Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 24 (2014) 3234-3237

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl



Synthesis and biological evaluation of 3-phenethylazetidine derivatives as triple reuptake inhibitors



Jun Yun^{a,c}, Minsoo Han^a, Chiman Song^a, Seung Hoon Cheon^b, Kihang Choi^c, Hoh-Gyu Hahn^{a,*}

^a Chemical Kinomics Research Center, Korea Institute of Science and Technology, Seoul 136-791, Republic of Korea

^b College of Pharmacy, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 500-757, Republic of Korea

^c Department of Chemistry, Research Institute for Natural Sciences, Korea University, Seoul 136-701, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 April 2014 Revised 29 May 2014 Accepted 10 June 2014 Available online 18 June 2014

Keywords: Depression Antidepressant Triple reuptake inhibitor 3-Phenethylazetidines

Depression is a common and extremely serious disease affecting about 121 million people worldwide.¹ The most commonly prescribed antidepressants, selective serotonin (5-HT) reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and 5-HT norepinephrine (NE) reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), may take several weeks of treatment to affect any improvement in symptoms, and some present side effects such as insomnia and sexual dysfunction.^{2,3} Recent studies show that bupropion (NE dopamine (DA) reuptake inhibitor) enhanced the antidepressant actions of SSRIs and SNRIs and reduced sexual side effect associated with SSRIs in humans.^{4,5} Therefore triple reuptake inhibitors (TRIs), 'broad spectrum' antidepressants that are capable of inhibiting the reuptake of 5-HT, NE, and DA by one molecule is an attractive strategy in modern therapy for depression.⁶ There are several classes of TRIs, including DOV 21,947, SEP-225289, Lu-AA42202, NS-2359 and RG-7166, in preclinical or early clinical development stages.⁷⁻¹⁰ However, no TRI is yet available in the market. TRIs are expected to become the next generation of antidepressants and remain desirable.

In our previous papers, we reported 3-substituted azetidine **1** with triple reuptake inhibitory activities against 5-HT, NE, and

ABSTRACT

We report the synthesis of 3-phenethylazetidine derivatives **2** and their biological activities against 5-HT, NE and DA transporters as well as microsomal stability, CYP inhibition, and hERG inhibition profiles. Compound **2at** showed most potent triple reuptake inhibitor with good selectivity as a candidate for depression.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

DA transporters, which showed antidepressant effect in the forced swimming test in mice at 10 mg/kg IV or 20–40 mg/kg PO.¹¹ As a part of our continuing efforts to develop novel TRI, we have designed the 3-phenethylazetidines **2**, which are methylene homologues of 3-substituted azetidines **1**, by inserting a methylene unit between the azetidine ring and the stereogenic center in the 3-arylmethylazetidine (Fig. 1). Homologation is an approach and strategy for the rational modification of lead compounds to improve the potency and pharmacological activity.¹² Herein we report the synthesis of 3-phenethylazetidine derivatives and their biological activities against 5-HT, NE and DA reuptake inhibition in addition to microsomal stability, cytochrome P450 (CYP) inhibition and human ether-a go-go-related gene (hERG) inhibition profiles.

An overall synthetic route of 3-phenethylazetidines **2** is depicted in Scheme 1. Aldehyde **4** was obtained in 95% yield from commercially available 3-azetidine methyl ester **3** by the treatment with lithium aluminium hydride (LiAlH₄) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at -78 °C, followed by Swern oxidation. Grignard reaction of **4** with aryl magnesium halide in THF at 0 °C gave an intermediate, secondary alcohol **5**. Azetidinyl β-phenoxy compound **7** was obtained from either Mitsunobu reaction of **5** with substituted phenol in the presence of triphenylphosphine and diisopropyl azodicarboxylate in THF at room temperature or by the reaction of **5** with methanesulfonyl chloride (MsCl) in the presence of triethylamine in methylene chloride at 0 °C, followed by the treatment with substituted phenol in the presence of sodium hydride in THF at ambient temperature. Deprotection of the Boc group in **7** by the treatment of 1 N HCl in boiling methanol gave the crude prod-



Abbreviations: 5-HT, serotonin; NE, norepinephrine; DA, dopamine; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; SNRI, serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; TRI, triple reuptake inhibitor; CYP, cytochrome P450; hERG, human ethera go-go-related gene; HEK, human embryonic kidney; hSERT, human serotonin *trans*-porter; hNET, human norepinephrine transporter; hDAT, human dopamine transporter.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +82 2 958 5139; fax: +82 2 958 5189. E-mail address: hghahn@kist.re.kr (H.-G. Hahn).



Figure 1. Design of 3-phenethylazetidines by homologation.

uct **2** as corresponding HCl salt. This was treated with 1 N aqueous sodium hydroxide solution followed by purification through flash chromatography to obtain the corresponding 3-phenethylazetidines **2** in 23–65% isolated yield. The structures of prepared compounds were confirmed by ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectroscopies. A total of 49 analogues of 3-phenethylazetidines **2** were synthesized in this manner.

The reuptake inhibitory activities against DA, NE, and 5-HT neurotransmitter were measured by the Neurotransmitter Transporter Uptake Assay Kit (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) with the FDSS6000 96-well fluorescence plate reader, a high throughput screening device (Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan).¹³ In this study, the human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells stably transfected with human dopamine transporter (HEK-hDAT), human norepinephrine transporter (HEK-hNET), or human serotonin transporter (HEK-hSERT) were used for the assay. All the synthesized compounds were assayed at three different concentrations $(10 \,\mu\text{M}, \, 1.0 \,\mu\text{M} \text{ and } 0.1 \,\mu\text{M})$. Some compounds were selected to obtain their IC₅₀ values based on the initial screening result. The primary screening results of all the compounds are reported in Supplementary data. We used fluoxetine (SSRI), nisoxetine (selective NE reuptake inhibitor), GBR12909 (selective DA reuptake inhibitor), venlafaxine (SNRI), duloxetine (SNRI) and DOV 216,303 (TRI) as reference compounds.

The primary screening results of 26 selected compounds at a concentration of 0.1 μ M are summarized in Table 1. Some compounds with 3,4-dichlorophenyl or 4-chloro-3-fluorophenyl moiety at R₁ showed good inhibitory activities against three monoamine transporters (entries 1, 2, 8, 12–20) while a 2-naph-thyl group at R₁ showed low inhibitory activities (entries 25–26). In general, the compounds with 4-chloro-3-fluorophenyl moiety at R₁ showed better inhibitory activities against three monoamine transporters than those of the compounds with 3,4-dichlorophenyl moiety at the same position (compare entries 1–11 with entries 12–21). In case of the compounds in which fluorine is substituted in phenyl at R₂ showed a tendency good activities (entry 5 against

hDAT, entry 8 against hNET, entries 14–16 against three monoamine transporters). In contrast, the substitution of trifluoromethoxy group at R₂ is a disadvantage to the inhibitory activities against three monoamine transporters (entries, 9–11, 21, 22). An interesting result was that some of the compounds with 2,4-difluorophenyl moiety at R₁ showed less inhibitory activities against hNET in comparison with those against hSERT and hDAT (entries 23, 24, and see example 28, 30–35 in the Supplementary material). Based on the initial results of reuptake inhibitory activities, 7 compounds were selected to determine their IC₅₀ values against three monoamine reuptake transporters and for further testing of the microsomal stability against human liver microsomes (percent remaining after 0.5 h incubation using BD Gentest assay kit).

Table 2 lists the IC₅₀ values and the microsomal stability of the selected 7 compounds (detailed results were reported in Supplementary data). Comparing the IC_{50} values of the selected 3-phenethylazetidines (entries 1-7) with six reference compounds for reuptake assay, the selected compounds showed better reuptake inhibitory potency than fluoxetine, GBR12909, DOV 216,303 against all the three transporters. Two compounds (entries 5 and 6) showed higher potency than nisoxetine against NE reuptake transporter. Among the 7 compounds, none of them displayed better activity than venlafaxine or duloxetine against serotonin reuptake transporter but all of them showed more than 7 times better activities than venlafaxine or duloxetine against NE and DA reuptake transporters. Some compounds (entries 3-5, 7) showed relative potency among the three transporters in the order of hNET \gtrsim hSERT \gtrsim hDAT, while the other compounds (entries 1, 2, 6) displayed relative potency among the three transporters in the order of hNET \gtrsim hDAT \gtrsim hSERT. In general the 4-chloro-3fluorophenyl derivatives (entry 5 and 6) exhibited higher potency against all three monoamine transporter (hSERT, hNET and hDAT) than 3,4-dichlorophneyl derivatives. Microsomal stability data can be beneficial in optimizing in vivo pharmacokinetic performance. Most of the compounds were stable compared to mibefradil except compound **2ag** in the microsomal stability test.

Based on reuptake inhibition assay and microsomal stability test, four compounds (**2aq, 2as, 2at, 2au**) were selected for further studies of CYP inhibition and hERG inhibition. CYP and hERG inhibition tests play important role in the preclinical studies because they can reduce attrition rate in later stage of drug development process. Similar to microsomal test, CYP and hERG inhibition assays can reduce dropout rate of drug candidate due to poor pharmacokinetic and toxicity performance in clinical studies. The four selected compounds were screened using five different isozyme of CYP (CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4) and hERG channel. In particular, the data of 1A2, 2D6 and 3A4 can be considered significant in this study because CYP2D6 and CYP3A4



Scheme 1. Reagents and reaction conditions: (a) LiAlH₄, THF, -78 °C; (b) (COCl)₂, DMSO, then TEA, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C to 0 °C; (c) PhMgBr, THF, 0 °C; (d) substituted phenol, PPh₃, DIAD, THF, rt; (e) MsCl, TEA, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C; (f) substituted phenol, NaH, THF, reflux; (g) 1 N HCl, MeOH, 60 °C and then aq 1 N NaOH.

Table 1

Activities of the selected 3-phenethylazetidines presented as percent reuptake inhibition against HEK-hSERT, HEK-hNET and HEK-hDAT

Entry	Compound	R ₁	R ₂	% Reuptake inhibition, ^a at 0.1 μ M		
				hSERT	hNET	hDAT
	Fluoxetine			44	5	6
	Nisoxetine			10	78	10
	GBR12909			2	-6	29
	Venlafaxine			56	9	6
1	2ab	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di Cl)	C ₆ H ₄ (2-F)	59	90	77
2	2ac	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di Cl)	C ₆ H ₅	50	86	77
3	2ad	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di Cl)	$C_6H_4(3-CH_3)$	21	50	40
4	2ae	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di Cl)	$C_6H_4(2-CH_3)$	25	39	15
5	2ai	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di Cl)	$C_6H_4(3-F)$	26	70	100
6	2aj	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di Cl)	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di Cl)	21	50	12
7	2ak	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di Cl)	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di F)	31	56	45
8	2al	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di Cl)	$C_6H_4(4-F)$	64	100	33
9	2am	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di Cl)	$C_6H_4(2-OCF_3)$	16	13	14
10	2an	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di Cl)	$C_6H_4(3-OCF_3)$	9	32	37
11	2ao	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di Cl)	$C_6H_4(4-OCF_3)$	16	10	13
12	2ap	C ₆ H ₃ (3-F,4-Cl)	C ₆ H ₅	80	100	98
13	2aq	C ₆ H ₃ (3-F,4-Cl)	$C_6H_4(3-Cl)$	87	100	87
14	2as	$C_6H_3(3-F,4-Cl)$	$C_6H_4(2-F)$	77	100	68
15	2at	$C_6H_3(3-F,4-Cl)$	$C_{6}H_{4}(3-F)$	98	100	100
16	2au	C ₆ H ₃ (3-F,4-Cl)	$C_6H_4(4-F)$	72	100	40
17	2av	C ₆ H ₃ (3-F,4-Cl)	$C_6H_4(2-Cl)$	82	100	30
18	2aw	C ₆ H ₃ (3-F,4-Cl)	$C_6H_4(2-CH_3)$	94	87	19
19	2ax	C ₆ H ₃ (3-F,4-Cl)	$C_6H_4(3-CH_3)$	100	100	58
20	2ay	$C_6H_3(3-F,4-Cl)$	$C_6H_4(4-CH_3)$	97	100	41
21	2ba	C ₆ H ₃ (3-F,4-Cl)	$C_6H_4(3-OCF_3)$	25	37	33
22	2bl	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di F)	$C_6H_4(2-OCF_3)$	19	22	12
23	2bd	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di F)	C ₆ H ₃ (3-Cl)	77	10	77
24	2bf	C ₆ H ₃ (3,4-di F)	$C_6H_3(2-F)$	61	30	61
25	2bq	$C_{10}H_7$	C ₆ H ₅	56	37	39
26	2br	C ₁₀ H ₇	$C_6H_4(2-Cl)$	25	23	15

^a % values are the means obtained at least three or four times.

Table 2

IC₅₀ values of monoamine reuptake inhibitory activities and microsomal stability for the selected 3-phenethylazetidines

Entry	Compound	Reuptake assa	y (IC ₅₀ , nM) ^a		Microsomal stability (% remaining after 30 min)		
		hSERT	hNET	hDAT			
	Fluoxetine	150	4410	18400			
	Nisoxetine	700	20	1150			
	GBR12909	3840	1460	190			
	Venlafaxine	36.1	5722	15767			
	Duloxetine	10.4	515	977			
	DOV216,303	723	143	394	67		
	Mibefradil	-	-	-	64		
1	2ab	73.8	33.5	53.6	_		
2	2ac	83.4	37.2	52.1	67		
3	2al	71.9	33.7	129	_		
4	2aq	47.1	30.0	82.5	57		
5	2as	46.3	15.9	63.9	77		
6	2at	36.6	14.6	35.6	78		
7	2au	58.1	32.5	143	85		

^a The values are the means obtained at least three or four times.

Table 3

 IC_{50} values of the selected compounds against human CYP and hERG channel

Entry	Compound	CYP450 (IC ₅₀ , µM)					hERG (IC ₅₀ , μ M)	Relative ratio of IC ₅₀		
		1A2	2D6	2C9	3A4	2C19		hERG/hSERT	hERG/hNET	hERG/hDAT
	Positive control ^a	32	25	4.2	2.5	18	-			
	Duloxetine	5.3	1.6	29	0.44	4.1	-			
	DOV 216,303	0.8	0.78	99	1.3	1.6	-			
1	2aq	15	0.53	1.1	0.6	0.25	_			
2	2as	44	8.7	2.2	0.71	0.25	1.41	31	88	22
3	2at	13	4.0	3.1	0.51	0.21	1.46	39	97	41
4	2au	19	1.0	2.4	0.4	1.3	-			

^a Positive control: α-naphthoflavone for 1A2, sulfaphenazole for 2C9, quinidine for 2D6, ketoconazole for 3A4 and miconazol for 2C19.

80%), and many antidepressant drugs are metabolized by CYP1A2. The results are summarized in Table 3.

Comparing to duloxetine and DOV 216,303 in CYP inhibition studies, **2as** and **2at** exhibited a lot lower potency than the reference compounds against CYP1A2, CYP2D6. Especially **2as** has 8–50 times less potency than the reference compounds against CYP1A2 and 5 to 10 times less potency than the reference compounds against CYP2D6. Based on the overall performance in CYP tests, **2as** and **2at** were selected for hERG channel assay. As shown in Table 3, **2as** and **2at** showed 22–97-fold selectivity between hERG channel and the three monoamine transporters.

In summary, we report the design and synthesis of 3-phenethylazetidine derivatives **2** and their biological activities against 5-HT, NE and DA transporters as well as microsomal stability, CYP inhibition, and hERG inhibition profiles. Compound **2at** showed most potent triple reuptake inhibitor with good selectivity over hERG channel. Further preclinical studies of **2at** are in progress and will be reported soon.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Korea Drug Development Fund and Korea Institute of Science and Technology.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data (experimental procedures and biological screening methods, yields, melting points, ¹H and ¹³C NMR data for all the compounds and detailed results of the biological assays)

associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.06.026.

References and notes

- (a) Kulkarni, S. K.; Dhir, A. Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs 2009, 18, 767; (b) Millan, M. J. Pharmacol. Ther. 2006, 110, 135; (c) Perović, B.; Jovanović, M.; Miljković, B.; Vezmar, S. Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat. 2010, 6, 343.
- (a) Prins, J.; Olivier, B.; Korte, S. M. Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs 2011, 20, 1107;
 (b) Papakostas, G. I.; Worthington, J. J., III; Iosifescu, D. V.; Kinrys, G.; Burns, A. M.; Fisher, L. B.; Homberger, C. H.; Mischoulon, D.; Fava, M. Depression Anxiety 2006, 23, 178.
- (a) Daws, L. C. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 2009, 121, 89; (b) Costagliola, C.; Parmeggiani, F.; Semeraro, F.; Sebastiani, A. *Curr. Neuropharmacol.* 2008, 6, 293; (c) Vaswani, M.; Linda, F. K.; Ramesh, S. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* 2003, 27, 85.
- Zisook, S.; Rush, A. J.; Haight, B. R.; Clines, D. C.; Rockett, C. B. Biol. Psychiatry 2006, 59, 203.
- 5. Prica, C.; Hascoet, M.; Bourin, M. Behav. Brain Res. 2008, 194, 92.
- (a) Chen, Z.; Skolnick, P. Expert Opin. Investig Drugs 2007, 16, 1365; (b) Millan, M. J. Neurotherapeutics 2009, 13, 53.
- 7. Liang, Y.; Richelson, E. Primary Psychiatry 2008, 15, 50.
- (a) Skolnick, P.; Popik, P.; Janowsky, A.; Beer, B.; Lippa, A. S. Life Sci. 2003, 73, 3175; (b) Skolnick, P.; Krieter, P.; Tizzano, J.; Basile, A.; Popik, P.; Czobor, P.; Lippa, A. CNS Drug Rev. 2006, 12, 123.
- Skolnick, P.; Popik, P.; Janowsky, A.; Beer, B.; Lippa, A. S. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2003, 461, 99.
- 10. Shao, L.; Li, W.; Xie, Q.; Yin, H. Expert Opin. Ther. Pattents 2014, 24, 131.
- 11. Han, Y.; Han, M.; Shin, D.; Song, C.; Hahn, H.-G. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 8188.
- (a) Duranti, A.; Franchini, C.; Lentini, G.; Loiodice, F.; Tortorella, V.; Luca, A. D.; Pierno, S.; Camerino, D. C. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *30*, 147; (b) Collin, D. T.; Hartley, D.; Jack, D.; Lunts, L. H. C.; Press, J. C.; Ritchie, A. C.; Toon, P. J. Med. *Chem.* **1970**, *13*, 674.
- Jørgensen, S.; Nielsen, E. Ø.; Peters, D.; Dyhring, T. J. Neurosci. Methods 2008, 169, 168.