



BIOORGANIC & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY LETTERS

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 13 (2003) 4015-4017

Synthesis and Affinity of a Possible Byproduct of Electrophilic Radiolabeling of [¹²³I]IBZM

Ronald M. Baldwin,^a Xing Fu,^a Nora S. Kula,^b Ross J. Baldessarini,^b Louis Amici,^a Robert B. Innis^a and Gilles D. Tamagnan^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Psychiatry, Yale University and VA CT/HCS, West Haven, CT 06516, USA

^bDepartment of Psychiatry and Neuroscience Program, Harvard Medical School and Laboratories for Psychiatric Research, McLean Research Center, McLean Division of Massachusetts General Hospital, Belmont, MA 02478-9106, USA

Received 19 February 2003; revised 26 August 2003; accepted 27 August 2003

Abstract—The iodobenzamide neuroleptic analogue (*S*)-*N*-(1-ethylpyrrolidin-2-ylmethyl)-2-hydroxy-5-iodo-6-methoxybenzamide (5-IBZM) was synthesized stereospecifically and its pharmacological properties were compared with the 3-iodo isomer (IBZM) used for imaging D_2 receptors in vivo. The isomer 5-IBZM had 100-fold lower affinity than IBZM and migrated with similar retention time as the byproduct formed during electrophilic iodination of BZM. © 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The dopamine D_2 receptor is implicated in the pathogenesis of Parkinson's disease and in psychiatric disorders including schizophrenia and mania. Measurements of occupancy of this receptor in vivo help to better understand the pathophysiology and pharmacology or such disorders as well as to monitor illness progression and effects of treatment. One of the first and still most widely used radioligands for this receptor is (S)-N-(1-ethylpyrrolidin-2-ylmethyl)-2-hydroxy-3-iodo-6-methoxybenzamide (IBZM, 2) labeled with iodine-123 for in vivo studies.^{1,2}

Usually $[^{123}I]IBZM$ (2) is prepared by electrophilic iodination of the desiodophenol BZM (1) with $[^{123}I]NaI$ in the presence of peracetic acid.¹ This preparation led

to the synthesis of a major derivative (IBZM) plus a minor slightly more polar compound. BZM (1) has two active labeling sites, *ortho* and *para* to the phenol, so in addition to IBZM (2), there is the possibility of producing the byproduct (S)-N-(1-ethylpyrrolidin-2-ylme-thyl)-2-hydroxy-5-iodo-6-methoxybenzamide (3) during the radiolabeling reaction. This byproduct might represent a problem for in vivo imaging studies if it competes for the D₂ receptor or if its pharmacokinetics are not appropriate.

To identify this possible byproduct of radiosynthesis, we synthesized 5-iodo-BZM (3) by an unambiguous regiospecific method, tested its affinity, and compared it with the byproduct formed during electrophilic iodination of BZM.



*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-203-932-5711x3590; fax: +1-203-937-3897; e-mail: gilles.tamagnan@yale.edu

0960-894X/\$ - see front matter \odot 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2003.08.063



a. ICI, CCI₄, b. t-BuPh₂SiCI, CH₂CI₂, 76%, c. CH₃I, Acetone,K₂CO₃, 72%, d, Bu₄NF, THF, 95%. e.2M KOH, Dioxane, 74%, f. DCC, HOBt, 42%

The key step in obtaining **3** was the synthesis of 6hydroxy-3-iodo-2-methoxybenzoic acid (**9**). To achieve differentiation of the two phenolic groups, we used a bulky protecting group to avoid iodination of the phenyl ring at the *ortho* position. The adamantanyl carbonyl group, which was initially chosen for this purpose, did not lead to iodination *ortho* to the methoxy group. To achieve the desired differentiation of reactivity of the two phenols, we changed to *tert*-butyldiphenyl silyl ether.

The synthesis began with 2,6-dihydroxybenzoic acid methyl ester (4). Two iodination methods were applied to synthesize 2,6-dihydroxy-3-iodo-benzoic acid methyl ester (6). Iodine and HgO gave a mixture of 2.6-dihydroxy-3,5-diiodo-benzoic acid methyl ester (5) and 2,6dihydroxy-3-iodo-benzoic acid methyl ester (6) (ratio 40/60,³ then treatment of the mixture of 5/6 with *tert*butyldiphenylsilyl chloride provided 6-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-hydroxy-3-iodobenzoic acid methyl ester (7) in 5% yield (two steps) exclusively.⁴ Use of iodine monochloride as iodinating agent led to an increase in the ratio of 6/5 from 60/40 to 90/10, and the total yield of the first two steps increased from 5 to 26%. Although this overall yield is moderate, this route allowed us to obtain sufficient amount of intermediate. Compound 7, produced from 6, was treated with methyl iodide to afford 6-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-3iodo-2-methoxybenzoic acid methyl ester (8) in 72% yield.⁵ Deprotection of **8** with Bu₄NF gave 6-hydroxy-3-iodo-2-methoxy-benzoic acid methyl ester (9).⁶ The structure of 9 was confirmed by NOE experiment. Saponification of ester 9 led to 2-hydroxy-5-iodo-6methoxybenzoic acid (10).⁷ The desired product 3 was synthesized by coupling 10 and (S)-(1-ethyl-pyrrolidin-2-yl)-methylamine in the presence of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide and HOBt.

Table 1. Potency of iodinated-BZM derivatives compared to raclopride (mean $IC_{50}\pm SE$, nM) and reported vales for brominated analogues at D_1 and D_2 receptors in rat caudate-putamen tissue homogenates. Reported affinity¹ of 3-IBZM (2) $IC_{50}=1.2$ nM

Compound	Dopamine D ₂	Dopamine D ₁
3-IBZM (2) 5-IBZM (3) (-)-(<i>S</i>)-Raclopride 3-BrBZM ⁸ 5-BrBZM ⁸	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.71 \pm 0.35 \\ 196 \pm 18 \\ 7.2 \pm 1.3 \\ 21 \\ 56 \end{array} $	> 10,000 > 10,000 > 10,000

The retention time of **3** on reverse-phase HPLC (C_{18} , MeOH/H₂O/Et₃N: 75/25/0.1, 1.0 mL/min) was 5.9 min, which corresponded to that of the byproduct observed during electrophilic iodination of BZM. 5-IBZM was evaluated for potency (indicated by inhibition constant K_i) in competitive radioreceptor assays with tissue homogenates of rat forebrain, compared with common ligands IBZM and raclopride (Table 1). Preliminary comparison indicated that 5-IBZM (**3**) was about 100 times *less* potent than IBZM (**2**) at D₂; neither isomer showed appreciable affinity for D₁ receptor sites. The disparity in D₂ binding between the two iodo positional isomers is surprising since it has been reported that the bromo analogues (3-Br and 5-Br) present similar D₂ affinity.⁸

In summary, we accomplished regiospecific synthesis of (S) - N - (1 - ethyl - 2 - methyl - pyrrolidin - 2 - ylmethyl) - 2hydroxy-5-iodo-6-methoxybenzamide (3). Results of HPLC coinjection of 5-IBZM (3) with products of electrophilic substitution of BZM confirm that 5-IBZM (3) was the byproduct obtained during direct electrophilic iodination. Since 5-IBZM (3) had a 115-fold lower affinity for D₂ than IBZM (2), this compound should not interfere with quantification of D₂ receptor density with preparations of IBZM (3) if present in small amounts after purification of electrophilic iodination of BZM.

Experimental

2,6-Dihydroxy-3-iodo-benzoic acid methyl ester (6). To a solution of 200 mg (1.19 mmol) of 2,6-dihydroxybenzoic acid methyl ester in 3 mL of CCl₄ was added 213 mg (1.31 mmol) of ICl. The mixture was stirred at rt for 48 h, then purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with 80:20 hexane–Et₂O to give 120 mg (34% yield, 90% purity) of **6**. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.69 (d, 1H, J=8.8 Hz), 6.31 (d, 1H, J=8.8 Hz), 4.01 (s, 3H).

6-(*tert*-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-hydroxy-3-iodobenzoic acid methyl ester (7). To a solution of 115 mg of 2,6dihydroxy-3,5-diiodo-benzoic acid methyl ester and 2,6dihydroxy-3-iodo-benzoic acid methyl ester (10:90) in 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added 107 mg (0.391 mmol) of TBDPSiCl followed by 26 mg (0.391 mmol) imidazole. The mixture was stirred at rt for 5 h, then purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with 70:30 hexane– CH₂Cl₂ to give 160 mg (76% yield) of 7, mp 134–136 °C. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.79 (m, 4H), 7.23 (m, 6H), 7.04 (d, 1H, *J*=8.8 Hz), 5.92 (d, 1H, *J*=8.8 Hz), 3.48 (s, 3H), 1.15 (s, 9H).

6-(*tert*-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-3-iodo-2-methoxybenzoic acid methyl ester (8). To 350 mg (0.660 mmol) of 6-(*tert*butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-hydroxy-3-iodobenzoic acid methyl ester in 10 mL of acetone was added 187 mg (0.660 mmol) of MeI followed by 100 mg (0.726 mmol) KHCO₃. The mixture was heated to reflux for 24 h, then purified by flash chromatography with 60:40 hexane– CH₂Cl₂ to give 260 mg (72% yield) of **8**. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.84 (m, 4H), 7.24 (m, 6H), 7.18 (d, 1H, J=8.8 Hz), 6.06 (d, 1H, J=8.8 Hz), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 1.17 (s, 9H).

6-Hydroxy-3-iodo-2-methoxybenzoic acid methyl ester (9). To a solution of 260 mg (0.475 mmol) of 6-(*tert*-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-3-iodo-2-methoxybenzoic acid methyl ester in 5 mL of THF was added 0.48 mL (0.48 mmol) of 1.0 M TBAF solution in THF. The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h, and then purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. Elution with 90:10 hexane–Et₂O afforded 140 mg (95% yield) of 9 as an oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (d, 1H, *J*=8.8 Hz), 6.64 (d, 1H, *J*=8.8 Hz), 4.03 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H).

(S)-N-(1-ethylpyrrolidin-2-ylmethyl)-2-hydroxy-5-iodo-6-methoxybenzamide (3). To a solution of 44 mg (0.34 mmol) of (S)-(1-ethyl-pyrrolidin-2-yl)methylamine in 5 mL of CH_2Cl_2 under a nitrogen atmosphere was added 90 mg (0.31 mmol) of 6-hydroxy-3-iodo-2-methoxybenzoic acid followed by 75 mg (0.34 mmol) of DCC and 45 mg (0.33 mmol) of 1-hydroxy-benzotriazole hydrate. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h. Solids were removed by filtration, the solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel 50:50 hexane–Et₂O–5% Et₃N afforded 53 mg (42% yield) of **3**. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.69 (d, 1H, J=8.8 Hz), 6.61 (d, 1H, J=8.8 Hz), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.76 (qd, 1H), 3.34 (dq, 1H), 3,24 (m, 1H), 2.88 (m, 1H), 2.65 (m, 1H), 2.26 (m, 2H), 1.95 (m, 1H), 1.77–1.60 (m, 3H), 1.13 (t, 3H, J=7.2).

Binding assays

Radioreceptor competitive binding was measured as follows: for D₁, radioligand [³H]SCH-23390 (0.3 nM) in presence of Na⁺ (150 mM), using *cis*-flupenthixol (300 nM) to define blank; for D₂, radioligand [³H]nemona-pride (0.075 nM), using haloperidol (10 mM) to define blank.⁹

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. H. F. Kung for helpful discussions and Dr. Tomas de Paulis for providing (*S*)-(1-ethylpyrrolidin-2yl)methylamine. This research was supported by grants from the Department of Veterans Affairs (Schizophrenia Research Center and Mental Illness Research Education and Clinical Center), as well as a grant from the Bruce J. Anderson Foundation and the McLean Private Donors Neuropharmacology Research Fund (to R.J.B.).

References and Notes

1. Kung, H. F.; Kasliwal, R.; Pan, S.; Kung, M.-P.; Mach, R. H.; Guo, Y.-Z. J. Med. Chem. **1988**, *31*, 1039.

 Kung, M.-P.; Kung, H. F. J. Label. Compd. Radiopharm. 1989, 27, 691.

3. Eckelman, W. C.; Adams, H. R.; Paik, C. H. Int. J. Nucl. Med. Biol. 1984, 11, 163.

4. Kim, J. C.; Park, W. W. Org. Prep. Proceed. Int. 1994, 26, 479.

5. Mackenzie, A. R.; Moody, C. J.; Rees, C. W. *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 3259.

6. Smith, A. B.; Barbosa, J.; Wong, W.; Wood, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 8316.

7. Hecht, S. M.; Kozarich, J. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 14, 1397.

8. DePaulis, T.; Kumar, Y.; Johannson, L.; Ramsby, S.; Florvall, L.; Hall, H.; Angeby-Moller, K.; Ogren, S. *J. Med. Chem.* **1985**, *28*, 1263.

9. Kula, N. S.; Baldessarini, R. J.; Kebabian, J. W.; Bakthavachalam, V.; Xu, L. X. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **1997**, *331*, 333.