Halogenation

Selective Ruthenium-Catalyzed Hydrochlorination of Alkynes: One-Step Synthesis of Vinylchlorides

Sylvie Dérien,* Hubert Klein, and Christian Bruneau

Abstract: An unprecedented ruthenium-catalyzed direct and selective alkyne hydrochlorination is reported and leads to vinylchlorides in excellent yields with atom economy. The reaction proceeds at room temperature from terminal alkynes and provides a variety of chloroalkenes. Only the regioisomer resulting from the formal Markovnikov addition is selectively formed. Mechanistic studies show the stereoselective syn addition of HCl to alkynes at room temperature and suggest a chloro hydrido Ru^{IV} species as a key intermediate of the reaction.

The development of straightforward and selective methodology to produce vinylhalides is an important continuing goal. These versatile and reactive substrates constitute valuable intermediates in many organic transformations either in industry or on a bench scale.^[1] In the last decade, thanks to the emergence of new ligands, vinylchlorides are becoming increasingly interesting partners in catalyzed cross-coupling processes, notably for C-N and C-C bond formation in palladium-catalyzed amination^[2] or Suzuki-Miyaura reactions.^[3] This class of compounds also exhibits broad biological activities and many natural or synthetic products contain a vinylchloride moiety.^[4-7] Therefore, the straightforward regio- and stereoselective synthesis of vinylchlorides is highly desirable. Vinylchlorides mainly arise from carbonyl compounds,^[6,8] by a halogenation reaction with harmful, traditional phosphorous reagents or acid chlorides, or from alkynes.^[9] The stoichiometric formation of a boron or metal vinyl intermediate from alkynes, followed by addition of a chloride, is a classical process to provide chloroalkenes.^[10] Although the direct addition of HCl to alkynes seems to be one of the most straightforward synthetic methods to produce chloroalkenes, this reaction does not take place in a preparatively useful manner. Historically, first attempts to add a solution of HCl to a triple bond provided mixtures of compounds with low selectivity and/or low yields.[11] Some improvements were obtained in the presence of a Lewis acid catalyst such as $ZnCl_2$ or $FeCl_3$ ^[12] or by the use of appropriate silica gel or alumina surfaces.^[13] Unfortunately, the scope of these reactions is quite narrow. Recently, a preparation of α chlorovinylethers was also reported and involved the in situ generation of HCl from TMSCl and MeOH.^[14] Vapor-phase hydrochlorination of alkynes by gaseous HCl was also realized on immobilized metal chloride catalysts, but good yields were limited to the reaction of acetylene.^[15] Finally, a hydrochlorination reaction can proceed with a source of proton along with metal chlorides such as ZnCl₂, MgCl₂, FeCl₃, or LiCl but the requirement of a stoichiometric amount of metallic salt hampers its applicability.^[16] To the best of our knowledge, Zhu and co-workers described the unique palladium-catalyzed hydrochlorination of haloalkynes using a LiCl/HOAc system.^[16f] Thus, the efficient, ecofriendly, and general formation of vinylchlorides in a stereoselective fashion by a direct hydrochlorination of alkynes still constitutes a challenging objective.

In the course of our studies on the catalytic activity of the complex [Cp*RuCl(cod)] (cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene, Cp* = C₅Me₅) towards alkynes,^[17] we developed a catalytic hydro-halogenative dimerization of alkynes in one step under mild reaction conditions^[18] and we observed the formation of alkenylchlorides as side products [Eq. (1); DCE = 1,2-dichloroethane]. Herein we report on a new efficient and productive ruthenium-based catalytic system, which provides selective alkyne hydrochlorination, thus giving access to vinylchlorides in good yields under mild reaction conditions.

The [Cp*RuCl(cod)] catalyst (A) was found to be active in the direct addition of HCl with alkynes to give vinylchlorides. However, a modest yield of 2a was obtained during our initial attempts [Eq. (1)]. Reaction temperature and concentration had only limited impact, so we investigated the influence of the catalyst (Table 1). The presence of the Cp* ligand seems to be necessary to provide 2a, whereas halfsandwich (p-cymene)ruthenium complexes inhibit the reaction (entries 1 and 2). Gratifyingly, the addition of one equivalent of a phosphine ligand to the [Cp*RuCl(cod)] complex prevented the side formation of a bis(carbene) ruthenium complex intermediate, which leads to dienylchlorides,^[18] and afforded 2a in 98% yield after 10 minutes at room temperature. Only the regioisomer resulting from formal Markovnikov addition was selectively formed. This reaction did not proceed with the sole presence of PPh₃. A lower amount of catalyst (2.5 mol%) still led to a good yield of 2a (entries 3 and 4). The isolated $[Cp*RuCl(PPh_3)_2]$ complex was then used as the catalyst precursor to produce similar

^[*] Dr. S. Dérien, Dr. H. Klein, Dr. C. Bruneau Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes—UMR 6226 CNRS-Université de Rennes 1 Campus de Beaulieu 35042 Rennes (France) E-mail: sylvie.derien@univ-rennes1.fr

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201505144.

Table 1: Development of the catalytic reaction.[a]

	Ph H + HCl 1a	DCI	E, RT	Ph		
Entry	Cat (mol%)	[] a] ^[b]	HCl/ 1 a	t	Conv. 1 a [%]	Yield 2 a [%] ^[c]
1	[Cp*RuCl(cod)] (5)	0.25	2.0	20 h	75	25
2	$[{(p-cymene)RuCl_2}_2]$ (5)	0.25	2.0	20 h	0	-
3	[Cp*RuCl(cod)]/PPh₃ (5)	0.25	2.0	10 min	100	98
4	[Cp*RuCl(cod)]/PPh ₃ (2.5)	0.25	2.0	10 min	100	97
5	[Cp*RuCl(PPh ₃) ₂] (2.5)	0.25	2.0	10 min	100	97
6	[CpRuCl(PPh ₃) ₂] (2.5)	0.25	2.0	4 h	100	58
7	$[Cp*Ru(CH_3CN)_3]PF_6/PPh_3$ (2.5)	0.25	2.0	10 min	100	95
8	[Cp*RuCl(cod)]/PPh ₃ (2.5)	1.0	2.0	10 min	100	97
9	[Cp*RuCl(cod)]/PPh ₃ (2.5)	2.0	1.1	10 min	100	99

[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (1.0 mmol), HCl (2 \times in Et₂O), DCE, RT. [b] Molar concentration. [c] Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the reaction mixture using naphthalene as an internal standard.

results, whereas the $[CpRuCl(PPh_3)_2]$ precursor showed a lower reactivity, thus providing **2a** in only 58% yield (entries 5 and 6). The use of the cationic $[Cp*Ru-(CH_3CN)_3]PF_6/PPh_3$ system also led to good yield and selectivity in **2a** (entry 7). Interestingly, increasing the concentration of **1a** led to the best results and allowed a decrease in the HCl/**1a** ratio from 2.0 to 1.1 (entries 8 and 9). Further optimization of solvents revealed that the reaction took place in various polar and nonpolar solvents, however the best results were obtained in DCE and in DMC (dimethyl carbonate).

With our optimized reaction conditions, we then examined the scope of the preparation of vinylchlorides from various terminal alkynes (Scheme 1). The reaction proceeded smoothly and appeared to be quite general with various functional groups. Excellent yields of the vinylchlorides 2b-h (90-99%) were obtained from arylacetylenes containing either electron-withdrawing or electron-donating groups. The reaction of 2 equivalents of HCl with 1,4-diethynylbenzene afforded 1.4-di(chloroethenvl)benzene (2h) in 90% yield. Hetero-arylacetylene derivatives also reacted with HCl to give vinylchlorides. Thus, 2-ethynylthiophene led to the volatile compound 2i in 75% yield and 3-ethynylpyridine produced vinylchlorides 2j in 95% yield. In this latter case, 10% of a regioisomer, 3-(E-2-chlorovinyl)pyridine, was formed. Alkylacetylenes containing either an ester, cyano, chloro, or a second alkynyl functional group gave, quantitatively, the corresponding vinylchlorides 2k-m, as well as 2,7dichloroocta-1-7-diene (2n) by addition of 2 equivalents of HCl to 1,7-octadiyne. The non-activated alkynes **10-q** also led to good yields of the compounds 20-q, as only one regioisomer, but required slight modifications of the reaction conditions.

Internal alkynes showed lower reactivity but because of the impossible formation of the bis(carbene) species, the addition of PPh₃ was not required. At 30 °C, only a 10% conversion of dec-5-yne (**4a**) was observed after 3 hours (Scheme 2). Two stereoisomers for **5a** were produced in a 93:7 ratio. Gratifyingly, at 60 °C, complete conversion of **4a** could be obtained after 3 hours, thus leading to a 96% yield in

the chlorodecenes **5a**, in a 85:15 ratio for the two stereoisomers. NMR spectroscopic analyses seem consistent with a *syn* addition of HCl to the triple bond for the major isomer, thus leading to the *E* stereoisomer. When the reaction was carried out at 90 °C the second stereoisomer, arising from a formal *anti* addition of HCl, became the major one. Similar results were produced from hex-3-yne (**4b**), whereas the more bulky diphenylacetylene (**4c**) was less reactive and afforded only 30% conversion after 3 hours. The conversion and the yield of **5c** increased to 60% after 20 hours with 5 mol% of catalyst, but in a lower E/Z ratio of 65:35.

During our studies on the dimerization of alkynes, we described an efficient hydrohalogenative system consisting of separate proton and halide sources. The best results were obtained by using a combination of camphorsulfonic acid (CSA)/BnEt₃NX.^[18] Adjustment of this protocol, through

addition of extra PPh₃, resulted in an efficient catalytic system to produce **2a** (for X = Cl) in 97% yield (Scheme 3). Modification of the counteranion of the ammonium salt provided a straightforward methodology to selectively produce the corresponding halides and led to α -bromostyrene (**6a**) and α -iodostyrene (**7a**) in 95 and 88% yield, respectively.



Scheme 1. Ruthenium-catalyzed reaction of terminal alkynes (**1**a–q) with HCl. Reaction conditions: **1** (1.0 mmol), HCl (2 N in Et₂O, 1.1 equiv/triple bond), DCE (0.5 mL), RT, cat **A**/PPh₃ system (**1**/[Ru] in 1:0.025 molar ratio). Yields are for products isolated after purification by silica gel chromatography. [a] 3 equiv of HCl in DCE (4 mL). [b] **1**/[Ru] in 1:0.05 molar ratio. [c] 2 equiv of HCl in DCE (4 mL).



	[Cp*RuCl(cod)] (2.5 mol%) RR				
4a-c	DCE	کر 5a–c			
R= Bu (4a)	30 °C, 3 h	10% (<i>E/Z</i> : 93:7)			
	60 °C, 3 h	96% (<i>E/Z</i> : 85:15			
	90 °C, 4 h	80% (<i>E/Z</i> : 40:60			
R= Et (4b)	60 °C, 3 h	85% (<i>E/Z</i> : 85:15			
R= Ph (4c)	65 °C, 3 h	30% (<i>E/Z</i> : 79:21			

Scheme 2. Ruthenium-catalyzed reaction of internal alkynes with HCl.



Scheme 3. Ruthenium-catalyzed hydrohalogenation of phenylacetylene with the CSA/BnEt₃NX system.



Scheme 4. Key steps of the formation of the vinylchlorides 2.

Two types of reaction mechanism may explain this ruthenium-catalyzed hydrochlorination of alkynes, thus affording the vinylchlorides **2** by incorporation of the chloride at the more substituted carbon atom of the alkyne (Scheme 4): 1) an intramolecular pathway involving the insertion of the triple bond into the Ru–Cl bond can occur.^[19] Because of the steric hindrance of the R substituent, a regioselective chlororuthenation could lead to the *syn* addition product. 2) an external nucleophilic attack of a chloride on the coordinated triple bond results in the *anti* addition of HCl.

To discriminate between these postulated pathways, we performed an experiment using a deuterated alkyne. When the reaction of $[D_1]$ -**1e** was carried out in the presence of HCl under the optimized reaction conditions, $[D_1]$ -**2e** was obtained in 94% yield with an E/Z ratio of 95:5, as determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy [Eq. (2)]. The observed deuterium labelling showed that the major stereoisomer corresponds to a syn addition of HCl to the triple bond. The





Scheme 5. Proposed catalytic cycle.

first mechanistic pathway may be then advocated for terminal alkynes.

A possible catalytic cycle for the formation of the vinylchlorides 2 is presented in Scheme 5. In the presence of PPh₃, the complex [Cp*RuCl(cod)] (A) can lose its cod ligand to produce the complex $[Cp*RuCl(PPh_3)_2]$ (**B**). Indeed, when one equivalent of PPh₃ was added to a solution of A in CD₂Cl₂ under Ar, ¹H and ³¹P NMR signals corresponding to **B** appeared. In acidic medium, by adding one equivalent of HCl, B probably ionizes, thus leading to the cationic complex $[Cp*RuHCl(PPh_3)_2]^+$ (C).^[20] Indeed, when we followed the reaction of 1a with one equivalent of the system CSA/ BnEt₃NBr in the presence of 10% of [Cp*RuCl(cod)]/PPh₃, we observed the almost exclusive formation of bromide derivative at the beginning of the reaction, thus showing the dissociation of the complex **B** and the oxidative addition of HX reagent. In addition, the ruthenium(IV) chloro hydrido complexes [Cp*RuHCl(PEt₃)₂]BAr₄ and [Cp*RuHCl-(PMeiPr₂)₂]BAr₄ were isolated and characterized by Valerga and co-workers after oxidative addition of HCl to the cationic $(X = PF_6^{[21]} \text{ or } CF_3SO_3^{[22]})$ were also obtained from the complex [Cp*RuCl(PMe₃)₂] by addition of NH₄PF₆ or CF₃SO₃H. For all these chloro hydrido complexes, the ¹H NMR spectra display one triplet resonance in the hydride region, and it results from the coupling with the two equivalent phosphorus atoms. To evaluate the possible formation of a cationic [Cp*RuHCl(PPh₃)₂]⁺ intermediate, we performed the addition of one equivalent of HCl (2N in Et₂O) to a solution of [Cp*RuCl(cod)]/PPh₃ in CD₂Cl₂ at room temperature. The ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra, obtained after 2 hours, revealed the presence of both free cod ligand and **B**, as well as new resonances. The 1 H NMR spectrum exhibited the methyl resonance of a Cp* ligand as a broad singlet at $\delta = 1.26$ ppm and one triplet resonance in the hydride region, at $\delta = -7.73$ ppm (${}^{2}J_{PH} = 33$ Hz), whereas the

© 2015 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum displayed a new singlet arising at $\delta =$ 32.3 ppm. These observations are consistent with the formation of a cationic Ru^{IV} hydrido intermediate. Thus, we postulate the formation of the complex C as catalytic species which can coordinate one molecule of alkyne, after displacement of one PPh₃ ligand, thus leading to species **E** by insertion of the triple bond into the Ru-Cl bond.^[19] The regioselective chlororuthenation favors the addition of the chloride at the more substituted carbon atom. However, if the alkyne possesses a substituent which can coordinate to the ruthenium center [e.g. the 3-ethynylpyridine (1i)], the product resulting from the opposite regioselectivity can be obtained (E'). These species E are subject to reductive elimination to give the vinylchlorides 2, syn addition products, and to regenerate the catalyst C. In the case of internal alkynes, and in the absence of PPh₃ ligand, another mechanistic pathway cannot be ruled out.

In summary, we have successfully developed an unprecedented, efficient, and selective alkyne hydrochlorination by a ruthenium-catalyzed process. This straightforward access to aliphatic and aromatic vinylchlorides proceeds in excellent yields and constitutes a good example of an atom-economic transformation. A sole regioisomer is selectively formed and mechanistic studies show a stereoselective *syn* addition of HCl to alkynes at room temperature.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the CNRS and the Ministère de la recherche for support, the latter for a PhD grant to H.K. They thank Dr Mathieu Achard for helpful discussions.

Keywords: alkenes \cdot alkynes \cdot hydrohalogenation \cdot ruthenium \cdot synthetic methods

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12112–12115 Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 12280–12283

- a) A. B. Dounay, L. E. Overman, *Chem. Rev.* 2003, 103, 2945;
 b) J. Tsuji, *Palladium Reagents and Catalysts*, Wiley, Chichester, 2004.
- [2] a) J. Barluenga, M. A. Fernandez, F. Aznar, C. Valdés, *Chem. Commun.* 2004, 1400; b) C. R. V. Reddy, S. Urgaonkar, J. G. Verkade, *Org. Lett.* 2005, 7, 4427.
- [3] a) A. B. Lemay, K. S. Vulic, W. W. Ogilvie, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3615; b) S. Ma, X. Jiang, X. Cheng, H. Hou, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 2114; c) L. M. Geary, P. G. Hultin, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6354; d) R. Rossi, F. Bellina, M. Lessi, Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 6969; e) A. Thakur, K. Zhang, J. Louie, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 203.
- [4] G. R. Pettit, J. Nat. Prod. 1996, 59, 812.
- [5] S. Nishikawa, F. Yamashita, N. Kashimura, Z. Kumazawa, N. Oogami, H. Mizuno, *Phytochemistry* 1994, 37, 915.

- [6] K. Kamei, N. Maeda, T. Tatsuoka, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 229.
- [7] E. Sanna, A. Murgia, A. Casula, M. Usala, E. Maciocco, G. Tuligi, G. Biggio, *Neuropharmacology* **1996**, *35*, 1753.
- [8] a) S. Hirsl-Starcevic, Z. Majerski, J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 2520;
 b) R. S. Al-awar, S. P. Joseph, D. L. Comins, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 7732;
 c) C. Muthiah, K. P. Kumar, S. Kumaraswamy, K. C. Kumara Swamy, Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 14315;
 d) M. Kodomari, T. Nagaoka, Y. Furusawa, Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 3105;
 e) A. Spaggiari, D. Vaccari, P. Davoli, G. Torre, F. Prati, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 2216;
 f) W. Su, C. Jin, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 993.
- [9] a) K. Kokubo, K. Matsumasa, M. Miura, M. Nomura, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 6941; b) T. Kashiwabara, M. Tanaka, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 1485; c) T. Iwai, T. Fujihara, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 1268.
- [10] a) Y. Masuda, M. Hoshi, A. Arase, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1992, 2725; b) N. A. Petasis, I. A. Zavialov, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 567; c) G. Zweifel, W. Lewis, J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2739.
- [11] a) W. H. Carothers, G. J. Berchet, A. M. Collins, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1932, 54, 4066; b) R. C. Fahey, D. J. Lee, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 1966, 88, 5555; c) J. Cousseau, L. Gouin, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1977, 1797; d) K. Griesbaum, V. V. Ramana Rao, G. Leifker, J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 4975.
- [12] a) W. H. Carothers, D. D. Coffman, A. M. Collins, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1932, 54, 4071; b) F. Marcuzzi, G. Melloni, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 3295; c) X.-F. Wu, D. Bezier, C. Darcel, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 367.
- [13] P. J. Kropp, S. D. Crawford, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 3102.
- [14] W. Yu, Z. Jin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 9840.
- [15] a) G. J. Hutchings, J. Catal. **1985**, 96, 292; b) S. A. Mitchenko, T. V. Krasnyakova, R. S. Mitchenko, A. N. Korduban, J. Mol. Catal. A **2007**, 275, 101; c) M. Conte, A. F. Carley, C. Heirene, D. J. Willock, P. Johnston, A. A. Herzing, C. J. Kiely, G. J. Hutchings, J. Catal. **2007**, 250, 231.
- [16] a) R. Fernandez de La Pradilla, M. Morente, R. S. Paley, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 6101; b) S. Ma, X. Lu, Z. Li, *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 709; c) A. Sun, X. Huang, *Synthesis* **2000**, 1819; d) J. A. Mulder, K. C. M. Kurtz, R. P. Hsung, H. Coverdale, M. O. Frederick, L. Shen, C. A. Zificsak, *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1547; e) P. O. Miranda, D. D. Diaz, J. I. Padron, M. A. Ramirez, V. S. Martin, J. Org. Chem. **2005**, *70*, 57; f) G. Zhu, D. Chen, Y. Wang, R. Zheng, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 5796.
- [17] a) S. Dérien, F. Monnier, P. H. Dixneuf, *Top. Organomet. Chem.* 2004, 11, 1; b) C. Vovard-Le Bray, S. Dérien, P. H. Dixneuf, C. R. *Chim.* 2010, 13, 292; c) S. Dérien, *Top. Organomet. Chem.* 2014, 48, 289.
- [18] H. Klein, T. Roisnel, C. Bruneau, S. Dérien, *Chem. Commun.* 2012, 48, 11032.
- [19] B. M. Trost, A. B. Pinkerton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 7376.
- [20] H. Aneetha, M. Jimenez-Tenorio, M. C. Puerta, P. Valerga, V. N. Sapunov, R. Schmid, K. Kirchner, K. Mereiter, *Organometallics* 2002, 21, 5334.
- [21] T. D. Tilley, R. H. Grubbs, J. E. Bercaw, *Organometallics* **1984**, *3*, 274.
- [22] M. K. Rottink, R. J. Angelici, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 7267.

Received: June 5, 2015 Published online: August 24, 2015