# Accepted Manuscript

Unique fluorescence of boronic acid derived salicylidenehydrazone complexes with two perpendicular ICT: Solvent effect on PET process

Boyu Zhang, Shichao Wang, Jingyun Tan, Xuanjun Zhang

PII: S0143-7208(18)30327-9

DOI: 10.1016/j.dyepig.2018.03.046

Reference: DYPI 6631

To appear in: Dyes and Pigments

Received Date: 10 February 2018

Revised Date: 14 March 2018

Accepted Date: 23 March 2018

Please cite this article as: Zhang B, Wang S, Tan J, Zhang X, Unique fluorescence of boronic acid derived salicylidenehydrazone complexes with two perpendicular ICT: Solvent effect on PET process, *Dyes and Pigments* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.dyepig.2018.03.046.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



# Graphical Abstracts



### 1 Unique fluorescence of boronic acid derived salicylidenehydrazone

2 complexes with two perpendicular ICT: solvent effect on PET

3 process

4 Boyu Zhang, Shichao Wang, Jingyun Tan, Xuanjun Zhang\*

5 Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Macau, Macau SAR, China.

6 Email: xuanjunzhang@umac.mo

Abstract: Solvent effects on the absorption and emission spectra of organic 7 compounds have been widely observed. It is usually found that the positions, 8 intensities and shapes of its bands are usually modified by these solvents. The solvent 9 10 effects on intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) process have been extensively reported, whereas the solvent effect on photoinduced electron transfer (PET) process 11 is scarce. In this contribution, it was disclosed that boronic acid derived 12 salicylidenehydrazone complexes (BSs), BS-DPE and BS-DPBDE, with two 13 perpendicular ICT states developed a non-fluorescent PET compounds through 14 15 separating its two ICT states by boron node. Relative strong acidic proton in non-16 hydrogen-bond accepting solvent were proved to actuate the vanishment of PET and 17 reinstatement of its fluorescence.

18 Keywords: Solvent effects; Boronic acid; Salicylidenehydrazone; Photoinduced
19 electron transfer; T-shaped molecular geometry

#### 21 **1. Introduction**

22 Solvent effects[1, 2] have been widely observed in different areas of chemistry such as 23 catalysis,[3] biochemistry[4] and photochemistry.[5] Of these observation, most concern its effects 24 on the position of chemical equilibria and/or the rates of chemical reactions. Furthermore, from a 25 photophysical point of view, the ground and excited state of solvated chromophore-containing 26 molecules in different solvents might undergo a physical perturbation as compared with its isolated ones (gas state)[1]. Vibrational relaxation of electronic state with surrounding solvent are 27 coincided with energy loss both from Franck-Condon excited (ground) state to equilibrium excited 28 (ground) state, leading to Stokes shift.[6] Many types of positive, negative and inverted 29 fluorosolvatochromistic dyes have been synthesized and widely applied in bioimaging and 30 31 biosensing, [7] such as studying lipid domains, [8] apoptosis and endocytosis. [9] The theory of 32 solvent effects on the fluorescence assumes that the fluorophore is a point dipole residing in the 33 centre of a spherical cavity in a homogeneous and isotropic dielectric, which is so-called Lippert-34 Mataga theory [10] but deviated from authentic condition. Therefore, it is of paramount importance to come up with and fully comprehend specific fluorophores solute/solvent interaction, 35 36 especially involving hydrogen bonding, electron-pair donor/electron-pair acceptor interactions or 37 others.[1, 11, 12]

Over the last few years were recognized a special solvent effect on ground-state reversible 38 39 isomerization of silica-rhodamines (SiR),[13] in which SiR-carboxyl exists predominantly 40 in its spirolactone form in dioxane-water mixtures of dielectric constant less than 30. This 41 seminal fluorogenic behaviour enabled multicolour imaging of target proteins in wash-free 42 conditions.[14] Also, based on a hypothesis which proposed that the break of fluorophore-43 solvent hydrogen bond in the excited state account for fluorescence quenching, [15, 16] 44 flavones-based fluorophores with carbonyl as hydrogen bond acceptors were demonstrated 45 to be ideal wash-free bioprobe for mitochondria[17] and endoplasmic reticulum 46 imaging.[18] group also recently found that boronic acid derived Our 47 salicylidenehydrazone complexes (BSs) were ideal candidates for wash-free fluorescence imaging of cellular organelles. [19] A remarkably hypsochromic shift of intramolecular 48

charge-transfer (ICT) absorption band in water was observed than in apolar solvent, which
were attributed to lower the n-state energy of oxygen lone pairs of the carbonyl group
through hydrogen bonding with water.

52 In this contribution, we report that a peculiar fluorescence turn-on property of two BSs 53 involved donor-acceptor diphenylpolyenes[20-22] as auxochrome. The versatile 54 fluorescent dye platform BSs were first reported last year, which were constructed from modular assembly of boronic acids with schiff base ligand salicylidenehydrazone by 55 56 groups of Goris and Pischel.[23] Subsequently, they thoroughgoingly explored the photophysical scope of the dyes through the variation of both the push-pull ICT character 57 58 at the ligand backbone and the electronic nature of the boronic acid derived moiety, the 59 latter of which have been demonstrated to be no influence on the Uv-vis absorption and fluorescence properties.[24] The T-shaped molecular geometry of BSs sparked our 60 61 imagination on what photophysical properties will come into view if two perpendicular 62 ICT states were located in BSs (Scheme 1). To the extent of our knowledge, there has been 63 little research on fluorophore with this type molecular architecture.[25]

#### 64 2. Experimental

#### 65 2.1 General Information

66 All reagents were purchased from Aldrich or ShenZhen Dieckmann Technology Development co., 67 LTD and were used without further purification, unless otherwise stated. HPLC grade solvent were used in Uv-vis and fluorescence studies. Uv-vis spectra were recorded on a SHIMADZU Uv-68 1800 spectrophotometer, with a quartz cuvette (path length 1 cm). The fluorescence spectra were 69 recorded with a HORIBA Fluorolog<sup>@</sup>-3 spectrofluorimeter. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were 70 recorded on a BRUKER instrument (400 MHz and 100 MHz, respectively) and internally 71 referenced to tetramethylsilane signal or residual protio solvent signals. Data for <sup>1</sup>H NMR are 72 73 recorded as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta$ , ppm), multiplicity (s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet), intergration, coupling constant (Hz). Data for <sup>13</sup>C NMR are reported in terms of 74 75 chemical shift ( $\delta$ , ppm). High resolution mass spectra for all the new compounds were done by an

a Xevo G2-XS QTof spectrometer (Waters, USA). The fluorescence quantum yields (QYs) were quantified using Fluorescein as the standard ( $\Phi_f = 0.89$ , in 0.1 M NaOH). The QYs can be calculated through adopting the following equation:

79  $\Phi_s = \Phi_r(A_r n_s^2 F_s)/(A_s n_r^2 F_r)$ 

80 where the subscripts s and r denote the sample and the standard (Fluorescein), respectively,  $\Phi$  is 81 the quantum yield, F is the integrated emission intensity, A is the absorbance, and n is the 82 refractive index.

Fluorescence lifetimes were detected by a DeltaTime<sup>TM</sup> TCSPC on Fluorolog®. The monitored wavelength was 545 nm. Fluorescence decay histograms were recorded using the time-correlated single photon counting technique in 4095 channels through a HORIBA Fluorolog<sup>@</sup>-3 spectrofluorimeter equipped with a HORIBA NanoLED source (N-455 nm). Histograms of the instrument response functions and sample decays were obtained until it typically reached  $1.0 \times 10^4$ counts. The fitting parameters (decay times and pre-exponential factors) were decided by minimizing the reduced chisquare  $\chi^2$ .

90 For a two-exponential decay the average lift time is given by following equation:

$$\bar{\tau} = \frac{\alpha_1 \tau_1^2 + \alpha_2 \tau_2^2}{\alpha_1 \tau_1 + \alpha_2 \tau_2}$$

91 The time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT/B3LYP) calculations of BS-DPE, BS-92 DPBDE were performed. All calculations were performed with the G03 software. The TD-DFT 93 calculation of the lowest 25 singlet-singlet excitation energies was calculated with a basis set 94 composed of 6-31G (d, p) for C, N, H, O, B atoms. The lowest 25-spin allowed singlet-singlet 95 transitions, up to the energy of about 5 eV, were taken into account for the calculation of the 96 absorption spectra.

#### 97 2.2 Synthesis

98 (Z)-2-(((E)-4-(diethylamino)-2-hydroxybenzylidene)hydrazono)-2-phenylacetic acid (Ligand)
99 (Scheme 2-1). Adopting a literature procedure with some reasonable modification,[23]

100 hydrazonoe (2.5 mmol, 490 mg) was completely dissolved in 5 mL water firstly and 20 mL methanol was added. After shaking moderately, this solution was eluted through a columned 101 packed with Amberlyst<sup>@</sup> 15 (5 g wet resin exchanged with 10 mL methanol for three times) and 102 103 dropped into a methanolic solution of SA (2.5 mmol, 483 mg in 5 mL methanol). The ultimate 104 solution was stirred for 2 h at room temperature and then incubation at 4  $\square$  in refrigerator 105 overnight. The slurry was filtered and washed with cold methanol (5 mL) three times, and afterwards collected as orange powder: yield 65%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.16 (s, 1H), 106 8.09 - 8.01 (m, 2H), 7.71 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (td, J = 7.0, 5.8, 3.0 Hz, 3H), 7.19 (d, J = 9.2107 Hz, 1H), 6.36 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 4H), 1.31 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C 108 109 NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 167.3, 164.9, 161.9, 159.5, 152.4, 134.7, 131.9, 131.7, 129.6, 127.3, 106.5, 104.9, 97.3, 44.5, 13.0. HRMS-ESI calcd for  $C_{19}H_{22}N_3O_3$  ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 340.1661; 110 111 found, 340.1703.

112 (4-boronobenzyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide. (4-A solution containing 113 (bromomethyl)phenyl)boronic acid (5.2 mmol, 1.12 g) and triphenylphosphane (5.72 mmol, 1.5 g) in 160 mL MeCN was refluxed for 12 h. After the completion of reaction, the solvent was 114 115 removed in vacuo and the residue was triturated with diethyl ether (3  $\times$  10 mL) and collected as white precipitate: yield 95%; 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.88 – 7.78 (m, 3H), 7.71 – 7.51 116 (m, 17H), 6.90 (dd, J = 8.1, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 4.98 (d, J = 15.4 Hz, 2H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-117  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  135.6, 135.6, 134.9, 134.8, 134.6, 134.5, 130.6, 130.5, 130.4, 130.4, 118.7, 117.9, 29.0. 118 119 HRMS-ESI calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>23</sub>BO<sub>2</sub>P ([M-Br]<sup>+</sup>), 397.1523; found, 397.1613.

#### 120 8-(diethylamino)-2,5-diphenyl-3H,5H-5l4,12l4-benzo[5,6][1,3,2]oxazaborinino[2,3-

b][1,3,4,2]oxadiazaborinin-3-one (BS-Ph) (Scheme 2-4). The derivate of phenylboronic acid
(0.3 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (3 mL), toward which the ligand (0.33 mmol, 112 mg) was
added. The resulting solution was refluxed until the termination of reaction. And then, the solution
was concentrated and the residue was triturated with cold methanol for washing away minor
impurities. [19] Orange solid; Yield 95%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.21 (s, 1H), 7.96 – 7.88
(m, 2H), 7.41 – 7.27 (m, 5H), 7.18 – 7.08 (m, 4H), 6.29 (dd, J = 9.1, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.13 (d, J = 2.3

127	Hz, 1H), 3.37 (ddt, J = 16.6, 14.8, 7.4 Hz, 4H), 1.16 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H). $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, 101 MHz)
128	CDCl3) & 161.6, 157.3, 155.8, 154.0, 153.6, 134.6, 132.7, 130.8, 130.8, 129.5, 128.2, 127.8,
129	127.6, 107.7, 106.4, 98.7, 45.4, 12.8. HRMS-ESI calcd for $C_{25}H_{25}BN_3O_3$ ([M+H] <sup>+</sup> ), 426.1989;
130	found, 426.1873.

#### 131 (E)-8-(diethylamino)-5-(4-(4-(dimethylamino)styryl)phenyl)-2-phenyl-3H,5H-5l4,12l4-

#### 132 benzo[5,6][1,3,2]oxazaborinino[2,3-b][1,3,4,2]oxadiazaborinin-3-one (BS-DPE) (Scheme 2-

133 5). (E)-(4-(dimethylamino)styryl)phenyl)boronic acid in Scheme 2-2 was synthesized on the 134 previous reports (Spectroscopic sugar sensing by a stilbene derivative with push (Me<sub>2</sub>N-)-pull  $((HO)_2B)$ -type substituents). The derivate of phenylboronic acid (0.3 mmol) was dissolved in 135 CH<sub>3</sub>CN (3 mL), toward which the ligand (0.33 mmol, 112 mg) was added. The resulting solution 136 137 was refluxed until the termination of reaction. And then, the solution was concentrated and the 138 residue was dissolved in tiny amount toluene and then purified by column chromatography (Hexane : EtOAc=10:1). Pale orange solid: yield 65%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 8.31 139 (s, 1H), 8.07 - 7.99 (m, 2H), 7.51 - 7.30 (m, 9H), 7.24 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (d, J = 16.3 Hz, 140 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 6.78 – 6.66 (m, 2H), 6.39 (dd, J = 9.1, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.24 (d, J = 2.3 141 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (ddt, J = 23.8, 14.5, 7.0 Hz, 4H), 2.98 (s, 6H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 142 143 (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 161.6, 157.3, 155.8, 154.1, 153.5, 150.0, 137.6, 134.5, 132.7, 131.1, 130.8, 144 129.5, 128.2, 128.1, 127.4, 126.1, 125.3, 124.8, 112.5, 107.7, 106.4, 98.7, 45.4, 40.5, 12.7. 145 HRMS-ESI calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>36</sub>BN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 571.2880; found, 571.2463.

146 8-(diethylamino)-5-(4-((1E,3E)-4-(4-(dimethylamino)phenyl)buta-1,3-dien-1-yl)phenyl)-2-

#### 147 phenyl-3H,5H-5l4,12l4-benzo[5,6][1,3,2]oxazaborinino[2,3-b][1,3,4,2]oxadiazaborinin-3-one

148 (**BS-DPBDE**) (**Scheme 2-6**). The derivate of phenylboronic acid (0.3 mmol) was dissolved in 149 CH<sub>3</sub>CN (3 mL), toward which the ligand (0.33 mmol, 112 mg) was added. The resulting solution 150 was refluxed until the termination of reaction. And then, the solution was concentrated and the 151 residue was dissolved in tiny amount toluene and then purified by column chromatography 152 (Hexane : EtOAc=10:1). Pale orange solid: yield 54%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.31 153 (s, 1H), 8.06 – 7.98 (m, 2H), 7.51 – 7.20 (m, 10H), 6.88 (dd, *J* = 15.3, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 6.81 – 6.66 154 (m, 3H), 6.54 (t, *J* = 16.1 Hz, 2H), 6.39 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.24 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.47

(qt, J = 14.4, 7.3 Hz, 4H), 2.98 (s, 6H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ
161.6, 157.3, 155.8, 154.1, 153.5, 137.3, 134.5, 132.7, 132.6, 131.1, 130.8, 129.5, 129.4, 128.2,
127.4, 125.6, 125.4, 112.5, 107.6, 106.4, 98.7, 45.4, 40.5, 29.7, 12.7. HRMS-ESI calcd for
C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>38</sub>BN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 597.3037; found, 597.2697.

#### 159 3. Results and discussion

#### 160 **3.1 Synthesis**

161 Two derivatives of diphenylethene (DPE) or diphenylbutadiene (DPBDE), possessing a dimethylamino and a boronic acid group as electron-donor and electron-withdrawing 162 groups, were settled on assembly with Schiff base ligand to create targeted molecules, BS-163 164 DPE and BS-DPBDE. The B-N dative bond in BSs could furnish an extra molecular stability, [26] which might also modify the electronic properties of auxiliary ICT state. 165 166 DPE-BA (boronic acid) and DPBDE-BA were synthesized by the Wittig reaction (Scheme 167 2) between the corresponding benzaldehydes and the para-boronic acid derivative of the 168 benzyltriphenylphosphonium (TPP) bromide, [27] which were then converted into BS-169 DPE and BS-DPBDE by simple condensation with salicylidenehydrazone ligand.[23, 24]

170 **3.2 Distinctive optical properties** 

171 Unexpectedly, light tangelo BS-DPE and BS-DPBDE are essentially nonfluorescent in the solid state (Fig. 1b) and show very weak fluorescence in chloroform (Fig. 1c), which is in 172 173 marked contrast to BS-Ph with high fluorescence quantum yield (OY ca. 0.5-0.7) and 174 brightness in chloroform as previous reports.[19, 23] Serendipitously, it was found BS-175 DPE and BS-DPBDE are highly luminescent in deuterated chloroform (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) (Fig. 1d) 176 during NMR test, in striking contrast to in chloroform. It spurred us into a detailed test on 177 its absorption and emission spectra in different solvent.Fig. 1e shows that BS-DPE has a dual absorption band trait in nine selected solvents, where the low-energy band from 400 178 179 nm to 525 nm were attributed to ICT absorption of the ligand backbone, and the highenergy band within the range of 300 nm – 400 nm originated from ICT state of DPE. [20] 180

181 The spectra in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and HEPES buffer were unpredicted, the former of which shows a large hypsochromic shift of high-energy band from 357 nm to 320 nm in comparison with 182 183 that in CHCl<sub>3</sub>, the latter exhibits that both of bands broadened and stretched out to 410 nm 184 and 550nm, indicating water molecules have obvious influence on both of ICT ground 185 states. Our earlier report revealed that BS-Ph had just one local chromophore absorption 186 band located at 400 nm, which is an outcome of interaction of backbone ICT state with 187 water.[19] Based on the above facts in HEPES buffer, it could be concluded that the horizontal and vertical ICT states have independent features, but also interact with each 188 189 other and offer an ensemble solvatochromism. Fluorescence spectra follow the same trend as the absorption spectra (Fig. 1f). High QY up to 0.43 was attained in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, whereas no 190 191 fluorescent signal was detected in HEPES. A red shift was observed in high polar solvent in comparison with apolar solvents (average 520 nm), up to 15 nm, 32 nm and 17 nm for 192 193 PEG400, DMSO and CH<sub>3</sub>OH, respectively. For BS-DPBDE, the spectral features are 194 comparable to that of BS-DPE, but with three distinctions: (1) High energy-band is located 195 at 344 nm for CDCl<sub>3</sub> and near 385 nm for others; (2) The intensities of high energy-band are higher than that of low energy-band in all solvents except CDCl<sub>3</sub>; (3) The QY of BS-196 DPBD (0.52) is higher than BS-DPE in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (Table 1). Due to much higher brightness (ε 197 198  $\times \Phi_s$ ) of BS-DPBDE, it was selected for further discussion.

**3.3 Turn-on phenomena and characterization.** 

The extraordinary brightness in CDCl<sub>3</sub> made us think about the concealed factor in 200 201 fluorescence turn-on. Hydrochloric acid as the main decomposed product of CHCl<sub>3</sub> was tested first. [28] As shown in Fig. 2a-b and Fig. S1-S2, the absorption and emission spectra 202 203 of BS-DPBDE in different solvents were compared with that of corresponding solvent 204 added HCl up to 15 uM. Distinctly, the spectral feature of BS-DPBDE in CHCl<sub>3</sub> with HCl 205 was identical with that in  $CDCl_3$ , which indicates that it is easy for  $CDCl_3$  to release DCl as 206 acid for actuating fluorescence of BS-DPBDE. For other solvents with adding HCl, 207 unexpectedly, there are no significant enhancement of fluorescence intensities even in 208 apolar solvent toluene, and also no occurrence of high-energy absorption band blue-shift.

209 In toluene and THF, addition of HCl even caused the decrease in fluorescence a little bit. 210 This fact points out that the fluorescent turn-on is not only an acid-actuation process, but also dependence on solvent. Next, the chloride solvents C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> were used to 211 212 further investigate this solvent effect on fluorescence turn-on. From Fig. 2c, it can be seen 213 that the low-energy band were heightened, and also that the low energy band lost its 214 vibrational fine-structure when HCl was added into CHCl<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, which are consonant with intensified fluorescence in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with HCl (Fig. 2d). 215 Afterwards, UV-vis and emission titration experiments of BS-DPBDE (10  $\mu$ M) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> 216 217 were recorded with increasing concentrations of HCl (Fig. 2e-f). The continuous addition of 218 HCl from 1 uM to 20 uM induced a dramatic change in the spectra that accompanied two isosbestic points at 350 and 445 nm. The presence of an isosbestic point signified the 219 220 presence of an equilibrium process between turn-on and turn-off states. No further spectral 221 change was registered when HCl was added beyond the mole ratio of 1.25 equiv. The fluorescence intensity increase caused by addition of HCl to BS-DPBDE is linearly 222 223 proportional to HCl concentration in the 1-7.5 uM range (Fig. 2f). Ensuingly, acetic acid, Trifluoroacetate (TFA) and sulfuric acid were selected for testing whether or not all acids 224 225 could actuate the fluorescence of BS-DPBDE. Fig. 2g presents the fluorescence intensity 226 change  $(I/I_0)$  upon adding acid into CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution, which manifest that acids with pKa 227 lower than 3.0 enable the CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution of BS-DPBDE to be emitted. The spectral 228 properties of BS-DPE were comparable with BS-DPBDE and the data were shown in Fig. 229 S5.

Fluorescence decay parameters of the BS-DPBDE in different solvents without or with acids are listed in **Table 2**. Fluorescence decay profiles were satisfactorily fitted with a two-exponential model and lifetimes show apparent effect of solvent, much shorter lifetimes for polar solvent THF (1.23 ns) and DMSO (0.61 ns). [29] The faster component of the lifetime can be attributed to the ICT species, and the slower component is due to the presence of the LE molecules [30]. Remarkably, roughly twice prolongation of  $\tau_1$  were registered in CHCl<sub>3</sub> upon adding HCl and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> over others, especially in contrast to that **9/22** 

237 of TFA and acetic acid (Fig. 3a). It indicates that there might have some fresh species with longer lifetime, associated with high fluorescence. Schiff-base backbone and two 238 239 dialkylamino-group confused us as to whether BS-DPBDE was degraded and which 240 dialkylamino base group was vulnerable to attack by acid. Further, proton NMR titrations 241 were employed to disclose the underlying mechanism. DMSO-d6 with 1.2 M acids was 242 added to CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution of BS-DPBDE (10 mM), ultimately with a volume ratio of 1: 100, 243 circumventing the solvent effects on chemical shifts. The signals of proton 7, 8 and 9 are of non-movement under all tests, regarded as reference peak together with residual signal of 244  $CDCl_3$  (Fig. 3c), signifying that Schiff-base backbone is stable in  $CDCl_3$  with a small 245 246 amount of acid although it might be unstable in acidic aqueous solution (Fig. S8). With gradual addition of HCl, the larger downfield shift of the dimethyl group proton 1 and 247 248 aromatic protons 4 were observed, whereas the smaller downfield shift of that as replacing 249 HCl with TFA. It is well-known that chemical shift is a measure of electron density near 250 the proton being measured. And thus, it can be concluded that stronger acidic proton as 251 electron acceptor makes protons 1 and 4 in BS-DPBDE deshielded heavily.[31] In contrast, proton 2 and 3 of the diethyl-amino group are less affected even though its high pKa value 252 253 (N-diethylaniline, 6.56 vs N-dimethylaniline, 5.06[32]). The peaks appeared under 254 addition of HCl to 0.8 equiv. (asterisk peaks) were contributed to slow-proton-exchange of 255 protonated diethyl-amino group on the NMR time scale.[33]

### 256 **3.4 Molecular mechanism and calculations.**

257 To understand the fluorosolvatochromic behaviour, the fluorescence intensity of BS-258 DPBDE in different solvent with 1.5 equiv. HCl were associated with three solvatochromic 259 parameters: polarity parameter ( $E_T(30)$ ), hydrogen-bond-donating parameter ( $\alpha$ ), and 260 hydrogen-bond-donating parameter ( $\beta$ ) (Fig. 3b). It is apparent that no correlation is 261 observed for  $E_T(30)$  and  $\alpha$ , whereas indicates that the fluorescence of BS-DPBDE is lighted up only in strong acid containing non-hydrogen-bond accepting solvents, 262 263 choroform, whose  $\beta$  value approximate zero. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations revealed that the HOMOs, for both of BS-DPE and BS-DPBDE, are located on the donor-264

265 acceptor diphenylpolyenes, whereas the LUMOs on the Schiff-base backbone (Fig. 4 and Table 3). It is in sharp contrast with HOMO and LUMO of BS-Ph, both of which located 266 on the Schiff-base backbone.[23] The non-superimposed HOMO and LUMO distribution 267 268 support the photoinduced electron transfer (PET) process in these two molecules, which 269 weakened and even quenched the fluorescence of the Schiff base backbone fluorophore. 270 All of clues demonstrate that hydrogen-bond accepting solvents might enfeeble the electron withdrawing ability of acidic proton, and hence impeded the vanishment of PET 271 process. We believe that this unique fluorescence phenomena might broaden our notion of 272 273 fluorosolvatochromism and be applied in diverse fields.[34]

### 274 4. Conclusions

In closing, BSs derivatives, BS-DPE and BS-DPBDE, with two perpendicular ICT states 275 276 have been synthesized and its spectroscopic and photophysical properties are described. 277 We disclosed that boron in BSs as a node separated its two ICT states (Schiff base 278 backbone ICT and diphenylpolyenes ICT states) and developed non-fluorescent PET 279 compounds. Peculiarly, our work provides indisputable prerequisite for its fluorescent turn-280 on, that is, stronger acidic proton in non-hydrogen-bond accepting solvents. We believe 281 that the unique fluorescence of BS-DPE and BS-DPBDE would not only spur new 282 application in diverse field, but also provide the foundation for modification of 283 conventional theories.

### 284 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Macao Science and Technology Development Fund under
Grant No.: 052/2015/A2, 082/2016/A2, the Research Grant of University of Macau under
grant No.: MYRG2016-00058-FHS, and MYRG2017-00066-FHS.

### 288 Appendix A. Supplementary data

- 289 Supplementary data related to this article can be found at
- 290 **References**
- [1] Reichardt C, Welton T. Solvents and solvent effects in organic chemistry. 4th, updated and enl.
- ed. Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH, 2011.
- [2] Reichardt C. Solvatochromic Dyes as Solvent Polarity Indicators. Chem Rev 1994;94(8):2319-

**294** 58.

- [3] Shuai L, Luterbacher J. Organic Solvent Effects in Biomass Conversion Reactions.
- 296 ChemSusChem 2016;9(2):133-55.
- [4] Levy Y, Jortner J, Becker OM. Solvent effects on the energy landscapes and folding kinetics of
- 298 polyalanine. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001;98(5):2188-93.
- [5] LeGreve TA, James WH, 3rd, Zwier TS. Solvent effects on the conformational preferences of
- 300 serotonin: serotonin-(H(2)O)(n), n = 1,2. J Phys Chem A 2009;113(2):399-410.
- 301 [6] Kosower EM. Mechanism of fast intramolecular electron-transfer reactions. J Am Chem Soc
- **302** 1985;107(5):1114-8.
- 303 [7] Klymchenko AS. Solvatochromic and Fluorogenic Dyes as Environment-Sensitive Probes:
- 304 Design and Biological Applications. Acc Chem Res 2017;50(2):366-75.
- 305 [8] Zhang R, Sun Y, Tian M, Zhang G, Feng R, Li X, et al. Phospholipid-Biomimetic Fluorescent
- 306 Mitochondrial Probe with Ultrahigh Selectivity Enables In Situ and High-Fidelity Tissue Imaging.
- 307 Anal Chem 2017;89(12):6575-82.
- 308 [9] Zamotaiev OM, Postupalenko VY, Shvadchak VV, Pivovarenko VG, Klymchenko AS, Mely
- 309 Y. Monitoring penetratin interactions with lipid membranes and cell internalization using a new
- 310 hydration-sensitive fluorescent probe. Org Biomol Chem 2014;12(36):7036-44.
- 311 [10] Mataga N, Kaifu Y, Koizumi M. Solvent Effects upon Fluorescence Spectra and the
- 312 Dipolemoments of Excited Molecules. Bull Chem Soc Jpn 1956;29(4):465-70.
- 313 [11] Rathore R, Lindeman SV, Kochi JK. Charge-Transfer Probes for Molecular
- RecognitionviaSteric Hindrance in Donor-Acceptor Pairs. J Am Chem Soc 1997;119(40):9393-
- **315** 404.

- 316 [12] Rosokha SV, Kochi JK. Fresh look at electron-transfer mechanisms via the donor/acceptor
- bindings in the critical encounter complex. Acc Chem Res 2008;41(5):641-53.
- 318 [13] Lukinavicius G, Umezawa K, Olivier N, Honigmann A, Yang G, Plass T, et al. A near-
- 319 infrared fluorophore for live-cell super-resolution microscopy of cellular proteins. Nat Chem

**320** 2013;5(2):132-9.

- 321 [14] Lukinavicius G, Reymond L, Umezawa K, Sallin O, D'Este E, Gottfert F, et al. Fluorogenic
- 322 Probes for Multicolor Imaging in Living Cells. J Am Chem Soc 2016;138(30):9365-8.
- 323 [15] Dobretsov GE, Syrejschikova TI, Smolina NV. On mechanisms of fluorescence quenching by
- 324 water. Biophysics 2014;59(2):183-8.
- 325 [16] Ghosh HN, Adamczyk K, Verma S, Dreyer J, Nibbering ET. On the role of hydrogen bonds
- 326 in photoinduced electron-transfer dynamics between 9-fluorenone and amine solvents. Chem Eur J
- **327** 2012;18(16):4930-7.
- 328 [17] Liu B, Shah M, Zhang G, Liu Q, Pang Y. Biocompatible flavone-based fluorogenic probes
- 329 for quick wash-free mitochondrial imaging in living cells. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces
- 330 2014;6(23):21638-44.
- 331 [18] McDonald L, Liu B, Taraboletti A, Whiddon K, Shriver LP, Konopka M, et al. Fluorescent
- flavonoids for endoplasmic reticulum cell imaging. J Mater Chem B 2016;4(48):7902-8.
- 333 [19] Zhang B, Feng G, Wang S, Zhang X. Boronic acid derived salicylidenehydrazone complexes
- for wash-free fluorescence imaging of cellular organelles. Dyes Pigm 2018;149:356-62.
- 335 [20] DiCesare N, Lakowicz JR. Spectral Properties of Fluorophores Combining the Boronic Acid
- 336 Group with Electron Donor or Withdrawing Groups. Implication in the Development of
- 337 Fluorescence Probes for Saccharides. J Phys Chem A 2001;105(28):6834-40.
- 338 [21] Di Cesare N, Lakowicz JR. Wavelength-ratiometric probes for saccharides based on donor-
- acceptor diphenylpolyenes. J Photochem Photobiol, A 2001;143(1):39-47.
- 340 [22] DiCesare N, Lakowicz JR. New sensitive and selective fluorescent probes for fluoride using
- 341 boronic acids. Anal Biochem 2002;301(1):111-6.

- 342 [23] Santos FM, Rosa JN, Candeias NR, Carvalho CP, Matos AI, Ventura AE, et al. A Three-
- 343 Component Assembly Promoted by Boronic Acids Delivers a Modular Fluorophore Platform
- 344 (BASHY Dyes). Chem Eur J 2016;22(5):1631-7.
- 345 [24] Alcaide MM, Santos FMF, Pais VF, Carvalho JI, Collado D, Perez-Inestrosa E, et al.
- 346 Electronic and Functional Scope of Boronic Acid Derived Salicylidenehydrazone (BASHY)
- 347 Complexes as Fluorescent Dyes. J Org Chem 2017;82(14):7151-8.
- 348 [25] Adhikari RM, Shah BK, Palayangoda SS, Neckers DC. Solvent dependent optical switching
- in carbazole-based fluorescent nanoparticles. Langmuir 2009;25(4):2402-6.
- 350 [26] Hoang CT, Prokes I, Clarkson GJ, Rowland MJ, Tucker JH, Shipman M, et al. Study of
- 351 boron-nitrogen dative bonds using azetidine inversion dynamics. Chem Commun
- **352** 2013;49(25):2509-11.
- 353 [27] Shinmori H, Takeuchi M, Shinkai S. Spectroscopic sugar sensing by a stilbene derivative
- with push (Me2N-)-pull ((HO)2B-)-type substituents. Tetrahedron 1995;51(7):1893-902.
- [28] Clover AM. The Auto-Oxidation of Chloroform. J Am Chem Soc 1923;45(12):3133-8.
- 356 [29] Lakowicz JR. Principles of fluorescence spectroscopy. 3rd ed. New York: Springer, 2006.
- 357 [30] Ghosh P, Das T, Maity A, Purkayastha P. Light induced dynamics of a charge transfer probe
- 358 in lipid vesicles. Soft Matter 2012;8(39):10178.
- 359 [31] Perruchoud LH, Jones MD, Sutrisno A, Zamble DB, Simpson AJ, Zhang Xa. A ratiometric
- 360 NMR pH sensing strategy based on a slow-proton-exchange (SPE) mechanism. Chem Sci
- 361 2015;6(11):6305-11.
- 362 [32] Hall NF, Sprinkle MR. Relations between the Structure and Strength of Certain Organic
- Bases in Aqueous Solution. J Am Chem Soc 1932;54(9):3469-85.
- 364 [33] Bryant RG. The NMR time scale. J Chem Educ 1983;60(11):933.
- 365 [34] Lee J, Chang HT, An H, Ahn S, Shim J, Kim JM. A protective layer approach to
- 366 solvatochromic sensors. Nat Commun 2013;4:2461.





Scheme 1 T-shape molecular geometry of BSs with two perpendicular ICT states (The DFT optimized structure) and the structures of BS-Ph, BS-DMA, BS-DPE and BS-DPBDE





- **Table 1** Spectral Properties and Fluorescence Quantum Yield ( $\Phi_s$ ) of the BS-DPE and BS-
- **372** DPBDE in different solvents.

		$\lambda_{abs}$		3	$\lambda_{em}^{b}$	$\Delta^{\rm c}$		
Compd	solvent	(nm)		$(M^{-1}cm^{-1})$	(nm)	(nm)	$\Phi_{\rm s}$	
BS-DPE	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	321	478	53000	518	40	0.43	
	CHCl <sub>3</sub>	357	478	52000	517	39	<0.01	
	$CH_2Cl_2$	359	477	52000	522	45	<0.01	
	Toluene	355	472	54000	508	36	< 0.01	
	THF	355	471	55000	517	46	< 0.01	
	PEG400	361	478	43000	535	57	< 0.01	
	DMSO	363	478	42000	552	74	< 0.01	
	CH <sub>3</sub> OH	351	473	43000	537	64	< 0.01	
	20 mM HEPES	356	471	38000				
<b>BS-DPBDE</b>	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	344	469	58000	518	49	0.52	
	CHCl <sub>3</sub>	387	478	56000	517	39	0.02	
	$CH_2Cl_2$	390	477	55000	522	45	0.02	
	Toluene	387	472	59000	509	37	0.02	
	THF	384	472	55000	520	48	< 0.01	
	PEG400	387	477	48000	533	56	< 0.01	
	DMSO	386	479	47000	544	65	< 0.01	
	CH <sub>3</sub> OH	379	473	46000	553	80	< 0.01	
	20 mM HEPES	389	465	40000	a			

<sup>a</sup> Not determined due to the weak fluorescence. <sup>b</sup> $\lambda_{ex} = at 470$  nm. <sup>c</sup> Stokes shift.



**Fig. 1** Image of compounds BS-Ph, BS-DPE and BS-DPBDE (a) under vis light; (b) in solid states, (c) dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> or (d) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> under 365 nm UV light. Absorption (e, g) and emission spectra (f, h) of BS-DPE (e, f) and BS-DPBDE (10 uM) (g, h) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, toluene, THF, PEG400, DMSO, CH<sub>3</sub>OH and HEPES buffer (20 mM). (excitation at 450 nm, slit; 1 nm/1 nm)



**Fig. 2** Absorption (a, c) and emission (b, d) spectra of 10 uM BS-DPBDE in different solvents with or without 15 uM HCl. Notes: for (b),  $CHCl_3$  (black), toluene (red), THF (green), EtOAc (blue),  $CH_3OH$  (cyan), DMSO (magenta), PEG400 (yellow) and 20 mM HEPES buffer (dark yellow); short dot line, with 15 uM HCl. Absorption (e) and emission (f) titration spectra in the presence of varying concentrations of HCl in  $CHCl_3$ . (g) the emission ratio ( $I/I_0$ ) upon adding acid into  $CHCl_3$  solution. (excitation at 450 nm, slit: 1 nm/1 nm)



**Fig. 3** (a) The distribution of fluorescence decay times of BS-DPBDE in CHCl<sub>3</sub> with 15 uM acids (BS-DPBDE, 10 uM), data from **Table 2** and Fig S3-4 (b) Relationship between the fluorescent intensity of BS-DPBDE in 15 uM HCl solution and the solvatochromic parameters ET(30), hydrogen-bond-donating parameter ( $\alpha$ ), and hydrogen-bond-accepting parameter ( $\beta$ ) of solvents. Partial Data are repeated from Fig.2; (c) <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration of BS-DPBDE with HCl and TFA in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (BS-DPBDE, 10 mM).

## **Table 2** Fluorescence Decay Parameters of the BS-DPBDE investigated in different solvent with

381 or without acid

	$\tau_1(ns)$	$\tau_2(ns)$	$\alpha_1$	$\alpha_2$	$\overline{\tau}$ (ns)	$\chi R^2$
CHCl <sub>3</sub>	0.60	2.45	0.18	0.82	2.36	1.7
CHCl <sub>3</sub> with 15 uM HCl	1.27	2.24	0.18	0.82	2.13	1.9
$CH_2Cl_2$	0.74	2.41	0.32	0.68	2.20	1.9
CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> with 15 uM HCl	0.64	2.22	0.38	0.62	1.98	1.7
Toluene	0.90	2.23	0.20	0.80	2.11	1.6
Toluene with 15 uM HCl	0.87	2.10	0.39	0.61	1.84	1.6
THF	0.05	1.25	0.26	0.74	1.23	3.8
THF with 15 uM HCl	0.09	1.06	0.22	0.78	1.04	6.0
DMSO	0.34	0.80	0.62	0.38	0.61	2.0
DMSO with 15 uM HCl	0.51	2.24	0.97	0.03	0.72	2.6
CHCl <sub>3</sub> with 15 uM TFA	0.57	2.44	0.26	0.74	2.30	1.5
CHCl <sub>3</sub> +15 uM H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	1.27	2.11	0.42	0.58	1.86	1.6
CHCl <sub>3</sub> +15 uM Acetic acid	0.59	2.50	0.17	0.83	2.41	1.8
	$CHCl_{3}$ $CHCl_{3} \text{ with 15 uM HCl}$ $CH_{2}Cl_{2}$ $CH_{2}Cl_{2} \text{ with 15 uM HCl}$ $Toluene$ $Toluene \text{ with 15 uM HCl}$ $THF$ $THF \text{ with 15 uM HCl}$ $DMSO$ $DMSO \text{ with 15 uM HCl}$ $CHCl_{3} \text{ with 15 uM TFA}$ $CHCl_{3} +15 uM H_{2}SO_{4}$ $CHCl_{3} +15 uM Acetic acid$	$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \hline \\ & CHCl_3 & with 15 uM HCl & 1.27 \\ & CH_2Cl_2 & 0.74 \\ CH_2Cl_2 with 15 uM HCl & 0.64 \\ & \\ & CH_2Cl_2 with 15 uM HCl & 0.64 \\ & \\ & Toluene & 0.90 \\ \hline \\ & Toluene with 15 uM HCl & 0.87 \\ & \\ & THF & 0.05 \\ \hline \\ & THF with 15 uM HCl & 0.09 \\ & \\ & DMSO & 0.34 \\ \hline \\ & DMSO with 15 uM HCl & 0.51 \\ \hline \\ & CHCl_3 with 15 uM TFA & 0.57 \\ \hline \\ & CHCl_3 +15 uM H_2SO_4 & 1.27 \\ \hline \\ & CHCl_3 +15 uM Acetic acid & 0.59 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\tau_1$ (ns) $\tau_2$ (ns) $\alpha_1$ CHCl30.602.450.18CHCl3 with 15 uM HCl1.272.240.18CH2Cl20.742.410.32CH2Cl2 with 15 uM HCl0.642.220.38Toluene0.902.230.20Toluene with 15 uM HCl0.872.100.39THF0.051.250.26THF with 15 uM HCl0.091.060.22DMSO0.340.800.62DMSO with 15 uM HCl0.512.240.97CHCl3 with 15 uM TFA0.572.440.26CHCl3 +15 uM H2SO41.272.110.42CHCl3 +15 uM Acetic acid0.592.500.17	$\tau_1$ (ns) $\tau_2$ (ns) $\alpha_1$ $\alpha_2$ CHCl <sub>3</sub> 0.602.450.180.82CHCl <sub>3</sub> with 15 uM HCl1.272.240.180.82CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> 0.742.410.320.68CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> with 15 uM HCl0.642.220.380.62Toluene0.902.230.200.80Toluene with 15 uM HCl0.872.100.390.61THF0.051.250.260.74THF with 15 uM HCl0.091.060.220.78DMSO0.340.800.620.38DMSO with 15 uM HCl0.512.240.970.03CHCl <sub>3</sub> with 15 uM HCl0.572.440.260.74CHCl <sub>3</sub> +15 uM H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.272.110.420.58CHCl <sub>3</sub> +15 uM Acetic acid0.592.500.170.83	$\tau_1$ (ns) $\tau_2$ (ns) $\alpha_1$ $\alpha_2$ $\bar{\tau}$ (ns)CHCl30.602.450.180.822.36CHCl3 with 15 uM HCl1.272.240.180.822.13CH2Cl20.742.410.320.682.20CH2Cl2 with 15 uM HCl0.642.220.380.621.98Toluene0.902.230.200.802.11Toluene with 15 uM HCl0.872.100.390.611.84THF0.051.250.260.741.23THF with 15 uM HCl0.091.060.220.781.04DMSO0.340.800.620.380.61DMSO with 15 uM HCl0.512.240.970.030.72CHCl3 with 15 uM HCl0.572.440.260.742.30CHCl3 +15 uM H2SO41.272.110.420.581.86CHCl3 +15 uM Acetic acid0.592.500.170.832.41

382





**Fig. 4** Molecular orbitals (LUMO and HOMO) and HOMO/LUMO energy gaps of BS-DPE and BS-DPBDE.

387	Table 3 Calculated single-photon related spectral properties of BS-DPE, BS-DPBDE in the gas
388	phase.

Comp.	$\lambda_{max}(nm) \; ^a$	$\Delta E_{\rm I}(eV)^{\rm b}$	f	OI °
	452.10	2.74	0.1196	$149 \rightarrow 152 \text{ (H-2} \rightarrow \text{L)}$
2	462.85	2.68	0.0296	$157 \rightarrow 159 \text{ (H-1} \rightarrow \text{L)}$

a. Peak position of the longest absorption band; *b*. The energy gap of the one-photon
absorption band; *c*. TD-DFT method with the orbitals Involved (OI).

22 / 22

# **Highlights**

- Two boronic acid derived salicylidenehydrazone complexes (BSs) with two perpendicular ICT states, BS-DPE and BS-DPBDE, were synthesized and characterized.
- The non-fluorescent PET characteristic of BS-DPE and BS-DPBDE were disclosed.
- Relative strong acidic proton in non-hydrogen-bond accepting solvent were proved to actuate the vanishment of PET and reinstatement of its fluorescence.