INTRAMOLECULAR DIELS-ALDER REACTIONS OF 2H-THIOPYRANS

Dale E. Ward^{*} and Thomas E. Nixey

Department of Chemistry, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, CANADA S7N 0W0

Key Words: intramolecular Diels-Alder, 2H-thiopyran diene, cis-diene

Abstract: Intramolecular Diels-Alder reactions of a series of substrates with 4-triisopropylsilyloxy-2H-thiopyran as the diene and a dienophile attached to each of the four possible positions by a three carbon tether were examined.

A significant limitation of the Diels-Alder reaction is the poor reactivity associated with cis-dienes.¹ Recently, we have investigated a strategy involving the use of 2*H*-thiopyrans as functional equivalents to cisdienes.² The Diels-Alder adducts from reactions of 2*H*-thiopyrans with dienophiles are, after desulfurization, synthetically equivalent to adducts derived (in principle) from unreactive cis-dienes (Scheme I).^{2a} Reactions of 2*H*-thiopyrans bearing activating substituent(s) with reactive dienophiles (e.g.maleic anhydride, maleimide) give predominantly *endo* adducts in good yield.^{2b} With less reactive dienophiles (e.g. acrylate, crotonate) reactions are slower and thermal decomposition of the diene competes effectively with cycloaddition.^{2b} Lewis acid mediated reaction at lower temperatures is often satisfactory in these cases.^{2c,2d}



The intramolecular Diels-Alder (IMDA) reaction has emerged as a powerful synthetic method for the stereoselective construction of polycylic ring systems in a single step.³ The poor reactivity of *cis*-substituted dienes limits the scope of the IMDA reaction, although not as severely as in the intermolecular counterpart. Relatively few examples of IMDA reactions³ involve (Z)-dienes⁴ or *cis*-substituted dienes⁵ which are conformationally mobile (i.e. capable of s-*cis* and s-*trans* conformers). The reduced reactivity of *cis*-dienes compared to their *trans* isomers⁶ can preclude IMDA reaction^{3f} in favor of a competitive pathway(s).^{5a,5b} The feasibility of using 2H-thiopyrans as dienes in IMDA reactions to address this limitation has been examined.

The reactivity of a series of substrates with 4-triisopropylsilyloxy-2H-thiopyran as the reactive diene and the dienophile attached to each of the four possible positions by a three carbon tether was examined (Scheme II).

Scheme II



947



^a Reaction Conditions: 1) 1. NaH, NaI, RBr, acetone;^{7,8} 2. (Ph₃P)₄Pd(0), morpholine, THF;⁷ ii) NCS, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂;⁹ iii) 1. HClO4(aq), acetone; 2. MeO₂CCH=PPh₃, MeOH;¹⁰ *iv*) TIPSOTf, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂;² *v*) MnO₂; *vi*) 1. RMgBr, Cul·Me₂S;^{9b,11} 2. (Ph₃P)₄Pd(C), morpholine, THF;⁷

The desired compounds were readily prepared from the known⁷ β -ketoester 1 according to Scheme III. Alkylation of the enolate with 5-bromopentene¹² or with the ethylene acetal of 4-bromobutanal¹³ followed by decarboxylation and generation of the enone gave inseparable 1:1 mixtures of **3a** and **4a**, and **3b** and **4b**, respectively (20-30% overall, unoptimized). The mixture of **3b** and **4b** was hydrolyzed and treated with MeO₂CCH=PPh₃ in MeOH¹⁰ to give a separable 5:3 mixture of *trans* esters (**3c** and **4c**; 1:1) and *cis* esters (**3d** and **4d**; 1:1), respectively (60%). Alternatively, Cu mediated conjugate addition^{9b,11} of the Grignard reagents derived from the above alkyl halides to **7** followed by decarboxylation gave **8a** and **8b**, respectively (40-50%, unoptimized). Olefination of **8b** as above gave a 2:1 mixture of **8c** and **8d** (75%). Treatment of 8a, 8c, or 8d with NCS gave, in each case, a separable 1:2 mixture of **9** and **10** (75-90%, unoptimized).

Treatment of the enones 9a, 10a, and the 1:1 mixture of 3a and 4a with TIPSOTf² gave the trienes 11a, 12a, and a mixture of 5a and 6a, respectively (quantitative). Solutions of the above trienes in toluene- d_8 were heated in sealed tubes for several days at up to 200 °C. IMDA products were not detected; only products of decomposition (oxidation, desilylation)² of the dienes were observed.

The activated trienes 11c, 11d, 12c, 12d, 5c + 6c (1:1 mixture), and 5d + 6d (1:1 mixture) were similarly prepared from the corresponding enones (Scheme III). The results of IMDA reaction of these trienes under various conditions are summarized in Table 1.



Table 1. IMDA reactions of 4-triisopropylsilyloxy-2H-thiopyrans with activated dienophiles.

Triene	Conditions ^a	Products ^b	Triene	Conditions ^a	Products ^b
5c+6c (1:1)	Thermal 140 °C, 4 d	13 (55%) 14 (43%)	5d+6d (1:1)	Thermal 140 °C, 4 d	15 (70%) 16 (10%)
	TIPSOTf 2 h	13 (44%) 14 (42%)		TIPSOTf 24 h	13 (44%) 14 (43%)
	EtAlCh 3 min.	13 (38%) 14 (34%)		EtAlCl ₂ 3 min.	13 (34%) 14 (27%) 15 (30%)
6c	Thermal 140 °C, 4 d				16 (trace)
11c	Thermal 175 °C, 17 h	17 (86%)	11d	Thermal 175 °C, 17 h	17 (30%) 18 (33%)
	TIPSOTf 13 h	17 (17%)	12c	Thermal 175 °C	•
	EtAlCl ₂ 7h	17 (34%)	12d	Thermal 175 °C	

^a Thermal: C₆D₆ solution containing BHT (0.15 equiv.), sealed tube; EtAlCl₂:).4 equiv. in C₆D₆ solution; TIPSOTf: (2 equivalents) with Et₃N (4 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂. ^b Isolated yield after hydrolysis (HF_(aq), CH₃CN).

Diels-Alder¹⁴ products¹⁵ were obtained in good yield with 5c, 5d, 11c, and 11d under thermal and Lewis acid mediated conditions. The lower reactivity of 6c,¹⁶ 12c, and 12d would be expected since IMDA reaction enforces an unfavorable regiochemistry in these systems. Interestingly, the stereoselectivity in the reaction of 5c is independent of the reaction conditions and is lower than 5d.^{3a,3e} Reactions of 6d indicate that dienophile isomerization competes effectively with cyclization under Lewis acid mediated reactions. As expected,^{3a,3e} a single isomer results from cylcization of 11c. Reaction of 11d is slower than 11c and some isomerization of the dienophile occurs.

In conclusion, IMDA reactions of 2*H*-thiopyrans have been shown to be feasible. Few examples of cyclization of analogous (i.e. without sulfur) acyclic compounds have been reported.^{3,4,5} Further work aimed at improving the stereoselectivity of this process and application of the strategy to the synthesis of hydrindan and decalin systems is underway.

Acknowledgement: Financial support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (Canada) and the University of Saskatchewan is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES

- 1. (a) Martin, J. G.; Hill, R. K. Chem. Rev., 1961, 61, 537. (b) Sauer, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1967, 6, 17.
- (a) Ward, D. E.; Zoghaib, W. M.; Rhee, C. K.; Gai, Y. Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 845. (b) Ward, D. E.; Gai, Y.; Zoghaib, W. M. Can. J. Chem., 1991, 69, 1487. (c) Ward, D. E.; Gai, Y. Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 1851. (d) Ward, D. E.; Gai, Y. Can. J. Chem., 1992, 70, 0000.
- Reviews: (a) Roush, W. R. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M.; Flemming, I., Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 5, Chapter 4.4. (b) Carruthers, W. Cycloaddition Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Tetrahedron Organic Chemistry Series Volume 8, Pergamon: Oxford, 1990; p 140. (c) Roush, W. R. In Advances in Cycloaddition; Curran, D. P., Ed.; JAI Press: Greenwich, CT, 1990, Vol. 2, p 91. (d) Taschner, M. J. In Organic Synthesis: Theory and Application; Hudlicky, T., Ed.; JAI Press: Greenwich, CT, 1989, Vol. 1, p 79. (e) Craig, D. Chem. Soc. Rev., 1987, 16, 187. (f) Fallis, A. Can. J. Chem., 1984, 62, 183. (g) Ciganek, E. Org. React., 1984, 32, 1. (h) Taber, D. F. Intramolecular Diels-Alder and Ene Reactions; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1984. (i) Paquette, L A. In Asymmetric Synthesis; Morrison, J. D., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1984, Vol. 3, Chapter 7. (j) G. Brieger and J.N. Bennett, Chem. Rev., 1980, 80, 83. (k) Funk, R; Vollhardt, K. P. C. Chem. Soc. Rev., 1980, 9, 41. (l) Oppolzer, W. Synthesis, 1978, 793. (m) Oppolzer, W. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1977, 16, 10. (n) Mehta, G. J. Chem. Ed., 1976, 53, 551. (o) Carlson, R.G. Ann. Rep. Med. Chem., 1974, 9, 270.
- See for example: (a) Sodeoka, M.; Yamada, H; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 4906. (b) Chou, S.-S.P.; Wey, S.-J. J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 1270. (c) Wattanasin, S.; Kathawala, F. G.; Boekman, R. K. J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 3810. (d) Yoshida, M.; Nakai, H.; Ohno, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 1133. (e) Koreeda, M.; Luengo, J. I. J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 2079. (f) Boeckman, R. K.; Alessi, T. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 3216. (g) Pyne, S. G.; Hensel, M. J.; Fuchs, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 5719. (h) Kuenhe, M. E.; Matsko, T. H.; Bohnert, J. C.; Motyka, L.; Oliver-Smith, D. J. Org. Chem., 1981, 46, 2002. (i) Oppolzer, W.; Fehr, C.; Warneke, J. Helv. Chim. Acta, 1977, 60, 48. (j) House, H. O.; Cronin, T. H. J. Org. Chem., 1965, 30, 1061.
- See for example: (a) Borch, R. F.; A. J. Evans, A. J.; Wade, J. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 1612.
 (b) Martin, S. F.; Williamson, S. A.; Gist, R. P.; Smith, K. M. J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 5170. (c) Jenkins, P. R.; Menear, K. A.; Barraclough, P.; Nobbs, M. S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 1423. (d) Magnus, P.; Walker, C.; Jenkins, P. R.; Meanar, K. A. Tetrahedron Lett., 1986, 27, 651. (e) Ziegler, F. E.; Jaynes, B. H.; Saindane, M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 8115. (f) Corey, E. J.; Jardine, P. D. S.; Rohloff, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 3672. (g) Nicolaou, K. C.; Li, W. S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 421.
- 6. An often cited report⁴ claims similar IMDA reactivity for the methyl esters of (2E, 7E)- and (2E, 7Z)-2,7,9-decatrienoic acid. Interpretation of these results in light of those of Roush (Roush, W.R.; Ko, A.I.; Gillis, H.R. J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 4264) leads to the conclusion that the 7E isomer is considerably more reactive than the 7Z isomer. For an example of relative IMDA reactivity of E and Z dienes see ref. 4f.
- 7. Casey, G.; Sutherland, A.G.; Taylor, R. J. K.; Urben, P. G. Synthesis, 1989, 767.
- 8. Matsuyama, H.; Miyazawa, Y.; Takei, Y.; Kobayashi, M. J. Org. Chem., 1987, 52, 1703.
- (a) Chen, C. H.; Reynolds, G. A.; Van Allan, J. H. J. Org. Chem., 1977, 42, 2777. (b) Kansal, V. K.; Taylor, R. J. K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I, 1984, 703.
- 10. House, H. O.; Jones, V. K.; Frank, G. A. J. Org. Chem., 1964, 29, 3327.
- (a) Batten, R. J.; Coyle, J. D.; Taylor, R. J. K.; Vassiliou, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I, 1982, 1177.
 (b) Lane, S.; Quick, S. J.; Taylor, R. J. K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I, 1985, 893.
 (c) Casy, G.; Lane, S. Taylor, R. J. K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I, 1986, 1397.
- 12. Krause, G.A.; Landgrebe, K. Synthesis, 1984, 885.
- 13. Vedejs, E.; Arnost, M. J.; Hagen, J. P. J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 3230.
- 14. A sequential Michael reaction mechanism is plausible. We have not detected products corresponding to intramolecular Michael addition of the silyl end ether with the enoate. See also ref. 2d.
- 15. The stereochemistry of the adducts 13-18 was readily determined by analysis of the coupling constants in the ¹H NMR spectra. For example, the configuration at C-6 in 13-16 was assigned by the presence (13, 15; ca. 2 Hz) or absence (14, 16) of w-coupling between H-6 and H-11.^{2b,2d} The relative configuration at C-5 and C-6 was assigned based on the H-5/H-6 coupling constant (5-8 Hz for 13 and 14; 10-12 Hz for 15 and 16). Similar arguments apply for the stereochemical assignment of 17 and 18.
- 16. Triene 6c is obtained from 4c which can be isolated from the hydrolyzed products from IMDA reaction of the inseparable mixture of 5c and 6c.

(Received in USA 30 September 1992; accepted 16 November 1992)