Journal of Molecular Structure 1067 (2014) 112-119

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Molecular Structure

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/molstruc

Ferrocene-based sulfonyl dihydropyrazole derivatives: Synthesis, structure, electrochemistry and effect on thermal decomposition of NH₄ClO₄

Ji-Bin Zhuo^a, Heng-Dong Li^a, Cai-Xia Lin^a, Li-Li Xie^{a,b}, Sha Bai^c, Yao-Feng Yuan^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Chemistry, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350108, China

^b State Key Laboratory of Photocatalysis on Energy and Environment, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350002, China

^c Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC), Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02215, USA

HIGHLIGHTS

- Three ferrocene-based sulfonylsubstituted dihydropyrazoles **3a-c** are synthesized in moderate yields.
- Molecular structure of **3a** has been characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis for a first time.
- The electrochemical behaviors of **3ac** are discussed; the electrochemical inequivalence of **3a** was confirmed by DFT.
- Dinuclear ferrocenyl pyrazole 3a shows a greater catalytic effect on the decomposition temperature of AP than 3b-c.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 December 2013 Received in revised form 7 March 2014 Accepted 10 March 2014 Available online 25 March 2014

Keywords: Dihydropyrazole Ferrocene Sulfonyl Structure Electrochemistry Ammonium perchlorate

G R A P H I C A L A B S T R A C T



ABSTRACT

Three ferrocene-based sulfonyl-substituted dihydropyrazoles **3a–c** have been synthesized, from the corresponding α , β -unsaturated diketones, and fully characterized. The crystal structures of **3a–c** have been confirmed by X-ray crystallography, and electrochemistry behaviors of **3a–c** have been examined by cyclic voltammetry (CV). Representatively, the mechanism of the electron transfer in redox process of **3a** has been verified by density functional theory (DFT) calculation. It has been found that the activity of catalytic decomposition of ammonium perchlorate (AP) is significantly lowered (by 62.9–104.7 °C) with an addition of **3a–c**. We expect that the ferrocene-based sulfonyl dihydropyrazole derivatives would have a great value in burning rate catalyst as composite solid propellants.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Pyrazole and its derivatives are widely used in the field of medicine and pesticide [1-7]. Different substituents are introduced to the pyrazole ring to deliver the corresponding derivatives with alternative functions used in electrochemical sensors [8–10], molecular recognitions [11–13] and decomposition catalysts [14,15]. Specifically, ferrocene has unique aromatic electron-rich potential and electrochemical reversibility [16,17]. Its derivatives have many advantages, including good mobility, flammability, high molecular weight and thermal stability, compared to the traditional combustion catalysts [18,19]. Currently, researchers





^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Chemistry, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350108, China. Tel./fax: +86 591 22866161.

E-mail address: yaofeng_yuan@fzu.edu.cn (Y.-F. Yuan).

have been focusing on the study of pyrazole heterocyclic derivatives with a connection of ferrocenyl functional unit, for their advantages in controllability in terms of burning catalysts [20– 22]. The research on ferrocene catalytic activity for AP decomposition, is to explore the basis of such catalysts catalyzing composite solid propellant combustion [23,24], However, the ferrocene combustion catalysts have problems of diffusion and evaporation during processing, significantly limiting the service life of propellants [25]. We envision that by introducing a polar group to the molecular structure, the van der Waals' force between a ferrocene derivative and the propellant molecule could be increased, leading to less volatile products [26–29]. The combination of sulfonyl groups and ferrocene pyrazoline rings could derive new materials with unique electrochemical properties and functional molecules of high catalytic activity.

We report three ferrocene-based sulfonyl dihydropyrazole derivatives **3a**. See for example, molecular structures and the CV studies of redox properties of these compounds have been investigated. Representatively, elctrochemical inequivalence of the two ferrocenyl units of **3a** is confirmed by DFT calculation. The catalytic properties of **3a–c** on thermal decomposition of AP have been studied to determine the catalytic effect on burning rate of the sulfonyl ferrocene dihydropyrazoles.

Experimental

General

All chemicals were commercially available. Acetonitrile was distilled with CaH₂ and used immediately. CH₂Cl₂ is dichloromethane. PE is petroleum ether. All reactions were performed under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. Melting points (m.p.) were determined with a 4X micro melting point apparatus. Infrared spectra (IR) was recorded with a Spectrum-2000 FT-IR spectrum in KBr pellets in the range 4000–400 cm⁻¹. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (¹H and ¹³C NMR) was measured in CDCl₃, with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard, with a Bruker AV600 spectrometer at ambient temperature. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was performed with an X7 ICP-MS instrument. The synthesis of 1-phenylsulfonyl-3-ferrocenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole derivatives **3a-c** (Scheme 1) were carried out by condensing the corresponding ferrocenvl pyrazoline derivatives **2a–c** benzenesulfonyl chloride [16,17,27]. The unstable pyrazoline derivatives 2a-c were generated in situ from cycloaddition of the α , β -unsaturated diketones with hydrazine hydrate.

Salmon pink single crystals of **3a–c** were grown by slow diffusion of petroleum ether into the solution of pure product in acetonitrile over several days, respectively. The spatial structures of **3a–c** were determined with X-ray crystallography. X-ray structural measurements were carried out with a Rigaku RAXISIV CCD diffractometer with a graphite-monochromator Mo Kα radiation

 $(\lambda = 0.071073 \text{ nm})$ at 293(2) K. All diffraction data were collected by scanning in a certain mode and refined in Lp factor. The position coordinates and each anisotropic thermal parameter of nonhydrogen atoms were refined by full-matrix least-squares on F^2 through confirmation. All structures were solved by direct methods and refined with SHELX-97 program suite [30]. All hydrogen atoms were generated geometrically assigned appropriated isotropic thermal parameters and included in the final calculations.

The DFT calculations were carried out with the Gaussian 03 suite of programs [31]. Theoretical calculations were performed at the DFT level using the B3LYP. Vibrational frequency calculations were measured at the same level of theory to verify the nature of the stationary points [32]. Crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters were summarized in Table 1.

The electrochemical characterization was tested by a CHI620C electrochemical workstation via CV in acetonitrile. In this method, $(n-Bu_4N)PF_6$ (c = 0.10 mol/L) was used as the supporting electrolyte. A three-electrode cell was used, consisting of a glassy carbon working electrode, a platinum wire auxiliary electrode and Ag/AgCl (3.0 mol/L KCl) reference electrode. The scan rate of CV reached 100 m V⁻¹. The surface of glassy carbon electrode was polished with 0.3 and 0.05 nm α -Al₂O₃ slurry on emery paper and rinsed with doubly distilled water. The system was degassed by purging nitrogen for more than 5 min before each electrochemical measurement.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetry (TG) analysis on pure AP and AP with an addition of 5 wt% of **3ac** (totally 5 mg for each mixture) were dried under 0.1 MPa at 20 °C, then recorded with a Simultaneous DSC-TG Thermal Analyzer SDT Q600 instrument. The experiments were conducted under a nitrogen atmosphere (20 mL N₂/min) at heating rate of 10 °C/min from 50 to 500 °C.

Synthesis of 1-phenylsulfonyl-3,5-ferrocenyl-4,5-dihydropyrazole derivatives (3a)

To a stirred solution of α,β -unsaturated diketone **1a** (1.0 g, 2.4 mmol) in ethanol (10 mL) was charged with hydrazine hydrate (4.0 mL, 80 mmol) in one portion at 20 °C. The resulting mixture was heated at reflux for 30 min, and then concentrated to yield 2a as a red viscous liquid. The unstable intermediate 2a was immediately dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). To the above solution was added a solution of benzenesulfonvl chloride (0.60 mL 5.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) dropwise over 10 min at 20 °C. The resulting mixture was further stirred at 40 °C for 30 min, and then cooled to room temperature. The reaction mixture was charged with CH₂₋ Cl_2 (20 mL), washed with water (50 mL \times 3), then partitioned between H_2O and CH_2Cl_2 . The organic extract was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated to give a red viscous liquid, which was further purified by silica gel chromatography to yield **3a** (0.61 g, 45%) as a yellow powder. M.p. 254–255 °C (CH₂Cl₂/PE). IR (KBr), ν/cm^{-1} : 3436, 3083, 2929, 1671, 1585, 1445, 1352, 1171, 1105, 822, 724,



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) N₂H₄·H₂O, 80 °C; (ii) benzenesulfonyl chloride, CH₂Cl₂, 40 °C.

Table 1

Crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters of 3a-c.

Compound	3a	3b	3c
Empirical formula	$C_{29}H_{26}Fe_2N_2O_2S$	C ₂₅ H ₂₁ BrFeN ₂ O ₂ S	C ₂₅ H ₂₂ FeN ₂ O ₂ S
Formula weight	578.29	549.26	470.37
Temperature (K)	293(2)	293(2)	293(2)
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Orthorhombic
Space group	P2(1)/c	P2(1)/c	P2(1)2(1)2(1)
a (Å)	19.586(4)	13.087(3)	5.9466(12)
b (Å)	10.936(2)	9.844(2)	11.653(2)
<i>c</i> (Å)	11.649(2)	17.752(4)	31.274(6)
α (°)	90.00	90.00	90.00
β(°)	92.71(3)	99.42(3)	90.00
γ (°)	90.00	90.00	90.00
V (Å)	2492.4(9)	2256.2(8)	2167.2(8)
Ζ	4	4	4
Dcalc (g cm ⁻³)	1.541	1.617	1.442
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)	1.278	2.558	0.817
F (000)	1472	1112.0	1280
Crystal size (mm)	$0.25\times0.25\times0.20$	$0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.20$	$0.50\times0.30\times0.20$
Index ranges	$-22 \leqslant h \leqslant 25$	$-15 \leqslant h \leqslant 13$	$-7 \leqslant h \leqslant 7$
	$-13 \leqslant k \leqslant 14$	$-11 \leq k \leq 11$	$-15 \leqslant k \leqslant 15$
	$-14 \leqslant l \leqslant 15$	$-21 \leqslant l \leqslant 21$	$-38 \leqslant l \leqslant 40$
Reflections collected	20,546	15,470	18,264
Independent reflections	5163 [<i>R</i> (int) = 0.0495]	3462 [<i>R</i> (int) = 0.0660]	4625 [<i>R</i> (int) = 0.0516]
θ range for data collection	3.24–27.55°	3.14-25.00°	3.14–27.51°
Absorption correction	Multi-scan	Multi-scan	Multi-scan
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.274	1.296	1.170
Data/restraints/parameters	5709/0/325	3972/0/289	4947/0/280
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma (I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0706$	$R_1 = 0.0803$	$R_1 = 0.0509$
	$wR_2 = 0.2127$	$wR_2 = 0.2321$	$wR_2 = 0.1421$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0847$	$R_1 = 0.1031$	$R_1 = 0.0573$
	$wR_2 = 0.2495$	$wR_2 = 0.2700$	$wR_2 = 0.1611$

689. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.93 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H, Ph-H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H, Ph-H,), 4.92 (s, 1H, Pz-H) (Pz: parazole), 4.76 (d, 1H, Cp-H) (Cp: cyclopentadiene), 4.57 (d, 1H, Cp-H), 4.42 (d, 2H, Cp-H), 4.22 (m, 9H, Cp-H), 4.00 (s, 5H, Cp-H), 3.29 (m, 2H, Pz-H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 160.21 (Pz-C), 137.01 (Ph-C), 133.06 (Ph-C), 128.65 (Ph-C), 128.61 (Ph-C), 74.30 (Cp-C), 70.79 (Cp-C), 70.38 (Cp-C), 69.53 (Cp-C), 69.15 (Cp-C), 68.64 (Cp-C), 67.53 (Cp-C), 59.67 (Pz-C), 43.02 (Pz-C). MS (ESI) m/z: [M + H] 578.92, [M⁺] calcd. 578.28. Anal. calcd. for C₂₉H₂₆Fe₂N₂O₂S: C 60.30, H 4.61, N 5.09; found: C 60.23, H 4.53, N 4.84.

Synthesis of 1-phenylsulfonyl-3-ferrocenyl-5-(4-bromophenyl)-4,5dihydropyrazole (3b)

The complex was prepared by manner analogous of that of **3a**. A yellow powder **3b** (0.48 g, 39%) was obtained after silica gel chromatography. M.p. 265–266 °C (CH₂Cl₂/PE). IR (KBr), ν/cm^{-1} : 3442, 3088, 2917, 1599, 1487, 1447, 1352, 1167, 1107, 822, 719, 689. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.96 (m, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H, Ph-H), 7.60 (m, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H, Ph-H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, Ph-H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, Ph-H), 4.51 (s, 1H, Cp-H), 4.40 (d, 2H, Cp-H), 3.97 (s, 5H, Cp-H), 3.39 (d, *J* = 17.2, 11.2 Hz, 1H, Pz-H), 2.96 (d, *J* = 17.2, 9.2 Hz, 1H, Pz-H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.15 (Pz–C), 140.89 (Ph-C), 135.59 (Ph-C), 133.41 (Ph-C), 132.01 (Ph-C), 128.84 (Ph-C), 128.80 (Ph-C), 128.37 (Ph-C), 121.98 (Ph-C), 69.75 (Cp-C), 67.19 (Cp-C), 64.00 (Pz-C), 45.10 (Pz-C). MS (ESI) m/z: [M + H] 549.00, [M⁺] calcd. 549.26. Anal. calcd. for C₂₅H₂₁BrFeN₂O₂S: C 54.74, H 4.03, N 4.99; found: C 54.67, H 3.85, N 5.10.

Synthesis of 1-phenylsulfonyl-3-ferrocenyl-5-benzoyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole (3c)

The complex was prepared by manner analogous of that of **3a**. A yellow powder **3c** (0.64 g, 48%) was obtained after silica gel chro-

matography. M.p. 203–204 °C (CH₂Cl₂/PE). IR (KBr), ν/cm^{-1} : 3062, 2926, 1596, 1485, 1446, 1351, 1171, 828, 763, 699. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.98 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, Ph-H), 7.59 (m, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, Ph-H), 7.46 (m, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, Ph-H), 7.59 (m, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, Ph-H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, Ph-H), 7.41 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, Ph-H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, Ph-H), 4.88 (m, J = 11.2, 9.2 Hz, 1H, Pz-H), 4.70 (s, 1H, Cp-H), 4.53 (s, 1H, Cp-H), 4.40 (d, 2H, Cp-H), 3.98 (s, 5H, Cp-H), 3.38 (d, J = 17.2, 11.2 Hz, 1H, Pz-H), 3.01 (d, J = 17.2, 11.2 Hz, 1H, Pz-H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.25 (Pz-C), 141.26 (Ph-C), 135.83 (Ph-C), 133.27 (Ph-C), 128.87 (Ph-C), 128.80 (Ph-C), 128.01 (Ph-C), 126.62 (Ph-C), 74.41 (Cp-C), 70.81 (Cp-C), 70.59 (Cp-C), 69.59 (Cp-C), 68.40 (Cp-C), 67.61 (Cp-C), 64.55 (Pz-C), 45.27 (Pz-C). MS (ESI) m/z: [M + H] 471.00, [M⁺] calcd. 470.36. Anal. calcd. for



Fig. 1a. ORTEP view of 3a (50% probability level), I and II refer to the two ferrocenyl units.



Fig. 1b. Hydrogen bonds between adjacent molecules of 3a.

 $C_{25}H_{22}FeN_2O_2S;\ C$ 63.72, H 4.82, N, 5.95; found: C 63.84, H 4.71, N 5.96.

Results and discussion

Crystal structure of 3a-c

Fig. 1a shows the molecule structure of 3a, indicates that 3a crystallizes in monoclinic crystal system, space group P21/c, Selected bond distances and angles are included in Tables S1 and S2. The structure consists of a benzenesulfonyl substituted pyrazole ring and two ferrocenes, attached to C11 and C13. The five atoms of the pyrazole ring are almost in the same plane. Their bond lengths tend to conjugate (C11–C12 bond: 1.489 Å; N1–N2 bond: 1.433 Å; C11=N2 bond: 1.306 Å). The Cp ring (C6-C10) of ferrocenyl unit I is almost parallel to the pyrazole ring (dihedral angle 4.56°), indicating that there is a conjugation among them. The bond length of C6–C11 (1.456 Å) is greater than a regular C=C double bond but shorter than a C-C single bond [17]. The two Cp rings of ferrocenyl unit I are eclipsed (pseudo-torsion angles of C1-Cg-Cg-C6: 4.21°) [33], while the two Cp rings of ferrocenyl unit II are halfway between eclipsed and staggered (pseudo-torsion angles of C20-Cg-Cg-C25: 19.60°). Coplanarity of the substituted Cp ring (C6-C10) of ferrocenyl unit I and pyrazole ring in 3a has an impact on intramolecular forces. The crystal packing of

Table 2

|--|

		Туре	D—H (Å)	H···A (Å)	D…A (Å)	D—H· · · A (°)
3a	C15—H15…01	Intra.	0.95	2.48	2.85(8)	104
	C13—H13···01	Inter.	1.00	2.38	3.22(3)	141
	C19—H19· · ·O1	Inter.	0.96	2.51	3.31(3)	142
3b	C5—H5…01	Inter.	0.93	2.59	3.43(9)	151
3c	C13—H13…01	Inter.	0.97	2.58	3.50(1)	155
	C25—H25…O1	Inter.	0.92	2.52	3.38(2)	152

able 3	
Distances (Å) and angles (π) of C—H $\cdots\pi$ contacts for	: 3a-b
	-

		H…π (Å)	$C - H \cdots \pi$ (°)
3a	C8–H8···Cg ^a	2.74	141
	C1—H1···Cg ^b	2.85	143
	C23—H23 ····Cg ^c	2.65	150
3b	C10—H10···Cg ^d	2.98	139
	C25—H25···Cg ^e	2.85	98
3c	C16—H16···Cg ^f	2.87	127
	C4—H4· · ·Cg ^g	2.96	167
	C21−H21···Cg ^h	2.77	97

^a Cg: (Cp ring of **3a** C20–C24).

^b Cg: (Cp ring of **3a** C25–C29).

^c Cg (Ph ring of **3a**).

^d Cg: (Ph ring of **3b** C20–25).

^e Cg (Pz ring of **3b**).

^f Cg: (Cp ring of **3c** C1–C5).

^g Cg: (Ph ring of **3c** C20–C25).

^h Cg: (Pz ring of **3c**).

3a (Fig. 1b) shows that molecules are linked into a one-dimensional chain along the *c* axis by intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions and C—H··· π contacts (Tables 2 and 3). Interactions of molecules lead to a stable spatial structure by parallel stacking (Fig. 1c).

Different from **3a**, **3b** or **3c** contains only one ferrocenyl unit, however, three compounds are similar on the spatial structure (Figs. 2 and 3). The plane of the pyrazole ring of **3b** has a larger degree of distortion than in **3c**. However, the dihedral angles between the Cp ring (C6–C10) of ferrocenyl unit and pyrazole ring are small (12.48° for **3b**; 4.13° for **3c**). Compared with our previous



Fig. 1c. Cell packing diagram of 3a, viewed down the c axis.



Fig. 2. ORTEP view of 3b (50% probability level).



Fig. 3. ORTEP view of 3c (50% probability level).

work [34], bond lengths and angles of pyrazole ring and benzenesulfonyl group in **3b** and **3c** are similar to those observed in **3a** (Tables S1 and S2). In the crystal lattices of both **3b** and **3c** molecules are associated though hydrogen bonds to form a spiral one-dimensional structure (Fig. S2) and held together by C—H··· π contacts and stacked along the *a* axis (Fig. S3). The related data of hydrogen bonds and C—H··· π contacts are also given in Tables 2 and 3.

Electrochemical behavior of 3a-c

In the electrochemical analysis, the redox properties of **3a–c** $(c = 10^{-3} \text{ mol/L})$ are studied by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV). The electrochemical process of ferrocenyl moieties could be assigned as electrochemically quasi-reversible. Two redox peak potentials $(\overline{E_p})$ of **3a** (Fig. 4a and Table 4), for electrochemically reversible process are observed at 0.522 V and 0.664 V. The $\overline{E_p}$ of **3b** and **3c** are 0.652 V and 0.669 V, respectively. All of them are higher than that of ferrocene (0.458 V). Simultaneously, the potential difference of anodic oxidation and cathodic reduction (ΔE) of **3a** for two ferrocenyl unit I and II were observed at 0.083 V and 0.081 V, the ΔE of **3b** and **3c** were 0.080 V and 0.076 V, respectively, and all of them are identical with ferrocene (0.075 V), which can confirm the electrochemical reversible oxidation process of ferrocenyl units of **3a–c**.



Fig. 4a. Cyclic voltammetry of ferrocene and 3a-c at a scan rate of 0.1 V/s.

Table 4Cyclic voltammograms datas of ferrocene and 3a-c.

Compound E_{pa} (V) E_{pc} (V) $\overline{E_p}$ (V) ΔE (V) $\Delta \overline{E_p}$ (V) Ferrocene 0.496 0.421 0.458 0.075 3a, Fc unit I 0.706 0.623 0.664 0.083 0.142 3a, Fc unit II 0.562 0.481 0.522 0.081 33 3b 0.690 0.610 0.652 0.080 26						
Ferrocene 0.496 0.421 0.458 0.075 3a, Fc unit I 0.706 0.623 0.664 0.083 0.142 3a, Fc unit II 0.552 0.481 0.522 0.081 3b 0.690 0.610 0.652 0.080 2c 0.775 0.651 0.775	Compound	$E_{\rm pa}\left(V\right)$	$E_{\rm pc}\left({\sf V}\right)$	$\overline{E_p}$ (V)	$\Delta E(V)$	$\Delta \overline{E_p}$ (V)
SC 0.707 0.051 0.009 0.070	Ferrocene 3a, Fc unit I 3a, Fc unit II 3b 3c	0.496 0.706 0.562 0.690 0.707	0.421 0.623 0.481 0.610 0.631	0.458 0.664 0.522 0.652 0.669	0.075 0.083 0.081 0.080 0.076	0.142

 E_{pa} : anodic oxidation potential; E_{pc} : cathodic reduction potential; $\overline{E_p}$: redox peak potential;

 $\overline{E_p} = (E_{pa} + E_{pc})/2; \ \Delta E = E_{pa} - E_{pc}; \ \Delta \overline{E_p}(\mathbf{3a}) = \overline{E_p}(\mathbf{3a_{Fc(I)}}) - \overline{E_p}(\mathbf{3a_{Fc(I)}}).$

The monoferrocenyl pyrazole **3b** and **3c** show only one ferrocenyl oxidation in their CV spectra. The oxidation potential of ferrocenyl group of the *p*-bromophenyl substituted pyrazole **3b** (0.690 V) is lower than that of the phenyl-substituted pyrazole ring **3c** (0.707 V), indicating a lower degree of electronic interaction between the ferrocenyl unit and pyrazole core than **3c**. It was in congruent with that the pyrazole ring and Cp ring (C6–C10) of **3c** had a higher degree of conjugated system than that of **3b**.



Fig. 4b. Cyclic voltammetry of 3a at scan rates of 0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.08 and 0.1 V/s.



Scheme 2. Electron transfer mechanism of 3a.

Analysis of the CV of **3a**, two ferrocenyl units, monoferrocenyl pyrazoles **3b** and **3c**, varying from 0.02 to 0.10 V/s, indicates a diffusion-controlled process (Fig. 4b). Both the anodic and cathodic peak currents are linear with the square root of scan rates ($v^{1/2}$). **3a** undergoes two successive reversible one-electron-oxidation processes. The potential difference of two redox peaks (ΔE_p) of ferrocenyl unit I and II is 0.142 V. The potential of the second wave ($\overline{E_p} = 0.664$ V) of **3a** and oxidation peak potential of **3b** ($\overline{E_p} =$ 0.610 V), **3c** ($\overline{E_p} = 0.631$ V) occur at nearly the same location (Fig. 4a), the phenomenon manifesting that the redox couple is assigned to ferrocenyl unit II. The electrochemical behavior process of **3a** is viewed in Scheme 2. The first oxidation step occurs on ferrocenyl unit II at E_{pa} of 0.562 V, then the second redox wave of unit I emerges at $E_{pa} = 0.706$ V.

DFT study on electron transfer

In order to propose the electron transfer mechanisms and elctrochemical inequivalence of two ferrocenyl units in **3a**, the molecular frontier orbitals of **3a** (Fig. 5) was exhibited by means of simplified theoretical modeling. Quantization calculation shows that ferrocenyl Cp rings are rich in negative charges and the iron atom is positive charged. In addition, a large number of positive charges are also enriched in S and C11 (Table S3). The ferrocenyl unit **II**, with HOMO (-5.495 eV) and HOMO-1 (-5.501 eV), tend to lose an electron faster than the ferrocenyl unit **I**, with HOMO-2 (-5.850 eV) and HOMO-3 (-5.948 eV). Thus, the ferrocenyl unit **II** is more inclined to serve as an electron donor than the ferrocenyl unit **I**. This result indicates that ferrocenyl unit **II** oxidizes first, in



Fig. 5. The structure of 3a optimized by theoretical calculations and energy diagram of the first four HOMOs of 3a.



Fig. 6. DSC curves of AP, AP + 5% 3a, AP + 5% 3b and AP + 5% 3c.

consistency with the electrochemical analysis that the first redox wave is associated with the oxidation of ferrocenyl unit **II** (Fig. 4a).

Catalytic effect on the decomposition of ammonium perchlorate (AP)

The decomposition of AP, affected by the catalytic burning rate, is analyzed using DSC curves (Fig. 6) and TG curves (Fig. S3). The DSC curves of AP shows that the additives **3a-c** (245.3 °C, 246.8 °C and 246.5 °C, respectively) have little effect on the crystallographic transition temperature of AP (246.3 °C) from orthorhombic form to cubic form [35,36]. After the addition of **3a–c**, the exothermic peaks become sharper, indicating the decomposition process of AP becomes more quickly. The result suggests that **3a-c** have a promoting effect on thermal decomposition of AP. The original exothermic peak of pure AP appears at 459.6 °C, and shift to a lower temperature (354.9 °C, 392.1 °C, 396.7 °C) when **3a-c** are mixed, respectively. The TG curves indicates that temperatures of initial thermal decompositions of four samples (pure AP and AP with **3a-c** additives) are between 280 and 285 °C, while that of complete decomposition temperatures are 459.6 °C, 354.9 °C, 396.3 °C, 403.9 °C, respectively. Specifically, 3a shows a greater catalytic effect on the decomposition temperature of AP than **3a** and **3b**. This indicates that the catalytic effect on AP of dinuclear ferrocenyl pyrazole is more effective than mononuclear ferrocenyl pyrazole, which is due to the pyrazole substituent on the Cp ring of dinuclear ferrocenyl moiety, the bulky substituent can hinder the migration in AP. Combined with our earlier work, dinuclear ferrocene-based acyl dihydropyrazole only lower the thermal decomposition temperature by 74.3 °C [27], the sulfonyl substituent added to the dinuclear ferrocene-based dihydropyrazole ring could lower the thermal decomposition temperature (104.7 °C) than acyl substituent, which indicating that the sulforyl substituent has significance in overcoming migration and evaporation of catalyst. The results and arguments confirm dinuclear ferrocenyl dpyrazole 3a has a promoting effect on thermal decomposition of AP.

Conclusions

Three ferrocene-based sulfonyl dihydropyrazole derivatives have been synthesized, characterized, and investigated in spectral, electrochemistry and theoretical calculations (DFT). The X-ray crystallography of **3a** indicates that the pyrazole rings are almost coplanar with the Cp rings (C6–C10) of ferrocenyl unit **I**, forming a large conjugated system. Adjacent molecules are connected by the hydrogen bonding to present a stable spatial structure. The cyclic voltammetry shows that the two quasi-reversible oxidation waves of **3** are diffusion-controlled. Specifically with **3a**, ferrocenyl unit **II** is more electron rich to serve as an electron donor than ferrocenyl unit **I**, further confirmed by DFT calculation. The results from DTA and TG analysis indicate that **3a–c** is of high catalytic effect on lowering the decomposition temperature of AP (by 62.9– 104.7 °C) with 5 wt% addition of each. Experimental results show that the sulfonyl substituent added to the dihydropyrazole ring could lower the thermal decomposition temperature of AP. Meanwhile, dinuclear ferrocenyl substituted further reduces the migration and evaporation due to its steric hindrance. We expect that the novel dinuclear ferrocenyl pyrazole derivatives would be more widely used in high burning rates solid propellants.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21172036) and the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (No. 20113514110002).

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Hydrogen bonds between adjacent molecules of **3b** and **3c** were given in Fig. S1. Cell packing diagram of **3b** and **3c** were given in Fig. S2. TG curves of AP, AP+5% **3a**, AP+5% **3b** and AP+5% **3c** were given in Fig. S3. **S**elected bond lengths for **3a–c** were given in Table S1. Selected angle between two bonds for **3a–c** were given in Table S2. Atomic natural charge of molecules **3a–c** were given in Table S2. Crystallographic data for the structures reported in this paper has been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publications No. CCDC 800549 (**3a**), CCDC 985889 (**3b**) and CCDC 985981 (**3c**). Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: +44 1223 336 033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk). Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2014.03.013.

References

- [1] I. Damljanovic, M. Vukicevic, N. Radulovic, R. Palic, E. Ellmerer, Z. Ratkovic,
- M.D. Joksovic, R.D. Vukicevic, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 19 (2009) 1093–1096.
 [2] X.F. Huang, J.F. Tang, J.L. Ji, X.L. Wang, B.F. Ruan, J. Organomet. Chem. 706–707
- (2012) 113–123.
 [3] M.D. Joksović, V. Marković, Z.D. Juranić, T. Stanojković, L.S. Jovanović, I.S. Damljanović, K.M. Szécsényi, N. Todorović, S. Trifunović, R.D. Vukićević, J. Organomet, Chem. 694 (2009) 3935–3942.
- [4] Z. Ratkovic, Z.D. Juranic, T. Stanojkovic, D. Manojlovic, R.D. Vukicevic, N. Radulovic, M.D. Joksovic, Bioorg. Chem. 38 (2010) 26–32.
- [5] S.L. Shen, J. Zhu, M. Li, B.X. Zhao, J.Y. Miao, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 54 (2012) 287– 294.
- [6] Y.S. Xie, X.H. Pan, B.X. Zhao, J.T. Liu, D.S. Shin, J.H. Zhang, L.W. Zheng, J. Zhao, J.Y. Miao, J. Organomet. Chem. 693 (2008) 1367–1374.
- [7] Y.S. Xie, H.L. Zhao, H. Su, B.X. Zhao, J.T. Liu, J.K. Li, H.S. Lv, B.S. Wang, D.S. Shin, J.Y. Miao, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 45 (2010) 210–218.
- [8] J. Cody, S. Mandal, L. Yang, C.J. Fahrni, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (2008) 13023– 13032.
- [9] I. Damljanović, M. Čolović, M. Vukićević, D. Manojlović, N. Radulović, K. Wurst, G. Laus, Z. Ratković, M. Joksović, R.D. Vukićević, J. Organomet. Chem. 694 (2009) 1575–1580.
- [10] K.Y. Zhang, S.P. Li, N. Zhu, I.W. Or, M.S. Cheung, Y.W. Lam, K.K. Lo, Inorg. Chem. 49 (2010) 2530–2540.
- [11] T. Amanpour, P. Mirzaei, A. Bazgir, Tetrahedron Lett. 53 (2012) 1421–1423.
- [12] H. He, J. Xia, G. Chang, X. Peng, Z. Lou, K. Nakatani, X. Zhou, S. Wang, Biosens. Bioelectron. 42 (2013) 36–40.
- [13] B.T. Zhao, L.W. Liu, X.C. Li, G.R. Qu, E. Belhadj, F.L. Derf, M. Sallé, Tetrahedron Lett. 54 (2013) 23–26.
- [14] Z.M. Li, S.H. Xie, J.G. Zhang, J.L. Feng, K. Wang, T.L. Zhang, J. Chem. Eng. Data 57 (2012) 729–736.

- [15] J.S. Thompson, L. Zhang, J.P. Wyre, D. Brill, Z. Li, Organometallics 31 (2012) 7884–7892.
- [16] H.D. Li, Z.H. Ma, K. Yang, L.L. Xie, Y.F. Yuan, J. Mol. Struct. 1024 (2012) 40–46.
 [17] B. Wei, Y. Gao, C.X. Lin, H.D. Li, L.L. Xie, Y.F. Yuan, J. Organomet. Chem. 696
- [17] B. Wei, Y. Gao, C.X. Lin, H.D. Li, L.L. Xie, Y.F. Yuan, J. Organomet. Chem. 696 (2011) 1574–1578.
- [18] N. Dilsiz, A. Ünver, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 101 (2006) 2538–2545.
- [19] K. Subramanian, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 37 (1999) 4090-4099.
- [20] M.B. Talawar, R. Sivabalan, T. Mukundan, H. Muthurajan, A.K. Sikder, B.R. Gandhe, A.S. Rao, J. Hazard. Mater. 161 (2009) 589–607.
- [21] R. Wang, Y. Guo, Z. Zeng, B. Twamley, J.N.M. Shreeve, Chem. Eur. J. 15 (2009) 2625–2634.
- [22] H. Yu, L. Wang, J. Huo, J. Ding, Q. Tan, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 110 (2008) 1594– 1599.
- [23] G. Duan, X. Yang, J. Chen, G. Huang, L. Lu, X. Wang, Powder Technol. 172 (2007) 27–29.
- [24] Y. Wang, J. Zhu, X. Yang, L. Lu, X. Wang, Thermochim. Acta 437 (2005) 106– 109.
- [25] X.J. Feng, S.M. Min, P. Lei, L.J. Yun, Z.C. Jun, J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. 21 (2011) 175–181.

- [26] G. Cin, S. Demirel Topel, A. Cakıcı, A. Yıldırım, A. Karadağ, J. Chem. Crystallogr. 42 (2012) 372–380.
- [27] Y. Gao, H.D. Li, C.F. Ke, L.L. Xie, B. Wei, Y.F. Yuan, Appl. Organomet. Chem. 25 (2011) 407–411.
- [28] D. Saravanakumar, N. Sengottuvelan, V. Narayanan, M. Kandaswamy, T.L. Varghese, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 119 (2011) 2517–2524.
- [29] F. Xiao, X. Sun, X. Wu, J. Zhao, Y. Luo, J. Organomet. Chem. 713 (2012) 96–103.
- [30] G.M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. 64 (2008) 112– 122.
- [31] M. Frisch, G. Trucks, H. Schlegel, G. Scuseria, M. Robb, J. Cheeseman, J. Montgomery Jr., T. Vreven, K. Kudin, J. Burant, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.
- [32] P.J. Stephens, F.J. Devlin, C.F. Chabalowski, M.J. Frisch, J. Phys. Chem. 98 (1994) 11623–11627.
- [33] T. Klimova, E.I. Klimova, M.M. García, J.M. Méndez Stivalet, L. Rúiz Ramrez, J. Organomet. Chem. 633 (2001) 137–142.
- [34] C.Y. Li, J.B. Zhuo, L.L. Xie, H.D. Li, W.F. Wang, Y.F. Yuan, Chem. J. Chin. Univ. 32 (2011) 1305–1311.
- [35] A.A. Said, R. Al-Qasmi, Thermochim. Acta 275 (1996) 83-91.
- [36] S. Vyazovkin, C.A. Wight, Chem. Mater. 11 (1999) 3386–3393.