Accepted Manuscript

Sulfonium ylide formation and subsequent C—S bond cleavage of aromatic isopropyl sulfide catalyzed by hemin in aqueous solvent

Xiaojing Yan, Chang Li, Xiaofei Xu, Quan He, Xiaoyong Zhao, Yuanjiang Pan

PII: S0040-4020(19)30447-8

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2019.04.035

Reference: TET 30280

To appear in: Tetrahedron

Received Date: 12 February 2019

Revised Date: 9 April 2019

Accepted Date: 13 April 2019

Please cite this article as: Yan X, Li C, Xu X, He Q, Zhao X, Pan Y, Sulfonium ylide formation and subsequent C—S bond cleavage of aromatic isopropyl sulfide catalyzed by hemin in aqueous solvent, *Tetrahedron* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2019.04.035.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Graphical Abstract

To create your abstract, type over the instructions in the template box below. Fonts or abstract dimensions should not be changed or altered.

Sulfonium ylide formation and subsequent	Leave this area blank for abstract info.
C-S bond cleavage of aromatic isopropyl	
sulfide catalyzed by hemin in aqueous	
solvent	

solvent

Xiaojing Yan,^a Chang Li,^{b,} * Xiaofei Xu,^a Quan He,^a Xiaoyong Zhao^a and Yuanjiang Pan^{a,} * ^a.Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, Zhejiang. Peoples Republic of China. ^b.College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou 310053, Zhejiang, Peoples Republic of China.





Tetrahedron journal homepage: www.elsevier.com



Sulfonium ylide formation and subsequent C-S bond cleavage of aromatic isopropyl sulfide catalyzed by hemin in aqueous solvent

Xiaojing Yan^a, Chang Li^{b, *}, Xiaofei Xu^a, Quan He^a, Xiaoyong Zhao^a and Yuanjiang Pan^{a, *}

^a Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, Zhejiang. Peoples Republic of China. E-mail: panyuanjiang@zju.edu.cn; ^b College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou 310053, Zhejiang, Peoples Republic of China. E-mail: lichang@zju.edu.cn.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received Received in revised form Accepted Available online

Keywords: Hemin Sulfonium ylide C-S bond cleavage Aqueous catalytic system Diazo ester Late stage functionalization

ABSTRACT

Heme is an abundant and widely existed cofactor for a variety of metalloenzymes, whose broader use is generally impeded by its high instability and poor solubility. Here we report an environment-benign and efficient strategy for the sulfonium ylide formation and subsequent C-S bond cleavage of aromatic isopropyl sulfides, which was catalyzed by hemin in assistance of Triton X-100. This aqueous catalytic system exhibited good functional group tolerance to a variety of sulfides and diazo esters. And the reaction mechanism was preliminarily proposed on the basis of designed reactions. Furthermore, the cleavage of C-S bond followed by introducing a functional ester group to aromatic sulfides, may potentially be employed for the late stage functionalization (LSF) of organosulfur drug in the future.

2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The Late stage functionalization (LSF), which aims to generate ameliorative drug analogs without resorting to *de novo* synthesis, has received great attention lately.¹⁻⁵ However, molecules bearing C-S bonds are rarely applied in LSF, which is possibly due to the difficulty in breaking the C-S bonds and introducing another group subsequently. The C-S bond cleavage is crucial to synthetic chemistry and petroleum industry. Although C–S bond cleavage reactions mediated by third row transition metals have been reported⁶⁻⁸. Most applied C-S bond cleavage cannot be easily achieved, requiring expensive catalysts, harsh reaction conditions, and organic solvents as reported (Scheme 1a).⁹⁻¹⁵ Thus, it is of great importance to develop mild and green methods¹⁶ for the cleavage of C-S bond and subsequent formation of new C-S bond, which may also contribute to the LSF of organosulfur drug leads

On the other hand, a great endeavor has been devoted to the modification and application of porphyrin or metallo-porphyrin enzymes in the past decade. For instance, a series of heme-based engineered metalloproteins were developed for the catalysis with excellent conversion and selectivity by Arnold group¹⁷⁻²¹ and Fasan group²²⁻²⁵. Among them, the diazo compound-based reactions were intensively studied. Fasan group reported the myoglobin variant catalyzed S-H insertion of diazo esters with remarkable conversions (Scheme 1b).²² Hartwig prepared modified myoglobins by replacing the iron in Fe-porphyrin IX (Fe-PIX) proteins with abiological, noble metals to catalyze the

functionalization of C–H bonds.²⁶ Very recently, Che *et. al.* reported several cobalt (II) porphyrins catalyzed intramolecular cyclopropanation/ring opening cascade reaction, intramolecular Buchner reaction and arene cyclopropanation, affording corresponding nitrogen-containing polycyclic products in good yields.²⁷⁻²⁹ Simple iron-porphyrin based catalysts and the reaction with diazoalkanes have also been achieved by Carreira³⁰ and other groups.^{31, 32} However, as the most easily available form of porphyrin, only few catalytic reactions employing hemin itself as catalyst have been reported,^{33, 34} in some degree owing to its high instability and poor solubility in both organic and aqueous solvent.³⁵⁻³⁷ In recent years, our group have developed some green catalytic strategies^{38, 39} based on hemin for transformation of diazo esters, especially for the formation of sulfonium ylide.⁴⁰⁻⁴⁵ For instance, [2, 3]-sigmatropic rearrangements (Scheme 1c)⁴⁶ and Sommelet-Hauser rearrangements³⁹ were successfully carried out with hemin as the catalyst in aqueous system. As our continuous interest in green catalysis of hemin and sulfonium

ylide formation, herein, a concise and efficient method was developed for the sulfonium ylide formation and subsequent C-S bond cleavage of aromatic isopropyl sulfide (Scheme 1d). This reaction was catalyzed by hemin and conducted in water with assistance of a surfactant Triton X-100.



Scheme 1 Strategies involving C-S bond transformation

2. Results and discussion

Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions^a

	∫s +	N ₂ _COOEt	hemin 3 mL H ₂ O 40 °C	→ C ^S	COOEt	
1a	1a 2a			3a		
Entry	2a /1a	Catalyst (mol %)	Time (d)	Additive (mol %)	Yield ^b (%)	
1	1.2	hemin (10)	5	/	8	
2	1.2	hemin (10)	5	β-CD (20)	17	
3	1.2	hemin (10)	5	SDBS (20)	42	
4	1.2	hemin (10)	5	SDS (20)	58	
5	1.2	hemin (10)	5	Triton X-100 (20)	89	
6	1.2	hemin (1)	5	Triton X-100(20)	70	
7	1.2	hemin (5)	5	Triton X-100(20)	96	
8	1.2	hemin (5)	2	Triton X-100 (20)	57	
9	1.2	hemin (5)	3	Triton X-100 (20)	80	
10	1.2	hemin (5)	4	Triton X-100 (20)	98	
11	0.5	hemin (5)	4	Triton X-100 (20)	33	
12	1.0	hemin (5)	4	Triton X-100 (20)	80	
13	2.0	hemin (5)	4	Triton X-100 (20)	98	
14	1.2	hemin (5)	4	Triton X-100 (5)	36	
15	1.2	hemin (5)	4	Triton X-100 (10)	71	
16	1.2	hemin (5)	4	Triton X-100 (30)	98	
17	1.2	Cu(II)protoporp hyrinIX(5)	4	Triton X-100 (20)	0	
18	1.2	$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O(5)$	4	Triton X-100 (20)	0	

^aReactions were carried out with 3 mL of H_2O at 40 °C in a thermo shaker. ^bYields were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixtures.

In the initial study, 0.5 mmol phenyl isopropyl sulfide (1a) and 0.6 mmol ethyl diazo acetate (EDA, 2a) were employed as substrates to optimize the reaction conditions (Table 1). Upon treatment of 1a and 2a in 3 mL water at 40 °C for 5 days with 10 mol% hemin as the catalyst, the desired product, ethyl 2-(phenylthio) acetate (3a), was observed in 8% yield, which was determined by ¹H NMR (Table 1, entry 1). Inspired by our previous studies³⁸, 20 mol% β-cyclodextrin (β-CD) was added to the catalytic system. However, the yield of 3a was only slightly raised to 17% (Table 1, entry 2). Several commercially available surfactants were screened for their ability in improvement of the catalytic effect in this reaction (Table 1, entries 3-5). Among them, Triton X-100 showed superior capacity in comparation

with Sodium T laurylsulfonate (SDS) and sodium dodecylbenzenesulfate (SDBS), with the yield of 3a reached as high as 89%. This result is in accordance with the reported works that Triton X-100 can inhibit the aggregation of hemin in aqueous solution⁴⁷ and further improve its catalytic capacity.⁴⁸ In addition, one similar result that appropriate amount of Triton X-100 obviously increase conversation was obtained in one of our previous studies.⁴⁶ Thus, Triton X-100 was chosen as the additive in this reaction. Several other reaction parameters were optimized, including the amount of hemin (Table 1, entries5-7), reaction time (Table 1, entries 7-10), molar ratio of 2a/1a (Table 1, entries 10-13) and the amount of Triton X-100 (Table 1, entries 13-16). Finally, the optimized reaction condition was as follows, 5 mol% hemin and 20 mol% Triton X-100 shaken at 40 °C for 4 days (Table 1, entry 10). Considering the cleavage of C-S bond cannot be easily achieved in transition metal catalyzed reactions conducted in organic solvent at high temperature ⁹⁻¹⁵, it is worth mentioning that the reaction time is acceptable for diazo compound involved reactions conducted in aqueous solvent at low temperature (40 °C) compared with previous studies.^{38, 46, 49,} ⁵⁰ The optimized reaction yield was 98%. No desired product was observed when Cu (II) protoporphyrin IX (Table 1, entry 17) or iron salt FeCl₃ • 6H₂O (Table 1, entry 18) was used as catalyst in the reaction. This indicated that both porphyrin structure and iron center were crucial for the reaction. Moreover, control experiments showed that the reaction didn't proceed well in the absence of hemin or Triton X-100 (Table S1, entries 1-5). During the whole course of the experiments, only tiny amounts of the dimerization of EDA were observed in the context of excess EDA, which was added without drop wise.

Using the optimized reaction conditions, a variety of substituted isopropyl sulfides were employed in the hemincatalyzed sulfonium ylide formation and subsequent C-S bond cleavage reaction with EDA. The results are summarized in Table 2. It is demonstrated that the catalytic system has good functional group tolerance to halogenated phenylisopropylsulfides (3b-3g), as well as trifluoromethyl(3h), ester (3i-3j), methoxyl(3k-3l) and alkylphenylisopropylsulfides (3m-3p). In most cases, the para-, ortho- and meta-chlorophenyl isopropyl sulfides performed well, furnishing the corresponding phenylthioacetate in comparable yields (49%-58%) with no obvious position effects, while 3f is more popular for the reaction. In general, both electron-withdrawing groups (3b-3j) and electron-donating groups (3k-3p) on the aryl ring of the initial thioether substrates were well tolerated, even though the substitution in the substrate could bring down the yield. In addition, 2-(isopropylthio) naphthalene (3q) was readily converted to the corresponding thioacetate in moderate yield (43%). What's more, substrates containing N- or S- heterocycles such as 2-(isopropylthio)pyridine (3r), 2-(isopropylthio)-6methylpyridine(3s) and 2-(isopropylthio)thiophene (3t), which are poisonous to rhodium or other metal-based catalysts in most organic reactions,⁵¹ could also be converted to the corresponding products in good yields(60%-68%). Subsequently, gram scale synthesis of 3a and 3p were realized.

As an extension of this catalytic system, several reactants with tertiary carbon involved alkyl groups as R^2 groups were designed and their reactivities were investigated under the optimized conditions (Table 3). The results showed that 3-pentylphenylsulfide (1u), cyclohexylphenylsulfide (1v) and cyclopentylphenyl sulphide (1w) all generate product 3a. However, the yields were all not as good as 1a, which might due to the steric hinderance of the formed sulfonium ylide.

Some other thioethers were also investigated. The results are MANUSCRIPT

also shown in Table 3, no desired products were observed when methylphenyl sulfide (1x), ethyl (1y), vinyl (1z), *tert*-butyl (1aa) and halomethyl (1ad-1ae) phenyl sulfides were employed as substrates. The similar result was observed for phenylethanethioate (1ah). Meanwhile, diphenylsulfide (1ab) and diphenylmethyl phenyl sulfide (1ac) were also found to be incompatible, which may due to the large steric hindrance. Considering no desired reactions for (1af) and (1ag), we supposed that the aliphatic thioethers might be inapplicable to this catalytic system.

Table 2 Investigation of diverse substituted sulfides^{a, b}



^aReactions were carried out with 3 mL of H_2O at 40 $^{\circ}C$ for 4 d in a thermo shaker; ^bYields were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixtures. Values in the parentheses are isolated yields and products obtained.

Furthermore, the reaction scope of α -diazo esters was investigated. As shown in Table 4, *tert*-butyl (**2u**), benzyl (**2v**), cyclohexyl (**2w**), cyclopentyl (**2x**), and adamantine-2-yl (**2y**) diazoacetate can react smoothly with sulfide, leading to the corresponding products in moderate to good yields (45%-80%). No reaction was detected when **2z** was employed, indicating that α -substitutions on the carbenoid moiety may be not tolerated. Several other diazo esters which are not compatible in the reaction system are listed in the supporting information (Table S2, **2b-2e**)

Table 3 Investigation of diverse substituted sulfides^{a,b}



^aReactions were carried out with 3 mL of H_2O at 40 $^{\circ}C$ for 4 d in a thermo shaker; ^bYields were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixtures. Values in the parentheses are isolated yields.

Table 4 Investigation of various diazo esters ^a

S Ia	+ $R^3 \downarrow C_{0} R^4$ N ₂	hemin (5 mol%) Triton X-100 (20 mol%) 3 mL H ₂ O 40 °C, 4 d	O S↓↓O [−] R ⁴ R ³ 3u-3z
Entry	Diazo ester	Product	Yield ^b (%)
1	$R^3 = H, R^4 = tBu$	s, lok	77 (58)
	2u	3u	
2	$R^3 = H, R^4 = Bn$	C ^s ,	45 (33)
	2 v	3v	
3	$R^3 = H, R^4 = C_6 H_{11}$	S C	61 (50)
	$2\mathbf{w}$	3w	
4	$R^3 = H, R^4 = C_5 H_9$	S-lot	53 (42)
	2x	3x	
5	$R^3 = H, R^4 = C_{10}H_{15}$		80 (64)
	2y	3у	
4	$R^3 = Bn, R^4 = Et$	/	0
	2-		

^aReactions were carried out with 3 mL of H_2O at 40 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 d in a thermo shaker; ^bYields were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixtures. Values in the parentheses are isolated yields.

Even though some of the α -arylthio carbonyl compounds could be obtained from nucleophilic substitution of α -bromoacetates with thiols and other ways, However, most of those methods must be conducted either in organic solvent with harsh condition⁵²⁻⁵⁵, or with expensive transition metal catalysts.⁵⁶ What's more, the present work consists of two parts, cleavage of non-activated C-S bond and synthesis of α -arylthic carbonyl compounds, which is different from Fasan's work(scheme 1b) and other work that only provide access to α -arylthic carbonyl compounds.⁵⁷ To our best knowledge, there is no aqueous C-S bond cleavage reaction reported yet (for reference 8, CHCl₃ is added).

In order to dug deeper into the mechanism of the reaction, (2, 3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl) (phenyl) sulfide (**1ai**) was designed to react with EDA under the standard reaction conditions. As shown in Scheme 2, the compound 1-indanol (**4a**) instead of 1-indone⁵⁸ was confirmed as the main byproduct with **3a**, **4a** in 46% and 33% yield respectively. It could be inferred that the cleaved fragment of aromatic isopropyl sulfide was further attacked by water to form the alcohol product, which is isopropanol in most cases aforementioned.



Scheme 2 Reaction between (2, 3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl) (phenyl) sulfide (1ai) and EDA

Based on the reported literatures and the observation of hydrolysed product **4a**, the proposed mechanism is depicted in Scheme 3. Initially, hemin reacts with the diazo ester (**1**) to generate hemin carbene intermediate (**2**),^{59, 60} which has electrophilic character and can react with sulfide, giving rise to sulfonium ylide (**3**). ^{43, 46, 61} Then, the desired product from the cleavage of C-S bond and subsequent formation of new C-S bond is formed. At the same time, the attack of H₂O to sulfonium ylide led to the formation of isopropanol as byproduct.



Scheme 3 The proposed reaction mechanism

3. Conclusions

In summary, we developed an aqueous hemin-catalyzed reaction between diazo esters and aromatic isopropyl sulfides, which involved the sulfonium ylide formation and subsequent C-S bond cleavage. With the assistance of Triton X-100, the reaction is efficient under mild and eco-friendly conditions. The wide substrates scope and concise reaction conditions may contribute to a biocompatible green catalytic system for the LSF of organosulfur drug leads in the future. This study may also expand the range of carbene-mediated transformations via heme-based catalysts.

4. Experimental Section4.1. General information

All reagents were purchased at the highest commercial quality and used without further purification. NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz and 100 MHz with Bruker ARX-400 instrument or 600MHz and 150 MHz with Bruker Avance DMX-500 instrument. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Shimadzu LC/MS IT-TOF and a Waters Micromass GCT instrument. All reactions were monitored by TCL with silica gel-coated plates. For chromatography, 100-200 mesh silica gel (Qingdao, China) was employed.

4.2. General procedures

Sulfide (0.5 mmol) was added into 3 mL of aqueous solution of 25.0 µmol hemin and 0.1 mmol Triton X-100, followed by adding 0.6 mmol diazo ester in one portion. The reaction vial was then placed in a constant temperature shaker and left to shake at 220 rpm under 40 °C. After 4 days, the mixture was extracted twice with EtOAc (2 mL×2). The organic layer was further washed with brine and then dried with Na_2SO_4 . Then the organic solvent was removed in vacuum and hemin was removed by a short silica gel column, and eluted by petroleum ether to give the corresponding product. For gram scale synthesis **1a** and **1p** were employed with 5 mmol, 6.25 mmol respectively.

4.3. Characterization of products

4.3.1. Ethy 2-(phenylthio) acetate (3a)

Colorless oil; 873 mg; 89% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44-7.38 (m, 2H), 7.34-7.27 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.25-7.20 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.64 (s, 2H), 1.22 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.9, 135.1, 130.1, 129.2, 127.1, 61.7, 36.9, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+H)⁺ (C₁₀H₁₃O₂S)⁺: 197.0631, found: 197.0629.

4.3.2. Ethyl 2-(4-chlorophenylthio) acetate (3b)

Colorless oil; 48.4 mg; 42% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.13 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.58 (s, 2H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.4, 133.5, 133.1, 131.4, 129.1, 61.6, 36.8, 14.1. HRMS (EI) calcd. for (M)⁺ (C₁₀H₁₁ClO₂S)⁺: 230.0168, found: 230.0170.

4.3.3. Ethyl 2-(3-chlorophenylthio) acetate (3c)

Colorless oil; 57.6 mg; 50% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.43-7.40 (m, 1H), 7.32-7.28 (m, 1H), 7.27-7.19 (m, 2H), 4.21 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (s, 2H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.4, 137.3, 134.8, 130.1, 129.2, 127.6, 127.0, 61.8, 36.3, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+Na)⁺ (C₁₀H₁₁ClO₂SNa)⁺: 253.0060, found: 253.0044.

4.3.4. Ethyl 2-(2-chlorophenylthio) acetate (3d)

Colorless oil; 50.8 mg; 44% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42-7.34 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.18 (m, 1H), 7.17-7.12 (m, 1H), 4.16 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (s, 2H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.3, 134.3, 129.9, 127.8, 127.4, 61.8, 35.3, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+H)⁺ (C₁₀H₁₂ClO₂S)⁺: 231.0241, found: 231.0230.

4.3.5. Ethyl 2-(4-fluorophenylthio) acetate (3e)

Colorless oil; 46.0 mg; 43% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.30-7.21 (m, 1H), 7.19-7.07 (m, 2H), 6.91 (td, J = 8.4, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.65 (s, 2H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.4, 164.1, 161.6,

137.6, 130.4, 124.8, 116.3, 116.0, 113.9, 113.7, 61.8, 36.2, 14.2. M /4.3.13. Ethyl 2-[(2, 4-dimethyl) phenylthio] acetate (3m) HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $(M+H)^+$ ($C_{10}H_{12}FO_2S$)⁺: 215.0537, found: 215.0545. Colorless oil; 56.0 mg; 50% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz

4.3.6. Ethyl 2-(3-fluorophenylthio) acetate (3f)

Colorless oil; 90.0 mg; 84% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.29-7.24 (m, 1H), 7.19-7.08 (m, 2H), 6.95-6.87 (m, 1H), 4.19 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.66 (s, 2H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.5, 130.5, 124.9, 116.3, 116.1, 113.9, 113.7, 61.9, 36.3, 14.2. HRMS (EI) calcd. for (M)⁺ (C₁₀H₁₁FO₂S)⁺: 214.0464, found: 214.0465.

4.3.7. Ethyl 2-(4-bromophenylthio) acetate (3g)

Colorless oil; 86.6 mg; 63%yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.30-7.23 (m, 2H), 4.16 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.61 (s, 2H), 1.23 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.6, 134.3, 132.2, 131.7, 121.2, 61.8, 36.8, 14.2 .HRMS (EI) calcd. for (M)⁺ (C₁₀H₁₁BrO₂S)⁺: 273.9663, found: 273.9656.

4.3.8. Ethyl 2-(4-Trifluoromethylphenylthio) acetate (3h)

Colorless oil; 55.6 mg; 42%yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.53 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.19 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.70 (s, 2H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.3, 140.3, 128.2, 125.9, 62.0, 35.4, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+Na)⁺ (C₁₁H₁₁F₃O₂SNa)⁺: 287.0324, found: 287.0315.

4.3.9. 4-(Ethoxycarbonylmethylsulfanyl) benzoic acid ethyl ester (**3i**)

Colorless oil; 89.8 mg; 67% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.98 – 7.91 (m, 2H), 7.39 – 7.33 (m, 2H), 4.35 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.19 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (s, 2H), 1.38 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 169.26, 166.27, 141.98, 130.17, 128.22, 127.29, 62.00, 61.13, 35.23, 14.46, 14.23. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M-H)⁻ (C₁₃H₁₅O₄S)⁻: 267.0697, found: 267.0705.

4.3.10. Isopropyl 4-((2-ethoxy-2-oxoethyl) thio) benzoate (3j)

Colorless oil; 98.8 mg; 70%yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.23 (hept, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (s, 2H), 1.35 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 6H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.26, 165.74, 141.77, 130.15, 128.68, 127.33, 68.55, 61.98, 35.29, 22.07, 14.23. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M-H)⁻ (C₁₄H₁₇O₄S): 281.0853, found: 281.0892.

4.3.11. Ethyl 2-(4-methoxyphenylthio) acetate (3k)

Colorless oil; 31.6 mg; 28% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (t, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 6.94-6.82 (m, 2H), 4.12 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.61 (s, 2H), 1.18 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.9, 158.0, 131.7, 128.8, 121.1, 110.7, 61.4, 55.8, 35.1, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+H)⁺ (C₁₁H₁₅O₃S)⁺: 227.0736, found: 227.0726.

4.3.12. Ethyl 2-(3-methoxyphenylthio) acetate (31)

Colorless oil; 39.6 mg; 35% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.19 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.76-6.70 (m, 1H), 4.16 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.63 (s, 2H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.7, 159.9, 136.4, 129.9, 121.7, 114.9, 112.7, 61.6, 55.3, 36.5, 14.1. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+H)⁺ (C₁₁H₁₅O₃S)⁺: 227.0736, found: 227.0723.

Colorless oil; 56.0 mg; 50% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.29 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (s, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.55 (s, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.9, 139.1, 137.4, 131.2, 130.3, 127.4, 61.5, 36.7, 21.0, 20.5, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+H)⁺ (C₁₂H₁₇O₂S)⁺: 225.0944, found: 225.0939.

4.3.14. Ethyl 2-[4-(1-methylethyl) phenylthio] acetate (3n)

Colorless oil; 46.2 mg; 39% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.38-7.33 (m, 2H), 7.19-7.13 (m, 2H), 4.15 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.59 (s, 2H), 2.88 (hept, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.25-1.19 (m, 9H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.0, 148.3, 131.6, 131.0, 127.3, 61.5, 37.4, 33.8, 24.0, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+H)⁺ (C₁₃H₁₉O₂S)⁺: 239.1100, found: 239.1088.

4.3.15. Ethyl 2-[4-(1, 1-dimethylethyl) phenylthio] acetate (30)

Colorless oil; 51.8 mg; 41%yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39-7.30 (m, 4H), 4.16 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.60 (s, 2H), 1.30 (s, 9H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.9, 150.5, 131.4, 130.5, 126.2, 61.5, 37.2, 34.6, 31.3, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+H)⁺ (C₁₄H₂₁O₂S)⁺: 253.1257, found: 253.1246.

4.3.16. Ethyl 2-(4-ethylphenylthio) acetate (**3***p*)

Colorless oil; 953 mg; 68% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.38-7.33 (m, 2H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.15 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.58 (s, 2H), 2.61 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.9, 143.7, 131.5, 131.0, 128.7, 61.5, 37.4, 28.5, 15.5, 14.1. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+H)⁺ (C₁₂H₁₇O₂S)⁺: 225.0944, found: 225.0936.

4,3.17. Ethyl 2-(2-naphthalenylthio) acetate (3q)

Yellow oil; 48.0 mg; 39% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.81-7.75 (m, 3H), 7.53-7.42 (m, 3H), 4.18 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.75 (s, 2H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.7, 133.7, 132.5, 132.1, 128.6, 128.1, 127.6, 127.3, 126.6, 126.1, 61.6, 36.6, 14.1. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+H)⁺ (C₁₄H₁₅O₂S)⁺: 247.0787, found: 247.0767.

4.3.18. Ethyl 2-(2-pyridinylthio) acetate (3r)

Colorless oil; 51.2 mg; 52% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.37 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (td, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.00-6.96 (m, 1H), 4.18 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.96 (s, 2H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.8, 156.9, 149.4, 136.2, 122.1, 119.9, 61.6, 32.4, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+H)⁺ (C₉H₁₂NO₂S)⁺: 198.0583, found: 198.0576.

4.3.19. Ethyl 2-((6-methylpyridin-2-yl) thio) acetate (3s)

Yellow oil; 61.2 mg; 58% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.36 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.93 (s, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.06, 158.42, 155.94, 136.45, 119.23, 118.88, 61.52, 32.63, 24.36, 14.28.HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+H)⁺ (C₁₀H₁₄NO₂S)⁺: 212.0745, found: 212.0746.

4.3.20. Ethyl 2-(2-thienylthio) acetate (3t)

Colorless oil; 62.8 mg; 62% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39 (dd, J = 5.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.22-7.21 (m, 1H), 6.99-6.97 (m, 1H), 4.17 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.49 (s, 2H), 1.24 (t, J =

7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) & 169.5, 135.3, M Supplementary data to this article can be found. 130.7, 127.7, 61.7, 41.1, 14.3. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+H)⁺ (C₈H₁₁O₂S₂)⁺: 203.0195, found: 203.0203. **References**

4.3.21. Tert-Butyl (phenylthio) acetate (3u)

Colorless oil; 65.0 mg; 58% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.32-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.19 (m, 1H), 3.56 (s, 2H), 1.40 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.9, 135.4, 130.0, 129.0, 126.9, 82.0, 37.9, 28.1. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+Na)⁺ (C₁₂H₁₆O₂SNa)⁺: 247.0763, found: 247.0747.

4.3.22. Benzyl phenylthioacetate (3v)

Colorless oil; 55.6 mg; 33% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32-7.22 (m, 5H), 7.22-7.10 (m, 5H), 5.05 (s, 2H), 3.59 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.7, 135.5, 134.9, 130.2, 129.2, 128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 127.2, 67.3, 36.8. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for (M+H)⁺ (C₁₅H₁₅O₂S)⁺: 259.0787, found: 259.0781.

4.3.23. Cyclohexyl phenylthioacetate (**3**w)

Colorless oil; 62.6 mg; 50%yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47-7.37 (m, 2H), 7.33-7.26 (m, 2H), 7.24-7.18 (m, 1H), 4.82-4.72 (m, 1H), 3.62 (s, 2H), 1.82-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.70-1.66 (m, 2H), 1.54-1.48 (m, 1H), 1.42-1.34 (m, 3H), 1.31-1.30 (m, 1H), 1.27-1.19 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.3, 130.0, 129.1, 127.0, 74.1, 37.1, 31.5, 25.4, 23.7. HRMS (EI) calcd. for (M)⁺ (C₁₄H₁₈O₂S)⁺: 250.1028, found: 250.1029.

4.3.24. Cyclopentyl phenylthioacetate (3x)

Colorless oil; 49.6 mg; 42% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42-7.38(m, 2H), 7.25-7.19 (m, 2H), 7.33-7.26 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.19 (m, 2H), 5.20-5.12 (m, 1H), 3.60 (s, 2H), 1.86-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.69-1.49 (m, 6H).¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.6, 135.2, 130.0, 129.1, 127.0, 78.5, 36.9, 32.6, 23.7. HRMS (EI) calcd. for (M)⁺ (C₁₃H₁₆O₂S)⁺: 236.0871, found: 236.0872.

4.3.25. Adamantan-2-yl phenylthioacetate (3y)

Colorless oil; 96.8 mg; 64%yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44-7.38 (m, 2H), 7.32-7.26 (m, 2H), 7.24-7.17 (m, 1H), 4.96-4.92 (m, 1H), 3.67 (s, 2H), 1.97-1.86 (m, 4H), 1.85-1.67 (m, 8H), 1.49 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.3, 135.3, 129.7, 129.1, 126.9, 78.6, 37.4, 37.0, 36.4, 31. 8, 27.2, 27.0. HRMS (EI) calcd. for (M)⁺ (C₁₈H₂₂O₂S)⁺: 302.1340, found: 302.1341.

4.3.26. 1-indanol (4a)

Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.43-7.38 (m, 1H), 7.26-7.21 (m, 3H), 5.25-5.20 (m, 1H), 3.09-2.99 (m, 1H), 2.88-2.76 (m, 1H), 2.53-2.42 (m, 1H), 1.99-1.85 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.1, 143.4, 128.4, 126.8, 125.0, 124.3, 76.5, 36.0, 29.9.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgments

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21532005, 21502168) and the National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFF0200503) are gratefully acknowledged.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

- T. Cernak, K. D. Dykstra, S. Tyagarajan, P. Vachal and S. W. Krska, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, 45, 546-576.
- 2 E. Lee, A. S. Kamlet, D. C. Powers, C. N. Neumann, G. B. Boursalian, T. Furuya, D. C. Choi, J. M. Hooker and T. Ritter, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 639-642.
- 3 Y. F. Liang, R. Steinbock, A. Munch, D. Stalke and L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 5384-5388.
- 4 T. Kang, Y. Kim, D. Lee, Z. Wang and S. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, **136**, 4141-4144.
- 5 N. Neumann Constanze and T. Ritter, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 3216-3221.
- 6 V. P. Mehta, S. G. Modha and E. Van der Eycken, J. Org. Chem., 2009, **74**, 6870-6873.
- 7 K. Kunchithapatham, C. C. Eichman and J. P. Stambuli, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 12679-12681.
- 8 C. Empel, K. J. Hock and R. M. Koenigs, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, 55, 338-341.
- 9 B. Du, W. Wang, Y. Wang, Z. Qi, J. Tian, J. Zhou, X. Wang, J. Han, J. Ma and Y. Pan, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2018, **13**, 404-408.
- 10 P. Ghosh, B. Ganguly, E. Perl and S. Das, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2017, 58, 2751-2756.
- 11 A. G. Majouga, E. K. Beloglazkina, A. A. Moiseeva, O. V. Shilova, E. A. Manzheliy, M. A. Lebedeva, E. S. Davies, A. N. Khlobystov and N. V. Zyk, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 6290-6293.
- 12 N. Nordin, A. Ariffin, M. N. Daud and Y.-L. Sim, *Tetrahedron*, 2016, **72**, 883-890.
- 13 A. N. Desnoyer and J. A. Love, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 197-238.
- 14 L. Wang, W. He and Z. Yu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 599-621.
- 15 X.-Y. Guan, Z.-Q. Liu, H.-X. Huang, L.-P. Yang, L. Jian and W.-H. Hu, Synlett, 2009, 2009, 2183-2187.
- 16 R. A. Sheldon, Green Chem., 2016, 18, 3180-3183.
- 17 S. B. J. Kan, R. D. Lewis, K. Chen and F. H. Arnold, Science, 2016, 354, 1048-1051.
- 18 K. E. Hernandez, H. Renata, R. D. Lewis, S. B. J. Kan, C. Zhang, J. Forte, D. Rozzell and J. A. McIntosh, F. H. Arnold, ACS Cata., 2016, 6, 7810-7813.
- 19 C. K. Prier, R. K. Zhang, A. R. Buller, S. Brinkmann-Chen and F. H. Arnold, *Nature Chem.*, 2017, 9, 629-634.
- 20 K. Chen, X. Huang, S. B. J. Kan, R. K. Zhang and F. H. Arnold, *Science*, 2018, 360, 71-75.
- 21 A. M. Knight, S. B. J. Kan, R. D. Lewis, O. F. Brandenberg, K. Chen and F. H. Arnold, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2018, **4**, 372-377.
- 22 V. Tyagi, R. B. Bonn and R. Fasan, Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 2488-2494.
- 23 V. Tyagi, G. Sreenilayam, P. Bajaj, A. Tinoco and R. Fasan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 13562-13566.
- 24 G. Sreenilayam, E. J. Moore, V. Steck and R. Fasan, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 7629-7633.
- 25 A. Vargas David, A. Tinoco, V. Tyagi and R. Fasan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 9911-9915.
- 26 H. M. Key, P. Dydio, D. S. Clark and J. F. Hartwig, *Nature*, 2016, 534, 534-537.
- 27 F. Hao, A. R. Reddy, C.-Y. Zhou and C.-M. Che, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2018, 360, 1433-1438.
- 28 H. Wang, C.-Y. Zhou and C.-M. Che, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2017, 359, 2253-2258.
- 29 R. A. Rajasekar, F. Hao, K. Wu, C.-Y. Zhou and C.-M. Che, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 1810-1815.
- 30 B. Morandi and E. M. Carreira, Science, 2012, 335, 1471-1474.
- 31 T. Douglas, A. Pordea and J. Dowden, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 6396-6399.
- 32 K. J. Hock, L. Mertens, R. Hommelsheim, R. Spitzner and R. M. Koenigs, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 6577-6580.
- 33 L. K. Baumann, H. M. Mbuvi, G. Du and L. K. Woo, Organometallics, 2007, 26, 3995-4002.
- 34 C. Joshi, P. Kumar, B. Behera, A. Barras, S. Szunerits, R. Boukherroub and S. L. Jain, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 100011-100017.
- 35 C. K. Chang and M.-S. Kuo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 3413-3415.
- 36 R. D. Arasasingham, A. L. Balch, C. R. Cornman and L. Latos-Grazynski, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 4357-4363.
- 37 T. C. Bruice, Acc. Chem. Res., 1991, 24, 243-249.
- 38 X. Xu, C. Li, Z. Tao and Y. Pan, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2015, 357, 3341– 3345.

- 39 X. Xu, C. Li, M. Xiong, Z. Tao and Y. Pan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, MANUSCRIPT 53, 6219-6222.
- 40 O. Zhurakovskyi, Y. E. Turkmen, L. E. Loffler, V. A. Moorthie, C. C. Chen, M. A. Shaw, M. R. Crimmin, M. Ferrara, M. Ahmad, M. Ostovar, J. V. Matlock and V. K. Aggarwal, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 1346-1350.
- 41 Z. Zhang, Z. Sheng, W. Yu, G. Wu, R. Zhang, W.-D. Chu, Y. Zhang and J. Wang, *Nature Chem.*, 2017, **9**, 970-976.
- 42 O. Illa, M. Namutebi, C. Saha, M. Ostovar, C. C. Chen, M. F. Haddow, S. Nocquet-Thibault, M. Lusi, E. M. McGarrigle and V. K. Aggarwal, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 11951-11966.
- 43 E. J. Corey and M. Chaykovsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 1353-1364.
- 44 M. Ma, L. Peng, C. Li, X. Zhang and J. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 15016-15017.
- 45 O. Illa, M. Arshad, A. Ros, E. M. McGarrigle and V. K. Aggarwal, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 1828-1830.
- 46 X. Xu, C. Li, Z. Tao and Y. Pan, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 1245-1249.
- 47 W. Liu and R. Guo, *Photochem. Photobio.*, 2010, **86**, 835-843.
- 48 P. Khandelwal, P. Vyas, D. K. Yadav, N. Koolwal and P. Singh, Synth. Commun., 2017, 47, 688-694.
- 49 Z. Zhang, Z. Sheng, W. Yu, G. Wu, R. Zhang, W.-D. Chu, Y. Zhang and J. Wang, *Nature Chem.*, 2017, 9, 970-976.
- 50 H. Li, Y. Zhao, L. Ma, M. Ma, J. Jiang and X. Wan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, 53, 5993-5996.
- 51 P. Bajaj, G. Sreenilayam, V. Tyagi and R. Fasan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 16110-16114.
- 52 M. S. Holzwarth, I. Alt and B. Plietker, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 5351-5354.
- 53 Y. Kuninobu, M. Nishi and K. Takai, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 8860-8862.
- 54 L.-H. Zou, D. L. Priebbenow, L. Wang, J. Mottweiler and C. Bolm, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2013, **355**, 2558-2563.
- 55 Y.-H. He, N.-B. Li, J.-Y. Chen, R.-H. Qiu, X. Wang and X.-H. Xu, *Synth. Commun.*, 2015, **45**, 1817-1822.
- 56 T. O. Dairo and L. K. Woo, Organometallics, 2017, 36, 927-934.
- 57 B. C. Ranu and R. Jana, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2005, 347, 1811-1818.
- 58 A. Barbieri, R. De Carlo Chimienti, T. Del Giacco, S. Di Stefano, O. Lanzalunga, A. Lapi, M. Mazzonna, G. Olivo and M. Salamone, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 2513-2520.
- 59 H. Renata, R. D. Lewis, M. J. Sweredoski, A. Moradian, S. Hess, Z. J. Wang and F. H. Arnold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 12527-12533.
- 60 Y. Li, J.-S. Huang, Z.-Y. Zhou, C.-M. Che and X.-Z. You, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, **124**, 13185-13193.
- 61 Melvin, S. Lawrence, *Sulfur ylides: emerging synthetic intermediates*, Academic Press, 1975.