J=8.2, 6.8 Hz), 1.94–1.81 (1H, m), 1.76–1.60 (1H, m), 1.49–1.25 (6H, m), 1.26 (3H, t, J=7.3 Hz), 0.86 (3H, t, J=7.0 Hz). MS (CI): *m/z* 326 (49%), 310 (19), 309 (100), 280 (4).

Preparation of ethyl 4,5-dimethyl-2-pentyl-3,6-dihydrostandard 2H-thiopyran-2-carboxylate (113). The photolysis procedure as described for the synthesis of compound 17d was performed here. Thus, sulfide 112 (1.00 g, 3.24 mmol) and diene **5a** (3.70 mL, 32.4 mmol) afforded, after 7 h sun lamp photolysis, evaporation and chromatography, the product 113 as an oil (560 mg, 2.07 mmol, 64%). 'H NMR (CDCl₃): 8 4.21 (1H, dq, J = 10.5, 7.0 Hz), 4.14 (1H, dq, J = 10.5, 7.0 Hz), 3.20 (1H, dd, J = 16.7, 1.0 Hz), 2.88 (1H, dd, J = 16.7, 0.8 Hz), 2.62 (1H, d, J = 16.8 Hz), 2.25 (1H, dd, J = 16.8, 1.1 Hz), 1.89–1.70 (2H, m), 1.70 (6H, br s), 1.40-1.22 (6H, m), 1.26 (3H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 0.88 (3H, t, J = 6.9 Hz). MS (CI): m/z 288 (8%), 272 (19), 271 (100), 197 (9).

Preparation of the isomers of N-(2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl)-3,6-dipentyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-2-carboxamide. The acid 18d (as a racemic mixture of diastercomers, 26 mmol) was converted to the corresponding acid chloride exactly as above (3 equiv oxalyl chloride, cat. DMF, in CH₂Cl₂). After evaporation. the acid chloride was taken up in THF (20 mL), and added dropwise to a solution of (S)-2-amino-2-phenylethanol (2.81 g, 20.5 mmol) and triethylamine (3.50 mL, 25.1 mmol) in THF (40 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring for 10 h, the mixture was poured into water (200 mL), and extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 200 \text{ mL})$. The extracts were combined, dried over MgSO4, filtered, and evaporated. The residual material was separated by flash chromatography (1:3 ethyl acetate:hexane) to afford the four possible isomers of the product as first, one clean endo isomer (2.64 g), then an inseparable mixture of an endo and an exo isomer, then finally the other exo isomer (total yield 7.04 g, 17.4 mmol, 85%). The fraction with the highest R_t on TLC was assigned as compound 116, and was a waxy solid. 'H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.75 (1H, br d, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.40–7.23 (5H, m), 5.78 (1H, ddd, J = 10.9, 5.2, 2.0 Hz), 5.65 (1H, dd, J = 10.9, 1.6 Hz), 5.06 (1H, q, J = 5.9 Hz), 3.90 (2H, t, J = 5.3 Hz), 3.49 (1H, ddd, J = 9.4, 4.5, 2.1 Hz), 3.30 (1H, d, J=2.6 Hz), 2.99-2.90 (1H, m), 2.43 (1H, t, J = 5.8 Hz), 1.69–1.20 (16H, m), 0.90 (3H, t, J = 6.6Hz), 0.88 (3H, t, J = 6.6 Hz).

The same procedure was performed using (R)-2-amino-2-phenylethanol to obtain a similar mixture, containing the enatiomer of 116.

Preparation of (-)-methyl 3,6-dipentyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-2-carboxylate (117). A solution of amide 116 (308 mg, 763 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) was treated with 6 N aq H_2SO_4 (10 mL). The mixture was heated to gentle reflux for 10 h, then cooled and partially evaporated. The residual material was poured into water (100 mL), and extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 100 \text{ mL})$. The extracts were washed in sequence with brine (100 mL), then combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The product ester 117 was purified by filtration through a short column of silica gel with (1:4) ethyl acetatc:hexane, and was obtained as an oil upon evaporation of the filtrate (190 mg, 637 mmol, 83%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 5.79-5.69 (2H, m), 3.74 (3H, s), 3.42 (1H, br t, J=6.6 Hz), 3.36 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz), 2.55 - 2.45 (1H, m), 1.68 - 1.22 (16H, m)m), 0.89 (6H, t, J = 6.8 Hz). MS (CI): m/z 316 (20%), 300 (20), 299 (100), 239 (6).

Preparation of (-)-50. A solution of 116 (2.33 g, 5.77 mmol) in dioxane (100 mL) was treated with 6 N H₂SO₄ (100 mL), and the mixture was heated to reflux overnight. The solution was cooled, and poured into water (200 mL). This mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 × 200 mL). The extracts were combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to afford (-)-18d as a single diastercomer by ¹H NMR, identical to the spectrum of the *endo* isomer.

This material (1.57 g, 5.52 mmol) was treated with oxalyl chloride (2.00 mL, 22.9 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ solution, containing 1 drop DMF. After stirring for 2 h, the solution was evaporated, and the residue was taken up in THF and added to a solution of amine **13A** (1.10 g, 5.49 mmol) and triethylamine (1.00 mL, 7.17 mmol) in THF (10 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring for 12 h, the mixture was poured into water, and extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The extracts were washed with brine, combined, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and evaporated. Chromatography as before gave the title product as a waxy solid. Optical rotation: $[\alpha]_{25} - 10.30^{\circ}$ (*c* 0.602, ethanol).

The same procedure was repeated for the enantiomeric system to afford (+)-50. Optical rotation: $[\alpha]_{25}$ +10.50° (c 0.600, ethanol). Elemental analysis: C, H, N.

Preparation of (*R***)-***N*-(**2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl)-2-chloroacetamide (118).** A solution of (*R*)-2-amino-2-phenylethanol (2.50 g, 18.2 mmol) and tricthylamine (3.00 mL, 21.5 mmol) in THF (40 mL) was cooled to 0 °C, and treated dropwise with a solution of chloroacetyl chloride (1.60 mL, 20.1 mmol) in THF (10 mL). The solution was stirred for 10 h, then poured into water (100 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate (2×100 mL). The extracts were washed with brinc (100 mL), then combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The residual material was triturated with ether and filtered, and the solid dried (mp 94–96 °C) to afford pure **118** (2.48 g, 11.6 mmol, 64%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.43–7.28 (6H, m), 5.10 (1H, dt, J=7.7, 4.8 Hz), 4.15 (1H, d, J=15.4 Hz), 4.07 (1H, d, J=15.4 Hz), 3.94 (2H, d, J = 4.4 Hz), 1.60 (1H, br s). MS (CI): m/z 216 (34%), 215 (14), 214 (100).

Preparation of (R)-N-(2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl)-2phenacylthioacetamide (119). A solution of chloride 118 (2.48 g, 11.6 mmol). thiol 15 (1.95 g, 12.8 mmol), and K₂CO₃ (1.77 g, 12.8 mmol) in THF (30 mL) was heated to reflux for 6 h, then cooled and poured into water (100 mL). This mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2×100 mL), and the extracts were combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The residual material was separated by flash chromatography (1:4 acetone:hexane) to afford sulfide 119 as a solid, mp 97-98 °C (1.62 g, 4.92 mmol, 42%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 8 7.99–7.93 (2H, m), 7.65–7.26 (9H, m), 5.11 (1H, ddd, J = 7.7, 6.2, 4.4 Hz), 4.01 (1H, d, J = 15.4 Hz), 3.96 (1H, d, J = 15.4 Hz), 3.94–3.81 (2H, m), 3.30 (2H, s), 2.81 (1H, dd, J = 6.9, 5.8 Hz). MS (CI): m/z 332 (8%), 331 (23), 330 (100), 296 (2), 212 (1).

Alternate preparation of (+)-50. The standard photolysis procedure was employed for sulfide 119 (330 mg, 1.00 mmol) and diene 7d (1.95 g, 10.0 mmol) to afford the enantiomer of 116 (100 mg, 0.25 mmol, 25%), which showed identical spectral properties to the other enantiomer. H₂SO₂ hydrolysis as before gave (+)-18d (95%), then coupling with 13A exactly as before gave (+)-50 (36%).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the following for their efforts in this study: D. A. Cromley, L. L. Foster, S. J. Harvey, H. S. Kezar, D. L. Pedicord, K. L. Schlingmann, A. S. Srivastava, R. C. Stevenson, B. E. Thomas, K. Tanabe, and E. J. Wexler.

References

1. (a) Suckling, K. E.; Stange, E. F. J. Lipid Res. **1985**, 26, 647; (b) Billheimer, J. T.; Gillies, P. J. Advances in Cholesterol Research; Esfahem, M.; Swaney, J. B., Eds.; Telford, New Jersey; 1990; pp 1–45.

2. Meittinen, T. A.; Kesaniem, Y. A. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 1989. 49, 629.

3. (a) Huff, M. W.; Telford, D. E.; Barrett, P. H. R.; Billheimer, J. T.; Gillies, P. J. Arterioscler. Thromb. **1994**, *14*, 1498; (b) Carr, T. P.; Rudel, L. L. Arteriosclerosis **1990**, *10*, 823a.

4. Gillies, P. J.; Robinson, C. S.; Rathgeb, K. A. Arterioscler. 1990, 83, 177.

5. Bocan, T. M. A.; BakMueller, S.; Uhlendorf, P. D.; Newton, R. S.; Krause, B. R. Arterioscler. Thromb. **1991**, 11, 1830.

6. (a) Heider, J. G. *Pharmacological Control of Hyperlipidaemia*; J. R. Prous Science: Barcelona, 1986; pp 423–438;
(b) Sliskovic, D. R.; White, A. D. *Trends Pharm. Sci.* 1991, *12*, 194;
(c) Billheimer, J. T.; Wilde, R. G. *Curr. Drugs* 1991, B5.

7. Presented in part at the 210th American Chemical Society meeting, Chicago 1995; Abstr. MEDI-066.

8. McCarthy, P. A.; Hamanaka, E. S.; Marzetta, C. A.; Bamberger, M. J.; Gaynor, B. J.; Chang, G.; Kelly, S. E.; Inskeep, P. B.; Mayne, J. T.; Beyer, T. A.; Walker, F. J.; Goldberg, D. I.; Savoy, Y. E.; Davis, K. M.; Diaz, C. L.; Freeman, A. M.; Johnson, D. A.; LaCour, T. G.; Long, C. A.; Maloney, M. E.; Martingano, R. J.; Pettini, J. L.; Sand, T. M.; Wint, L. T. J. Med. Chem. **1994**, *37*, 1252.

9. Ashton, M. J.; Bridge, A. W.; Bush, R. C.; Dron, D. I.; Harris, N. V.; Jones, G. D.; Lythgoe, D. J.; Riddell, D.; Smith, C. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1992**, *2*, 375.

10. Ashton, M. J.; Bridge, A. W.; Bush, R. C.; Dron, D. I.; Joamou, D. R.; Riddell, D.; Roberts, S.; Stevenson, G. V. W.; Warne, P. J. 9th International Symposium on Atherosclerosis, Rosemont IL, 1991, Abstr. 92.

11. (a) Higley, C. A.; Wilde, R. G.; Maduskuie, T. P.; Johnson, A. L.; Pennev, P.; Billheimer, J. T.; Robinson, C. S.; Gillies, P. J.; Wexler, R. R. J. Med. Chem. **1994**, *37*, 3511; (b) Hainer, J. W.; Terry, J. G.; Connell, J. M.; Zyruk, H.; Jenkins, R. M.; Shand, D. L.; Gillies, P. J.; Livak, K. J.; Hunt, T. L.; Crouse, J. R. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. **1994**, *56*, 65.

12. For a review, see: Boger, D. L.; Weinreb, S. M. Hetero Diels-Alder Methodology in Organic Synthesis: Academic: San Diego, 1987.

13. Older reviews: (a) Campaigne, E. Chem. Rev. 1946, 39, 1;
(b) Wagner, A. Methoden der Organischen Chemie; Muller, E., Ed.: Houben-Weyl: Berlin, 1944; Vol. IX, pp 699-778.

14. Vedejs, E.; Perry, D. A.; Wilde, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2985.

15. Recent reviews: (a) Vedejs, E. Perspectives in the Organic Chemistry of Sulfur; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1987; pp 75–99; (b) Metzner, P. Synthesis 1992, 1185; (c) McGregor, W. M.; Sherrington, D. C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1993, 22, 199.

16. Vedejs, E.; Perry, D. A.; Houk, K. N.; Rondan, N. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6999.

17. Vedejs, E.; Stults, J. S.; Wilde, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 5452.

18. Araki, S.; Ohmura, M.; Butsugan, Y. Synthesis 1985, 963.

19. Zweifel, G.; Miller, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 6678.

20. Brown, H. C.; Gupta, S. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 4370.

21. Larock, R. C.; Gupta, S. K.; Brown, H. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 4371.

22. Larock, R. C.; Riefling, B. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 1468.

23. Hogeveen, H.; Smit, P. J. Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays Bas 1966, 85, 489.

24. (a) Vedejs, E.; Eberlein, T. H.; Varie, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, 104, 1445; (b) Vedejs, E.; Eberlein, T. H.; Mazur, D. J.; McClure, C. K.; Perry, D. A.; Ruggeri, R.; Schwartz, E.; Stults, J. S.; Varie, D. L.; Wilde, R. G.; Wittenberger, S. J. Org. Chem. **1986**, 51, 1556.

25. Caserio, M. C.; Lauer, W.; Novinson, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 6082.

26. Padwa, A.; Pashayan, D. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 3550.

27. Wagner, P. J.; Lindstrom, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3057.

28. (a) Bladon, C. M.; Ferguson, I. E. G.; Kirby, G. W.; Lochcad, A. W.; McDougall, D. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. *Commun.* **1983**, 423; (b) Bladon, C. M.; Ferguson, I. E. G.; Kirby, G. W.; Lochead, A. W.; McDougall, D. C. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1985**, 1541.

29. Bonjouklian, R.; Ruden, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 4095.

30. Maduskuie, T. P., Jr; Wilde, R. G.; Billheimer, J. T.; Cromley, D. A.; Germain, S.; Gillies, P. J.; Higley, C. A.; Johnson, A. L.; Pennev, P.; Shimshick, E. J.; Wexler, R. R. J. Med. Chem. **1995**, *38*, 1067.

31. Trivedi, B. K.; Purchase, T. S.; Holmes, A.; Augelli-Szafran, C. E.; Essenburg, A. D.; Hamelehle, K. L.; Stanfield, R. L.; Bousley, R. F.; Krause, B. R. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 1652.

(Received in U.S.A. 4 October 1995)

32. Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923.

33. Billheimer, J. T.; Tavani, D.; Nes, W. R. Anal. Biochem. 1981, 111, 331.

34. Bierer, D. F.; Kabalka, G. W. Org. Prep. Proc. Int. 1988, 20, 63.

35. Wang, C. S. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1970, 389.

36. Albert, A.; Brown D. J.; Wood, H. S. C. J. Chem. Soc. 1954, 3832.

37. McCarthy, P. A.; Walker, F. J.; Truong, T.; Hamanaka, E. S.; Chang, G. European Patent Application no. 418,071 A2.

38. Thiele, J. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1892, 271, 127.