

## Conformation Equilibria in Vitamins D. The Synthesis of 1 $\alpha$ -Hydroxy-3-epivitamin D<sub>3</sub> (1 $\alpha$ -Hydroxy-3 $\alpha$ -cholecalciferol)

By MORDECHAI SHEVES, ELISHA BERMAN, DALIA FREEMAN, and YEHUDA MAZUR\*


(Department of Organic Chemistry, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel)

**Summary** The ratio of the two conformers of 1 $\alpha$ -hydroxy-3-epivitamin D<sub>3</sub>, which has been synthesized from 1 $\alpha$ ,3 $\beta$ -dihydroxycholest- $\Delta^6$ -ene, has been established.

RECENT <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. studies of cholecalciferol<sup>1</sup> and ergocalciferol<sup>2</sup> (vitamin D<sub>3</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>) have confirmed Havinga's suggestion of a rapid equilibrium in solution between two, almost equally populated, ring A  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ -chair conformations (in which the =CH<sub>2</sub> group lies below and above the ring plane respectively).<sup>3</sup> A similar conformational equilibrium was also found for the related 1,3-*trans*-diol, the biologically potent 1 $\alpha$ -hydroxycholecalciferol<sup>1</sup> and it was proposed that its hormonal activity was related to the fact that the  $\beta$ -conformation has an equatorial OH substituent at C(1).<sup>1,4</sup> We have now synthesized the 3-epimer of 1 $\alpha$ -hydroxycholecalciferol, the 1,3-*cis*-diol, in order to find the ratio of the two conformers, and to establish its biological activity.

The starting material was the previously described 1 $\alpha$ ,3 $\beta$ -dihydroxycholest- $\Delta^6$ -ene<sup>5</sup> which was epimerized at C(3) (EtCO<sub>2</sub>N=NC(=O)Et-Ph<sub>3</sub>P-*p*-nitrobenzoic acid in THF<sup>6</sup>) resulting in the 1 $\alpha$ ,3 $\alpha$ -diol 3-*p*-nitrobenzoate (2a) m.p. 183–184°. Hydrolysis (5% KOH in MeOH) to the diol (2b) (75% from the starting diol) (m.p. 207–208°) followed by acetylation (*N*-dimethylaminopyridine-Ac<sub>2</sub>O in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) led to the diaxial diacetate (2c) [m.p. 95–96°,  $\delta$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 4.85 (1H, t, 1 $\beta$ -H, *J* 3 Hz, and  $\delta$  5.16 (1H, quintet, 3 $\beta$ -H, *J* 3 Hz)], which on bromination (Br<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) gave the dibromide (3) (69% from (2a)) (m.p. 120–121°). The dibromide (3) was dehydrobrominated (HMPA-Et<sub>3</sub>MeN<sup>+</sup>-Me<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-CaCO<sub>3</sub>, 110°, 10 h)<sup>5,7</sup> to a 5:1 mixture of the  $\Delta^{4,6}$ -diene ( $\lambda_{\max}$  236, 240, and 249 nm) and the  $\Delta^{5,7}$ -diene

( $\lambda_{\max}$  281, 292 nm). The  $\Delta^{5,7}$ -diene (4) was irradiated, without isolation, in Et<sub>2</sub>O (Rayonet, 300 nm, NaNO<sub>3</sub> filter, 0°, 40 min) then heated at 70° for 2 h, and hydrolysed (5% KOH in MeOH, 0°, 0.5 h) resulting in a mixture from



which (1), m.p. 114–116°, [ $\lambda_{\max}$  264 nm ( $\epsilon$  17.00) and on addition of I<sub>2</sub>,  $\lambda_{\max}$  272 nm] was isolated [5% from (3)] by t.l.c. This compound shows identical peaks in the mass spectrum and a similar <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. spectrum to its epimer 1 $\alpha$ -hydroxycholecalciferol.<sup>8</sup> In the <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. spectrum of (1)  $\delta$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), 5.00 (1H, d, 19*Z*-H, *J* 2), 5.28 (1H, m, 19*E*-H), 6.01

(1H, d, 6-H,  $J$  11.5), and 6.40 (1H, d, 7-H,  $J$  11.5 Hz) the protons at C(1) and C(3) appear at 4.04 and 4.30 p.p.m. as triplet and quintet respectively with an identical  $J$  4.4 Hz. Assuming this coupling constant represents an averaged value of  $^3J_{\text{axax}}$  11 Hz and  $^3J_{\text{eqeq}}$  3 Hz, the calculated proportion of the two conformers (1- $\alpha$ ) and (1- $\beta$ ) in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  is

80:20.<sup>9</sup> It appears that the preponderance of the 1,3-diaxial conformer in solution derives from the H-bonding between the two OH groups.<sup>10</sup>

We thank Dr. Z. V. I. Zaretskii for the mass spectral determinations.

(Received, 30th April 1975; Com. 493.)

<sup>1</sup> R. M. Wing, W. H. Okamura, M. R. Pirio, S. M. Sine, and A. W. Norman, *Science*, 1974, **186**, 939.

<sup>2</sup> G. N. La Mar and D. L. Budd, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1974, **96**, 7317.

<sup>3</sup> E. Havinga, *Experientia*, 1973, **29**, 1181.

<sup>4</sup> W. H. Okamura, M. N. Mitra, R. M. Wing, and A. W. Norman, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.*, 1974, **60**, 179.

<sup>5</sup> D. Freeman, A. Acher, and Y. Mazur, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1975, 261.

<sup>6</sup> A. K. Bose, B. Lal, W. A. Hoffman, and M. S. Manhas, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1973, 1619.

<sup>7</sup> J. L. Kraus and G. Sturz, *Bull. Soc. chim. France*, 1971, 2551.

<sup>8</sup> M. R. Haussler, J. E. Zerwekh, R. H. Hesse, E. Rizzardo, and M. H. Pechet, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA.*, 1973, **70**, 2248.

<sup>9</sup> F. A. L. Anet, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1962, **84**, 1053.

<sup>10</sup> H. Buc, *Ann. Chim.*, 1963, **8**, 409.