

pubs.acs.org/acscombsci

Rapid Synthesis of a Natural Product-Inspired Uridine Containing Library

Wei-Chieh Cheng,* Wan-Ju Liu, Kung-Hsiang Hu, Yee-Ling Tan, Yan-Ting Lin, Wei-An Chen, and Lee-Chiang Lo*



KEYWORDS: combinatorial chemistry, natural product-inspired nucleosides, chemical space, semiautomated synthesis, uridine-containing library

INTRODUCTION

Natural products are an important source of inspiration in drug discovery, medicinal chemistry, and chemical biology.^{1–3} Among them, uridine-containing natural products show a wide range of bioactivities, such as anticancer, antifungal, antiviral, and antibiotic activity, and are implicated in several nucleoside metabolic pathways.^{4–6} For example (Figure 1), the polyoxins, a group of antifungal nucleosides that inhibit chitin synthase, were isolated from *Streptomyces cacaoi subsp. Asoensis;*^{7,8} the muraymycins were isolated from a culture broth of *Streptomyces sp.* and found to show excellent antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive bacteria;^{9–12} and tunicamycin, produced by *Streptomyces lysosuperficus*, is a nucleoside antibiotic complex under investigation for its inhibition of polyisoprenyl-phosphate *N*-acetylhexosamine-1-phosphate transferases (PNPT) with view to the development of a novel anticancer drug.^{13,14}

These uridine-containing natural products are all biologically relevant molecules and share a common structural feature (the 5'-substituted uridine moiety) but are otherwise structurally diverse and complex. Thus, it is difficult to clearly define their real core structures or diversity positions. Also, their structural complexity (in particular, the large number of stereogenic centers) and very low natural availability hamper their further study. To date, only straightforward one-dimensional chemical diversity of 5'-substituted uridines has been extensively reported;^{15–17} to the best of our knowledge, more structurally diverse uridine-based libraries incorporating more than two

diversity positions and synthesized by way of flexible, fragment-assembly strategies have not been investigated.

Our research interests include the design and synthesis of biologically interesting natural product-inspired scaffolds¹⁸ and libraries via divergent synthesis or combinatorial chemistry.^{19–22} Recently, we reported the systematic preparation of bacterial Park nucleotide-based molecules, one class of UDP-type natural products, for an enzyme substrate specificity study.²³ Inspired by naturally occurring uridine-containing molecules, nucleoside antibiotics, and uridine metabolic pathways, we realized that diverse chemical synthesis of these uridine-containing scaffolds, molecules, or libraries is needed to increase our chemical space coverage and for their further study in forward or reverse chemical genetic screening.^{24–27}

Here, we report an efficient, solution-phase parallel synthetic approach to prepare a diverse uridine-containing library by assembly of multifragments or substituents within a single framework (two diversity positions, three conjugations linkages, one space, and one chiral center) under mild reaction

Received:	January 23, 2020
Revised:	August 12, 2020
Published:	August 24, 2020





Figure 1. Examples of bioactive molecules containing a uridine moiety.

conditions. Scheme 1 is a schematic depiction of our synthetic strategy. Several flexible and convenient bioconjugation methods, such as Cu-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC),^{28,29} amide bond formation,³⁰ and urea bond formation,³¹ will be applied in this study. Notably, some natural products or bioactive compounds (see in Figure 1) possess a glycosidic linkage, but this formation condition is

Scheme 1. Principle of Our Structural Design for Uridine-Based Chemical Space



highly moisture sensitive and not convenient. Therefore, we decide to utilize an amide or urea moiety as our linkage III instead of the glycosidic linkage to efficiently perform the substituent diversity. In addition, the triazole formation (CuAAC) and the amide bond formation will be applied as our linkage I and II, respectively (Scheme 1). Several flexible and semiautomated equipment, including a solution-phase synthesizer, multichannel liquid handler, and centrifugal evaporator were used to accelerate the preparation process. Product purification was rapidly accomplished using solid-phase extraction.^{32–34}

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Design and Retrosynthetic Analysis. Scheme 2 depicts our retrosynthetic analysis. The general structure of target





library I includes two structurally diverse fragments A and B and one position of configuration diversity (at the C5' position). Fragment A (see in Scheme 1) incorporates several structural features including the triazole-based linkage I, the amide-based linkage II, a flexible spacer, and a lipophilic moiety. In contrast, the amino group attached at the C5' position will be used for the attachment of fragment B through (thio-) urea or amide bond formation with various isocyanates or carboxylic acids. Scaffold II will be prepared from the key intermediate III, derived from uridine.

Synthesis of Key Intermediates 5S and 5R. As shown in Scheme 3, alkyne alcohol 1R was prepared from uridine as previously described, with minor modifications.³⁵ Mesylation of 2R, followed by $S_N 2$ substitution, with sodium azide in DMF at 50 °C gave 4S in 84% overall yield over two steps. Reduction of azide 4S under Staudinger conditions (PMe₃/ H₂O/THF) followed by N-Boc protection gave the first Nprotected amino alkyne 5S in 63% yield (two steps). Nprotected amino alkyne 5R was accessible from 1R by either Mitsunobu reaction, mesylation, and Boc-protection or via an intramolecular Mitsunobu cyclization to give cyclized intermediate 7, followed by ring opening with sodium azide.³⁶ Notably, oxidation of 1R, followed by reduction (see Supporting Information (SI)) did not give us a better diastereoselective ratio for a separation benefit (approximately 1:1). We also found that use of PMe_3 rather than PPh_3 for the

Scheme 3^a



"Reagents and conditions: (a) TBAF, THF, rt, 0.5 h, 96%; (b) (i) MsCl, Et₃N, DCM, 0 °C, 0.5 h, (ii) NaN₃, DMF, 50 °C, 8 h, 84% over 2 steps; (c) (i) PMe₃, THF/H₂O, rt, 5 h, (ii) Boc₂O, NaHCO₃, rt, 2 h, 61–63% over 2 steps; (d) Dess–Martin periodinane, CH₂Cl₂, 81%; (e) NaBH₄, EtOH, 1:1 mixture of 1, 99%; (f) (i) PPh₃, DIAD, THF, rt, 0.5 h, (ii) NaOH, MeOH/H₂O, rt, 5 h, 87% over 2 steps; (g) (i) MsCl, Et₃N, DCM, 0 °C, 0.5 h, (ii) NaN₃, DMF, 50 °C, 8 h, 52% over 2 steps; (h) DEAD, PPh₃, THF, 75%; (i) NaN₃, DMF, 70 °C, 1 h, 69%.

transformation of 3S to 5R was associated with an increase in the yield from 52% to $83\%.^{37,38}$

Chemical Synthesis of Model Molecules. With alkynes 5S and 5R in hand, model studies to explore the reaction conditions necessary to accomplish the practical library synthesis could be investigated (Scheme 4). 3-Azidopropan-1-amine was conjugated to alkyne 5S using catalytic CuSO₄ and sodium ascorbate via a Cu-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) to give triazole intermediate 9S, which was directly coupled with 5-cyclohexylpentanoic acid $10{1}$ (Figure 2) to give $11S{1}$ in 95% overall yield over two steps. The N-Boc deprotection of $11S\{1\}$ was performed under acidic TFA conditions to give the corresponding amine intermediate, which was directly treated with 2-biphenylyl isocyanate $12\{1\}$ to form a urea-based linkage. After global deprotection using 6 N HCl in MeOH, 13S{1,1} was smoothly obtained after simple purification. Likewise, $13R\{1,1\}$, the C5 epimer of $13S\{1,1\}$, was similarly prepared from alkyne 5R. Instead of a, (thio-) urea bond formation, another conjugation method via an amide bond formation could also be established with the treatment of mixture of the amine intermediate which was prepared from N-Boc deprotection of $11S\{1\}$, 3,3,3-



"Reagents and conditions: (j) 3-azidopropan-1-amine, $CuSO_4 \cdot SH_2O$, sodium ascorbate, *t*-BuOH, 80 °C, 3 h; (k) 5-cyclohexylpentanoic acid **10**{1}, EDC·HCl, Et₃N, DCM, 4 h, 95% over 2 steps from **5S**; (l) TFA, DCM, 0.5 h; (m) (i) 2-biphenylyl isocyanate **12**{1}, Et₃N, DCM, 16 h, (ii) 6 N HCl, MeOH, 16 h, 85% over 3 steps from **11S**{1}; (n) (i) 3,3,3-triphenylpropionic acid **14**{1}, EDC·HCl, Et₃N, DCM, 4 h, (ii) TFA, DCM, H₂O, 16 h, 83% over 3 steps from **11S**{1}.



Figure 2. Set of acids $10\{1-2\}$ for diversity.

triphenylpropionic acid $14\{1\}$, EDC, and triethylamine. After simple extraction and global deprotection, $15S\{1,1\}$ was also obtained in 83% yield from $11S\{1\}$.

Library Synthesis. The successful synthesis of $13S\{1,1\}$ and $15S\{1,1\}$ from 5S and $13R\{1,1\}$ from 5R encouraged us to attempt the preparation of a more diverse library. To improve efficiency, we sought to use semiautomated equipment. Requisite precursors $11S\{1-2\}$ and $11R\{1-2\}$ bearing a lipophilic substituent at R^1 and incorporating configuration diversity at C5' were prepared with the assistance of a solution-

ACS Combinatorial Science

pubs.acs.org/acscombsci

phase synthesizer, liquid handler, and evaporator as shown in Scheme 5 (also see in SI). To simplify our process, the final purification was accomplished by solid-phase extraction (SPE).

Scheme 5. General Route for the Preparation of Key Precursors $11S\{1-2\}$ and $11R\{1-2\}$ from Alkynes 5S or 5R



A total of 16 isocyanate reagents $12\{1-16\}$ (Figure 3) and 16 acid reagents $14\{1-16\}$ (Figure 4) were reacted with



nucleoside-based substrates $11S\{1-2\}$ and $11R\{1-2\}$ according to the protocols described above. Some minor changes were made to the above protocols, to accommodate the automated equipment. For example, *N*-Boc deprotection of the nucleoside-based substrate $11S\{1\}$ under acidic conditions (step i) was performed, followed by direct evaporation, individual treatment with isocyanate reagents $12\{1-16\}$ (step ii), and global deprotection (step iii) to obtain desired target molecules $13S\{1-2,1-16\}$ and $13R\{1-2,1-16\}$ after simple solid-phase extraction (Scheme 6). Likewise, the amide bond formation in step ii would also be performed to get the desired 16 compounds $15S\{1,1-16\}$. Notably, the crude products were evaporated by speed-vac and washed by



Figure 4. Set of acids $14\{1-16\}$ for diversity.

Scheme 6. General Procedure for the Semiautomatic Synthesis of the Desired Library



solution-phase extraction assisted by a liquid handler. Most impurities, derived from the excess of isocyanate or acid reagents, were easily removed by further solid-phase extraction with silica gel and 1/1 mixture of ethyl acetate/hexanes as

Table 1. Yields and Purities of the Library via a Urea or Amide Bond Formation with Nucleoside-Based Substrates and Isocyanate or Acid Reagents

product	yield (purity) ^a	product	yield (purity) ^a	product	yield (purity) ^a	product	yield (purity) ^a	product	yield (purity) ^a
13S{1,1}	85 (98)	13S {2,1}	56 (96)	13R {1,1}	41 (94)	13R{2,1}	28 (96)	15S {1,1}	83 (94)
13S{1,2}	30 (99)	13S {2,2}	57 (99)	13R {1,2}	23 (99)	13R{2,2}	36 (99)	15S {1,2}	53 (99)
13S {1,3}	51 (99)	13S {2,3}	56 (97)	13R {1,3}	62 (97)	13R{2,3}	52 (98)	15S {1,3}	83 (99)
13S{1,4}	31 (99)	13S {2,4}	32 (99)	13R {1,4}	70 (96)	13R{2,4}	50 (96)	15S {1,4}	35 (99)
13S {1,5}	58 (99)	13S {2,5}	67 (95)	13R {1,5}	64 (95)	13R{2,5}	54 (99)	15S {1,5}	51 (96)
13S {1,6}	51 (99)	13S {2,6}	49 (98)	13R {1,6}	45 (98)	13R{2,6}	53 (99)	15S {1,6}	62 (88)
13S{1,7}	63 (98)	13S {2,7}	51 (96)	13R {1,7}	76 (96)	13R{2,7}	39 (98)	15S {1,7}	40 (93)
13S{1,8}	62 (97)	13S {2,8}	36 (94)	13R{1,8}	50 (97)	13R{2,8}	45 (96)	15S {1,8}	60 (95)
13S{1,9}	11 (95)	13S{2,9}	57 (98)	13R{1,9}	31 (93)	13R{2,9}	25 (97)	15S {1,9}	26 (89)
13S {1,10}	56 (98)	13S {2,10}	21 (97)	13R { <i>1,10</i> }	40 (98)	13R {2,10}	41 (99)	15S {1,10}	42 (96)
13S{1,11}	56 (99)	13S {2,11}	50 (99)	13R {1,11}	37 (97)	13R{2,11}	56 (97)	15S {1,11}	31 (89)
13S {1,12}	53 (99)	13S {2,12}	53 (99)	13R {1,12}	45 (98)	13R {2,12}	24 (93)	15S {1,12}	18 (96)
13S {1,13}	72 (99)	13S {2,13}	60 (99)	13R {1,13}	49 (95)	13R {2,13}	46 (96)	15S {1,13}	87 (85)
13S{1,14}	68 (99)	13S {2,14}	53 (98)	13R {1,14}	29 (99)	13R {2,14}	51 (99)	15S {1,14}	64 (85)
13S{1,15}	65 (98)	13S {2,15}	39 (95)	13R {1,15}	27 (85)	13R{2,15}	35 (99)	15S {1,15}	30 (99)
13S{1,16}	25 (99)	13S {2,16}	8 (97)	13R {1,16}	62 (99)	13R{2,16}	41 (99)	15S {1,16}	23 (99)
dr. 11 c									

^aYields after overall synthesis. Purities shown in parentheses.



Figure 5. Morphological study of the compounds toward *Bacillus subtilis*. The bacterial cells ($OD_{600nm} = 0.1-0.2$) were incubated with 100 μ m compounds. The images show the effect of the compounds indicated by their code number after 3 h on *B. subtilis* 168 cells.

eluent, and desired products were subsequently collected by using pure EtOAc as the eluent.

Library members (80 members) were characterized by NMR, HRMS, HPLC, and optical rotation. Purities ranged from 85% to 99%, with an average purity of 97% (Table 1). Yields ranged from 8% to 87% in three steps. The low yields of some products might be due to the solid-phase extraction in the unoptimized condition; whereas, their purity was qualified for further biological study.

Biological Evaluation of Selected Compounds. With the uridine-based library in hand, biological studies could commence. Due to their accessibility, we choose to undertake antibacterial and bacterial morphological studies.^{39,40} None of the compounds synthesized were found to exhibit antibacterial activity against Gram-negative bacterial strains, such as *Acinetobacter baumannii*, at a concentration of 100 μ m, presumably because they could not penetrate the bacterial membranes (data not shown). However, in the morphological study, several compounds were found to perturb the growth of *Bacillus subtilis* (Figure 5). For example, the phenotype of bacterial cells treated with $13S\{1,13\}$ or $13R\{1,13\}$ consisted of long filament and undivided cells compared with the control group. Most of the affected bacteria attached to each other via their poles. These observations indicate that bacterial cell division is perturbed and cell separation is obstructed by these compounds. In contrast, compounds $13R\{1,7\}$ had no effect on bacterial shape, despite their structures differing only subtly from compounds $13S\{1,13\}$ and $13R\{1,13\}$. The underlying mechanisms, conditions, and other factors underlying both these contrasting results and the changes observed remain to be explored.

CONCLUSION

A structurally diverse, natural product-inspired uridinecontaining library (80 members) incorporating two substituent diversity positions and one configuration diversity position was efficiently synthesized by elaboration of the key intermediate **5S** and **5R** (bearing an alkyne group and Boc-*N*-protected amino group). Semiautomated equipment including a solutionphase synthesizer, multichannel liquid handler, and centrifugal vacuum concentrator were used to speed up the synthesis of this library, and the rapid purification of its members was accomplished using solid-phase extraction. The conditions developed in this work are anticipated to enable the generation of even more structurally diverse, uridine moiety-containing libraries in the future. A preliminary bacterial morphology study showed that subtle structural differences of uridine compounds lead different morphology and shape; a more extensive bioevaluation of this library (forward chemical genetic screen) is underway, and the results will be published in due course.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information and Instrumentation. All chemicals were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Flash column chromatography was performed on silica gel of 40–63 μ m particle size. NMR spectra were recorded on dilute solutions in D₂O, CDCl₃ and MeOD on Bruker AVANCE 600 spectrometers at ambient temperature. High-resolution ESI mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker Daltonics spectrometer. Parallel synthesis was performed on Mini-Block synthesizer and the reaction vessel (115 × 12) mm. Multiple-functional liquid handler (Freedom EVO, TECAN) was utilized for extraction and separation. Solvent evaporation was performed on Thermo Scientific Savat Explorer SpeedVac Concentrator Explorer-220.

Preparation of the Key Intermediate 5S. A mixture of 4S (144 mg, 0.356 mmol) and NaN₃ in DMF (0.2 mL, 0.21 mmol) was stirred at 70 °C for 1.0 h. The reaction residue was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography EtOAc/hexane = 1/1) to give 5S (110 mg, 0.246 mmol, 69%). $R_f = 0.3$ (EtOAc/hexane = 1/1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 9.78 (brs, 1H), 7.49 (d, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz, 5.90 (d, 1H, J = 3.3 Hz), 5.76 (d, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz), 4.82 (dd, 1H, J = 6.4, 3.2 Hz), 4.76 (dd, 1H, J = 6.4, 3.2 Hz), 4.50 (d, 1H, J = 5.2 Hz), 4.23 (dd, 1H, J = 5.1, 3.2 Hz), 1.57 (s, 3H) 1.33 (s, 3H), 0.98 (t, 9H, J = 7.9 Hz), 0.62 (m, 6H, J = 7.9 Hz). $^{13}{\rm C}$ NMR (150 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 163.4, 150.1, 140.9, 114.8, 102.9, 97.3, 92.9, 92.3, 86.2, 83.7, 80.9, 54.6, 27.0, 25.1, 7.2 (×3), 4.0 (×3). HRMS calcd for $[C_{20}H_{29}NO_{5}Si+H]^{+}$ 448.2011, found 448.2013.

Preparation of the Key Intermediate 5R. A mixture of 3S (23.0 mg, 0.07 mmol) and 1 M trimethylphosphine in THF (0.2 mL, 0.21 mmol) was added in THF/H2O (1/1) and stirred for 5 h. The reaction mixture was added di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (0.03 mL, 0.14 mmol) and sodium bicarbonate (11.6 mg, 0.14 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h. The reaction residue was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were dried over MgSO4 and concentrated. The reaction mixture was added TBAF (1.0 M in THF, 2.4 mL, 2.40 mmol) and stirred for 0.5 h, then concentrated, purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane = 2/1) to give **5R** (13.5 mg, 0.044 mmol, 63% over 3 steps). $R_f = 0.7$ (EtOAc/hexane = 2/ 1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 11.4 (brs, 1H), 7.67 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.30 (d, 1H, J = 9.1 Hz), 5.73 (d, 1H, J = 1.4 Hz), 5.57 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 5.15 (d, 1H, J = 6.1 Hz), 4.87 (dd, 1H, J = 6.3, 3.0 Hz), 4.58 (m, 1H, J = 9.1, 7.7 Hz), 4.02(dd, 1H, J = 9.1, 3.0 Hz), 3.30 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.32 (s, 9 H), 1.29 (s, 3H). $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- $d_6)$ δ 163.2, 155.0, 150.2, 142.8, 113.2, 101.8, 92.5, 86.7, 83.2, 81.6, 81.4, 78.7, 74.5, 43.9, 28.1 (×3), 26.8, 25.0. HRMS calculated for $[C_{19}H_{25}N_{3}O_{7} + H]^{+}$ 430.1585, found 430.1565.

General Procedure of Model Molecules $11S\{1-2\}$ and $11R\{1-2\}$. To a solution of alkyne SS or SR (1 equiv) and 3azidopropan-1-amine (1.5 equiv) in *tert*-butanol was successively added CuSO₄ (0.1 equiv) and sodium ascorbate (0.3 equiv). The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 3 h and diluted with EtOAc and water. The aqueous phase was extracted with EA, and the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated to get amine. A solution of amine(1 equiv) in DCM was added with acid(1.5 equiv), EDC·HCl (1.5 equiv) and triethylamine (3 equiv). After 4 h, the reaction was quenched and the organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc) to get the corresponding product.

Compound **115***[1].* Yield: 95% over 2 steps. $R_f = 0.2$ (EA). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.60 (d, 1H, J =8.0 Hz), 5.70 (br, 1H), 5.66 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 5.17 (d, 1H, J =5.0 Hz), 5.11 (d, 1H, J = 5.0 Hz), 4.41 (t, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz), 4.36 (br, 1H), 3.19 (t, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.18 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz), 2.11–2.05 (m, 2H), 1.73–1.63 (m, 5H), 1.60–1.55 (m, 2H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 9H), 1.35–1.30 (m, 5H), 1.25– 1.15 (m, 6H), 0.92–0.85 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, MeOD) δ 176.7, 166.4, 157.9, 152.3, 147.5, 145.4, 124.7, 115.7, 103.0, 95.6, 89.1, 85.2, 82.5, 80.7, 50.4, 49.7, 39.0, 38.5, 37.5, 37.3, 34.7 (× 2), 31.3, 28.9 (×3), 27.9, 27.7, 26.6 (×3), 26.4, 25.7. HRMS calculated for $[C_{33}H_{51}N_7O_8 + H]^+$ 674.3872, found 674.3895.

Compound **115**{2}. Yield: 97% over 2 steps. $R_f = 0.5$ (EA). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.60 (d, 1H, J =8.0 Hz), 5.70 (br, 1H), 5.66 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 5.17 (br, 1H), 5.11 (d, 1H, J = 4.8 Hz), 4.41 (t, 2H, J = 6.9 Hz), 4.36 (br, 1H), 3.19 (t, 2H, J = 6.6 Hz), 2.18 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz), 2.11– 2.05 (m, 2H), 1.62–1.57 (m, 2H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 9H), 1.34–1.26 (m, 25H), 0.92–0.87 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, MeOD) δ 176.7, 166.4, 157.9, 152.3, 147.5, 145.4, 124.7, 115.7, 103.0, 95.6, 89.1, 85.2, 82.5, 80.7, 50.4, 49.7, 37.5, 37.3, 33.2, 31.3, 30.9 (× 5), 30.8, 30.6 (× 2), 30.5, 28.9 (× 3), 27.6, 27.2, 25.7, 23.9, 14.6. HRMS calculated for $[C_{37}H_{61}N_7O_8 + H]^+$ 732.4654, found 732.4677.

Compound **11***R*{1}. Yield: 91% over 2 steps. $R_f = 0.2$ (EA). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.52 (d, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz), 5.74 (br, 1H), 5.64 (d, 1H, 7.9 Hz), 5.16 (d, 1H, 6.7 Hz), 5.02 (br, 1H), 4.96 (br, 1H), 4.40 (t, 2H J = 6.8 Hz), 4.32 (br, 1H), 3.18 (t, 2H J = 6.5 Hz), 2.18 (t, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz), 2.10–2.04 (m, 2H), 1.75–1.63 (m, 5H), 1.60–1.51 (m, 2H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.35–1.30 (m, 5H), 1.26–1.14 (m, 6H), 0.92–0.84 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, MeOD) δ 176.7, 166.3, 157.8, 152.0, 147.2, 144.7, 124.7, 115.8, 103.0, 94.8, 88.9, 85.2, 82.9, 80.9, 50.2 (×2), 39.0, 38.5, 37.5, 37.3, 34.7 (×2), 31.3, 28.8 (×3), 28.0, 27.7, 27.6 (×3), 27.4, 25.7. HRMS calculated for $[C_{33}H_{51}N_7O_8 + H]^+$ 674.3872, found 674.3909.

Compound 11R{2}. Yield: 73% over 2 steps. $R_f = 0.5$ (EA). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.60 (d, 1H, J =8.0 Hz), 5.70 (br, 1H), 5.66 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 5.17 (br, 1H), 5.11 (d, 1H, J = 4.8 Hz), 4.41 (t, 2H, J = 6.9 Hz), 4.36 (br, 1H), 3.19 (t, 2H, J = 6.6 Hz), 2.18 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz), 2.11– 2.05 (m, 2H), 1.62–1.57 (m, 2H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 9H), 1.34–1.26 (m, 25H), 0.92–0.87 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, MeOD) δ 176.7, 166.4, 157.9, 152.3, 147.5, 145.4, 124.7, 115.7, 103.0, 95.6, 89.1, 85.2, 82.5, 80.7, 50.4, 49.7, 37.5, 37.3, 33.2, 31.3, 30.9 (×5), 30.8, 30.6 (×2), 30.5, 28.9 (×3), 27.6, 27.2, 25.7, 23.9, 14.6. HRMS calculated for $[C_{37}H_{61}N_7O_8 + H]^+$ 732.4654, found 732.4677.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acscombsci.0c00011.

Full experimental details including characterization and NMR spectra of new compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Wei-Chieh Cheng – Genomics Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan; Department of Chemistry, National Cheng-Kung University, Tainan 701, Taiwan; Department of Applied Chemistry, National Chiayi University, Chiayi 600, Taiwan; Department of Medicinal and Applied Chemistry, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan; oricid.org/0000-0002-9319-3010; Email: wccheng6109@gmail.com

Lee-Chiang Lo – Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan; Octiong/0000-0002-5821-1690; Email: lclo@ntu.edu.tw

Authors

- Wan-Ju Liu Genomics Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan; Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan
- Kung-Hsiang Hu Genomics Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan
- Yee-Ling Tan Genomics Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan
- Yan-Ting Lin Genomics Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan
- Wei-An Chen Genomics Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acscombsci.0c00011

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Academia Sinica and the Ministry of Science and Technology for financial support.

REFERENCES

(1) Harvey, A. L. Natural products in drug discovery. *Drug Discovery Today* **2008**, *13*, 894–901.

(2) Harvey, A. L.; Edrada-Ebel, R.; Quinn, R. J. The re-emergence of natural products for drug discovery in the genomics era. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2015**, *14*, 111–129.

(3) Decorte, B. L. Underexplored opportunities for natural products in drug discovery. J. Med. Chem. 2016, 59, 9295–9304.

(4) Serpi, M.; Ferrari, V.; Pertusati, F. Nucleoside derived antibiotics to fight microbial drug resistance: new utilities for an established class of drugs? *J. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *59*, 10343–10382.

(5) Winn, M.; Goss, R. J. M.; Kimura, K.-i.; Bugg, T. D. H Antimicrobial nucleoside antibiotics targeting cell wall assembly: recent advances in structure-function studies and nucleoside biosynthesis. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2010**, *27*, 279–304. (6) Shelton, J.; Lu, X.; Hollenbaugh, J. A.; Cho, J. H.; Amblard, F.; Schinazi, R. F. Metabolism, biochemical actions, and chemical synthesis of anticancer nucleosides, nucleotides, and base analogs. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 14379–14455.

(7) Zhao, C.; Huang, T.; Chen, W.; Deng, Z. Enhancement of the diversity of polyoxins by a thymine-7-hydroxylase homolog outside the polyoxin biosynthesis gene cluster. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2010**, 76, 7343–7347.

(8) Bugg, T. D. H. Nucleoside Natural Product Antibiotics Targeting Microbial Cell Wall Biosynthesis. In *Antibacterials Topics in Medicinal Chemistry*, vol 26.; Fisher, J. F., Mobashery, S., Miller, M. J., Eds.; Springer, Cham, 2017; pp 1–25.

(9) Wiegmann, D.; Koppermann, S.; Wirth, M.; Niro, G.; Leyerer, K.; Ducho, C. Muraymycin nucleoside-peptide antibiotics: uridinederived natural products as lead structures for the development of novel antibacterial agents. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *12*, 769–795.

(10) Mitachi, K.; Aleiwi, B. A.; Schneider, C. M.; Siricilla, S.; Kurosu, M. Stereocontrolled total synthesis of muraymycin D1 having a dual mode of action against mycobacterium tuberculosis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 12975–12980.

(11) Lukose, V.; Walvoort, M. T.; Imperiali, B. Bacterial phosphoglycosyl transferases: initiators of glycan biosynthesis at the membrane interface. *Glycobiology* **2017**, *27*, 820–833.

(12) McDonald, L. A.; Barbieri, L. R.; Carter, G. T.; Lenoy, E.; Lotvin, J.; Petersen, P. J.; Siegel, M. M.; Singh, G.; Williamson, R. T. Structures of the muraymycins, novel peptidoglycan biosynthesis inhibitors. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 10260–10261.

(13) Takatsuki, A.; Arima, K.; Tamura, G. Tunicamycin, a new antibiotic. I. Isolation and characterization of tunicamycin. *J. Antibiot.* **1971**, *24*, 215–223.

(14) Takatsuki, A.; Kawamura, K.; Okina, M.; Kodama, Y.; Ito, T.; Tamura, G. The structure of tunicamycin. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **1977**, *41*, 2307–2309.

(15) Moukha-Chafiq, O.; Reynolds, R. C. Parallel solution-phase synthesis and general biological activity of a uridine antibiotic analog library. *ACS Comb. Sci.* **2014**, *16*, 232–237.

(16) Sun, D.; Lee, R. E. Solid-phase synthesis of a thymidinyl dipeptide urea library. J. Comb. Chem. 2007, 9, 370-385.

(17) Park, J. W.; Nam, S. J.; Yoon, Y. J. Enabling techniques in the search for new antibiotics: combinatorial biosynthesis of sugarcontaining antibiotics. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2017**, *134*, 56–73.

(18) Cheng, W.-C.; Guo, C.-W.; Lin, C.-K.; Jiang, Y.-R Synthesis and inhibition study of bicyclic iminosugar-based alkaloids, scaffolds, and libraries towards glucosidase. *Isr. J. Chem.* **2015**, *55*, 403–411.

(19) Cheng, W.-C.; Lin, C.-K.; Li, H.-Y.; Chang, Y.-C.; Lu, S.-J.; Chen, Y.-S.; Chang, S.-Y A combinatorial approach towards the synthesis of non-hydrolysable triazole-iduronic acid hybrid inhibitors of human α -l-iduronidase: discovery of enzyme stabilizers for the potential treatment of MPSI. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 2647–2650. (20) Shih, H.-W.; Chen, K.-T.; Chen, S.-K.; Huang, C.-Y.; Cheng, T.-J. R.; Ma, C.; Wong, C.-H.; Cheng, W.-C Combinatorial approach toward synthesis of small molecule libraries as bacterial transglycosylase inhibitors. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2010**, *8*, 2586–2593.

(21) Chen, K.-T.; Huang, D.-Y.; Chiu, C.-H.; Lin, W.-W.; Liang, P.-H.; Cheng, W.-C. Synthesis of diverse N-substituted muramyl dipeptide derivatives and their use in a study of human NOD2 stimulation activity. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 11984–11988.

(22) Cheng, W.-C.; Wang, J.-H.; Yun, W.-Y.; Li, H.-Y.; Hu, J.-M Rapid preparation of (3R,4S,5R) polyhydroxylated pyrrolidine-based libraries to discover a pharmacological chaperone for treatment of Fabry disease. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, *126*, 1–6.

(23) Chen, K.-T.; Chen, P.-T.; Lin, C.-K.; Huang, L.-Y.; Hu, C.-M.; Chang, Y.-F.; Hsu, H.-T.; Cheng, T.-J.; Wu, Y.-T.; Cheng, W.-C Structural investigation of Park's nucleotide on bacterial translocase MraY: discovery of unexpected MraY inhibitors. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 31579.

(24) Gehrig, J.; Pandey, G.; Westhoff, J. H. Zebrafish as a model for drug screening in genetic kidney diseases. *Front. Pediatr.* **2018**, *6*, 183.

(25) Kaufman, C. K.; White, R. M.; Zon, L. Chemical genetic screening in the zebrafish embryo. *Nat. Protoc.* **2009**, *4*, 1422–1432. (26) French, S.; Mangat, C.; Bharat, A.; Cote, J.-P.; Mori, H.; Brown, E. D. A robust platform for chemical genomics in bacterial systems. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **2016**, *27*, 1015–1025.

(27) Liu, R.; Li, X.; Lam, K. S. Combinatorial chemistry in drug discovery. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2017**, *38*, 117–126.

(28) Fer, M. J.; Olatunji, S.; Bouhss, A.; Calvet-Vitale, S.; Gravier-Pelletier, C. Toward Analogues of MraY Natural Inhibitors: Synthesis of 5'-Triazole-Substituted-Aminoribosyl Uridines Through a Cu-Catalyzed Azide–Alkyne Cycloaddition. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 10088–10105.

(29) Haldón, E.; Nicasio, M. C.; Pérez, P. J. Copper-catalysed azide-alkyne cycloadditions (CuAAC): an update. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2015**, *13*, 9528–9550.

(30) Cheng, T.-J. R.; Chan, T.-H.; Tsou, E.-L.; Chang, S.-Y.; Yun, W.-Y.; Yang, P.-J.; Wu, Y.-T.; Cheng, W.-C From natural productinspired pyrrolidine scaffolds to the development of new human Golgi α -mannosidase II inhibitors. *Chem. - Asian J.* **2013**, *8*, 2600–2604.

(31) Pan, Y.-W.; Guo, C.-W.; Tu, H.-Y.; Tsai, C.-W.; Cheng, W.-C Solid-phase synthesis of diverse spiroisoxazolinodiketopiperazines. *ACS Comb. Sci.* **2013**, *15*, 425–434.

(32) Shih, H.-W.; Guo, C.-W.; Lo, K.-H.; Huang, M.-Y.; Cheng, W.-C Solution-phase parallel synthesis of novel spirooxazolinoisoxazolines. J. Comb. Chem. 2009, 11, 281–287.

(33) Chang, Y.-F.; Guo, C.-W.; Chan, T.-H.; Pan, Y.-W.; Tsou, E.-L.; Cheng, W.-C Parallel synthesis of natural product-like polyhydroxylated pyrrolidine and piperidine alkaloids. *Mol. Diversity* **2011**, *15*, 203–214.

(34) Baranczak, A.; Tu, N. P.; Marjanovic, J.; Searle, P. A.; Vasudevan, A.; Djuric, S. W. Integrated platform for expedited synthesis-purification-testing of small molecule libraries. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *8*, 461–465.

(35) Eppacher, S.; Solladié, N.; Bernet, B.; Vasella, A. Oligonucleosides with a nucleobase-including backbone, part 1: concept, forcefield calculations, and synthesis of uridine-derived monomers and dimers. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2000**, *83*, 1311–1330.

(36) Evina, C. M.; Guillerm, G. Synthesis of uracil polyoxin C from uridine. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 163–166.

(37) Sabbavarapu, N. M.; Shavit, M.; Degani, Y.; Smolkin, B.; Belakhov, V.; Baasov, T. Design of novel aminoglycoside derivatives with enhanced suppression of diseases-causing nonsense mutations. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *7*, 418–423.

(38) Kandasamy, J.; Atia-Glikin, D.; Belakhov, V.; Baasov, T. Repairing faulty genes by aminoglycosides: identification of new pharmacophore with enhanced suppression of disease-causing non-sense mutations. *MedChemComm* **2011**, *2*, 165–171.

(39) Dumbre, S.; Derouaux, A.; Lescrinier, E.; Piette, A.; Joris, B.; Terrak, M.; Herdewijn, P. Synthesis of modified peptidoglycan precursor analogues for the inhibition of glycosyltransferase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 9343–9351.

(40) Haranahalli, K.; Tong, S.; Ojima, I. Recent advances in the discovery and development of antibacterial agents targeting the celldivision protein FtsZ. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *24*, 6354–6369.