

pubs.acs.org/JACS

Carborane Stabilized "19-Electron" Molybdenum Metalloradical

Kuldeep Jaiswal, Naveen Malik, Boris Tumanskii,* Gabriel Ménard, and Roman Dobrovetsky*

Cite This: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 9842–9848



ACCESS III Metrics & Mo	Article Recommendations		s Supporting Information
---------------------------	-------------------------	--	--------------------------

ABSTRACT: Paramagnetic metal complexes gained a lot of attention due to their participation in a number of important chemical reactions. In most cases, these complexes are dominated by 17-e metalloradicals that are associatively activated with highly reactive paramagnetic 19-e species. Molybdenum paramagnetic complexes are among the most investigated ones. While some examples of persistent 17-e Mo-centered radicals have been reported, in contrast, 19-e Mo-centered radicals are illusive species and as such could rarely be detected. In this work, the photodissociation of the $[Cp(CO)_3Mo]_2$ dimer (1) in the presence of phosphines was revisited. As a result, the first persistent, formally 19-e Mo radical with significant electron density on the Mo center (22%), $Cp(CO)_3Mo^{\bullet}PPh_2(o-C_2B_{10}H_{11})$ (5b), was generated and characterized by EPR spectroscopy and MS as well as studied by DFT calculations. The stabilization of **5b** was likely achieved due to a unique electron-withdrawing effect of the *o*-carboranyl substituent at the phosphorus center.



INTRODUCTION

Downloaded via 180.252.166.73 on August 10, 2021 at 03:44:44 (UTC). See https://pubs.acs.org/sharingguidelines for options on how to legitimately share published articles.

Organometallic chemistry is mostly dominated by diamagnetic complexes, which obey the 16- and 18-electron rule.^{1,2} This rule is very useful for predicting the stability and reactivity of diamagnetic metal complexes. Since the 1980s, however, paramagnetic metal complexes began to gain significant attention due to their important role in a variety of chemical reactions.³⁻⁷ For instance, paramagnetic metal intermediates of the second and third rows are involved in redox reactions, chain mechanisms, homolytic cleavage, and catalysis of C-C bond formation^{3,8} as well as in mediating redox reactions in energy-conversion processes and in biomimetic C-H bond activation and epoxidation of hydrocarbons.⁹ Their intermediacy in industrial processes such as the Wacker reaction is also well-known.¹⁰ As a result, the range of organometallic chemistry has expanded to include numerous paramagnetic 17-e complexes, which exist as both stable complexes and short-lived intermediates.^{3,4,8,11,12} The reactions of paramagnetic 17-e metal complexes are associatively activated with 19-e intermediates or transition states.^{3,4,11} However, unlike 17-e metal complexes, 19-e metal complexes in which the unpaired electron is primarily metal localized in a M-L antibonding orbital are rare and usually unstable and as such were proposed mostly as illusive intermediates.^{4,12,13} The 19-e Mo-centered radicals, to the best of our knowledge, have never been observed in chemical reactions, with the exception of femtosecond IR spectroscopy.^{14,15} Noteworthy, persistent 19-e Mo-centered radicals that are perhaps better described as 18-e complexes with reduced ligands (so-called "18 + δ " complexes)

were synthesized previously; however, the spin density on the metal center in these complexes was negligible (<1%).^{16–19}

One of the earliest reactions postulated to involve a 19-e intermediate was the photochemical disproportionation of the $[Cp(CO)_{3}Mo]_{2}$ dimer (1) in the presence of $R_{3}P$ into the $Cp(CO)_{3}Mo^{-1}(2)$ and $Cp(CO)_{3}(R_{3}P)Mo^{+}(3)$ ion pair (Scheme 1).¹³⁻¹⁵ The accepted mechanism for this reaction, proposed by Tyler and co-workers,¹³ proceeds through photoexcitation of 1 leading to Mo-Mo bond cleavage and the formation of two 17-e $Cp(CO)_3Mo^{\bullet}$ radicals (4). In the presence of R₃P the formation of a highly reducing, transient 19-e intermediate $Cp(CO)_3(R_3P)Mo^{\bullet}$ (5) is proposed. Electron transfer from 5 to 4 leads to formation of $Cp(CO)_3(R_3P)Mo^+$ (3) and $Cp(CO)_3Mo^-$ (2) (Scheme 1). Importantly, it was also shown that this reaction is reversible, and in the dark, this salt over time is converted back to 1 and R₃P, either via a single electron transfer (SET) path (Scheme 1a) or directly by substitution of R_3P (Scheme 1b) with no clear indication as to which mechanism prevails in this transformation.^{13,20}

Noteworthy, when 1 was irradiated in the presence of a bidentate diphosphine-based ligand (2,3-bis(diphenyl-phosphino)maleic anhydride)), a stable isolable 18 + δ

 Received:
 April 4, 2021

 Published:
 June 23, 2021



Scheme 1. Mechanism of $[Cp(CO)_3Mo]_2$ Dimer (1) Dissociation in the Presence of R₃P; Formation of $Cp(CO)_3(R_3P)Mo^+$ (3) and $Cp(CO)_3Mo^-$ (2)



complex was formed with most of the spin density located at the diphosphine ligand (i.e., δ was close to zero).^{16–18} On the other hand, when R₃P in this reaction (Scheme 1) was replaced by an N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC), a persistent 17-e Cp(CO)₂(NHC)Mo[•] radical was formed via substitution of one of the COs by the carbene.²¹ Noteworthy, neither 17-e **4** nor 19-e **5** was observed or characterized by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy.

We, therefore, decided to revisit this reaction (Scheme 1) and see whether radicals of type 5 could be stabilized in this process and studied by EPR spectroscopy. Herein, we report the generation and characterization of the *first persistent* formally 19-e Mo-based radical with a significant spin density of 22% on the Mo center, $Cp(CO)_3Mo^{\bullet}PPh_2(o-C_2B_{10}H_{11})$ (5b).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We first studied the photochemical reaction of 1 in the presence of Ph₃P. Thus, when a toluene solution²² containing 1 and Ph₃P (1:10) in the EPR cavity was UV-irradiated ($\lambda >$ 300 nm) at low temperature (200 K), a strongly low-field shifted singlet with a *g*-value of 2.082 was measured (Figure 1a), which immediately disappeared when irradiation was



Figure 1. (a) EPR spectrum of 4. (b) DFT calculated Mulliken atomic spin densities and g-value of 4^{23}

stopped. We assumed that this signal corresponded to the transient 17-e $Cp(CO)_3Mo^{\bullet}$ radical (4) (Scheme 2a). To support our suggestion, 4 was optimized by using DFT (density functional theory), and its EPR parameters were calculated.²³ The calculated *g*-value of 4 (*g* = 2.069) is in good agreement with the experimentally observed *g*-value (Figure 1b). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that the "parent" 17-e $Cp(CO)_3Mo^{\bullet}$ radical (4) was experimentally observed by EPR spectroscopy.

Scheme 2. Photochemical Reaction of Dimer 1 in the Presence of Excess of Ph_3P and Formation of an Unstable $Cp(CO)_3Mo^{\bullet}$ (4) and a Persistent 6, with Proposed Pathway Leading to 6



After irradiation ($\lambda > 300$ nm) of the same toluene solution (1 and Ph₃P (1:10)) at room temperature for 30 min, a highintensity doublet was measured (14.2 G) with a g-value of 2.044 and a hyperfine coupling $a(^{95,97}Mo) = 12.4$ G from magnetically active Mo isotopes (Figure 2a). This radical



Figure 2. (a) EPR spectrum of 6 (blue) and its simulation (red). (b) MS of 6 (647.9559 $(M + H)^+$) (red) and its simulation (blue). (c) DFT calculated Mulliken atomic spin densities and EPR parameters in $6.^{23}$

species was persistent with $\tau_{1/2} \approx 180$ min and thus allowed us to study its molecular composition using mass spectrometry (MS). Using atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) MS in positive mode, we were able to detect a mass that corresponds to a 17-e Mo-centered radical (647.9559 (M + H)⁺) (Figure 2b), Cp(CO)₂Mo[•] \leftarrow P(Ph)₂-Mo(CO)₃Cp (6) (Scheme 2b).

DFT calculation of **6** and its EPR parameters²³ gave a *g*-value of 2.053 with hyperfine coupling constants (hfcc) of $a(^{31}P) = 30.54 \text{ G}, a(^{95},^{97} \text{ Mo}) = 20.96$, and spin density located mostly on the Mo atom (85%) (Figure 2c). The computed EPR parameters are in good agreement with the experimental values considering the low spin density at the phosphorus

Journal of the American Chemical Society

center and rather complicated electronic structure of the Mo atom. $^{\rm 24,25}$

We assumed that **6** was formed via unstable 19-e Mo-based intermediate **5a** under irradiation (Scheme 2c). The unpaired electron in **5a** can migrate to the σ^* orbital at the phosphorus center under irradiation, giving the excited species **5a*** (Scheme 2d).^{23,26} **5a*** resembles in its electronic structure phosphoranyl radicals (R₄P[•]), which tend to decay via α - or β scission reactions,^{27–29} and thus **5a*** decays in a similar manner via α -scission of the Ph–P bond, giving 18-e Cp(CO)₃MoPPh₂ (7) (Scheme 2e).³⁰ 7 may then substitute one of the CO groups at **4** to give **6** (Scheme 2f). A similar type of photoinduced CO substitution was previously reported.^{13,21}

To overcome the problem of instability of 5a, especially under irradiation (see Scheme 2d,e), we decided to replace Ph_3P by $Ph_2P(o-C_2B_{10}H_{11})$ (8) (Scheme 3).^{31,32} We

Scheme 3. Reaction of Dimer 1 with $Ph_2P(o-C_2B_{10}H_{11})$ (8) to Generate the Persistent Radical 5b; Decay of 5b by Cl Atom Abstraction Giving 9 (b) and Oxidation of 5b by $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ Giving 3b (a)



envisioned that this substitution will solve a few of the problems that we encountered when using Ph_3P (Scheme 2). First, $Ph_2P(o-C_2B_{10}H_{11})$ (8) is a weaker donor due to the strong electron-withdrawing effect of the *o*-carboranyl group³³⁻³⁹ and thus will lead to a less electron-rich Mo center, which would make the desired 19-e complex less reducing and as a result more stable.⁴⁰ Second, the *o*-carboranyl substituent at the phosphorus center could help overcome the instability of **5a** under irradiation. In contrast to **5a**, which under irradiation is excited to a phosphoranyl-type radical **5a***, which decays via α -scission reaction (see Scheme 2d,e), in **5b** the photoinduced electron migration would most probably lead to the migration of the spin density into the *o*-carboranyl cage, an effect that was previously shown by our and other groups.⁴¹⁻⁴⁵ This may prevent the decay of **5b** radical by α -scission (Scheme 2e).

The reaction between 1 and 8 (1:10) in toluene under UV irradiation ($\lambda > 300$ nm, 30 min) did not produce the desired radicals. However, when the solvent was changed to CH₂Cl₂,²² and the solution of 1 and 8 (1:2) was irradiated with visible light from a 34 W blue LED lamp ($\lambda = 420-540$ nm) for 1 h,⁴⁶ the desired radical **5b** was generated (Scheme 3) and was stable enough to study by EPR spectroscopy and MS methods (Figure 3).

The EPR spectrum of **5b** (g = 1.980) is characterized by the hfcc with the ³¹P nucleus $a({}^{31}P) = 21.3$ G and magnetically active Mo isotopes $a({}^{95,97}Mo) = 36.0$ G (Figure 3a). The geometry of **5b** was DFT optimized, and its EPR parameters



Figure 3. (a) EPR spectrum of 5b (blue) and its simulation (red). (b) MS of 5b (573.1174 $(M-H)^{-}$) (red) and its simulation (blue). (c) DFT calculated Mulliken atomic spin densities and EPR parameters in 5b.²³

were calculated.²³ The calculated *g*-value (1.990) is in good agreement with the experimental *g*-value (1.980), with an hfcc of $a(^{31}P) = 10.8$ G and $a(^{95,97}Mo) = 23.4$ G. The spin density is distributed between Mo (22%) and carbon atoms of the CO and Cp substituents (15–18%, for each carbon) (Figure 3c).²³ Noteworthy, the spin density on the phosphine ligand (8) is negligible (2.4%).²³ The mass corresponding to radical **5b** (573.1174 (M–H)⁻) was found in the MS of the reaction mixture by using APCI MS in negative mode (Figure 3b). Noteworthy in **5b**, the lower spin density on the Mo center (22%), as well as the negatively shifted *g*-value compared to a free electron ($\Delta g = -0.0223$), clearly contrasts with the higher spin density and positively shifted Δg of the 17-e Mo-centered radicals **2** (80%, $\Delta g = 0.0797$) and **6** (85%, $\Delta g = 0.0417$) (Schemes 1 and 2).

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that a persistent formally 19-e Mo-based radical complex with significant electron density on Mo (22%) was generated and studied spectroscopically. In contrast, doing the reaction between **1** and Ph_3P (1:2) under the same reaction conditions did not yield the 19-e Mo-based radical **5a**, but radical **6** was observed by EPR spectroscopy (Scheme 2), meaning that the *o*-carboranyl substituent at the P center indeed plays a crucial role in stabilizing this type of radical.

Expectedly, in the dark, **5b** was not stable over long periods of time ($\tau_{1/2} \approx 48$ h), and after a few days only the starting materials, **1** and **8**, were detectable by NMR spectroscopy. Notably, when the same reaction mixture was irradiated again ($\lambda = 420-540$ nm), **5b** was regenerated. Similar to the described reaction in Scheme 1, we assume that **5b** is a persistent 19-e Mo radical intermediate of the dissociation reaction of **1** in the presence of **8**.^{13,20} Interestingly, **5b** extracted by pentane is more stable than in CH₂Cl₂ solution with $\tau_{1/2} \approx 100$ h.

Oxidation of **5b** was achieved by its reaction with $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$, giving the corresponding cation $[Cp-(CO)_3(Ph_2(o-C_2B_{10}H_{11})P)Mo]^+$ (**3b**) (Scheme 3a), which

was also independently synthesized, isolated, and fully characterized (X-ray molecular structure shown in Figure 4a).⁴⁷ Noteworthy, **3b** was also observed by ³¹P NMR (see



Figure 4. POV-ray depiction of cation **3b** (a) and of **9** (b); thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Hydrogens and the $[B(C_6F_5)_4]^-$ anion are omitted for clarity.

Figure S20) in the photodissociation process as consequent reaction of radicals **5b** and **4**, similarly to the reaction shown in Scheme 1.

Reaction of **5b** with Ph_3CCl in pentane produced Cp(Cl)- $(CO)_2MoPPh_2(o-C_2B_{10}H_{11})$ (**9**) (Scheme 3b), the product of Cl atom abstraction and decarbonylation (for the EPR spectrum of this reaction see Figure S22).⁴⁵ **9** was isolated by crystallization, and its molecular structure was determined by X-ray crystallography (Figure 4b).

The redox chemistry of **3b** was studied by both cyclic voltammetry (CV) and chemical reduction experiments. The CV of **3b** in CH₂Cl₂ (5.99 mM) using $[nBu_4N][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (0.1 M) as a supporting electrolyte was collected and revealed irreversible reduction events centered at $E_{\text{peak}}^{\text{red}(1)} = -0.736$ V and $E_{\text{peak}}^{\text{red}(2)} = -1.377$ V with a corresponding anodic event at $E_{\text{peak}}^{\text{ox}} = -0.341$ V vs the Ag/Ag⁺ redox couple at a scan rate of 100 mV/s (Figure 5).⁴⁵ The large peak-to-peak separation (395 mV) between $E_{\text{peak}}^{\text{red}(1)}$ and $E_{\text{peak}}^{\text{ox}}$ suggests significant structural reorganization upon reduction of **3b**.

On the basis of the CV results, we attempted to isolate the product of the first reduction event by using $FeCp_2^*$ as a reductant (-1.13 V vs Ag/Ag⁺ in CH₂Cl₂). Thus, when **3b** was reacted with 1 equiv of $FeCp_2^*$ in CH₂Cl₂, 0.5 equiv of **3b** was consumed and 0.5 equiv of free phosphine **8** and the anion



Figure 5. CV of **3b** (5.99 mM) in dry 0.1 M $[nBu_4N][B(C_6F_5)_4]/$ CH₂Cl₂ solution obtained at various scan rates with glassy carbon electrodes, Pt wire, and Ag/Ag⁺ as the working, counter, and reference electrodes, respectively.

pubs.acs.org/JACS

 $[Cp(CO)_3Mo]^-$ (2) were produced. Over time, 3b was consumed totally, leading to 1 and free 8, likely the result of the reaction of the remaining 0.5 equiv of 3b with intermediate 2 (Scheme 4).⁴⁵ Noteworthy, we did not observe the





formation of **5b** in this process by EPR spectroscopy, suggesting that a rapid 2e reduction process dominates this transformation. This also suggests that the $E_{\text{peak}}^{\text{red}(1)}$ peak in the CV is a 2e event. Importantly, similar 2e reduction processes were reported previously.^{4,48,49} Because no paramagnetic species were observed at all stages of this experiment (Scheme 4), we assume that the reaction of **3b** and **2** most likely proceeds via a closed-shell pathway and not through radicals **5b** and **4** (see Scheme 1b).

CONCLUSION

To conclude, in this work the photodissociation of 1 in the presence of Ph₃P and 8 was performed, and thorough EPR studies were done. The photochemical reaction of 1 with Ph₃P in toluene led to the formation of the persistent 17-e Mocentered radical complex 6 via a transient "parent" 17-e complex $Cp(CO)_3Mo^{\bullet}$ (4), which was detected by EPR for the first time. 6 is presumably formed under irradiation which induces α -scission reaction of a P-Ph bond, followed by adduct formation with 4. To overcome this problem, 8 was used instead of Ph₃P, which in reaction with 1 in CH₂Cl₂ under irradiation at $\lambda = 420-540$ nm gave the persistent formally 19-e Mo-based radical 5b. Accessing what previously had only been a hypothesized intermediate in Mo chemistry allowed us to carry out some preliminary reactivity studies. Oxidation of **5b** by $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ gave the corresponding cation 3b. The reaction of 5b with alkyl chlorides gave 9 via Cl atom abstraction and decarbonylation. The electrochemical reduction of 3b proceeds via two irreversible reduction events. To study this reduction process, 3b was reacted with FeCp*2 which via the 2e reduction process gave intermediate anion 2, which further led to dimer 1 and free 8; no paramagnetic species were observed in this process. We continue to study the chemistry of 5b and still search for its isolable analogues.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Considerations. All preparations were performed under an anhydrous N_2 atmosphere by using standard Schlenk and glovebox techniques (Vac.-Atmospheres Nexus II equipped with a -35 °C freezer). Toluene, dichloromethane, and hexane were dried by using a Vac. Atm. Solvent purification system. *o*-Difluorobenzene and CDCl₃ were dried over CaH₂ for several days prior to distillation. All solvents were degassed by freeze–pump–thaw and stored on activated 4 Å molecular sieves prior to use. All glassware was oven-dried and cooled under vacuum before use. Commercial reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Strem, or Apollo Scientific and used without further purification unless indicated otherwise.

Spectroscopic Analyses. NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature by using a Bruker AvanceIII-400 MHz spectrometer and referenced to residual solvent, or externally (¹¹B: BF₃·Et₂O; ¹⁹F: CFCl₃; ³¹P: 85% H₃PO₄) in some of the cases the tubes were equipped with DMSO- d_6 capillary as external standard. Data for ¹H NMR are reported as follows: chemical shift (δ ppm), integration, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, sep = septet, m = multiplet), coupling constant (Hz). The EPR spectra were recorded on a Bruker EMX-10/12 X-band ($\nu = 9.3$ GHz) digital EPR spectrometer equipped with a Bruker N2 temperature controller. The spectra were recorded at a microwave power of 100-200 mW and a 100 kHz magnetic field modulation of 0.1-3.0 G amplitude (unless otherwise specified). The digital field resolution was 2048 points per spectrum. This allowed all hyperfine splittings to be measured directly with accuracy better than 0.1 G. Spectra processing and simulation were performed with Bruker WIN-EPR and SimFonia software. When the reactions were performed under UV irradiation, a high-pressure mercury lamp (1000 W) (ARC lamp power supply model 69920) was used, with the output being focused onto the sample with a quartz lens. When the reactions were performed under visible light irradiation (λ = 420–540 nm), a blue LED lamp (34 W) (Kessil, Model No. H150-BLUE) was used.

Electrochemical Measurements. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were performed by using a CHI760E electrochemical workstation. A 3 mm glassy carbon was used as the working electrode, Ag wire was used as the reference electrode, and a Pt wire was used as the counter electrode. $[nBu_4N][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ in CH₂Cl₂ (0.1 M) was used as a supporting electrolyte. All electrochemical measurements were performed under an inert atmosphere in a glovebox. All electrodes were rinsed with the electrolyte solution prior to use. For all CVs measurements, the first scan cycle was discarded.

X-ray Crystallography. Data were collected on a Bruker KAPPA APEX II diffractometer equipped with an APEX II CCD detector using a TRIUMPH monochromator with a Mo K α X-ray source ($\alpha = 0.71073$ Å). The crystals were mounted on a cryoloop with Paratone oil, and all data were collected at 100(2) K. Crystal structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full matrix least-squares. All hydrogen atom positions were idealized and rode on the atom of attachment. Structure solution, refinement, graphics, and creation of publication materials were performed by using a SHELXT-2014 and a SHELXL-2014.

Synthesis of Cp(CO)₃**Mo**[•]**PPh**₂(*o*-C₂B₁₀H₁₁) **(5b).** Inside the glovebox a J Young NMR tube was charged with $[Cp(CO)_3Mo]_2$ (1) (0.05 g, 0.10 mmol) and $Ph_2P(o$ -C₂B₁₀H₁₁) (8) (0.07 g, 0.2 mmol), and 1 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added. This solution was then placed under irradiation at $\lambda = 420-540$ nm in a water bath, and the progress of the reaction was monitored by EPR and NMR spectroscopy. After 1 h, **5b** was measured by EPR spectroscopy, and $[Cp(CO)_3(Ph_2(o-C_2B_{10}H_{11})P)Mo]^+$ (**3b**) and free **8** were measured by ³¹P NMR. CH₂Cl₂ was then removed under vacuum, and **5b** was extracted by pentane. Yield: 4%. The EPR spectrum of **5b** was recorded in pentane (Figure 3a). HRMS (APCI): m/z calcd for $C_{22}H_{25}B_{10}P_1O_3Mo_1$: 573.1596 (M–H)⁻; found: 573.1174 (Figure 3b).

Synthesis of $[Cp(CO)_3(Ph_2(o-C_2B_{10}H_{11})P)MO][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (3b). Oxidation of 5b by $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$. Inside the glovebox a J Young NMR tube was charged with 5b in CH_2Cl_2 solution, and a pinch of $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ was added. The EPR spectrum was recorded after 10 min, showing complete disappearance of 5b and generation of Ph_3C^{\bullet} . ³¹P NMR was recorded after 30 min, showing the formation of 3b with a typical chemical shift at δ 73.21 ppm.

Independent Synthesis. 3b was synthesized from CpMo-(CO)₃H,⁵⁰ which was prepared by the following procedure: $Mo(CO)_6$ (1.00 g, 3.79 mmol) was dissolved in 30 mL of CH₃CN, and the mixture was refluxed for 12 h. All volatiles were then evaporated under high vacuum, giving the yellow solid Mo-(CO)₃(CH₃CN)₃. Mo(CO)₃(CH₃CN)₃ was dissolved in THF (30 mL), and freshly distilled cyclopentadiene (5 mL) was added to this solution and heated for 1 h at 50 °C. After that time, all volatiles were removed, and the remaining solid was sublimed at 60 °C under a high vacuum, giving a yellow crystalline product. [Cp(CO)₃MoH]₂ dimer is also formed in this reaction (ca. 10%), as reported in the literature.⁵⁰ The estimated yield for this reaction is ca. 60%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ –5.55 (1H, s, Mo–H), 5.42 (5H, s, C₅H₅). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 90.05 (C_5 H₅), 191.12 and 226.87 (CO).

A freshly prepared CpMo(CO)₃H (0.25 g, 1 mmol) dissolved in 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was treated with $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (0.92 g, 1.00 mmol) at -30 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for another hour, forming a dark violet solution. To this dark violet solution, 8 (0.33 g, 1.00 mmol) dissolved in 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added dropwise. The solution was allowed to stir for another hour, turning from violet to red. All the volatiles were evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was washed with (3×10) mL of toluene, affording a red solid upon drying. The target compound was crystallized from a CH₂Cl₂/benzene (1:10) mixture in 70% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz; o-difluorobenzene, DMSO- d_6 capillary): δ 0.99–2.71 (10H, br, B–H), 3.31 (1H, s, C–H), 4.78 (5H, s, C₅H₅), 6.88-7.08 (10H, m). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; CH₂Cl₂, DMSO- d_6 capillary): δ 63.19 (cage C-H), 69.35 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 18.4 Hz, cage C–P), 95.36 (C_5H_5), 129.53 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 10.9 Hz, Ph), 133.84 (b, Ph), 134.37 (b, C_6F_5), 136.30 (t, $J_{F,C} = 13.5$ Hz, C_6F_5), 136.81 (b, C_6F_5), 138.74 (t, $J_{F,C} = 13.5$ Hz, C_6F_5), 146.25 (b, Ph), 148.64 (b, Ph), 222.76 and 224.04 (CO). ³¹P NMR (162 MHz; CH₂Cl₂) DMSO- d_6 capillary): δ 73.21 (s). ¹⁹F NMR (376.5 MHz, CH₂Cl₂, DMSO- d_6 capillary): $\delta - 133.96$ (b, 8F), -164.49 (t, 4F, J = 20.1 Hz), -168.36 (b, 8F). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz; CH₂Cl₂, DMSO-d₆ capillary): $\delta = -0.17, -1.50, -2.62, -7.91, -12.68, -17.37$. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z

calcd for $C_{22}H_{26}B_{10}P_1O_3Mo_1$: 574.1713 (M⁺); found: 574.1708. Synthesis of $Ph_2P(o-C_2B_{10}H_{11})$ (8).^{31,32} *o*-Carborane (1.00 g, 6.93 mmol) dissolved in 50 mL of dimethoxyethane (DME) was reacted with n-BuLi in hexane (2.91 mL, 7.28 mmol) at -15 °C and stirred at this temperature for 1 h. After that time the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for another hour. A 10 mL dimethoxyethane solution of chlorodiphenylphosphine (1.28 mL, 6.93 mmol) was added to the stirring solution at -15 °C. The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1 h followed by 1 h reflux. All the volatiles were evaporated, and the residue was extracted with Et₂O which afforded a white solid upon drying. The target compound was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (60–200 mesh) eluted with CH_2Cl_2 -hexane (1:5). Yield: 80%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃), δ 1.75-2.86 (10H, br, B-H), 3.53 (1H, s, C-H), 7.49-7.54 (6H, m), 7.81 (4H, m). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 63.6 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 15.4 Hz, cage C–H), 72.78 (d, $J_{P,C} = 75.85$ Hz, cage C–P), 128.85 (d, $J_{P,C} = 9.6$ Hz, Ph), 131.23 (s, Ph), 131.98 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 15.92 Hz, Ph), 134.99 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 26.54 Hz, Ph). ³¹P NMR (162 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 25.02 (s). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz; $CDCl_3$), $\delta = -1.26$, -2.38, -6.92, -8.10, -9.83, -11.69, -12.96, -14.15, -15.39

Synthesis of Cp(Cl)(CO)₂MoPPh₂(o-C₂B₁₀H₁₁) (9). The pentane solution of 5b was reacted with an excess of Ph₃CCl. The EPR spectrum was recorded right after, showing almost complete disappearance of **5b** and formation of Ph_3C^{\bullet} (see Figure S22). Overnight red crystals of 9 were formed from this solution in 92% yield. Noteworthy, 9 is not stable in CHCl₃ or C₆H₆ solutions for a long period of time. ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 1.65-3.35 (10H, br, B-H), 4.60 (1H, s, C-H), 5.23 (5H, s, C₅H₅), 7.45-7.49 (5H, m), 7.57-7.58 (1H, m), 7.69-7.73 (2H, m), 8.06 (2H, t, J = 9.12 Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 66.46 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 8.6 Hz, cage C–H), 95.56 ($C_{\rm 5}$ H₅), 127.69 (d, $J_{\rm P,C}$ = 9.6 Hz, Ph), 127.75 (d, $J_{\rm P,C}$ = 9.6 Hz, Ph), 130.92, 132.47, 133.06 (d, $J_{\rm P,C}$ = 10.2 Hz, Ph), 136.75 (d, $J_{P,C} = 11.5$ Hz, Ph). ³¹P NMR (162 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 70.69 (s). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 0.74, -0.21, -1.42, -2.91, -4.2, -7.29, -8.42, -11.86, -12.93. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calcd for C₂₁H₂₆B₁₀P₁O₂Mo₁: 547.1727 (M-Cl)⁺; found: 547.1728.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.1c03568.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

pubs.acs.org/JACS

NMR, EPR, CV, experimental and computational details (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 2057570–2057572 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Roman Dobrovetsky School of Chemistry, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel; orcid.org/0000-0002-6036-4709; Email: rdobrove@tau.ac.il
- Boris Tumanskii School of Chemistry, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel; Email: tumanskii@tau.ac.il

Authors

- Kuldeep Jaiswal School of Chemistry, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel
- Naveen Malik Department of Organic Chemistry, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 7610001, Israel
- Gabriel Ménard Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States; o orcid.org/ 0000-0002-2801-0863

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.1c03568

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the US–Israel Binational Science Foundation, Grant 2018221, and Israeli Science Foundation, Grant 237/18. We also thank Arunavo Chakraborty for the help with electrochemistry data interpretation.

REFERENCES

(1) Tolman, C. A. The 16 and 18 Electron Rule in Organometallic Chemistry and Homogeneous Catalysis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1972**, *1*, 337–353.

(2) Elschenbroich, C.; Salzer, A. Organometallics: A Concise Introduction, 1st ed.; VCH: Weinheim, 1989.

(3) Kochi, J. K. Preface. In *Organometallic Mechanisms and Catalysis*; Kochi, J. K., Ed.; Academic Press: 1978; pp xiii-xiv.

(4) Astruc, D. Nineteen-Electron Complexes and Their Role in Organometallic Mechanisms. *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, *88*, 1189–1216.

(5) Song, J. S.; Bullock, R. M.; Creutz, C. Intrinsic Barriers to Atom Transfer (Abstraction) Processes; Self-Exchange Rates for Cp- $(CO)_3M^{\bullet}$ Radical/Cp $(CO)_3M$ -X Halogen Couples. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1991**, 113, 9862–9864.

(6) Schild, D. J.; Drover, M. W.; Oyala, P. H.; Peters, J. C. Generating Potent C–H PCET Donors: Ligand-Induced Fe-to-Ring Proton Migration from a Cp*Fe^{III}–H Complex Demonstrates a Promising Strategy. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2020**, 142, 18963–18970.

(7) Rottschäfer, D.; Ghadwal, R.; Danés, S.; Sharma, M. K.; Neumann, B.; Stammler, H.-G.; Andrada, D. M.; van Gastel, M.; Hinz, A. Metalloradical Cations and Dications Based on Divinyldiphosphene and Divinyldiarsene Ligands. Chem. - Eur. J. 2021, 27, 5803-5809.

(8) Lappert, M. F.; Lednor, P. W. Free Radicals in Organometallic Chemistry. In *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*; Stone, F. G. A., West, R., Eds.; Academic Press: 1976; Vol. *14*, pp 345–399.

(9) Sheldon, R. A.; Kochi, J. K. Preface. In *Metal-catalyzed Oxidations of Organic Compounds*; Sheldon, R. A., Kochi, J. K., Eds.; Academic Press: 1981; pp xiii–xv.

(10) Smidt, J.; Hafner, W.; Jira, R.; Sedlmeier, J.; Sieber, R.; Rüttinger, R.; Kojer, H. Katalytische Umsetzungen von Olefinen an Platinmetall-Verbindungen Das Consortium-Verfahren zur Herstellung von Acetaldehyd. *Angew. Chem.* **1959**, *71*, 176.

(11) Stiegman, A. E.; Tyler, D. R. Reactivity of Seventeen- and Nineteen-Valence Electron Complexes in Organometallic Chemistry. *Comments Inorg. Chem.* **1986**, *5*, 215–245.

(12) Baird, M. C. Seventeen-Electron Metal-Centered Radicals. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 1217–1227.

(13) Stiegman, A. E.; Stieglitz, M.; Tyler, D. R. Mechanism of the Low-Energy Photochemical Disproportionation Reactions of $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Mo_2(CO)_6]$. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1983**, 105, 6032–6037.

(14) Kling, M. F.; Cahoon, J. F.; Glascoe, E. A.; Shanoski, J. E.; Harris, C. B. The Role of Odd-Electron Intermediates and In-Cage Electron Transfer in Ultrafast Photochemical Disproportionation Reactions in Lewis Bases. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11414–11415. (15) Cahoon, J. F.; Kling, M. F.; Schmatz, S.; Harris, C. B. 19-Electron Intermediates and Cage-Effects in the Photochemical Disproportionation of $[CpW(CO)_3]_2$ with Lewis Bases. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12555–12565.

(16) Mao, F.; Philbin, C. E.; Weakley, T. J. R.; Tyler, D. R. Generation of the 19-Electron $(18 + \delta)$ Adducts CpMo(CO)₃(L₂-P) and CpMo(CO)₂(L₂-P,P') (Cp = η^{5} -CH₃C₅H₄, η^{5} -C₅Ph₄H, η^{5} -C₅Ph₅; L₂ = 2,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)maleic anhydride). Crystal structure of the (η^{5} -C₅Ph₄H)Mo(CO)₂L₂ Complex. *Organometallics* **1990**, *9*, 1510–1516.

(17) Meyer, R.; Schut, D. M.; Keana, K. J.; Tyler, D. R. Generation and Spectroscopic Characterization of New $18+\delta$ Electron Complexes. Relationship Between the Stability of $18+\delta$ Electron Organometallic Complexes and Their Ligand Reduction Potentials. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1995**, 240, 405–412.

(18) Schut, D. M.; Keana, K. J.; Tyler, D. R.; Rieger, P. H. Measurement and Manipulation of the Unpaired Electron Density in 18+.delta. Complexes. Correlation of the Charge Density with Reactivity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 8939–8946.

(19) Lomont, J. P.; Nguyen, S. C.; Harris, C. B. Direct Observation of a Bent Carbonyl Ligand in a 19-Electron Transition Metal Complex. J. Phys. Chem. A 2013, 117, 2317–2324.

(20) Philbin, C. E.; Goldman, A. S.; Tyler, D. R. Back-Reactions in the Photochemical Disproportionation of $Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_6$ ($Cp = C_5H_4CH_3$) and the Wavelength-Dependent Photochemistry of the $Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_6$ Complex With PPh₃. *Inorg. Chem.* **1986**, 25, 4434–4436.

(21) Tumanskii, B.; Sheberla, D.; Molev, G.; Apeloig, Y. Dual Character of Arduengo Carbene–Radical Adducts: Addition versus Coordination Product. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 7408–7411. (22) Although CH_2Cl_2 was reported to work better for these reactions, it is an undesirable solvent for EPR experiments due to its polarity, which leads to a decrease in the Q-factor of the resonator and a decrease in the sensitivity of the spectrometer. In addition, under UV irradiation CH_2Cl_2 is not innocent. Therefore, toluene was initially chosen for this reaction.

(23) All calculated structures were optimized by using Gaussian09. The geometries **4**, **5b**, and **6** were optimized at the uwB97XD/def2-SVP level of theory; Mo was calculated with the Stuttgart/Dresden core electron pseudopotential (SDD) and the def2-SVP basis set. The *g* factors, the hfcc, and spin densities were calculated by using the ORCA 4.0 software; Neese, F. Max Planck Institute for Bioinorganic Chemistry, Mulheim an Ruhr, Germany, 2018. See the Supporting Information for full computational details.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

(24) Braden, D. A.; Tyler, D. R. Density Functional Calculations of 19-Electron Organometallic Molecules. A Comparison of Calculated and Observed Anisotropic Hyperfine Coupling Constants for the $CpCo(CO)_2$ - Anion. Implications for Determining Orbital Spin Populations from EPR Data. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, 120, 942–947.

(25) Hillenbrand, J.; van Gastel, M.; Bill, E.; Neese, F.; Fürstner, A. Isolation of a Homoleptic Non-oxo Mo(V) Alkoxide Complex: Synthesis, Structure, and Electronic Properties of Penta-tert-Butoxymolybdenum. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142* (38), 16392–16402.

(26) See the Supporting Information for TD-DFT calculation and analysis of **5a**.

(27) Marque, S.; Tordo, P. Reactivity of Phosphorus Centered Radicals In *New Aspects in Phosphorus Chemistry V. Topics in Current Chemistry*; Majoral, J. P., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, 2005; Vol. 250.

(28) Leca, D.; Fensterbank, L.; Lacôte, E.; Malacria, M. Recent Advances in the Use of Phosphorus-Centered Radicals in Organic Chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2005**, *34*, 858–865.

(29) Livshits-Kritsman, Y.; Tumanskii, B.; Ménard, G.; Dobrovetsky, R. Isolable Cyclic (Alkyl)(Amino)Carbene–Phosphonyl Radical Adducts. *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, *56*, 1341–1344.

(30) See the Supporting Information for C_{60} radical trap experiments.

(31) Zakharkin, L. I.; Zhubekova, M. N.; Kazantsev, A. V. Synthesis and Reactions of Substituted o-Carboranyldiphenylphosphines. *Zh. Obshch. Khim.* **1972**, *42*, 1024–1028.

(32) Godovikov, N. N.; Balema, V. P.; Rys, E. G. Carborane-Containing Organophosphorus Compounds. Synthesis and Properties. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *66* (12), 1017–1032.

(33) Hao, E.; Fabre, B.; Fronczek, F. R.; Vicente, M. G. H. Syntheses and Electropolymerization of Carboranyl-Functionalized Pyrroles and Thiophenes. *Chem. Mater.* **2007**, *19*, 6195–6205.

(34) Farha, O. K.; Spokoyny, A. M.; Mulfort, K. L.; Hawthorne, M. F.; Mirkin, C. A.; Hupp, J. T. Synthesis and Hydrogen Sorption Properties of Carborane Based Metal–Organic Framework Materials. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 12680–12681.

(35) Spokoyny, A. M. New Ligand Platforms Featuring Boron-Rich Clusters as Organomimetic Substituents. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 2013, *85*, 903–919.

(36) Popescu, A. R.; Teixidor, F.; Viñas, C. Metal Promoted Charge and Hapticities of Phosphines: The Uniqueness of Carboranylphosphines. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *269*, 54–84.

(37) Grimes, R. N. Preface to the Second Edition. In *Carboranes*, 3rd ed.; Grimes, R. N., Ed.; Academic Press: Boston, 2016; p xv.

(38) Liu, Y.; Su, B.; Dong, W.; Li, Z. H.; Wang, H. Structural Characterization of a Boron(III) η^2 - σ -Silane-Complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 8358–8363.

(39) Jaiswal, K.; Volodarsky, S.; Kampel, V.; Dobrovetsky, R. A Self-Catalyzed Reaction of 1,2-Dibenzoyl-o-Carborane with Hydrosilanes – Formation of New Hydrofuranes. *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55*, 10448–10451.

(40) See the Supporting Information for DFT calculations comparing the stabilities of 5a vs 5b.

(41) Deng, L.; Cheung, M.-S.; Chan, H.-S.; Xie, Z. Reduction of 1,2- $(CH_2)_n$ -1,2- $C_2B_{10}H_{10}$ by Group 1 Metals. Effects of Bridge Length/ Rigidity on the Formation of Carborane Anions. *Organometallics* **2005**, 24, 6244–6249.

(42) Weber, L.; Kahlert, J.; Böhling, L.; Brockhinke, A.; Stammler, H.-G.; Neumann, B.; Harder, R. A.; Low, P. J.; Fox, M. A. Electrochemical and Spectroelectrochemical Studies of C-Benzodiazaborolyl-ortho-Carboranes. *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 2266–2281.

(43) Núñez, R.; Tarrés, M.; Ferrer-Ugalde, A.; de Biani, F. F.; Teixidor, F. Electrochemistry and Photoluminescence of Icosahedral Carboranes, Boranes, Metallacarboranes, and Their Derivatives. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 14307–14378.

(44) Fisher, S. P.; Tomich, A. W.; Guo, J.; Lavallo, V. Teaching an Old Dog New Tricks: New Directions in Fundamental and Applied Closo-Carborane Anion Chemistry. *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55*, 1684–1701.

(45) Keener, M.; Hunt, C.; Carroll, T. G.; Kampel, V.; Dobrovetsky, R.; Hayton, T. W.; Meńard, G. Redox-Switchable Carboranes for Uranium Capture and Release. *Nature* **2020**, *577*, 652–655.

(46) The reported wavelength for the photodissociation reaction of $[Cp(CO)_3Mo]_2$ dimer (1) is $\lambda > 525$ nm.²⁰

(47) See the Supporting Information for further CV and chemical reductions with $FeCp_2^*$ and $CoCp_2^*$ experimental details.

(48) Bowyer, W. J.; Geiger, W. E. Electrochemically Induced Changes in Hapticity in Mixed-Sandwich Compounds of Iridium and Rhodium. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 5657–5663.

(49) Lacoste, M.; Varret, F.; Toupet, L.; Astruc, D. Organodiiron "Electron Reservoir" Complexes Containing a Polyaromatic Ligand: Syntheses, Stabilization, Delocalized Mixed Valences, and Intramolecular Coupling. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1987**, 109, 6504–6506.

(50) Keppie, S. A.; Lappert, M. F. Binuclear Organometallic Compounds. Part V. Insertion Into M–C and H–C Bonds of Coordinatively Unsaturated Transition-Metal Fragments: Synthesis of Group VIA Metal Cyclopentadienyltricarbonyl Metallates (Germanium and Tin) and Hydrides. J. Chem. Soc. A 1971, 0, 3216–3220.