β -Diketiminato Scandium Chemistry: Synthesis, Characterization, and Thermal Behavior of Primary Amido Alkyl Derivatives

Lisa K. Knight,[†] Warren E. Piers,^{*,†,1} Paul Fleurat-Lessard,^{†,2} Masood Parvez,[†] and Robert McDonald[‡]

Department of Chemistry, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive, N.W, Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 1N4, and X-Ray Structure Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G2

Received December 3, 2003

Treatment of the β -diketiminato-supported scandium dichlorides {[ArNC(R)CHC(R)NAr]- $ScCl_2$ (Ar = 2,6-ⁱPr₂-C₆H₃; R = CH₃, **1a**, n = 2; R = ^tBu, **1b**, n = 1) with 1 equiv of a lithium amide reagent LiN(H)R' (R' = ${}^{t}Bu$, 2,6- ${}^{i}Pr_{2}$ -C₆H₃) gave scandium amido derivatives. For 1a, use of LiN(H)^tBu leads to the bis-amido derivative (6a) regardless of the equivalency of amide reagent employed, suggesting that facile ligand redistribution processes are operative when the ligand is the less bulky methyl-substituted example. For **1b**, mono-amido chlorides **2b** ($\mathbf{R}' = {}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}$) and **3b** ($\mathbf{R}' = 2,6{}^{-\mathrm{t}}\mathbf{Pr}_2{}^{-}\mathbf{C}_6\mathbf{H}_3$) are obtained in good yields, and these compounds can be alkylated with MeLi to provide mono-amido methyl compounds 4b (R' = ^tBu) and **5b** (R' = 2,6-ⁱ Pr_2 - C_6H_3). All four of these compounds were characterized crystallographically. The amido ligand occupies the *exo* coordination site exclusively, and there is no evidence in solution for a diastereomer with the amido group in the *endo* site. DFT calculations suggest that there is a strong steric preference and a slight electronic bias for the amido ligand to assume the *exo* position. Thermolysis of the amido methyl complex **4b** leads to loss of CH_4 and production of a scandacylic product, 7, formed via metalation with one of the N-aryl isopropyl methyl groups. This compound was characterized crystallographically. Deuterium labeling experiments suggest that 7 is produced via direct metalation and does not form via a scandium imido intermediate.

Introduction

Imido ligands =NR play an important role in early transition metal organometallic chemistry as both spectator ligands and reactive intermediates.³ For example, bulky imido donors have been used in an ancillary role as a Cp equivalent in group 5 and 6 metal-based olefin polymerization catalysts,⁴ providing molecular fragments that are isolobal with group 4 metallocenes. Furthermore, highly reactive group 4 imido complexes, L_nM=NR, have been shown to be capable of activating C-H bonds by 1,2 addition across the M=N linkage in a remarkable reaction that both activates and functionalizes the alkane in one step. 5 Such intermediates are also implicated in catalytic cycles for the hydroamination of both allenes and alkynes by titanium- and zirconium-based catalyst precursors.⁶ Extensive kinetic and experimental evidence suggests that generation of the L_nM =NR species is a critical first step in the cycle involving L_nMR_2 precursors; the imido intermediate is rapidly captured by substrate via a 2+2 cycloaddition, which is the key C-N bond forming step in the process.

Given the rich mosaic of group 4, 5, and 6 imido chemistry, it is surprising that group 3 imido chemistry is to date extremely limited. To our knowledge, no examples of discrete, monomeric compounds $L_nM=NR$ for a group 3 metal have been prepared.⁷ Recently, Hessen et al. have reported a dimeric scandium imido that implicates the intermediacy of a monomeric imido species,⁸ but clearly the tendency to dimerize⁹ in these very Lewis acidic complexes is a challenge to address in their preparation and in their potential competence as intermediates in catalytic cycles.

[†] University of Calgary.

[‡] University of Alberta.

⁽¹⁾ Phone: 403-220-5746. E-mail: wpiers@ucalgary.ca. S. Robert Blair Professor of Chemistry (2000–2005).

⁽²⁾ Current address: Laboratoire de Chimie, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, 46, Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France.
(3) (a) Gade, L. H.; Mountford, P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 216–

 ^{(3) (}a) Gade, L. H.; Mountford, P. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2001, *216–217*, 65. (b) Duncan, A. P.; Bergmann, R. G. *Chem. Rec.* 2002, *2*, 431.
 (4) (a) Chan, M. C. W.; Cole, J. M.; Gibson, V. C.; Howard, J. A. K.; Lehmann, C.; Poole, A.; Siemeling, U. J. *Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*

Lehmann, C.; Poole, A.; Siemeling, U. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1998**, 103. (b) Gibson, V. C.; Redshaw, C.; Clegg, W.; Elsegood, M. R. J.; Siemeling, U.; Türk, T. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1996**, 4513. (c) Gibson, V. C. Mod. Coord. Chem. **2002**, 140.

⁽⁵⁾ Walsh, P. J.; Hollander, F. J.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1988**, 110, 8729. (b) Walsh, P. J.; Hollander, F. J.; Bergman, R. G. Organometallics **1993**, 12, 3705. (c) Cummins, C. C.; Baxter, S. M.; Wolczanski, P. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1988**, 110, 8731. (d) Schaller, C. P.; Cummins, C. C.; Wolczanski, P. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 591. (e) Wolczanski, P. T.; Bennett, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, 116, 2179.

⁽⁶⁾ Bytschkov, I.; Doye, S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 935.

⁽⁷⁾ Emslie, D. J. H.; Piers, W. E. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *233–34*, 129.

⁽⁸⁾ Beetstra, D. J.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B.; Teuben, J. H. Organometallics **2003**, *22*, 4372.

⁽⁹⁾ Other related examples: (a) Gordon, J. C.; Giesbrecht, G. R.; Clark, D. L.; Hay, J.; Keogh, D. W.; Poli, R.; Scott, B. L.; Watkin, J. G.; *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 4726. (b) Chan, H.-S.; Li, H.-W.; Xie, Z. *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 652.



Recently, we have reported a family of β -diketiminato ("nacnac")-supported organoscandium compounds [ArN- $C(R)CHC(R)NAr]ScR'_2$ (Ar = 2,6-ⁱPr₂-C₆H₃; R = CH₃, series **a**, $R = {}^{t}Bu$, series **b**; R' = Me, Et, Bn, CH_2SiMe_3 , CH₂CMe₃).¹⁰ The bulky character of these particular nacnac ancillaries¹¹ allows for access to base-free scandium dichloride starting materials and bis-alkyl derivatives. We have recently observed that some of the bisalkyl compounds are viable catalyst precursors for the intramolecular hydroamination of alkynes and alkynes.¹² While organo group 3 and lanthanide-based catalysts for this reaction have been explored in detail by Marks and co-workers, most are based on mono-alkyl or amido precursors¹³ and utilize a mechanism whose foundation is the σ bond metathesis reaction.¹⁴ Given that the bisalkyl functionality of our catalyst precursors offers the opportunity for an imido-based mechanism similar to that seen in group 4 catalysts, we were interested in exploring the (nacnac)Sc fragment as a platform for imido chemistry.¹⁵ Herein we report our initial investigations with this goal in mind, using a strategy pioneered by Bergman^{5a,b} and Wolczanski^{5c-e} in their seminal group 4 studies involving the thermolysis of primary amido methyl complexes of general formula (nacnac)Sc(CH₃)(NHR).

Results and Discussion

Synthetic Chemistry. The nacnac ligands employed in this study differ only in the substituent on the backbone of the ligand, one incorporating methyl groups (**a** series), the other the more bulky ^tBu group (**b** series). Although the structural change is somewhat remote from the locus of coordination, ^tBu-substituted ligand **b** is significantly more sterically imposing than ligand **a** since the larger ^tBu groups push the *N*-aryl groups forward by $5-7^{\circ}$ and hold them more perpendicular to the N₂C₃ ligand plane.¹¹ Thus, the scandium dichloride starting materials **1a** and **1b**, whose syntheses have been described previously,¹⁰ differ in that **1a** exists as a mono-THF adduct upon initial preparation, while the more sterically congested **1b** is monomeric and THFfree. The THF can be removed from **1a**·THF under high vacuum, yielding a dimeric dichloride that is used to preclude inclusion of THF into subsequent products.¹⁶

Amido derivatives can be prepared from dichlorides **1** and LiNHR (R = ${}^{t}Bu$, $2,6{}^{-i}Pr_2{}^{-}C_6H_3$). The steric differences between the ligands are apparent in their reactivity toward LiNH^tBu (Scheme 1). 1b reacts cleanly with 1 equiv of either lithium tert-butyl amide or the bulky lithium anilide LiNH-2,6-ⁱPr₂C₆H₃ to give the amido-chlorides 2b and 3b; these can be subsequently alkylated with MeLi to give the methyl amido complexes 4b and 5b. While Mindiola et al. have shown that reaction between 1a. THF and 1 equiv of LiNH-2,6-i-Pr₂C₆H₃ gives the amido chloride **3b**·THF,¹⁷ reaction between base-free 1a and LiNH^tBu affords mixtures of the starting dichloride and the bis-amido complex 6a. Compound **6a** can be separately prepared by using 2 equiv of LiNH^tBu. Although we have not studied this reaction in detail, it appears that putative amido chloride 2a is not stable toward ligand redistribution to 1a and 6a, probably due to the fact that the less sterically demanding methyl-substituted ligand a allows for formation of the dimeric transition states necessary for ligand exchange.

This tendency to redistribute ligands in the **a** series of compounds can be used to advantage for the preparation of the *tert*-butylamido methyl complex **4a** (Scheme 2). Equimolar mixtures of **1a** and the dimeric dimethyl complex shown¹⁶ slowly redistribute the methyl and chloro ligands at room temperature to give pure samples of the methyl chloride complex. The process cannot be encouraged using heat, since this leads to metallative decomposition of the dimethyl complex, as reported earlier.¹⁰ Treatment of the methyl chloride species with LiNH^tBu gives the desired amido methyl derivative **4a**. Unfortunately, the thermolysis of this compound is also dominated by ligand redistribution processes; heating

⁽¹⁰⁾ Hayes, P. G.; Lee, L. W. M.; Knight, L. K.; Piers, W. E.; Parvez, M.; Elsegood, M. R. J.; Clegg, W.; MacDonald, R. Organometallics 2001, 20, 2533.

⁽¹¹⁾ Budzelaar, P. H. M.; van Oort, A. B.; Orpen, A. G. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 1485.

⁽¹²⁾ Lauterwasser, F.; Hayes, P. G.; Piers, W. E.; Brase, S.; Schafer,
L. L. Organometallics 2004, 23, in press.
(13) Ryu, J.-S.; Li, G. Y.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125,

⁽¹³⁾ Ryu, J.-S.; Li, G. Y.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, 125, 12584.

⁽¹⁴⁾ For a recent paper on mono-ligand bis-amido and alkyl precursors, see: Hong, S.; Tian, S.; Metz, M. V.; Marks, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 14768.

⁽¹⁵⁾ These bulky β -diketiminato ligands have been used to generate monomeric group 13 imido complexes: (a) Cui, C. M.; Roesky, H. W.; Schmidt, H. G.; Noltemeyer, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 4531. (b) Hardman, N. J.; Cui, C. M.; Roesky, H. W.; Fink, W. F.; Power, P. P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 2172.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Hayes, P. G.; Piers, W. E.; Parvez, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 5622.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Basuli, F.; Tomaszewski, J.; Huffman, J. C.; Mindiola, D. J. Organometallics **2003**, 22, 4705.



to 100 °C yields samples of the bis-amido complex **6a** and the thermal decomposition products of the dimethyl species. Given the propensity of the amido complexes supported by the less sterically demanding **a** ligand to undergo ligand redistribution, we subsequently focused on the full characterization and thermolysis of the compounds **2**–**5b**, supported by the ^tBu-substituted ligand system.

Solid State and Solution Characterization of **2–5b.** All four of the amido compounds of ligand **b** reported here have been characterized crystallographically; the molecular structures of the tert-butyl amido complexes 2b and 4b are given in Figure 1, while those of the N-aryl amido compounds 3b and 5b are given in Figure 2. Selected metrical parameters for the four compounds are collected in Table 1, and crystal data and refinement details can be found in Table 3; full experimental details for all structure determinations are included as Supporting Information. Data associated with the ligand are similar to those found in a range of (nacnac)ScR₂ compounds;¹⁰ in particular, the bite angle of the ligand defined by N(1)-Sc-N(2) is within the expected range for this ligand, and the Sc-N_{ligand} bond lengths of ~ 2.1 Å are as anticipated. The Sc-N(3) distance to the amido nitrogen, however, is significantly shorter in all cases, and the distance of 1.986(2) Å for **2b** is to our knowledge the shortest Sc-N bond to date. While this might be attributable in part to the low coordination number of the compound, there is also likely a strong π -donation component to the bonding with the N(3) nitrogen in these compounds.

As for most four-coordinate complexes of scandium and other metals supported by the bulky nacnac ligand **b**, the metal dips out of the plane defined by the N_2C_3 atoms of the ligand backbone. This is primarily to allow the two other substituents on the metal to minimize interactions with the N-aryl isopropyl groups. For the tert-butyl amido compounds 2b and 4b the deviation of Sc from this plane (\sim 1.2 Å, Table 1) is more severe than that found for the N-aryl amido compounds (~ 0.9 Å). This arrangement creates chemically distinct environments for the two coordination sites at the metal not occupied by the ligand. The endo position lies underneath the N₂C₃ ligand plane, while the *exo* site points away from this plane. As can be seen from Figures 1 and 2, in all four compounds 2-5b, the amido ligand occupies the *exo* position, while the chloride or methyl groups are found in the endo coordination site.



Figure 1. Crystalmaker diagrams of the *tert*-butyl amido complexes **2b** (A, above) and **4b** (B, below). In B, the *N*-aryl isopropyl groups and the methyl groups of the *tert*-butyl groups of the ligand backbone have been removed for clarity.

We have shown that the *endo* and *exo* positions are in fast exchange in solution for compounds (nacnac)-ScR₂ and that this exchange can be frozen out at low temperature on the NMR time scale.¹⁰ Likely, this exchange takes place via a transition state of $C_{2\nu}$ symmetry where the Sc atom lies in the N₂C₃ ligand plane; we thus term this exchange process the "ligand flip" mechanism. For compounds where the two ligands on Sc are different, this ligand flip process exchanges two diastereomers in which the two groups occupy different sites in each isomer. We have observed this behavior in the ion pairs formed from (Lig**b**)ScMe₂ and



Figure 2. Crystalmaker diagrams of the $2,6^{-i}Pr_2-C_6H_3$ anilido complexes **3b** (A, above) and **5b** (B, below). In A, the *N*-aryl isopropyl groups of the ligand have been removed for clarity.

Table 1. Selected Metrical Parameters forScandium Mixed-Amido Compounds 2–5b

parameter	2b	4b	3b	5b			
Selected Bond Distances (Å)							
Sc-N(1)	2.125(2)	2.131(2)	2.062(1)	2.097(1)			
Sc-N(2)	2.115(2)	2.148(1)	2.165(1)	2.173(1)			
Sc-N(3)	1.986(2)	2.000(2)	2.013(1)	2.040(1)			
$Sc-E^a$	2.125(2)	2.229(2)	2.3692(5)	2.212(2)			
N ₂ C ₃ plane-Sc	1.265(3)	1.207(2)	0.923(2)	0.920(2)			
Selected Bond Angles (deg)							
N(1)-Sc-N(2)	92.82(8)	93.40(6)	91.90(5)	91.55(5)			
C(1)-N(2)-C(24)	126.3(2)	126.2(2)	126.6(1)	126.0(1)			
C(3)-N(1)-C(12)	127.6(2)	126.0(1)	124.8(1)	124.4(1)			
Sc-N(3)-C(36)	140.3(2)	139.4(2)	149.5(1)	144.8(1)			
E-Sc-N(3)	105.60(8)	108.72(9)	125.63(4)	119.68(8)			
Selected Torsion Angle (deg)							
E ^a -Sc-N(3)-C(36) 179.3(3)	175.1(2)	49.8(2)	16.8(2)			
^a E = Cl for 2b and 3b . C(40) for 4b , and C(48) for 5b .							

 $B(C_6F_5)_3$, where two isomers are observed in an approximately 2:1 ratio at low temperature,¹⁸ as well as some telluride and tellurolate derivatives.¹⁹

For compounds 2-5b, which should also exhibit this sort of behavior, only one set of signals is observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum down to 185 K and up to 363 K, suggesting that the *exo*-amido isomer found in the solid state is significantly more stable than the *endo* isomer or that the barrier to exchange of the two diastereomers is high enough to make exchange on the NMR time scale slow. The latter explanation is unlikely, given that a ligand flip exchange of the two *tert*-butyl amido groups in **6a** is quite readily frozen out at 195 K ($\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 13.6$ kcal mol⁻¹), indicating that the presence of π donor amido ligands does not increase the barrier to ligand flipping significantly.²⁰ However, the fact that the mixed alkyl species [ArNC(^tBu)CHC(^tBu)NAr]ScCH₂SiMe₃-(CH₃), which is sterically similar to 4b, exhibits exchanging diastereomers, present in a 2:1 ratio at 185 K,²¹ suggests that the π donating amido ligand may be playing a role in stabilizing the exo-amido isomer over the isomer with the amido group in the *endo* position. To probe this question further, a DFT computational study was conducted.

Using coordinates obtained from the X-ray structure of **2b**, the relative energies and Sc–N bond orders were calculated for the exo and endo isomers of (Ligb)ScCl-(NHR) (Table 2). To minimize steric factors, calculations for R = H were performed in addition to the genuine molecule where $\mathbf{R} = {}^{t}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}$. In addition, for the calculations on the isomers of **2b**, the orientation of the *tert*-butyl group relative to Sc-Cl was varied. In the crystal structure, the *tert*-butyl group is located *trans* to the Sc-Cl vector (Cl-Sc-N(3)-C(36) = $179.3(3)^{\circ}$), comprising the exo-trans isomer. The other permutations are depicted in the heading of Table 2. Note that as the amido substitutent gets larger, deviations from pure trans and cis orientations are quite severe, as demonstrated by the E-Sc-N(3)-C(36) torsion angles of 49.8-(2)° and 16.8(2)° for **3b** and **5b**, respectively. Therefore, calculations were not performed on models of these compounds.

As can be seen in Table 2, in the sterically unencumbered NH₂ compounds, the *exo* isomer is calculated to be more stable than the *endo* isomer by $3.0 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$. This appears to be primarily due to an increased bond order between Sc and N in comparison to the endo structure. In general, the bond order between Sc and the amido nitrogen is indicative of some multiple bond character, while the bonds to the nacnac nitrogens more closely approximate Sc-N single bonds. This is in keeping with the observed differences in bond lengths to the two types of nitrogens. A similar but less pronounced trend of higher bond orders for the exo isomers is observed in the calculated structures for the tert-butyl amido compounds. In both the trans and cis oriented exo isomers, the bond order to the amido nitrogen is slightly higher than that observed in the corresponding endo isomers. However, in these compounds, steric factors play a much larger role in determining the relative energies of the isomers. The exo-trans species, corresponding to the solid state struc-

⁽¹⁸⁾ Hayes, P. G.; Piers, W. E.; McDonald, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 2132.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Knight, L. K.; Piers, W. E.; McDonald, R. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 4322.

⁽²⁰⁾ The barrier to ligand flip in $(Liga)Sc(CH_2CMe_3)_2$ is 11.4 kcal mol⁻¹; see ref 10.

⁽²¹⁾ Hayes, P. G.; Piers, W. E., unpublished results.

Table 2. Calculated Bond Orders and Relative Energies of Various Amide Chloride Compoundsof Ligand b



Table 3. Summary of Data Collection and Structure Refinement Details for Complexes

	2b	3b	4b	5b	7
formula	C ₃₉ H ₆₃ N ₃ ScCl	C50H78N3ScCl	$C_{40}H_{66}N_{3}Sc$	C48H74N3Sc	$C_{39}H_{62}N_3Sc$
fw	654.33	801.56	633.92	738.06	617.88
cryst syst	monoclinic	triclinic	triclinic	orthorhombic	monoclinic
a, Å	18.3145(15)	10.9568(5)	11.2559(8)	13.5396(2)	12.147(3)
<i>b</i> , Å	17.6376(15)	12.9352(6)	12.4490(9)	18.1218(2)	17.490(5)
<i>c</i> , Å	12.6483(11)	19.6028(9)	15.4106(11)	18.5560(2)	18.028(6)
α, deg		77.8167(9)	88.2825(14)		
β , deg	105.0938(19)	73.7643(9)	89.3523(15)		96.116(12)
γ , deg		65.6747(9)	65.4512(15)		
$V, Å^3$	3944.7(6)	2416.02(19)	1963.3(2)	4552.94(10)	3808.3(19)
space group	$P2_1/c$	$P\overline{1}$	$P\bar{1}$	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$	$P2_1/n$
Ż	4	2	2	4	4
$d_{\rm calc}$, mg m ⁻³	1.102	1.102	1.072	1.077	1.078
μ , mm ⁻¹	0.282	0.242	0.216	0.195	0.221
R1	0.0553	0.0396	0.0481	0.045	0.0459
wR2	0.1662	0.1098	0.1352	0.105	0.1098
gof	1.171	1.020	1.024	1.02	1.033
-					

Scheme 3



ture, was found to be the lowest in energy, with the other orientations of the *tert*-butyl amido ligand significantly destabilized relative to this isomer. Thus, for the substituted *tert*-butyl amido derivative, there is both an electronic and a steric preference for the amido ligand to occupy the *exo* coordination site, and equilibration to the *endo-trans* isomer via a ligand flip mechanism is not thermodynamically favored.

Thermolysis of Methyl Amido Compound 4b. The *exo-trans* geometry of **4b** seems poised to eliminate methane in chemistry analogous to that reported by Bergman^{5a,b} and Wolczanski,^{5c-e} and generation of a scandium imido complex. Heating NMR scale samples of **4b** in C₆D₆ or C₇D₈ to 60 °C indeed led to loss of CH₄ over the course of several hours, cleanly producing compound, **7**, whose ¹H NMR spectrum was consistent with metalation of one of the ⁱPr methyl groups of the *N*-aryl nacnac substitutents (Scheme 3). This process is the primary thermal decomposition pathway for the neutral dialkyl compounds LScR₂;¹⁰ formation of **7** is qualitatively much slower than the metalation processes in LScR₂. However, a characteristic pattern of four

methine multiplets and seven methyl doublets for the ⁱPr groups is clearly indicative of the outcome of this reaction. Furthermore, two doublets of doublets at 0.95 and 0.44 ppm (${}^{2}J_{HH} = 12.4$ Hz; ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 5.4$ Hz) for the diastereotopic protons of the metalated methyl group are a hallmark of these metalated compounds. The structure of 7 was also confirmed by X-ray crystallography, and two views of the molecule are given in Figure 3, along with selected metrical data. While some disorder was present in the molecular core, it was successfully modeled (see Supporting Information) and the metalated nature of the compound is clearly exposed. From the front view of the molecule shown in Figure 3A, it is clear that a consequence of the metalation is a more "upright" set of N-aryl rings, which allows the Sc atom to slip back into the ligand's coordination plane (deviation of the Sc atom from the N_2C_3 plane is only 0.438(8) Å). Notably, the amido group again occupies the exo coordination site, and the Sc-C(35) distance of 2.251(4) Å is only slightly longer than the analogous bonds in **4b** and **5b**.

Two potential mechanisms for the formation of **7** are depicted in Scheme 4. One involves direct metalation via σ bond metathesis between the C–H bond of the ⁱPr group and the Sc–CH₃ bond,²² while the other invokes the intermediacy of a reactive scandium imido species. Mindiola has reasonably speculated on the involvement of such an imido species to rationalize some deuterium scrambling processes in the related compound (Liga)-



Figure 3. Crystalmaker diagrams of two views of the metalated amido complex 7. Selected bond lengths (Å): Sc–N(1), 2.149(3); Sc–N(2), 2.140(3); Sc–N(3), 2.016(2); Sc–C(35), 2.251(4); N₂C₃ plane–Sc, 0.438(8). Selected bond angles (deg): N(1)–Sc–N(2), 83.6(1); C(1)–N(2)–C(24), 130.6(3); C(3)–N(1)–C(12), 127.8(2); Sc–N(3)–C(36), 143.5-(2); C(35)–Sc–N(3), 116.0(1). Selected torsion angles (deg): C(3)–N(1)–C(12)–C(13), 91.0(6); C(1)–N(2)–C(24)–C(25), 116.0(4); C(3)–N(1)–C(12)–C(17), –96.8(6); C(1)–N(2)–C(24)–C(24)–C(29), –75.6(5).

Sc(NHAr)HBEt₃,¹⁵ but no definitive evidence for its competence as an intermediate was put forward. We probed this question by monitoring the loss of CH₄/ CH₃D from d_1 -**4b**, selectively labeled in the ND position. As shown in Scheme 4, direct metalation should produce CH₄, while loss of CH₃D would indicate that formation

of **7** involves the imide intermediate trapped by addition of an ⁱPr C–H bond across the reactive Sc=NR fragment. Heating samples of d_1 -**4b** lead exclusively to production of CH₄, and both ¹H and ²H NMR spectroscopy indicated that the deuterium label remains on the amido nitrogen, with no significant scrambling of the deuterium into other positions of the molecule. This observation argues against the intermediacy of a scandium imido species in this chemistry.

Summary and Conclusions

While the (nacnac)Sc fragment supports primary amido alkyl complexes, these compounds do not serve as precursors to scandium imido derivatives in the same way that amido alkyls of the group 4 metals do. Rather, metalation of the nacnac ligand is the low-energy pathway for CH₄ loss. Use of more metalation-resistant nacnac ligands or other approaches based on deprotonation strategies are currently being explored in attempts to realize well-defined scandium imido complexes. Our results do, however, suggest that scandium imido compounds are relatively high energy species and raise questions as to the viability of such intermediates in hydroamination cycles catalyzed by L_nMR_2 compounds,^{12,14} where M is a group 3 or lanthanide metal.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. An MBraun or Vacuum Atmospheres argon-filled glovebox was employed for manipulation and storage of oxygen- and moisture-sensitive complexes. Reactions were performed utilizing a double-manifold argon/ vacuum line and modified Schlenk line techniques. Matheson Oxisorb-W gas purification cartridges were used to remove residual moisture and oxygen in the argon stream. Hexane, toluene, and THF were dried and deoxygenated as they passed through a Grubbs/Dow purification system²³ and were stored in evacuated bombs over titanocene²⁴ (hexane and toluene) or sodium/benzophenone ketyl (THF).

NMR spectra were obtained on Bruker AC-200 MHz (¹H and ¹³C{¹H}), AMX-300 MHz (¹H, ²H, ¹H-⁻¹H EXSY, and ¹H-⁻¹H ROESY), and DRX-400 MHz (¹H, ¹³C{¹H}, ¹H-⁻¹³C HMQC, ¹H-⁻¹H EXSY, and ¹H-⁻¹H COSY) instruments. All NMR spectral data are reported in ppm, and NMR spectra of ¹H, ²H, and ¹³C were internally referenced to the residual solvent peak. Temperature calibration for the NMR spectra was achieved by monitoring the ¹H NMR spectrum of methanol or ethylene glycol.²⁵ Elemental analyses were performed by Dorothy Fox or Roxanna Smith using a Control Equipment Corporation





(CEC) 440 elemental analyzer. The electrospray ionization mass spectrometry was undertaken by Qiao Wu with a Bruker Esquire 3000, and the high-resolution mass spectrometry was performed by Dorothy Fox with a Kratos MS80RFA.

All materials were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and purified according to standard procedures. ScCl₃·THF₃ was produced by refluxing Sc₂O₃ (purchased from Boulder Scientific) in concentrated HCl, and after the removal of the excess HCl, the reaction mixture was dried with thionyl chloride, SOCl₂, in refluxing THF.²⁶ The ligands **a** and **b** were synthesized and lithiated according to literature procedures. All amines/ anilines were dried over CaH₂ and freshly distilled to another flask before lithiation with 1 equiv of "BuLi to produce the LiNRR' salts. For the deuterium labeling studies, H₂N^tBu was mixed with 20 equiv of D₂O and stirred overnight to produce D₂N^tBu and dried as above.

Computational Procedures. All computational analyses were performed on the MACI cluster (Multimedia Advanced Computational Infrastructure) at the University of Calgary. All of the calculations have been carried out using the Amsterdam Density Function program package developed by Barends et al.²⁷ and vectorized by Ravenek.^{28,29} The Becke-Perdew exchange correlation function³⁰ has been used throughout. The numerical integration scheme applied was developed by te Velde et al.³¹ Auxialiary³² s, p, d, f, and g STO functions centered on all nuclei were used to fit the Coulomb and exchange potentials during the SCF process. For the scandium atom, a standard triple- ζ STO basis set from the ADF database TZP was employed with 1s-3p electrons treated as frozen core. For the nonmetal elements, a standard double- ζ basis set with one set of polarization functions (ADF database DZP) was used, with 1s electron treated as frozen core for non-hydrogen atoms. The geometries were taken directly from the X-ray structures and were not further optimized. The geometries of the endo isomers were derived from those of the exo isomers by exchanging the amido ligand with the Cl or Me ligands. The geometries of the *cis* and *trans* isomers were derived by rotating the amido ligand around the Sc-N bond by 180° with no further adjustment. The bond orders were calculated following the scheme developed by R. F. Nalewajski and coworkers.33

Synthesis of 2b. Toluene (25 mL) was condensed into an evacuated flask containing 1b (0.291 g, 0.472 mmol) and LiNH^tBu (0.049 g, 0.619 mmol). The yellow reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature with a hot water bath and stirred for 1 h before the removal of the solvent under reduced pressure. Hexane (25 mL) was added to the flask, and the mixture was heated to approximately 40 °C to increase the solubility of the compound in hexane. The LiCl was removed by filtration, and the solid was washed with hexane (3 \times 10

- (28) Ravenek, W. In Algorithms and Applications on Vector and Parallel Computers; te Riele, H. J. J., Dekker, T. J., van de Horst, H. A., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1987.
- (29) Boerrigter, P. M.; te Velde, G.; Baerends, E. J. Int. J. Quantum
- Chem. 1988, 33, 87. (30) (a) Becke, A. Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098. (b) Perdew, J. P. Phys. Rev. B 1986, 34, 7406. (c) Perdew, J. P. Phys. Rev. B 1986, 33, 8822.
- (31) te Velde, G.; Baerends, E. J. Comput. Chem. 1992, 99, 84.
- (32) Krijn, J.; Baerends, E. J.; Vernoijs, P. At. Nucl. Data Tables 1982, 26, 483.

(33) (a) Nalewajski, R. F.; Mrozek, J. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 1994, 51, 187. (b) Nalewajski, R. F.; Mrozek, J.; Mazur, G. Can. J. Chem. 1996, 74, 1121. (c) Mrozek, J.; Nalewajski, R. F.; Michalak, A. Polish J. Chem. 1998, 72, 1779.

mL). Removal of hexane in vacuo gave crude product, and after recrystallization from the same solvent at -36 °C, pure **2b** was obtained. Yield: 0.222 g, 72%. ¹H NMR: 7.08-6.97 (m, 6H, C₆H₃), 5.82 (s, 1H, CH), 4.32 (br s, 1H, NH), 3.62, 3.03 (m, $2 \times 2H$, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.67, 1.38, 1.29, 1.23 (d, $4 \times 6H$, CH- $(CH_3)_2$, $J_{H-H} = 6.6$ or 6.8 Hz), 1.15 (s, 18H, NCC $(CH_3)_3$), 0.81 (br s, 9H, NHC(CH₃)₃. ¹³C{¹H} NMR: 175.2 (NCC(CH₃)₃), 144.8, 142.7, 140.8, 126.5, 124.8, 124.3 (C₆H₃), 93.1 (CH), 54.5 (NHC(CH₃)₃), 45.3 (NCC(CH₃)₃), 34.4 (NHC(CH₃)₃), 32.7 (NCC-(CH₃)₃), 29.6 and 29.1 (CH(CH₃)₂), 27.5, 26.1, 25.2, and 25.0 ((CH(*C*H₃)₂). Anal. Calcd for C₃₉H₆₃ClN₃Sc: C, 71.77; H, 9.71; N, 6.43. Found: C, 71.92; H, 9.54; N, 6.42.

Synthesis of *d*₁-2b. This compound was prepared in a manner identical to the one previously described for 2b, with the exception that LiND^tBu was used in place of LiNH^tBu. The ¹H NMR spectrum of *d*₁-2*b* matched the spectrum of 2*b*, except that no resonance was observed for the proton attached to the nitrogen of the amido group.

Synthesis of 3b. The method to prepare 3b using 1b (0.607 g, 0.984 mmol) and LiNH-2,6-ⁱPr₂-C₆H₃ (0.215 g, 1.17 mmol) is similar to the procedure for **2b** above except that the reaction mixture was sonicated for approximately 5 min prior to stirring to increase the solubility of the reagents. On account of the reduced solubility of **3b** in comparison to **2b**, the precipitate was washed five times with hexane to extract any residual product. **3b** was recrystallized from hexane at -36 °C. Yield: 0.356 g, 48%. ¹H NMR: 7.02–6.90 and 6.75 (m, 9H, $3 \times C_6H_3$), 6.24 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.09 (s, 1H, CH), 3.75 and 3.09 (m, $2 \times$ 2H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.98 (m, 2H, NH-2,6-CH(CH₃)₂C₆H₃), 1.50, 1.29, 1.15, and 1.07 (d, 4 \times 6H, CH(CH_3)_2, $J_{\rm H-H}=6.7$ or 6.8 Hz), 1.11 (s, 18H, NCC(CH₃)₃), 1.04 (d, 12H, NH-2,6-CH- $(CH_3)_2C_6H_3$, $J_{H-H} = 6.6$ Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: 177.3 (NC-(CH₃)₃), 149.1, 143.7, 143.2, 142.0, 134.6, 127.4, 125.8, 124.8, 124.6, 124.2, 123.5, and 118.8 (3 \times C₆H₃), 96.8 (CH), 45.0 (NCC(CH₃)₃), 32.5 (NCC(CH₃)₃), 32.2 (NH-2,6-CH(CH₃)₂C₆H₃), 31.0, 27.3, 25.6 and 25.5 (CH(CH₃)₂), 29.2 and 29.1 (CH(CH₃)₂), 25.1 (NH-2,6-CH(CH₃)₂C₆H₃). Anal. Calcd for C₄₇H₇₁N₃ScCl: C, 74.42; H, 9.44; N, 5.54. Found: C, 74.58; H, 9.12; N, 5.30.

Synthesis of 4b. Toluene (10 mL) was added to a flask charged with 2b (0.796 g, 1.22 mmol) and MeLi (0.034 g, 1.55 mmol) and stirred overnight at 35 °C. The toluene was removed in vacuo and replaced with hexane (10 mL). Prior to filtration, the deep yellow reaction mixture was warmed to approximately 40 °C; after filtration, the residual product was extracted from the LiCl precipitate with hexane (3 \times 5 mL). Upon removal of the solvent, a yellow powder was obtained. Crude 4b was recrystallized from hexane at -36 °C, generating yellow crystals. Yield: 0.394 g, 51%. ¹H NMR: 7.10-6.99 (m, 6H, C₆ H_3), 5.72 (s, 1H, CH), 3.68 and 3.09 (m, 2 \times 2H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.54 (br s, 1H, NH), 1.67, 1.40, 1.28 and 1.26 (d, 4 \times 6H, CH(CH₃)₂, J_{H-H} = 6.7 Hz), 1.16 (s, 18H, NCC(CH₃)₃), 0.80 (s, 9H, NHC(CH₃)₃), -0.07 (s, 3H, ScCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: 174.6 (NCC(CH₃)₃), 145.1, 142.5, 141.0, 126.1, 124.7, and 124.3 (C6H3), 93.2 (CH), 53.7 (NHC(CH3)3), 45.3 (NC-C(CH₃)₃), 34.9 (NHC(CH₃)₃), 32.9 (NCC(CH₃)₃), 30.6 (ScCH₃) 29.5 and 29.0 (CH(CH₃)₂), 27.3, 26.2, 25.2, and 25.0 (CH(CH₃)₂). Anal. Calcd for C₄₀H₆₆N₃Sc: C, 75.78; H, 10.49; N, 6.63. Found: C, 75.72; H, 10.31; N, 6.58.

Synthesis of *d*₁-4b. This compound was prepared in a manner identical to the one previously described for 4b, with the exception that d_1 -2b was used. The ¹H NMR spectrum of d_1 -4b matched the analogous spectrum of 4b, except that no resonance was observed for the proton attached to the nitrogen of the amido group. The synthesis of *d*₁-4b was also confirmed with ²H NMR: 3.54 (ND).

Synthesis of 5b. This synthesis was similar to that described for 4b, except that the reaction mixture of 3b (0.728 g, 0.961 mmol) and MeLi (0.024 g, 1.09 mmol) was heated for a full 24 h at 35 °C. Yield: 0.330 g, 47%. ¹H NMR: 7.02-6.89 and 6.74 (m, 9H, 3 \times C₆H₃), 5.99 (s, 1H, CH), 5.44 (br s, 1H, NH), 3.68 and 3.02 (m, 2 × 2H, CH(CH₃)₂), 2.18 (m, 2H, NH-

⁽²³⁾ Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1518.

⁽²⁴⁾ Marvich, R. H.; Brintzinger, H. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 2046.

⁽²⁵⁾ Ammann, C.; Meier, P.; Merbach, A. E. J. Magn. Reson. 1982, 46. 319.

 ⁽²⁶⁾ Manzer, L. *Inorg. Synth.* 1982, *21*, 135.
 (27) (a) Baerends, E. J. Ph.D. Thesis, Free University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1973. (b) Baerends, E. J.; Ellis, D. E.; Ros, P. Chem. Phys. 1973, 2, 41

2,6-*CH*(CH₃)₂C₆H₃), 1.45, 1.30, 1.16, and 1.05 (d, $4 \times 6H$, CH-(CH₃)₂, $J_{H-H} = 6.6$ Hz or 6.8 Hz), 1.12 (s, 18H, NCC(CH₃)₃), 1.03 (d, 12H, NH-2,6-CH(CH₃)₂C₆H₃), $J_{H-H} = 6.8$ Hz), 0.13 (s, 3H, ScCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: 177.1 (N*C*C(CH₃)₃), 149.8, 143.6, 143.4, 142.1, 134.2, 127.0, 125.5, 124.8, 123.4, and 117.7 (10 of 12 signals were observed for $3 \times C_6H_3$), 96.1 (*C*H), 45.0 (NC*C*(CH₃)₃), 32.7 (NCC(CH₃)₃), 30.7 (NH-2,6-[*C*H(CH₃)₂]-C₆H₃), 29.2 and 29.0 (*C*H(CH₃)₂), 27.1, 25.7, 25.3, and 25.0 (CH-(CH₃)₂), 24.8 (NH-2,6-CH(CH₃)₂C₆H₃), 23.4 (Sc*C*H₃). Anal. Calcd for C₄₈H₇₄N₃Sc: C, 78.11; H, 10.11; N, 5.69. Found: C, 77.88; H, 9.94; N, 5.66.

Synthesis of 7. Toluene (20 mL) was transferred into a round-bottom flask of a frit assembly containing 4b (0.437 g, 0.690 mmol); the reaction mixture was heated overnight at 90 °C. After heating, the mixture became an opaque orange solution. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, yielding an orange foam. Hexane (12 mL) was added to the flask, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The hexane was removed in vacuo, affording an orange powder. The powder was suspended in hexamethyldisiloxane (7 mL) and isolated by filtration. Yield: 0.158 g, 37%. ¹H NMR: 7.31-6.95 (m, 6H, C₆H₃), 5.55 (s, 1H, CH), 3.48 and 2.99 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂, $J_{H-H} = 6.9$ Hz), 3.22 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂ and CH₂CH(CH₃)), 1.96 (br s, 1H, NH), 1.56, 1.50, 1.35, 1.34, 1.26 and 1.20 (d, 6 \times 3H, CH(CH_3)_2, $J_{\rm H-H}$ = 6.8 or 6.4 Hz), 1.18 (br s, 12H, CH(CH₃)₂ and NCC(CH₃)₃), 1.17 (br s, 9H, NCC(CH₃)₃), 0.97, 0.91 (dd, 1H, CH₂CH(CH₃), ${}^{2}J_{H-H} = 12.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 5.4$ Hz), 0.78 (s, 9H, NHC(CH₃)₃), 0.44 (br t, 1H, $CH_2CH(CH_3)$). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: 174.2 and 173.9 (2 × NCC-(CH₃)₃), 147.9, 144.5, 143.8, 142.4, 139.9, 139.4, 127.2, 126.9, 125.3, 125.1,124.3, and 123.2 (2 \times C₆H₃), 98.1 (CH), 54.2 $(Sc CH_2)$ 52.9 $(NHC(CH_3)_3)$, 43.55 and 43.51 $(2 \times NCC(CH_3)_3)$, 35.0 (NHC(CH_3)₃), 33.1 and 32.3 (2 × NCC(CH_3)₃), 39.5, 28.9, 28.7, and 28.5 (3 \times CH(CH₃)₂ and CH₂CH(CH₃)), 26.7, 26.6, 26.33, 26.29, 25.2, 24.0, and 23.2 (7 \times CH(*C*H₃)₂); mass spectrum, *m*/*z* (relative intensity, %) 617 (M⁺, 1), 544 (M⁺ - H_2N^tBu , 3), 502 (M⁺ – ($H_2N^tBu + C_3H_6$), 3), 9 (100); exact mass calcd for C₃₉H₆₂N₃Sc 617.4503, found 617.4495.

Synthesis of d_1 -7. In a sealed NMR tube, the deuterated scandium amido methyl complex d_1 -4b was heated overnight at 60 °C in C₆D₆. ¹H NMR spectroscopy was employed to determine the products, and d_1 -7 was assigned on the basis of the noticeable *absence* of a peak at 1.98 ppm for the amido proton of the metalate complex, although all of the other peaks were present in the spectrum. In addition, only CH₄ at 0.14 ppm was observed in the reaction mixture; a resonance for CH₃D was not present in the NMR tube.

Synthesis of [ArNC(Me)CHC(Me)NAr]Sc(Cl)Me. Equimolar ratios of [ArNC(Me)CHC(Me)NAr]ScMe₂ (0.308 g, 0.625 mmol) and **1a** (0.335 g, 0.628 mmol) were combined in toluene (70 mL), and the reaction was stirred for 3 days. The reaction mixture was filtered and washed once with toluene (10 mL), and the filtrate was dried under reduced pressure, yielding a pale, yellow powder. Yield: 0.199 g, 62%. ¹H NMR: 7.13–7.00 (m, 6H, C₆H₃), 5.09 (s, 1H, CH), 3.42 and 2.73 (m, 2 × 2H, CH(CH₃)₂, $J_{H-H} = 6.6$ Hz), 1.63 (s, 6H, NCCH₃), 1.24, 1.22, 1.17, and 0.89 (d, 4 × 6H, CH(CH₃)₂, $J_{H-H} = 6.6$ Hz), 0.29 (s, 3H, ScCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₇D₈): 168.3 (NCCH₃), 145.6, 143.0, 141.1, 126.2, 124.7, and 123.6 (C_{6} H₃), 98.1 (*C*H), 39.6 (ScCH₃), 29.1 and 28.0 (*C*H(CH₃)₂), 26.3, 25.0, 24.9, 24.7, and 24.3 (CH(*C*H₃)₂ and NC*C*H₃).

Synthesis of 4a. The scandium complex $L^{a}Sc(Me)Cl$ (0.199 g, 0.388 mmol) was weighed into a two-neck round-bottom flask and dissolved in toluene (20 mL). A separate round-bottom flask was charged with LiNH^tBu (0.031 g, 0.392 mmol), and it was also dissolved in toluene (5 mL). The toluene solution of LiNH^tBu was added slowly via syringe to the scandium solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min, resulting in a yellow solution with a white precipitate. The toluene was removed in vacuo, and hexane (25 mL) was transferred into the flask. The reaction

mixture was warmed to room temperature, and the white solid was removed by filtration. The product was extracted from the precipitate with hexane ($3 \times 10 \text{ mL}$); the hexane was removed under reduced pressure from the filtrate, and the remaining yellow solid was recrystallized from dilute hexane at -36 °C. Yield: 0.072 g, 34%. ¹H NMR: 7.18–7.09 (m, 6H, C₆*H*₃), 5.03 (s, 1H, C*H*), 3.93 (br s, 1H, N*H*), 3.59 and 3.08 (m, 2×2 H, C*H*(CH₃)₂, *J*_{H-H} = 6.6 Hz), 1.62 (s, 6H, NCC*H*₃), 1.56, 1.29, 1.25, and 1.06 (d, 4×6 H, CH(C*H*₃)₂, *J*_{H-H} = 6.6 Hz), 0.87 (s, 9H, NHC(C*H*₃)₃), and 0.03 (s, 3H, ScC*H*₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: 167.8 (N*C*CH₃), 143.50, 143.45, 142.1, 126.9, 124.8, and 124.5 (*C*₆H₃), 95.5 (*C*H), 53.2 (NH*C*(CH₃)₃, 35.0 (NHC(*C*H₃)₃), 29.3 and 29.0 (*C*H(CH₃)₂), 26.5, 25.0, 24.8, and 24.7 (CH(*C*H₃)₂), 24.4 (NC*C*H₃), and 21.4 (Sc*C*H₃). Anal. Calcd for C₃₄H₅₄N₃Sc: C, 74.28; H, 9.90; N, 7.64. Found: C, 74.20; H, 9.42; N, 7.29.

Thermolysis of 4a. The thermolysis was performed only on an NMR tube scale, and the reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Upon heating of the scandium complex **4a** at 100 °C in toluene- d_8 , the compound redistributed to **6a**, which was stable at 100 °C, and **L**^aScMe₂, which decomposed as a mixture of species at this temperature.

Synthesis of 6a. A round-bottom flask was charged with 1a (0.440 g, 0.825 mmol) and 2 equiv of LiNH^tBu (0.135 g, 1.71 mmol); after the combination of the two solids, toluene (20 mL) was transferred into the flask. The yellow, opaque, reaction mixture was heated overnight at 50 °C. Upon cooling to room temperature, the solution was filtered and the precipitate was washed with toluene (2 \times 15 mL). The toluene was removed in vacuo from the filtrate, and hexane (15 mL) was added to the flask; the solution was warmed to ambient temperature and stirred for 20 min. A yellow powder resulted from the removal of hexane under reduced pressure, and it was used without further purification. Yield: 0.492 g, 98%. ¹H NMR (T = 298 K): 7.14–7.10 (m, 6H, C₆H₃), 5.02 (s, 1H, CH), 3.50 (br s, 2H, NHC(CH₃)₃), 3.44 (m, 4H, CH(CH₃)₂, J_{H-H} = 6.8 Hz), 1.63 (s, 6H, NCC H_3), 1.38, and 1.18 (d, 2 × 12H, CH(CH₃)₂, $J_{H-H} = 6.8$ Hz), and 1.03 (s, 18H, NHC(CH₃)₃); ¹H NMR (T = 195 K, toluene- d_8): 7.14–7.03 (m, 6H, C₆ H_3), 4.84 (s, 1H, CH), 3.98 and 3.24 (br s, 2 \times 1H, NHC(CH_3)_3), 3.98 and 3.04 (br m, $2 \times 2H$, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.65, 1.31, 1.11, and 0.98 (br d, 2 × 12H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.52 (s, 6H, NCCH₃), 1.44 and 0.82 (br s, 2×9 H, NHC(CH₃)₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: 166.7 (NC(CH₃)₃), 144.0, 142.0, 125.9, and 124.1 (C₆H₃), 94.9 (CH), 52.5 (NH-C(CH₃)₃, 34.8 (NHC(CH₃)₃, 28.1 (CH(CH₃)₂), 25.1, and 24.6 (CH(CH₃)₂), and 24.0 (NCCH₃); high-resolution mass spectrum, m/z (relative intensity, %): 534 (M⁺ – NH^tBu, 7), 460 (M⁺ - $2H_2N^tBu$, 9), 418 (M⁺ - ($2H_2N^tBu$ + C_3H_6), 24), 58 (100); exact mass calcd for $C_{33}H_{51}N_3Sc$ (M⁺ – NH^tBu) 534.3642, found 534.3618. Electrospray ionization mass spectrum, m/z. 629 (M $+ Na^{+}$) and 557 (M $+ Na^{+} - H_2N^{t}Bu$).

Acknowledgment. Funding for this work came from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada in the form of a Discovery Grant (to W.E.P.). P.F.-L. thanks Dr. A. Michalak for providing the software for bond order calculations.

Supporting Information Available: Tables of atomic coordinates, anisotropic displacement parameters, and complete bond distances, angles, and torsion angles for **2b**, **3b**, **4b**, **5b**, and **7**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OM034345C