Tetrahedron 69 (2013) 4053-4060

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tet

Synthesis of four mono-functionalized α -cyclodextrin derivatives for further confirming DIBAL-H-promoted bis-de-O-methylation mechanism

Sulong Xiao^{a, b}, Ming Yang^a, Fei Yu^a, Lihe Zhang^a, Demin Zhou^{a, *}, Pierre Sinaÿ^b, Yongmin Zhang^{b, c, *}

^a State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100191, China
^b Institut Parisien de Chimie Moléculaire, CNRS UMR 7201, Université Pierre et Marie Curie-Paris 6, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France
^c Institute for Interdisciplinary Research, Jianghan University, Wuhan Economic and Technological Development Zone, Wuhan 430056, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 February 2013 Received in revised form 8 March 2013 Accepted 18 March 2013 Available online 21 March 2013

Keywords: α-Cyclodextrin DIBAL-H Mechanism Synthesis

ABSTRACT

In our previous studies, a mechanism for DIBAL-H promoted regioselective bis-de-O-methylation of per-O-methylated cyclodextrin (CD) was proposed based on per-O-methylated β -CDs. As a further step to this work, four per-O-methylated α -CD derivatives (**6**, **7**, **11**, and **18**) with mono functional group at the secondary rim have been designed and synthesized. Using DIBAL-H as a chemical 'scalpel', we found that (1) only the O-methyl at C-2^A of **6** could be easily removed and (2) the O-methyl at C₃^B could be firstly regioselectively removed slowly, followed by a rapid removal of the second O-methyl at C₂^A to provide **3**. Combined with our previous studies, we think that not only O-3^B-methyl but also O-2^A and O-3^B are necessary for the formation of 'tweezers' during DIBAL-H promoted bis-de-O-methylation reaction of per-O-methylated CD.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

 α -, β - and γ -cyclodextrins (CDs) are macrocyclic oligomers of pglucose with the secondary C_2 and C_3 hydroxyl groups on the secondary rim and the primary C₆ hydroxyl group on the primary rim.¹ Due to their different hydrophobic cavity volume (for α -CD: 0.174, β -CD: 0.262, and γ -CD: 0.427 nm³),² CDs have the ability to form host-guest or inclusion complexes with a large range of hydrophobic molecules, which made them potentially useful in the fields of pharmacology,³ analytical chemistry,⁴ enzyme mimics,⁵ etc. However, the relatively low solubility of native CDs in water (e.g., 14.5 g and 1.85 g in 100 mL for α -CD and β -CD, respectively)⁶ and organic solvents (e.g., methanol, ethanol, acetonitrile, and tetrahydrofuran)⁷ significantly limits their utility. Per-O-methylated CDs and their derivatives have attracted considerable attention due to their improved solubility both in water and in organic solvents.⁸ Much effort has been directed toward the synthesis of novel per-O-methylated CDs with various functional groups.⁹ It is well known that highly selective modification of only one hydroxyl group of native CDs to obtain mono-functionalized per-O-methylated CDs remains a significant challenge for synthetic chemists.¹⁰

In our ongoing program to selective de-O-alkylation of α - and β -per-O-alkylated CDs by diisobutylaluminium hydride (DIBAL-H),¹¹ a general simple way to access 2^A,3^B-dihydroxyl-per-O-methylated α - and β -CD from per-O-methylated α - and β -CD was developed in our laboratory (Scheme 1).¹² As an extension to this study, unprecedented regioselective synthesis of two tetra-de-O-methylated α -CDs¹³ and tetra- or hexa-de-O-methylated β -CDs¹⁴ were discovered when a large excess of DIBAL-H was used as a chemical 'scalpel' (Scheme 2).

These reactions are remarkable in two ways: firstly, de-Omethylation takes place selectively on the secondary rim of α - or β -CD, which is strikingly different from the one of per-O-benzylated α - or β -CD, where only the primary rim is selected for de-Obenzylation (Scheme 3);^{11a} secondly, only the pair of hydroxyl groups is obtained, which occurs on two adjacent sugars to give diol, tetrol or hexol, respectively.

Recently, a mechanism for DIBAL-H promoted regioselective bisde-O-methylation of per-O-methylated cyclodextrin (CD) was proposed based on per-O-methylated β -CDs by our group.¹⁵ As a further step to this work, we extend our studies on per-O-methylated α -CD to further confirm the mechanism. We report herein the preparation





^{*} Corresponding authors. Tel.: +33 1 44276153; fax: +33 1 44275504 (Y.Z.); tel./ fax: +86 10 82805519 (D.Z.); e-mail addresses: deminzhou@bjmu.edu.cn (D. Zhou), yongmin.zhang@upmc.fr (Y. Zhang).

^{0040-4020/\$ –} see front matter @ 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2013.03.070



Scheme 1. Bis-de-O-methylation of per-O-methylated α- and β-CDs by DIBAL-H.



Scheme 2. The di-bis-de-O-methylation or tri-bis-de-O-methylation of per-O-methylated α - and β -CDs by a large excess of DIBAL-H.



Scheme 3. Bis-de-O-benzylation of per-O-benzylated β-CD by DIBAL-H.

of four mono-functionalized per-O-methylated α -CDs (**6**, **7**, **11**, and **18**) from per-O-methylated α -CD **1**, and their behaviors upon actions of DIBAL-H.

2. Results and discussion

Synthesis of the four mono-functionalized per-O-methylated α -CDs (**6**, **7**, **11**, and **18**) is summarized in Scheme 4. 2^A , 3^B -diol **3**, obtained after careful silica gel column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH: 50/1–25/1) according to our previously reported method,^{12,15} which is the key intermediate to synthesize novel

per-O-methylated α -CD derivatives. The structure of **3** was confirmed by 1D NMR, 2D NMR, and HRMS and was further confirmed by its acetylated derivative **5**. ¹H NMR spectrum of **5** showed two acetyl groups at δ 2.20, 2.22 ppm, while ¹³C NMR spectrum displayed two methyl and carbonyl groups of the acetate at 21.45, 21.68, and 170.39 (2C, 2× C=O) ppm, respectively. The low-field doublets of doublet at 4.70 ppm ($J_{1,2}$ =2.6 and $J_{2,3}$ =10.3 Hz) and 5.47 ($J_{2,3}$ =10.1 and $J_{2,3}$ =9.0 Hz), each referring to 1H, were assigned to H₂^A and H₃^B, respectively, and two carbons appearing at 71.66 and 74.38 ppm, should be assigned to C₃^B and C₂^A, respectively, due to the acetylation of the hydroxyl groups.



Scheme 4. Synthesis of the mono-functionalized per-O-methylated α-CD derivatives. Reagents and condition: (a) DIBAL-H (9.0 equiv), toluene, 0.2 M, 18 h, 0 °C; (b) Ac₂O, pyridine, DMAP, rt, 18 h; (c) CH₃I, NaH, DMF; (d) BnBr, NaH, DMF; (e) Pd/C, H₂, CH₃OH; (f) O-phenyl chlorothioformate, DMAP, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂; (g) AIBN, tributylstannane, toluene, 80 °C.

As the hydroxyl group at C_2^A is more acidic^{10b} than that at C_3^B , consequently the reaction with an electrophilic reagent was expected to happen at C_2^A predominantly. In our experiments, selective O-methylation of **3** at C_2^A with methyl iodide and sodium hydride in DMF at room temperature afforded **6** in 80% yield, and its regioisomer **7** was a minor component (15%). Like compound **3**, the structures of **6** and **7** were also confirmed by their acetylation derivatives. ¹H NMR spectrum of **8** displayed a deshielded methine signal at δ 5.45 (dd, $J_{2,3}$ =9.3 and $J_{3,4}$ =9.2 Hz), should be assigned to H_3^B , and ¹³C NMR spectrum displayed one carbon at 71.67 ppm, should be assigned to C_3^B , due to the acetylation of C_3^B , indicating that methylation of **3** took place at position C_2^A predominantly. On the other hand, the ¹H NMR spectrum of acetylated compound **15** showed a deshielded signal for H_2^A at δ 4.65 (dd, $J_{1,2}$ =3.1 and $J_{2,3}$ =10.3 Hz) and ¹³C NMR spectrum displayed one carbon at 74.25 ppm, should be assigned to C_2^A , indicating that methylation C_3^B .

As the yield for **7** is too low (only in 15%) by this method, a method for selective O-methylation of the $C_3^{\ B}$ hydroxyl group was achieved from **3** in a convenient three-step procedure in an excellent overall yield (in 64% yield over three steps). Firstly, a selective protection of the hydroxyl group at $C_2^{\ A}$ by benzyl group to give **12**, whose structure was confirmed again from ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectrum of acetylated derivative **13**. The remaining hydroxyl group at $C_3^{\ B}$ of **12** was then methylated to give **14**, which was finally hydrogenolyzed with 10% Pd/C to give **7**.

Surprisingly, when transformation of **6** into **9** was performed with *O*-phenyl chlorothioformate at 100 °C under nitrogen, an unexpected compound **10** was formed with **9** as judged by the results of ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of the mixture. Trying to separate the two components at this stage by usual column chromatography

was very difficult because their polarities are very similar ($R_f=0.24$, petroleum ether/acetone=1:1). According to HPLC analysis, the ratio of **9:10** is 55:45 (CH₃OH/H₂O: 60/40 by volume). As far as we are aware the only example of this result in the literature was reported by Remy et al.,¹⁶ as they observed in a similar reaction the formation of a side product with replacement of C=S group by C=O group in 8.3% yield. The mixture was subjected to deoxygenation reaction before separation was achieved. According to the classical Barton–McCombie conditions (Bu₃SnH, AIBN, 100 °C),¹⁷ desired compound **11** was obtained in 49% yield and compound **10** was recovered in 40% yield. ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **11** showed two sets of peaks at δ 1.96–2.05 and 2.31–2.39 ppm, corresponding to two protons at C₃^B, while ¹³C NMR spectrum displayed a signal at 29.24 ppm, corresponding to C₃^B.

The same reaction was also carried out for compound **7**. As for compound **6**, two compounds (**16** and **17**) were formed from compound **7** under an inert atmosphere. Interestingly, unlike the mixture of compound **9** and **10**, these two compounds could be separated out by chromatography and thus characterized, respectively, by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, ¹H–¹H COSY, ¹H–¹³C COSY, and HRMS. Compound **16** were obtained as the main product in 83% yield. Deoxygenation of compound **16** proceeded smoothly in the presence of Bu₃SnH and AIBN to give compound **18** in 74% yield. ¹H NMR spectrum of **18** showed two sets of peaks at δ 1.65–1.71 and 2.27–2.32 ppm, corresponding to two protons at C₂^A, while ¹³C NMR spectrum displayed a signal at 35.49 ppm, corresponding to C₂^A.

Since the preparation of mono-functionalized per-O-methylated- α -CDs (**6**, **7**, **11**, and **18**) had been accomplished, we turned our attention to investigation of their behaviors upon action of DIBAL-H (Scheme 5). The reaction conditions were the same as that used for



Scheme 5. DIBAL-H promoted de-O-methylation reactions of compounds 6, 7, 11, and 18. Reagents and condition: DIBAL-H (9.0 equiv), toluene, 0.2 M, 18 h, 0 °C.

preparing the compound **3**. As expected, when 2^{A} -hydroxyl-per-Omethylated- α -CD **7** was treated with a commercially available DIBAL-H (0.2 M, 9 equiv) for 18 h at 0 °C in toluene, no new product was formed on TLC analysis, and the recovered product was characterized by NMR as the starting material. Interestingly, when 3^{B} hydroxyl-per-O-methylated- α -CD **6** was treated under the same condition, the starting material disappeared rapidly (within 0.5 h), and a new spot with the same R_f as **3** on TLC appeared solely which, after purification, showed the identical ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra as **3** obtained from **1** under the same condition. This with DIBAL-H slowly between two methoxy groups at C_2^A and C_3^B located on two adjacent sugar units, followed by de-O-methylation at C_3^B to provide the intermediate **21**; the second step would be the formation of the complex of **22** with an excess of DIBAL-H quickly between the methoxy group at C_2^A and the hydroxyl group at C_3^B , with participation of the interglycosidic oxygen atom, demethylation at C_2^A then proceeds via complex **23** to afford almost quantitatively the 2^A , 3^B -diols. In both cases, the presence of a C_2 methoxy group and a C_3 methoxy or hydroxyl group are necessary for complex formation.



Scheme 6. Proposed mechanism for the formation of 2^{A} , 3^{B} -diols from per-O-methylated α and β -cyclodextrins.

particular behavior met during this reaction is not so surprising, since several authors already reported 'reactivity discriminations'.^{6,8b,12,18} This work complements de-O-methylation performed with phenylthiotrimethylsilane reported by Chaise et al.,^{9a} which allow access to only 3^B-hydroxyl-per-O-methyl- α -CD **6** by removing the methyl group at C₃^B. However, no reaction was observed of the other two deoxy-derivatives at C₂ and C₃ (**11** and **18**) under these reaction conditions.

Combined with our previous studies,¹⁵ we think that the DIBAL-H promoted regioselective bis-de-O-methylation of per-O-methylated CDs may include two steps (Scheme 6): the first step would consist in the formation of the complex of per-O-methylated CDs

3. Conclusion

Starting from per-O-methylated α -CD, four mono-functionalized per-O-methylated α -CD derivatives were prepared in a regioselective manner. All the products were unambiguous characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR, ¹H–¹H COSY, ¹H–¹³C HMQC or HSQC, and HRMS. This study further confirmed that the O-methyl at C₃^B could be firstly regioselectively removed slowly, followed by a rapid removal of the second O-methyl at C₂^A to provide 2^A,3^B-diol. Moreover, not only O-3^B-methyl but also O-2^A and O-3^B methyls are necessary for the formation of 'tweezers' during DIBAL-H promoted bis-de-O-methylation reaction of per-O-methylated CD.

4. Experimental

4.1. General

Optical rotations were measured at 20±2 °C with a Perkin Elmer Model 343 digital polarimeter, using a 10 cm. 1 mL cell. High Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HRMS) were obtained with a LTO-Orbitrap spectrometer (ThermoFischer Company) or APEX IV FT-MS (7.0 T) spectrometer (Bruker). NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX 400 spectrometer or Varian INONVA-500 spectrometer at ambient temperature.¹H NMR chemical shifts are referenced to residual protic solvent (CDCl₃, $\delta_{\rm H}$ =7.28). ¹³C NMR chemical shifts are referenced to the solvent signal (δ_C =77.00 for the central line of CDCl₃). Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on a pre-coated silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ plate (layer thickness 0.2 mm; E. Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and detected by charring with a 10% solution of sulfuric acid in ethanol. Flash column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh, E. Merck or 200-300 mesh, Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co. Ltd.). HPLC was carried on a Varian Star instrument using a 330 UV-vis, PDA detector and the chromatographic data was acquired by LC workstation version 6.20.

4.2. 6^{A} -Hydroxyl-per-O-methyl- α -cyclodextrin (2) and 2^{A} , 3^{B} -dihydroxyl-per-O-methyl- α -cyclodextrin (3)

To a solution of dried per-O-methyl- α -cyclodextrin (670.5 mg, 0.55 mmol) in anhydrous toluene (21.4 mL) was added 3.29 mL (4.93 mmol, 9.0 equiv), DIBAL-H (1.5 M in toluene) at 0 °C under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 18 h under nitrogen. Aqueous HCl (1 M) was carefully added dropwise to quench the reaction and the mixture was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 30 min. The toluene phase was separated and the water phase was extracted by ethyl acetate (3×20 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with brine, dried with MgSO₄, filtrated, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was subjected to flash chromatography (eluent: CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH=50:1–25:1) to give compound **2** and **3** in 7.8% and 50% yield, respectively.¹²

The NMR data of $\mathbf{2}$ are in agreement with those reported previously.¹⁹

Compound **3**: $R_f=0.20$ (CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH=15:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +144 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.16–3.21 (m, 6H, 6× H₂), 3.39 (s, 9H, $3 \times \text{OCH}_3$ (C₆)), 3.40 ($3 \times$ s, 9H, $3 \times \text{OCH}_3$ (C₆)), 3.41 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.50 (2× s, 12H, 4× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.52 (2× s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.56 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.59 (m, 1H, H₂^A), 3.62 (2× s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.63 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.64 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.65 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.44–3.93 (m, 35H, 6× H₃, $7 \times$ H₄, $7 \times$ H₅, $7 \times$ H_{6a}, $7 \times$ H_{6b}, OH), 3.99 (t, 1H, J_{2,3}=J_{3,4}=9.5 Hz, H₃^B), 4.09 (br s, 1H, D₂O exchangeable, OH), 5.01 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=3.7 Hz, H_1^A), 5.09–5.10 (m, 2H, 2× H_1), 5.11–5.14 (m, 4H, 4× H_1); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 58.24, 58.29, 58.36, 58.44, 58.63, 58.85, 58.88, 58.91, 58.97 (13C, 6× OCH₃ (C₂), 7× OCH₃ (C₆)), 61.23, 61.30, 61.33, 61.35, 61.49, 62.11 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C₃)), 70.35, 70.75, 70.85, 70.93, 71.64 (7C, $7 \times C_5$), 70.78, 71.16, 71.25, 71.33, 71.48 (7C, $7 \times C_6$), 71.72 (C₃^B), 73.40 (C₂^A), 80.02, 80.33, 80.95, 80.99, 81.29, 81.38, 81.58, 81.62, 81.75, 81.98, 82.01, 82.19, 82.37, 82.91 (19C, 6× C₂, 6× C₃, 7× C₄), 98.68, 98.93, 99.30, 99.46 (6C, 6× C₁), 102.01 (C₁^A); HRMS calcd for C₅₂H₉₆NO₃₀ [M+NH₄]⁺: 1214.6012. Found 1214.5599; C₅₂H₉₂NaO₃₀ [M+Na]⁺: 1219.5566. Found 1219.5550.

4.3. 6^A-O-Acetyl-per-O-methyl-α-cyclodextrin (4)

To a solution of **2** (36.5 mg, 0.030 mmol) in dry pyridine (2 mL) was added 3.7 mg of DMAP (0.030 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and 1 mL Ac₂O at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h under nitrogen. The solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was

subjected to flash chromatography (eluent: CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH=30:1) to give 35.1 mg (93%) of compound **4** as a white foam. $R_t=0.39$ $(CH_2Cl_2/CH_3OH=10:1); [\alpha]_D + 128.8 (c 1.0, CHCl_3).$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.08 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 3.16–3.20 (m, 6H, 6× H₂), 3.39 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.41 (br s, 12H, $4 \times$ OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.50 (2× s, 12H, 4× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.51 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.52 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.54 (m, 1H, H₄^A), 3.64 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.65 (2× s, 9H, 3× OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.66 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.92 (m, 1H, H₅^A), 3.44–3.92 (m, 26H, $6 \times$ H₃, $5 \times$ H₄, $5 \times$ H₅, $5 \times$ H_{6a}, $5 \times$ H_{6b}), 4.26 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6a}$ =4.6 Hz, $J_{6a,6b}$ =12.0 Hz, H_{6a}^{A}), 4.59 (m, 1H, H_{6b}^{A}), 5.04–5.07 (m, 6H, 6× H₁); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 20.81 (CH₃CO), 57.79, 57.84, 58.16 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C₂)), 58.96, 59.09 (5C, 5× OCH₃ (C₆)), 61.77, 61.80, 61.87 (6C, $6 \times$ OCH₃ (C₃)), 63.72 (C₆^A), 69.69 (C₅ 70.74, 71.28, 71.46 (5C, $5 \times C_6$), 71.22, 71.42 (5C, $5 \times C_5$), 81.18, 81.21, 81.32, 82.00, 82.06, 82.15, 82.22, 82.30, 82.40, 82.45, 82.59 (18C, 6× C_{2} , $6 \times C_{3}$, $6 \times C_{4}$), 99.65, 99.89, 100.09, 100.18, 100.55 (6C, $6 \times C_{1}$), 170.49 (C=O); HRMS calcd for C₅₅H₉₆NaO₃₁ [M+Na]⁺: 1275.5828. Found 1275.5829.

4.4. 2^A,3^B-O-Diacetyl-per-O-methyl-α-cyclodextrin (5)

To a solution of **3** (31.8 mg, 0.027 mmol) in dry pyridine (2 mL) was added 3.2 mg of DMAP (0.027 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and 1 mL Ac₂O at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h under nitrogen. The solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was subjected to flash chromatography (eluent: CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH=30:1) to give 29.3 mg (86%) of compound **5** as a white foam. $R_f=0.40$ (CH₂Cl₂/ $CH_3OH=10:1$; $[\alpha]_D + 140.0$ (c 1.0, $CHCl_3$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 2.20 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 2.22 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 3.12–3.21 (m, 4H, 4× H₂), 3.22 (dd, 1H, $I_{1,2}=3.1$ Hz, $I_{2,3}=10.1$ Hz, H_2^B), 3.40 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.41 (2× s, 15H, 5× OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.44 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.48 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.51 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.57 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.58 (m, 1H, H₅), 3.59 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.62 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.65 (s, 3H, OCH₃(C₃)), 3.67 (m, 1H, H₃^A), 3.70 (s, 3H, OCH₃(C₃)), 3.72 (m, 1H, H_4^B), 3.53–3.96 (m, 25H, 4× H_3 , 5× H_4 , 5× H_5 , 6× H_{6a} , 5× H_{6b}), 4.08 (dd, 1H, J_{5.6b}=2.2 Hz, J_{6a.6b}=10.8 Hz, H_{6b}), 4.70 (dd, 1H, J_{1.2}=2.6 Hz, $J_{2,3}=10.3$ Hz, H_2^A), 4.99 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}=2.6$ Hz, H_1^A), 5.03–5.06 (m, 3H, 3×H₁), 5.09 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=3.1 Hz, H₁), 5.12 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=3.1 Hz, H₁^B), 5.47 $(t, J_{2,3}=10.1 \text{ Hz}, J_{3,4}=9.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{H}_3^{B}); ^{13}\text{C} \text{ NMR} (100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta 21.45,$ 21.68 (2C, 2× CH₃CO), 57.52, 57.70, 57.78, 57.95, 58.24 (5C, 5× OCH₃ (C₂)), 58.78, 58.91, 58.95, 59.02, 59.04 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C₆)), 61.46, 61.59, 61.71, 61.85, 62.06 (5C, 5× OCH₃ (C₃)), 70.69, 71.42, 71.45, 71.58, 71.87 (6C, 6× C₆), 70.84, 71.12, 71.14, 71.50, 71.61 (6C, 6× C₅), 71.66 (C₃^B), 74.38 (C₂^A), 79.87 (C₂^B), 78.40, 78.98, 80.80, 80.92, 80.93, 80.99, 82.18, 82.23, 82.31, 82.35, 82.56, 82.60, 82.62, 82.65, 82.83 (15C, $4 \times C_2$, $5 \times C_3$, $6 \times C_4$), 98.45 (C_1^A), 99.83, 99.88, 100.01, 100.22, 100.42 (5C, $5 \times C_1$), 170.39 (2C, $2 \times C=0$); HRMS calcd for C₅₆H₉₆NaO₃₂ [M+Na]⁺: 1303.5777. Found 1303.5763.

4.5. 3^B-Hydroxyl-per-O-methyl-α-cyclodextrin (6)

To a solution of **3** (97.4 mg, 0.081 mmol) in anhydrous THF (5 mL) was added 3.3 mg (60%, 0.081 mmol, 1.0 equiv) of NaH at 0 °C under nitrogen. After the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, 5.0 μ L of CH₃I (0.081 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 6 h and then kept at room temperature for another 12 h under nitrogen. CH₃OH was added dropwise to quench the reaction and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue dissolved with CH₂Cl₂, washed with brine, dried with MgSO₄, filtrated, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was subjected to flash chromatography (eluent: CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc/CH₃OH=10:10:1) to give 15.0 mg (15%) of **7** firstly, then 76.4 mg (78%) of **6** as white foam. R_f =0.38 (CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH/EtOAc=5:1:5); [α]_D +126.5 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); the NMR data of **6** are in agreement with those reported previously.^{9a}

4.6. 3^B-O-Acetyl-per-O-methyl-α-cyclodextrin (8)

By the same procedure described in 4.2, compound 8 was obtained by flash chromatography (eluent: cyclohexane/acetone=2:1) as a white foam in 87% yield. $R_f=0.32$ (cyclohexane/acetone=1:1); $[\alpha]_{D}$ +129.7 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.14 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 3.10–3.20 (m, 5H, $5 \times$ H₂), 3.25 (dd, 1H, $I_{1,2}$ =3.0 Hz, $J_{2,3}=10.5$ Hz, H_2^B), 3.41 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.42 (2× s, 15H, 5× OCH₃) (C₆)), 3.44 (s, 3H, OCH₃(C₂)), 3.47 (s, 3H, OCH₃(C₂)), 3.48 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.49 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.50 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.51 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.58 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.60 (m, 1H, H₅), 3.62 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.63 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.70 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.80 (m, 1H, H_4^B), 3.47–3.94 (m, 26H, 5× H_3 , 5× H_4 , 5× H_5 , 6× H_{6a} , 5× H_{6b}), 4.02 $(dd, 1H, J_{5.6b} = 2.8 Hz, J_{6a.6b} = 10.5 Hz, H_{6b}), 4.96 (d, 1H, J_{1.2} = 2.8 Hz, H_1),$ 5.01 (d, 1H, J_{1.2}=3.3 Hz, H₁), 5.04–5.05 (m, 2H, 2× H₁), 5.09 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}=3.3$ Hz, H₁), 5.11 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}=3.0$ Hz, H₁^B), 5.45 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}=10.5$ Hz, $J_{3,4}=9.0$ Hz, H₃^B); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.39 (CH₃CO), 57.52, 57.71, 57.73, 57.79, 58.21, 58.72, 58.88, 58.95, 58.98, 59.08 (12C, 6× OCH₃ (C₂), 6× OCH₃ (C₆)), 61.56, 61.69, 61.77, 61.89, 62.09 (5C, 5× OCH₃ (C₃)), 70.75, 71.35, 71.39, 71.48, 71.71, 71.84 (6C, $6 \times C_6$), 70.99, 71.09, 71.13, 71.15, 71.23, 71.32 (6C, $6 \times C_5$), 71.67 (C_3^{B}), 79.54, 80.75, 80.87, 80.92, 80.93, 82.19, 82.27, 82.29, 82.52, 82.54, 82.60, 82.62, 82.67, 82.73, 82.84 (16C, 5× C₂, 5× C₃, 6× C₄), 79.78 (C₂^B), 99.68, 99.88, 100.15, 100.22, 100.31, 100.33 (6C, 6× C₁), 170.84 (C=O); HRMS calcd for C₅₅H₉₆NaO₃₁ [M+Na]⁺: 1275.5828. Found 1275.5816.

4.7. 3^B-O-Phenoxythiocarbonyl-per-O-methyl-α-cyclodextrin (9)

To a solution of **6** (170.3 mg, 0.14 mmol) in pyridine (2 mL) was added 4-dimethylaminopyridine (34.2 mg, 0.28 mmol) and Ophenyl chlorothioformate (290 μ L, 2.1 mmol). The reaction mixture was degassed under vacuum and urged with nitrogen three times, then stirred under nitrogen balloon at 50 °C for 10 h and concentrated. The residue dissolved with CH₂Cl₂, washed with brine, dried with MgSO₄, filtrated, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was subjected to flash chromatography (eluent: petroleum ether/acetone=3:2) to recover **6** (48.5 mg), and then to give the mixture of compound **9** and **10** (109.2 mg, 68%) as a white foam. Compound **9**: ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): 122.24, 126.47, 129.41, 153.42 (6C, 6× arom-C); compound **10**: ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): 121.17, 125.67, 129.19, 153.87 (6C, 6× arom-C), 151.49 (C=O).

HPLC indicate that compound **9:10**=55:45.

4.8. 3^{B} -*O*-Phenoxycarbonyl-per-*O*-methyl- β -cyclodextrin (10) and 3^{B} -deoxy-per-*O*-methyl- β -cyclodextrin (11)

The mixture of compound **9** and **10** (54.3 mg) was dissolved in anhydrous toluene (2 mL) and AIBN (3.3 mg, 0.02 mmol) was added. The solution was degassed under vacuum and urged with nitrogen three times, then tributylstannane (150 μ L, 0.52 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 2 h. After evaporation of toluene, the residue was dissolved in dichloromethane (10 mL) then washed with brine, dried with MgSO₄, filtrated, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was subjected to flash chromatography (eluent: CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH=60:1–40:1) to recover 21.7 mg **10** (40%) firstly, and then to give 25.2 mg (49%) of **11** as a white foam.

Compound **10**: R_{f} =0.39 (CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH=20:1); [α]_D +138.2 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.14–3.18 (m, 5H, 5× H₂), 3.36 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.2 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =10.0 Hz, H_2^{B}), 3.41 (s, 12H, 4× OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.42 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.43 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.46 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.47 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.48 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.46 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.52 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.55 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.58 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.59 (2× s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.65 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.87 (m, 1H, H₄^B), 3.46–3.88 (m, 27H, 5× H₃, 5× H₄, 6× H₅, 6×

H_{6a}, 5× H_{6b}), 3.97 (m, 1H, H_{6b}), 5.02–5.06 (m, 5H, 5× H₁), 5.14 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.2 Hz, H₁^B), 5.33 (m, 1H, H₃^B), 7.20–7.22 (m, 1H, arom-H), 7.35–7.36 (m, 4H, arom-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 57.56, 57.60, 57.70, 57.81, 58.05, 58.31 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C₂)), 58.90, 58.93, 58.96, 59.05 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C₆)), 61.67, 61.71, 61.84, 61.93, 61.98 (5C, 5× OCH₃ (C₃)), 70.70, 71.38, 71.69 (6C, 6× C₆), 70.99, 71.10, 71.13, 71.21 (6C, 6× C₅), 76.71 (C₃^B), 79.60 (C₂^B), 79.93 (C₄^B), 80.71, 80.87, 80.94, 82.15, 82.21, 82.25, 82.40, 82.50, 82.65, 82.73 (15C, 5× C₂, 5× C₃, 5× C₄), 99.90, 99.94, 100.05, 100.16, 100.31 (6C, 6× C₁), 121.12, 125.61, 129.13, 153.37 (6C, 6× arom-C), 151.45 (C=O); HRMS calcd for C₆₀H₉₈NaO₃₂ [M+Na]⁺: 1353.5933. Found 1353.5935.

Compound **11**: $R_{f}=0.37$ (CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH=20:1); $[\alpha]_{D}$ +133.5 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.96–2.05 (m, 1H, H_{3a}^B), 2.31–2.39 (m, 1H, H_{3b}^{B}), 3.12 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =2.8 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =9.6 Hz, H_2), 3.16-3.19 (m, 4H, $4 \times$ H₂), 3.28-3.32 (m, 1H, H₂^B), 3.41 (s, 12H, $4 \times$ OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.43 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.44 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.48 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.50 (br s, 12H, 4× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.61 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.63 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.65 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.66 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.71 (m, 1H, H₄^B), 3.48–3.90 (m, 28H, 5× H₃, 5× H₄, 6× H₅, $6 \times$ H_{6a}, $6 \times$ H_{6b}), 4.94 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.2 Hz, H₁), 5.03 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}=3.2$ Hz, H_1^{B}), 5.06–5.07 (m, 4H, 4× H_1); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 29.24 (C₃^B), 56.57, 57.62, 57.70, 57.79, 58.76, 58.93, 58.95, 59.00, 59.02, 59.10 (12C, $6 \times \text{ OCH}_3(C_2)$, $6 \times \text{ OCH}_3(C_6)$), 61.59, 61.80, 61.82, 61.89 (5C, 5× OCH₃ (C₃)), 71.11, 71.15, 71.19, 71.51 (6C, 6× C₅), 71.36, 71.46, 71.79 (6C, $6 \times C_6$), 76.13 ($C_4{}^B$), 76.51 ($C_2{}^B$), 81.12, 81.14, 81.19, 81.26, 81.87, 81.91, 81.98, 82.10, 82.17, 82.21, 82.29, 82.45, 82.50 (15C, $5 \times C_2$, $5 \times C_3$, $5 \times C_4$), 99.14 ($C_1{}^B$), 99.72, 99.91, 100.03, 100.21 (5C, $5 \times C_1$); HRMS calcd for $C_{53}H_{94}NaO_{29}$ [M+Na]⁺: 1217.5773. Found 1217.5778.

4.9. 2^A-O-Benzyl-3^B-hydroxyl-per-O-methyl-α-cyclodextrin (12)

To a solution of 3 (437.8 mg, 0.37 mmol) in anhydrous THF (20 mL) was added 14.6 mg (60%, 0.37 mmol, 1.0 equiv) of NaH at 0 °C under nitrogen. After the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, 43.8 µL BnBr (0.37 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 6 h and then kept at room temperature for 12 h under nitrogen. CH₃OH was added dropwise to quench the reaction and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved with CH₂Cl₂, washed with brine, dried with MgSO₄, filtrated, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was subjected to flash chromatography (eluent: CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc/CH₃OH=10:10:1) to give 407.6 mg (87%) of **12** as a white foam. *R*_f=0.44 (CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc/ CH₃OH=5:5:1); [α]_D +134.8 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.15–3.18 (m, 4H, 4× H₂), 3.29 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.3 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =10.3 Hz, H₂^B), 3.35 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.36 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.37 (m, 1H, H₂^A), 3.39 (2× s, 9H, 3× OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.41 (s, 3H, OCH₃) (C₆)), 3.47 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.48 (br s, 6H, 2 × OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.50 (s, 3H, OCH_3 (C₂)), 3.52 (m, 1H, H₄^B), 3.55 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.62 (s, 3H, OCH₃(C₃)), 3.63 (s, 3H, OCH₃(C₃)), 3.64 (br s, 6H, 2× OCH₃(C₃)), 3.68 (m, 1H, H_3^A), 3.69 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.44–3.86 (m, 27H, $4 \times H_3$, $5 \times$ $\begin{array}{l} \text{H}_{4}, 6 \times \text{H}_{5}, 6 \times \text{H}_{6a}, 6 \times \text{H}_{6b}), 4.10 \ (\text{t}, 1\text{H}, J_{2,3} = 9.8 \ \text{Hz}, J_{3,4} = 9.0 \ \text{Hz}, \text{H}_{3}^{\text{B}}), \\ 4.62 \ (\text{d}, 1\text{H}, J_{1,2} = 3.3 \ \text{Hz}, \text{H}_{1}^{\text{A}}), 4.73 \ (\text{d}, 1\text{H}, J_{gem} = 12.5 \ \text{Hz}, \text{Ph}CH_{2}), 4.88 \end{array}$ (d, 1H, *J*_{gem}=12.5 Hz, PhCH₂), 5.00 (d, 1H, *J*_{1,2}=3.3 Hz, H₁), 5.03–5.05 (m, 3H, $3 \times H_1$), 5.10 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.3 Hz, H_1^{B}), 5.20 (s, 1H, D₂O exchangeable, OH), 7.28–7.35 (m, 3H, 3× arom-H), 7.39–7.41 (m, 2H, 2× arom-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 57.55, 57.64, 57.78, 57.94 $(5C, 5 \times \text{ OCH}_3 (C_2))$, 58.89, 58.90, 58.97, 59.01 (6C, $6 \times \text{ OCH}_3 (C_6))$, 61.74, 61.78, 61.87, 61.91 (5C, $5 \times$ OCH₃ (C₃)), 69.91, 71.09, 71.21, 71.30, 71.49 (6C, $6 \times C_6$), 71.12, 71.15, 71.35, 71.57 (6C, $6 \times C_6$), 71.61 (C_3^B), 73.70 (PhCH₂), 78.51 (C_2^A), 80.75 (C_2^B), 81.04, 81.10, 81.27, 82.03, 82.12, 82.15, 82.25, 82.42, 82.48, 82.52, 83.68 (14C, 4× C_2 , 4× C_3 , 6× C_4), 82.71 (C_3^A), 99.94, 99.99, 100.04, 100.21, 100.26 (5C, 5× C_1), 101.72 (C1^A), 128.20, 128.51, 128.75, 137.07 (6C, 6× arom-C); HRMS calcd for C₅₉H₉₈NaO₃₀ [M+Na]⁺: 1309.6035. Found 1309.6018.

4.10. 2^A-O-Benzyl-3^B-O-acetyl-per-O-methyl-α-cyclodextrin (13)

By the same procedure described in Section 4.2, compound 13 was obtained by flash chromatography (eluent: cyclohexane/ acetone=2:1) as a white foam in 92% yield. R_f =0.38 (cyclohexane/ acetone=1:1); $[\alpha]_{D}$ +130.9 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.95 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 3.12–3.19 (m, 4H, 4× H₂), 3.25 (dd. 1H. $J_{1,2}=2.8$ Hz, $J_{2,3}=9.9$ Hz, H_2^A), 3.29 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}=3.3$ Hz, $J_{2,3}=10.5$ Hz, H₂^B), 3.33 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.37 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.40 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.41 (2× s, 9H, 3× OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.45 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.47 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.50 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.51 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.54 (m, 1H, H₃^A), 3.57 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.61 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.64 (s, 3H, OCH₃(C₃)), 3.68 (s, 3H, OCH₃(C₃)), 3.70 (s, 3H, OCH₃(C₃)), 3.76 $(m, 1H, H_4^B)$, 3.48–3.97 $(m, 27H, 4 \times H_3, 5 \times H_4, 6 \times H_5, 6 \times H_{6a}, 6 \times H_{6a})$ H_{6b}), 4.62 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}=2.8$ Hz, H_1^A), 4.63 (d, 1H, $J_{gem}=12.5$ Hz, PhCH₂), 4.76 (d, 1H, J_{gem}=12.5 Hz, PhCH₂), 5.00 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=3.3 Hz, H₁), 5.04–5.05 (m, 2H, 2× H₁), 5.08 (d, 1H, J_{1.2}=3.0 Hz, H₁), 5.12 (d, 1H, $J_{1.2}=3.0$ Hz, H_1^B), 5.45 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}=10.1$ Hz, $J_{3,4}=9.0$ Hz, H_3^B), 7.30–7.31 (m, 1H, arom-H), 7.34–7.38 (m, 2H, 2× arom-H), 7.41–7.43 (m, 2H, $2 \times$ arom-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.25 (CH₃CO), 57.47, 57.68, 57.78, 57.98, 58.20 (5C, 5× OCH₃ (C₂)), 58.74, 58.91, 58.93, 58.95, 59.01 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C₆)), 61.50, 61.65, 61.77, 61.86, 62.07 (5C, 6× OCH₃ (C₃)), 70.61, 71.29, 71.46, 71.64, 71.82 (6C, 6× C₆), 70.92, 71.10, 71.17 (6C, 6×C₅), 71.34 (C₃^B), 73.51 (PhCH₂), 79.27 (C₄^B), 79.79 (C2^B), 77.21, 80.86, 80.89, 80.91, 81.00, 82.16, 82.23, 82.29, 82.44, 82.53, 82.57, 82.62, 82.66, 82.84 (15C, $5 \times C_2$, $5 \times C_3$, $5 \times C_4$), 99.82, 100.08, 100.17, 100.29, 100.45 (6C, $6\times$ $C_1)$, 127.88, 128.06, 128.41, 138.57 (6C, 6× arom-C), 170.95 (C=O); HRMS calcd for C₆₁H₁₀₀NaO₃₁ [M+Na]⁺: 1351.6141. Found 1351.6130.

4.11. 2^A-O-Benzyl-per-O-methyl-α-cyclodextrin (14)

By the same procedure described in Section 4.4, compound 14 was obtained by flash chromatography (eluent: cyclohexane/ acetone=2:1) as a white foam in 80% yield. R_f =0.42 (cyclohexane/ acetone=3:2); $[\alpha]_D$ +143.2 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.16–3.21 (m, 5H, 5× H₂), 3.36 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.3 Hz, J_{2.3}=10.1 Hz, H₂^A), 3.38 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.39 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.41 (s, 12H, 4× OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.49 (s, 9H, 3× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.50 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.54 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.60 (m, 1H, H₃^A), 3.63 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.64 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.65 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.66 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.71 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.44–3.86 (m, 29H, 5× H_3 , 6× H_4 , 6× H_5 , 6× H_{6a} , 6× H_{6b}), 4.65 (d, 1H, J_{gem} =12.5 Hz, PhCH₂), 4.80 (d, 1H, J_{gem}=12.5 Hz, PhCH₂), 4.92 (d, 1H, J₁₂=3.3 Hz, H₁^A), 5.05–5.06 (m, 5H, 5×H₁), 7.24–7.27 (m, 1H, arom-H), 7.31–7.34 (m, 2H, $2\times$ arom-H), 7.43–7.45 (m, 2H, $2\times$ arom-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 57.79, 57.83, 58.08 (5C, 5× OCH₃ (C₂)), 58.88, 58.93 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C₆)), 61.75, 61.95 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C₃)), 71.01, 71.16, 71.18, 71.28 (6C, $6 \times C_5$), 71.40, 71.43 (6C, $6 \times C_6$), 72.16 (PhCH₂), 80.01 (C₂^A), 81.12, 81.20, 81.22, 81.54, 82.06, 82.15, 82.20, 82.39, 82.43, 82.47 (17C, $6 \times C_2$, $6 \times C_3$, $6 \times C_4$), 100.03, 100.07, 100.08, 100.14, 100.31 (5C, $5 \times C_1$), 100.75 (C_1^A), 127.35, 127.64, 128.17, 138.82 (6C, $6 \times$ arom-C); HRMS calcd for C₆₀H₁₀₀NaO₃₀ [M+Na]⁺: 1323.6192. Found 1323.6183.

4.12. 2^{A} -Hydroxyl-per-O-methyl- α -cyclodextrin (7)

To a solution of compound **14** (125.7 mg, 0.097 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) was added 21.2 mg (10%, 0.02 mmol, 0.2 equiv) of Pd–C under nitrogen. The suspension was degassed under vacuum and urged with H₂ three times, then stirred under H₂ balloon at room temperature for 16 h. The suspension was filtered through a pad of Celite and the pad cake was washed with CH₃OH (5 mL×3). The combined filtrate was concentrated to dryness. The residue was subjected to flash chromatography (eluent: cyclohexane/acetone=2:1) to give 113.0 mg (97%) of compound **7** as a white

foam. $R_f=0.27$ (cyclohexane/acetone=3:2); $[\alpha]_D$ +147.0 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.16–3.22 (m, 4H, 4× H₂), 3.27 (dd, 1H, J_{1.2}=3.0 Hz, J_{2.3}=9.8 Hz, H₂), 3.41 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.42 (3× s, 15H, 5× OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.49 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.50 (2× s, 9H, 3× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.53 (m, 1H, H₂^A), 3.63 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.64 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.65 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.66 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.73 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.88 (m, 1H, H₃), 3.47–3.91 (m, 29H, 5× H₃, 6× H₄, $6 \times$ H₅, $6 \times$ H_{6a}, $6 \times$ H_{6b}), 4.27 (d, 1H, $J_{2,0H}$ =10.5 Hz, D₂O exchangeable, OH), 4.90 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.0 Hz, H_1^A), 5.05–5.08 (m, 5H, 5× H₁); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 57.48, 57.62, 57.67, 57.77, 57.82 (5C, 5× OCH₃ (C₂)), 58.97, 59.00, 59.02, 59.07, 59.08 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C_6) , 60.13, 61.47, 61.77, 61.80, 62.10 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C₃)), 70.66, 71.28, 71.45, 71.61, 71.63 (6C, 6× C₆), 71.11, 71.17, 71.19, 71.23, 72.10 (6C, 6× C₅), 73.90 (C₂^A), 80.47 (C₃), 79.36, 81.15, 8.17, 81.21, 81.28, 82.10, 82.13, 82.19, 82.23, 82.42, 82.61, 82.69, 83.81 (16C, 5× C₂, 5× C₃, 6× C₄), 99.94, 99.99, 100.18, 100.32 (5C, $5 \times$ C₁), 102.72 (C₁^A); HRMS calcd for C₅₃H₉₄NaO₃₀ [M+Na]⁺: 1233.5722. Found 1233.5725.

4.13. 2^A-O-Acetyl-per-O-methyl-α-cyclodextrin (15)

By the same procedure described in Section 4.2, compound 15 was obtained by flash chromatography (eluent: cyclohexane/ acetone=2:1) as a white foam in 95% yield. R_f =0.31 (cyclohexane/ acetone=3:2); [α]_D+140.3 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.18 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 3.15–3.21 (m, 5H, 5× H₂), 3.40 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.41 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.42 ($2 \times$ s, 12H, $4 \times$ OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.50 (4× s, 12H, 4× OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.51 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.62 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.65 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.67 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.67 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.72 (m, 1H, H₃^A), 3.83 (m, 1H, H₃), 3.53–3.92 (m, 28H, $4 \times H_3$, $6 \times H_4$, $6 \times H_5$, $6 \times H_{6a}$, $6 \times H_{6b}$), 4.65 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.1 Hz, $J_{2,3}=10.3$ Hz, H_2^A), 5.06–5.08 (m, 5H, 5× H₁), 5.13 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}=3.1$ Hz, H_1^A); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.01 (CH₃CO), 57.74, 57.77, 57.84, 57.92 (5C, 5× OCH₃ (C₂)), 58.94 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C₆)), 61.18, 61.55, 61.60, 61.72, 61.74, 61.77 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C₃)), 71.11, 71.17, 71.21, 71.33 (6C, $6 \times C_5$), 71.29, 71.40, 71.50, 71.52 (6C, $6 \times C_6$), 74.25 (C_2^A), 79.55 (C₃^A), 80.68, 81.15, 81.21, 81.25, 81.37, 81.81, 82.09, 82.12, 82.15, 82.32, 82.39, 82.49 (16C, $5 \times C_2$, $5 \times C_3$, $6 \times C_4$), 99.30 (C_1^A), 99.96, 100.01, 100.11, 100.22 (5C, 5× C1), 170.76 (C=O); HRMS calcd for C₅₅H₉₆NaO₃₁ [M+Na]⁺: 1275.5828. Found 1275.5834.

4.14. 2^{A} -O-Phenoxythiocarbonyl-per-O-methyl- α -cyclodextrin (16) and 2^{A} -O-phenoxycarbonyl-per-O-methyl- α -cyclodextrin (17)

By the same procedure described in Section 4.6, compound **16** and **17** were obtained by chromatography (eluent: cyclohexane/ acetone=3:1) as a white foam in 83% and 10% yield, respectively.

Compound **16**: $R_f=0.32$ (petroleum ether/acetone=1:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +138.7 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.17–3.21 (m, 5H, $5 \times$ H₂), 3.40 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.42 (s, 9H, $3 \times$ OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.43 $(2 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_6)), 3.50 (2 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)), 3.51 (3 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_2)))$ 9H, $3 \times$ OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.65 (s, 6H, $2 \times$ OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.66 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.67 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.72 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.92 (m, 1H, H₃^A), 3.53–3.94 (m, 29H, 5× H₃, 6× H₄, 6× H_5 , $6 \times H_{6a}$, $6 \times H_{6b}$), 5.06–5.08 (m, 5H, $5 \times H_1$), 5.09 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}=3.5$ Hz, $J_{2,3}=9.9$ Hz, H_2^A), 5.50 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}=3.5$ Hz, H_1^A), 7.18-7.20 (m, 2H, arom-H), 7.29-7.32 (m, 1H, arom-H), 7.41-7.45 (m, 2H, arom-H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 57.77, 57.79, 57.83, 57.86, 57.99 (5C, 5× OCH₃ (C₂)), 58.97 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C₆)), 61.63, 61.71, 61.79, 61.84 (6C, $6 \times$ OCH₃ (C₃)), 71.18, 71.29, 71.34, 71.38, 71.42, 71.55 (12C, $6\times$ C_5, $6\times$ C_6), 79.67 (C_3 $^{\rm A}$), 80.77, 81.15, 81.23, 82.14, 82.26, 82.39, 82.48 (17C, $6 \times C_2$, $5 \times C_3$, $6 \times C_4$), 98.46 (C_1^A), 100.03, 100.11, 100.16, 100.26, 100.40 (5C, 5× C₁), 122.04, 126.49, 129.48, 153.71 (6C, 6× arom-C), 195.30 (C=S); HRMS calcd for C₆₀H₉₈KO₃₁S [M+K]⁺: 1385.5439. Found 1385.5405.

Compound **17**: $R_f=0.31$ (petroleum ether/acetone=3:2); $[\alpha]_D$ +136.7 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.18–3.22 (m, 5H, 5×H₂), 3.40 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.42 (s, 9H, 3× OCH₃ (C₆)), 3.43 $(2 \times s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3(C_6)), 3.50(s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3(C_2)), 3.51(s, 6H, 2 \times$ OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.52 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₂)), 3.66 (s, 6H, 2× OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.67 $(s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_3)), 3.73 (s, 6H, 2 \times OCH_3 (C_3)), 3.75 (m, 1H, H_3^A),$ 3.50–3.90 (m, 29H, $5 \times$ H₃, $6 \times$ H₄, $6 \times$ H₅, $6 \times$ H_{6a}, $6 \times$ H_{6b}), 4.52 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.3 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =10.1 Hz, H_2^A), 5.05–5.08 (m, 4H, 4× H₁), 5.10 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.2 Hz, H₁), 5.38 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =3.3 Hz, H₁^A), 7.25–7.27 (m, 3H, arom-H), 7.38–7.40 (m, 2H, arom-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 57.81, 57.85, 58.00 (5C, 5× OCH₃ (C₂)), 58.97, 58.99 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C_6)), 61.64, 61.73, 61.79, 62.01 (6C, 6× OCH₃ (C₃)), 71.20, 71.23, 71.33 (6C, $6 \times C_5$), 71.42, 71.56 (6C, $6 \times C_6$), 78.09 ($C_2^{(A)}$), 79.66 ($C_3^{(A)}$), 80.89, 81.21, 81.28, 82.17, 82.27, 82.39, 82.45, 82.52, 82.56 (16C, 5× C_{2} , 5× C_{3} , 6× C_{4}), 99.43 (C_{1}^{A}), 100.07, 100.11, 100.17, 100.21, 100.42 (5C, 5× C₁), 121.26, 126.04, 129.46, 153.63 (6C, 6× arom-C), 151.22 (C=O); HRMS calcd for C₆₀H₉₈NaO₃₂ [M+Na]⁺: 1353.5933. Found 1369.5944.

4.15. 2^A-Deoxy-per-O-methyl-α-cyclodextrin (18)

By the same procedure described in Section 4.7, compound 18 was obtained by chromatography (eluent: CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH=30:1-20:1) as a white foam in 74% yield. $R_f=0.29$ (CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH=15:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +143.5 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.65–1.71 (m, 1H, $H_{2a}{}^{A}$), 2.27–2.32 (m, 1H, $H_{2b}{}^{A}$), 3.15–3.19 (m, 5H, 5× H_{2}), 3.40 (s, 3H, $OCH_3(C_6)$), 3.41 (s, 15H, 5× $OCH_3(C_6)$), 3.47 (s, 3H, $OCH_3(C_2)$), 3.48 (s, 3H, OCH₃(C₂)), 3.49(s, 6H, 2× OCH₃(C₂)), 3.50(s, 3H, OCH₃(C₂)), 3.53 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.63 (s, 3H, OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.64 (2× s, 9H, 3× OCH₃ (C₃)), 3.74 (m, 1H, H_3^A), 3.51–3.89 (m, 29H, 5× H₃, 6× H₄, 6× H₅, 6× H_{6a} , $6 \times H_{6b}$), 5.02 (t, 1H, $J_{1,2}=2.4$ Hz, H_1^A), 5.04–5.07 (m, 5H, $5 \times H_1$); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 35.49 (C₂^A), 57.57, 57.79, 57.83, 57.94 $(6C, 6 \times \text{ OCH}_3 (C_3))$, 58.73, 58.96, 59.00, 59.02 $(6C, 6 \times \text{ OCH}_3 (C_6))$, 61.20, 61.74, 61.78, 61.81 (5C, 5× OCH₃ (C₂)), 70.99, 71.10, 71.16, 71.21, 71.34, 71.96 (6C, $6 \times C_5$), 71.31, 71.39, 71.44, 71.98 (6C, $6 \times C_6$), 77.47 (C₃^A), 80.96, 81.25, 81.40, 81.71, 82.10, 82.14, 82.19, 82.23, 82.35, 82.47 (16C, 5× C₂, 5× C₃, 6× C₄), 99.49, 99.85, 99.93, 100.13, 100.33 (5C, 5× C₁), 101.84 (C₁^A); HRMS calcd for C₆₂H₁₁₀NaO₃₄ [M+Na]⁺: 1217.5773. Found 1217.5772.

Acknowledgements

Financial support of this study from CNRS and the University Pierre & Marie Curie (program of LIA), the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program; grant no. 2010CB12300) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 20932001 and 20852001) are gratefully acknowledged. The authors express their gratitude to Cyclolab (Hungary) for a generous supply of starting material. S.X. thanks the China Scholarship Council for a Ph.D. fellowship.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2013.03.070.

References and notes

- 1. Dodziuk, H. Cyclodextrins and Their Complexes. Chemistry, Analytical Methods, Applications; Wiley-VCH GmbH & KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2006.
- Schmid, G. In Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry; zejtli, J. S., Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1996; Vol. 3, p 615.
- (a) Marcus, E. B. Nat. Rev. Drug Deliv. 2004, 3, 1023–1035; (b) Hashidzume, A.; Harada, A. Polym. Chem. 2011, 2, 2146–2154; (c) Perchyonok, V.; Oberholzer, T. Curr. Org. Chem. 2012, 16, 2365–2378.
- (a) Mosinger, J.; Tomankova, V.; Nemcova, I.; Zyka, J. Anal. Lett. 2001, 34, 1979–2004; (b) Lincoln, S. F.; Pham, D.-T. In Supramolecular Chemistry: From Molecules to Nanomaterials; Gale, P. A., Steed, J. W., Eds.; 2012; Vol. 3, pp 954–981; (c) Samakashvili, S.; Salgado, A.; Scriba, G. K. E.; Chankvetadze, B. Chirality 2013, 25, 79–88.
- (a) Breslow, R.; Dong, S. D. *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *98*, 1997–2001 and references cited therein; (b) Bhosale, S. V.; Bhosale, S. V. *Mini-Rev. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *4*, 231–242;
 (c) Mark, E. D.; Bricout, H.; Hapiot, F.; Ponchel, A.; Tilloy, S.; Monflier, E. Sustainability **2009**, *1*, 924–945; (d) Bellia, F.; La-Mendola, D.; Pedone, C.; Rizzarelli, E.; Saviano, M.; Vecchio, G. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 2756–2781.
- French, D.; Levine, M. L.; Pazur, J. H.; Norberg, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1949, 71, 353–356.
- 7. Chatjigakis, A. K.; Donze, C.; Coleman, A. W. Anal. Chem. 1992, 64, 1632-1634.
- (a) Bergeron, A. R.; Machida, Y.; Bloch, K. J. Biol. Chem. 1975, 250, 1223–1230; (b) Casu, B.; Reggiani, M.; Sanderson, G. R. Carbohydr. Res. 1979, 76, 59–66; (c) Szejtli, J. In Cyclodextrins and Their Inculsion Complexes; Akadémiai Kiadó: Budapest, 1982.
- (a) Chaise, T.; Bourgeaux, E.; Cardinael, P.; Combret, J. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 5853–5856; (b) Akira, K.; Toshiyuki, K.; Yohji, N.; Mitsuru, A. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1253–1261; (c) Bsch, A.; Mischnick, P. Biomacromolecules 2007, 8, 2311–2320; (d) Favrelle, A.; Bonnet, V.; Sarazin, C.; Djedaini-Pilard, F. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2008, 19, 2240–2245; (e) Gan, Y. J.; Zhang, Y. M.; Xiao, C. H.; Zhou, C. Y.; Zhao, Y. C. Carbohydr. Res. 2011, 346, 389–392; (f) Kitagishi, H.; Kashiwa, K.; Kano, K. Biopolymers 2012, 97, 11–20.
- (a) Szejtli, J. In Cyclodextrins and Their Inculsion Complexes; Akadémiai Kiadó: Budapest, 1982; (b) Khan, A. R.; Forgo, P.; Stine, K. J.; D'Souza, V. T. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 1977–1996 and references cited therein; (c) Chaise, T.; Cardinael, P.; Tisse, S.; Combret, J. C.; Bouillon, J. P. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2008, 19, 348–357; (d) Di-Fabio, G.; Malgieri, G.; Isernia, C.; D'Onofrio, J.; Gaglione, M.; Messere, A.; Zarrelli, A.; De Napoli, L. Chem. Commun. 2012, 3875–3877.
- (a) Pearce, A. J.; Sinaÿ, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3610–3612; (b) Bistri, O.; Sinaÿ, P.; Jiménez Barbero, J.; Sollogoub, M. Chem.—Eur. J. 2007, 13, 9757–9774; (c) Guieu, S.; Sollogoub, M. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 2819–2828; (d) Guieu, S.; Sollogoub, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7060–7063; (e) Zaborova, E.; Guitet, M.; Prencipe, G.; Blériot, Y.; Ménand, M.; Sollogoub, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 639–644.
- 12. Roizel, B.; Baltaze, J. P.; Sinaÿ, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 2371–2373.
- Xiao, S. L.; Zhou, D. M.; Yang, M.; Sinaÿ, P.; Sollogoub, M.; Zhang, Y. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 5273–5276.
- (a) Luo, X.; Chen, Y.; Huber, J. G.; Zhang, Y. ; Sinaÿ, P. C. R. Chimie 2004, 7, 25–28; (b) Chen, Y.; Huber, J. G.; Zhang, Y.; Sinaÿ, P. C. R. Chimie 2005, 8, 27–30.
- (a) Xiao, S.; Yang, M.; Sinaÿ, P.; Sollogoub, M.; Zhang, Y. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 1510–1516; (b) Xiao, S. L; Zhou, D. M.; Yang, M.; Yu, F.; Zhang, L. H.; Sinaÿ, P.; Zhang, Y. M. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2012, 23, 1315–1318.
- Remy, C.; Ulrike, S.; Deveshwari, P. K.; Jacques, L.; Raymond, L. Can. J. Chem. 1992, 70, 1511–1530.
- 17. Barton, D. H. R.; McCombie, S. W. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1975, 1574–1585.
- 18. D'Souza, V. T.; Forgo, P.; Tian, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 8309-8312.
- Cousin, H.; Cardinael, P.; Oulyadi, H.; Pannecoucke, X.; Combret, J. C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2001, 12, 81–88.