# $\gamma$ -Silyl-Substituted Norbornyl Carbocations and Carbenes

Xavier Creary\* and Anna Heffron

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, United States

**Supporting Information** 



**ABSTRACT:** *endo*-2-Trimethylsilyl-*anti*-7-norbornyl triflate undergoes solvolysis reactions  $1.8 \times 10^4$  faster than 7-norbornyl triflate in CD<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>D and  $1.3 \times 10^5$  times faster in CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH. The exclusive substitution products with retained stereochemistry point to a significantly stabilized  $\gamma$ -trimethylsilyl carbocation intermediate. The *endo*-2-trimethylsilyl-7-norbornyl carbone gives a major rearrangement product where the trimethylsilyl-activated hydrogen migrates to the carbonic center. This rearrangement product implies stabilization of the carbone by the  $\gamma$ -trimethylsilyl group. Isodesmic computational studies (M062X/6-311+G\*\*) indicate that the *endo*-2-trimethylsilyl-7-norbornyl cation is stabilized by 16.2 kcal/mol and that the *endo*-2-trimethylsilyl-7-norbornyl cation is stabilized by 16.2 kcal/mol and that the *endo*-2-trimethylsilyl-7-norbornyl mesylate undergoes solvolysis in CD<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>D only 2.6 times faster than *endo*-2-norbornyl mesylate and 9.4 times faster in CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH. The substitution products have only partially retained stereochemistry, and significant rearrangements are observed. The *anti*-7-trimethylsilyl-2-norbornyl carbone gives a rearrangement product via 1,3-hydrogen migration of the C6 hydrogen, which is completely analogous to the behavior of the unsubstituted 2-norbornyl carbone. Isodesmic calculations show that the *anti*-7-trimethylsilyl-2-norbornyl carbone is stabilized by only 3.2 kcal/mol relative to the 2-norbornyl cation, and the corresponding *anti*-7-trimethylsilyl-2-norbornyl carbone is stabilized by only 3.0 kcal/mol.

# INTRODUCTION

We have been interested in the chemistry of carbenes and carbocations that contain the trimethylsilyl group. We have studied a variety of carbocations<sup>1</sup> and carbenes<sup>2</sup> that contain a silvl group in the  $\beta$ -position. The so-called  $\beta$ -effect of a silvl group on carbocations 1 is well understood<sup>3</sup> and is attributed to an interaction of the cation vacant orbital with the C-Si  $\sigma$ bond. This  $\beta$ -effect can lead to carbocation formation at rates up to 10<sup>11</sup> times faster than from unsilylated analogs.<sup>4</sup> Computational studies<sup>5</sup> support this mode of carbocation stabilization and argue against potential silicon bridged intermediates. An X-ray crystallographic study<sup>6</sup> on an isolable  $\beta$ -silyl vinyl carbocation confirms the preferred alignment of the C-Si  $\sigma$ -bond. Our studies have shown that  $\beta$ -silyl carbenes 2 are stabilized by a similar mechanism.<sup>2</sup> Moreover, these carbenes are prone to rearrange by migration of the silyl group to the carbenic center. In addition, the silvl group also increases the propensity for adjacent hydrogen to migrate.



The  $\gamma$ -effect of silicon on carbocations and carbones has been less appreciated. Shiner<sup>7</sup> observed this phenomenon in solvolysis of brosylate 3, which reacted 452 times faster than trans-4-t-butylcyclohexyl brosylate. This rate enhancement was attributed to a  $\gamma$ -silvl effect, where the intermediate carbocation was stabilized by an interaction involving the rear lobe of the C-Si  $\sigma$ -bond in a "W" fashion. A subsequent study by Grob<sup>8</sup> revealed a modest rate enhancement in solvolysis of the adamantyl system 4, and this was also attributed to the  $\gamma$ -silyl effect. 4-Trimethylsilyl-1-norbornyl triflate, 5, gave an even larger rate enhancement of 1300 in trifluoroethanol solvent.<sup>5</sup> More recently we have found remarkable rate-enhancing effects in solvolysis reactions that lead to carbocations of type 6.10 Cation 6 (R = H) forms even faster than the 2-trimethylsilylcyclobutyl cation in solvolysis reactions. Computational studies also suggest that cation 6 (R = H) is more stable than the 2trimethylsilylcyclobutyl cation, i.e., the  $\gamma$ -silyl effect leading to cation **6** (R = H) is even greater than the  $\beta$ -silyl effect. A recent experimental and computational study of carbene 7 also reveals a large stabilizing interaction due to the transannular

Received: January 2, 2014 Published: February 18, 2014

## The Journal of Organic Chemistry

trimethylsilyl group.<sup>11</sup> This interaction leads to preferential formation of 1-trimethylsilylbicyclobutane from 7. A labeling study showed that the source of the bicyclobutane was 1,3-hydrogen migration to the carbene center (and not trimethylsilyl migration).



In view of the remarkable carbocation and carbene stabilizing effect of the  $\gamma$ -silyl group on cations of type **6** and carbene 7, we wanted to further examine the generality of this effect. The norbornyl system was chosen in view of its rigid nature and the ability to control stereochemistry of the trimethylsilyl group relative to the cation/carbene center. We have now generated carbocations **8** and **10** as well as carbenes **9** and **11** and now report on the effect of the trimethylsilyl group on these reactive intermediates.



#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The synthesis of precursors to cation 8 and carbene 9 (Scheme 1) began with *anti*-7-norbornen-7-ol.<sup>12</sup> Protection of the alcohol as the TBS ether 13, followed by reaction with phenylselenyl bromide and hydrogen peroxide oxidation, gave the vinyl bromide 14. Introduction of the trimethylsilyl group was accomplished by lithium-halogen exchange and reaction with trimethylsilyl chloride. Catalytic hydrogenation and subsequent removal of the TBS protection resulted in a mixture of *exo* and *endo*-isomers 16 and 17 from which pure 16 could be separated by chromatography. Conversion of alcohol 16 to triflate 18 was straightforward.

The triflate 18 reacted readily at room temperature in  $CD_3CO_2D$ . This triflate is much more reactive  $(1.8 \times 10^4 \text{ times})$  than the desilylated analog, 7-norbornyl triflate, 20 (Table 1). In trifluoroethanol, the rate enhancement relative to 7-norbornyl triflate is an even larger factor of  $1.3 \times 10^5$ . These rate enhancements are substantially greater than in the less rigid cyclohexyl system 3 and also greater than in the rigid systems 4 and 5. The sole product from acetolysis of 18 is the acetate 21 where the stereochemistry of this substitution product is

Article



Scheme 1. Synthesis of Precursors to Cation 8 and Carbene

Table 1. Solvolysis Rates for Substrates in  $\rm CD_3\rm CO_2\rm D$  and  $\rm CF_3\rm CH_2\rm OH$ 

Compound	Solvent	T (°C)	k (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\mathbf{k}_{rel}$
TIO_H				
A	CD <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> D	25.0	2.74 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.75 x 10 <sup>4</sup>
18 TMS	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	23.5	1.73 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.33 x 10 <sup>5</sup>
TfO_H	CD <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> D	100.0	5.51 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	
A	CD <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> D	80.0	5.19 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	
17	CD <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> D	25.0	1.56 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	1.00
20	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	23.5	1.30 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.00
ms_H				
A	$CD_3CO_2D$	60.0	3.01 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	
17.	$CD_3CO_2D$	40.0	$2.25 \times 10^{-6}$	24
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	$CD_3CO_2D$	25.0	2.58 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.6
35 OMS	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	25.0	3.39 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	9.4
A	CD <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> D	70.0	3.84 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	
17.	CD <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> D	50.0	3.34 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	
A H	CD <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> D	25.0	1.00 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.00
45 OMS	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	25.0	3.59 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.00

completely retained. The large rate enhancement as well as the product of complete retention point toward a significant interaction of the trimethylsilyl group with the cationic intermediate in the acetolysis of **18**. The suggested intermediate is the rear lobe stabilized  $\gamma$ -silyl cation **8**, where capture at carbon by acetic acid occurs from the rear of this delocalized cation.

Computational studies<sup>13</sup> at the M062X/6-311+G<sup>\*\*</sup> level strongly support the suggested involvement of cation 8 in the solvolytic reaction of triflate 18. Figure 1 shows the M062X/6-311+G<sup>\*\*</sup> calculated structure of cation 8. The cation shows a C7–C2 bond distance of only 1.714 Å as well as an elongated

# Scheme 2. Solvolysis of Triflate 18 in CD<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>D



C2–Si bond (2.005 Å vs 1.892 Å in the neutral silane 23). These bond lengths are completely consistent with the proposed carbocation stabilization by a rear lobe interaction of the cationic center with the C2–Si bond. Also of interest is the C1–C2 bond, which is unusually long at 1.651 Å. This suggests another stabilizing interaction between the carbocation vacant orbital and the C1–C2  $\sigma$ -bond, as represented by 8a.

The magnitude of the stabilization of cation 8 can be estimated using an isodesmic calculation, as shown in Scheme 3. Cation 8 is stabilized by 16.2 kcal/mol relative to the 7-norbornyl cation, 24, which itself is a delocalized cation involving  $\sigma$ -bond stabilization. While this stabilization is substantial, it is somewhat less than in the rear-lobe stabilized cation 6 (R = H), where the trimethylsilyl group stabilizes by 22.6 kcal/mol relative to the cyclobutyl cation.

Attention was next turned to the carbene 9. The ketone 19, available from oxidation of alcohol 16, was converted to the tosylhydrazone, which was deprotonated with sodium methoxide to give the salt 26 (Scheme 4). Vacuum pyrolysis of the dry sodium salt 26 generated the carbene 9 via a transient diazocompound 27 that loses nitrogen under the pyrolytic conditions (Bamford–Stevens reaction).<sup>14</sup> Examination of the pyrosylate revealed a major product 28 (96.5%), where hydrogen had migrated to the carbenic center, along with a

small amount of the silane **23** (3.5%). The structure of **28** was determined by NMR spectroscopy. Specifically, the proton coupled <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum shows two cyclopropyl carbons with one bond C–H coupling. The C7 cyclopropyl carbon shows  $J_{CH} = 181$  Hz, which is characteristic of a highly strained cyclopropyl carbon. The structure of the minor product **23** was determined by NMR spectral comparison with an authentic sample. The behavior of carbene **9** therefore contrasts with that of the 7-norbornyl carbone **25**, which was studied many years ago by Moss and Whittle.<sup>15</sup> Carbene **25** gives bicyclo[3.2.0]-hept-1-ene as the major product (74%), along with norbornane (14%), and only 12% of **32**, the hydrocarbon analog of **28**.

Computational studies provide insight into the contrasting behaviors of carbenes 9 and 25. As can be seen in Figure 1, the carbenic center in 9 tilts slightly toward the hydrogen on C2. The isodesmic reaction in Scheme 3 also suggests that carbene 9 is slightly stabilized (1.8 kcal/mol) relative to the unsubstituted 7-norbornyl carbene. While silyl stabilization of carbene 9 does not approach that of the carbocation 8, it appears to be a real, albeit small factor. The computational study in Scheme 5 also reveals an increased propensity for migration of the exo-2-hydrogen to the carbenic center. There is a rather substantial barrier to migration (11.1 kcal/mol) of the exo-2-hydrogen to the carbenic center in 25. However, the trimethylsilyl group of 9 results in a substantial lowering of the exo-2-hydrogen migration barrier to only 5.8 kcal/mol. This is consistent with the observed major product in Scheme 4 and the fact that 32 is only a minor product (12%) from the 7norbornyl carbene, 25.

Attention was next turned to reactive intermediates 10 and 11, where the electron-deficient carbon is at the 2-position. The ketone 33 served as the starting material for generation of these intermediates (Scheme 6). This ketone was previously prepared<sup>16</sup> by the Nef reaction of 2-nitro-*anti*-7-trimethylsilylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptane, where it was proposed that a  $\gamma$ -silyl effect was responsible for the relatively high yield of 33. While lithium aluminum hydride reduction of 33 gave *endo*-alcohol 34 contaminated with 15% of the *exo*-isomer, lithium tri-*sec*-butylborohydride reduction of 33 gave pure 34.



Figure 1. M062X/6-311+G\*\* calculated structures of cation 8 and carbene 9.

Article









Scheme 5. Calculated Barriers to Rearrangement of Carbenes 9 and 25



Conversion of 34 to the mesylate 35 was straightforward. The ketone 33 could also be readily converted to the tosylhydrazone 36. Deprotonation of 36 with sodium methoxide gave the tosylhydrazone salt 37, which served as the precursor to carbene 11.

By way of contrast with triflate 18, the behavior of mesylate 35 under solvolytic conditions is quite complex. Five products are formed (Scheme 7) when 35 reacts in  $CD_3CO_2D$ , including 38 and 42 with unrearranged carbon skeleton and structurally rearranged products 39, 40, and 41. Mesylate 35 is only 2.6 times more reactive than the desilylated analog, *endo*-2-norbornyl mesylate, 45 (Table 1) in  $CD_3CO_2D$ . In  $CF_3CH_2OH$ , a more highly ionizing solvent that favors  $k_{\Delta}$ 

Scheme 6. Synthesis of Precursors to Cation 10 and Carbene



Scheme 7. Solvolyses of Mesylate 35 in  $CD_3CO_2D$  and  $CF_3CH_2OH$ 



processes, the *endo*-trifluoroethyl ether 44 is the major solvolysis product (53%) derived from 35. Also formed are smaller amounts of rearranged products 39, 40, and 43. The rate enhancement in  $CF_3CH_2OH$  is a modest factor of 9.4 and is slightly larger than one would expect from a purely inductive effect.

On the basis of the negligible rate enhancement in  $CD_3CO_2D$  as well as the rearranged products **39-41**, it is suggested that the major reaction pathway is ionization without participation of the silyl group (Path A of Scheme 8).

Scheme 8. Mechanistic Pathways for Solvolyses of Mesylate 34



Ionization of **35** proceeds via the "classical" ion pair **46**, where solvent capture leads to the small amount (6%) of the *exo*-acetate **38**. Cation **46** undergoes rapid rearrangement to give the  $\beta$ -silyl cation **47**, which is the source of rearranged products **39–41**, via proton elimination (22%), trimethylsilyl elimination (17%), or solvent capture (37%).

The product that is more difficult to rationalize is the 18% *endo*-acetate **42**, where substitution has occurred with net retention. This type of product (*endo*-substitution) is not formed in solvolysis reactions of *endo*-2-norbornyl derivatives, where only *exo*-substitution products are observed.<sup>17</sup> The unrearranged *endo*-substitution product **44** becomes the major product (53%) in CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH. A mechanism that would account for the *endo*-substitution products **42** and **44** involves the competing Path B in Scheme 8, where the  $\gamma$ -silyl-stabilized

cation **10** is involved. Computationally, with a proper starting geometry, we have been able to locate such a delocalized cation (Figure 2). However, if one starts the calculation with a geometry similar to that expected for a classical cation **46**, then the structure optimizes to give the rearranged  $\beta$ -silyl cation **47**,<sup>18</sup> which lies 6.9 kcal/mol lower energy than **10**. The long C<sub>7</sub>–Si bond (1.990 Å) and the long C<sub>1</sub>–C<sub>7</sub> bond (1.668 Å) suggest that cation **10** derives stabilization from an interaction with the C–Si rear lobe as well as an interaction with the C<sub>1</sub>–C<sub>7</sub>  $\sigma$ -bond, as represented by **10a**.

The lack of a significant rate enhancement in acetolysis of 35 as well as the large amounts of rearranged products indicate that  $\gamma$ -silyl stabilization of cation 10 is not as large as in cations 6 or 8. Computational studies concur with this assessment and the isodesmic calculation shown in Scheme 9 indicates that 10 is only stabilized by 3.2 kcal/mol relative to the 2-norbornyl cation, 49. This trimethylsilyl stabilization in 10 does not approach the 22.6 kcal/mol and the 16.2 kcal/mol seen in cations 6 and 8, where the demand for stabilization in these intrinsically more strained and destabilized cations is much greater.

The generation of carbene 11 from ketone 33 was straightforward using the Bamford-Stevens reaction. The sole product derived from this carbene was the tricyclic product 51, formed via 1,3-migration of the endo C6 hydrogen to the carbenic center (Scheme 10). The structure of this product is based partially on the proton coupled <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum that shows three cyclopropyl carbons with single bond  $J_{CH}$  values of 173-174 Hz. This product 51 is quite analogous to that formed from the 2-norbornyl carbene, 50, where nortricyclane is the predominant product.<sup>19</sup> Figure 2 shows the calculated structure of carbene 11, and no unusual bonding interactions are apparent in this structure. The isodesmic reaction shown in Scheme 8 shows negligible stabilization of this carbene by the 7-trimethylsilyl group relative to the unsubstituted 2-norbornyl carbene, 50. The product 51 also argues against any large interaction of the carbenic center in 11 with the silvl group. A calculation of migration barriers readily explains the observed product. Scheme 10 shows that migration of the endo-C6 hydrogen in 11 is an extremely facile process ( $\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 1.9 \text{ kcal}/$ 



Figure 2. M062X/6-311+G\*\* calculated structures of cation 10 and carbene 11.

Scheme 9. Isodesmic Reactions of Cation 10 and Carbene 11



Scheme 10. Generation and Rearrangement Pathways of Carbene 11



mol). The trimethylsilyl-perturbed hydrogen on C7 shows little propensity to migrate, as revealed by the 13.7 kcal/mol migration barrier.

# CONCLUSIONS

Experimental and computational studies reveal a significant  $\gamma$ silyl stabilization of the *endo*-2-trimethylsilyl-7-norbornyl cation as well as the *endo*-2-trimethylsilyl-7-norbornyl carbene. By way of contrast, computational and experimental studies suggest only minimal  $\gamma$ -silyl stabilization of the *anti*-7-trimethylsilyl-2norbornyl cation and no stabilization of the *anti*-7-trimethylsilyl-2norbornyl carbene. Therefore  $\gamma$ -silyl stabilization can best be described as "enormous" in the 3-trimethylsilylcyclobutyl carbocation and carbene, "quite significant" in 2trimethylsilyl-7-norbornyl carbocation and carbene, and "minimal to non-existent" in the 7-trimethylsilyl-2-norbornyl carbocation and carbene.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**General.** NMR spectra were recorded on a 600 MHz spectrometer. HRMS measurements were carried out using either an electrospray ionization source with time-of-flight mass analyzer or a GC-mass spectrometer with an electron impact ionization source. NMR analyses were carried out on an instrument operating at 600 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

Preparation of anti-7-t-Butyldimethylsiloxybicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2ene, 13. A solution of 345 mg of anti-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-7-ol (3.14 mmol), **12**,<sup>12</sup> and 520 mg of *t*-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (3.46 mmol) in 5 mL of dry THF was stirred as 391 mg of imidazole (5.75 mmol) was added. The mixture was refluxed for 3 h and then taken up into 20 mL of pentane and 15 mL of water. The pentane extract was washed with 2 additional portions of water, saturated NaCl solution, and dried over a mixture of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After filtration, the solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator. The residue was distilled to give 669 mg of liquid **13** (95% yield), bp 88–91 °C (15 mm). <sup>1</sup>H NMR of **13** (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.94 (t, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.43 (br s, 1 H), 2.42 (m, 2 H), 1.80 (m, 2 H), 0.91 (m, 2 H), 0.87 (s, 9 H), 0.02 (s, 6 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR of **13** (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  134.2, 82.8, 46.3, 25.8, 21.8, 17.9, -4.8. Exact mass (EI) calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>24</sub>OSi: 224.1596. Found: 224.1592.

Preparation of anti-7-t-Butyldimethylsiloxy-2-bromobicyclo-[2.2.1]hept-2-ene, 14. A solution of 667 mg of the TBS ether 13 (2.98 mmol) in 9 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was stirred as 705 mg of PhSeBr (2.99 mmol) was added in small portions over 30 min. The color faded gradually after each addition. On completion of the addition, the mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature. The CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was then removed via rotary, the residue was immediately dissolved in 10 mL of dry THF, and the solution was cooled in an ice bath. The solution was stirred as 1.95 g of 30% H2O2 was added dropwise, followed by 30 mg of acetic acid. The mixture was then warmed to room temperature for 3 h and then transferred to a separatory funnel using pentane. The mixture was washed with two portions of water and then with Na2CO3 solution. The pentane extract was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and filtered, and the solvent was then removed using a rotary evaporator. The residue was chromatographed on 12 g of silica gel, and the column was eluted with pentane. The product rapidly eluted, and the pentane was removed using a rotary evaporator. The residue was distilled to give 403 mg of liquid 14 (45% yield), bp 90-93 °C (1 mm). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.02 (d of d, J = 3.7, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.60 (br s, 1 H), 2.55 (m, 1 H), 2.50 (m, 1 H), 1.83 (m, 2 H), 1.10 (m, 2 H), 0.86 (s, 9 H), 0.03 (br s, 6 H).  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR of 14 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  133.5, 122.7, 81.5, 54.7, 48.7, 25.7, 23.1, 21.7, 17.9, -4.83, -4.87. Exact mass (EI) calcd for  $C_{13}H_{23}BrOSi$ : 302.0702. Found: 302.0743.

Preparation of anti-7-t-Butyldimethylsiloxy-2trimethylsilylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene, 15. A solution of 374 mg of the vinyl bromide 14 (1.23 mmol) in 6 mL of THF was cooled to -78 °C, and 820 µL of 1.6 M n-BuLi in hexanes (1.31 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 45 min, and then 225 mg of ClSiMe<sub>3</sub> (2.07 mmol) was added. The mixture was warmed and kept at room temperature for 1.5 h and then recooled in an ice bath. Triethylamine (75 mg) was then added followed by water, and the mixture was then transferred to a separatory funnel using pentane. The pentane phase was washed with 3 portions of water, saturated NaCl solution, and dried over Na2SO4. The solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator, and the residue was distilled to give 336 mg (92% yield) of liquid 15, bp 72–75 °C (0.3 mm). <sup>1</sup>H NMR of 15 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 6.22 (d of d, J = 3.3, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.36, (br s, 1 H), 2.48 (m, 1 H), 2.42 (m, 1 H), 1.78 (m, 2 H), 0.88 (m, 1 H), 0.86 (s, 9 H), 0.77 (m, 1 H), 0.03 (s, 9 H), 0.011 (s, 3 H), 0.005 (s, 3 H).  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR of 15 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 148.4, 143.4, 82.9, 48.9, 47.8, 25.8, 21.84, 21.82, 17.9, -1.8,

# The Journal of Organic Chemistry

-4.78, -4.85. Exact mass (EI) calcd for  $C_{16}H_{32}OSi_2$ : 296.1992. Found: 296.1995.

Preparation of anti-7-Hydroxy-endo-2-trimethylsilylbicyclo-[2.2.1]heptane, **16**. The vinyl silane **15** (375 mg; 1.27 mmol) was dissolved in 15 mL of dry ether, and 57 mg of 10% Pd/C was added. The flask was flushed with H<sub>2</sub>, and the mixture was stirred under H<sub>2</sub> at 1 atm pressure for 8 h. The mixture was then filtered through a small amount of MgSO<sub>4</sub> to remove the Pd/C, and the solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the crude residue showed 84% of *endo*-2-trimethylsilyl-*anti*-7-(*t*-butyldimethylsiloxy)bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane and 16% of *exo*-2-trimethylsilyl-*anti*-7-(*t*butyldimethylsiloxy)bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane. This crude residue was used directly in the hydrolysis step.

The mixture obtained above was dissolved in 12 mL of THF, and 12 mL of 1.0 M HCl in water was added with stirring. After 22 h at room temperature NMR analysis of a small portion of the reaction mixture showed about 50% reaction. The mixture was then heated with stirring at 50 °C for 24 h to complete the hydrolysis. The mixture was then transferred to a separatory funnel with pentane, and the aqueous phase was separated. The pentane extract was washed with two portions of water and dried over MgSO4. After filtration, the solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator. The NMR spectrum showed a mixture containing 84% endo-2-trimethylsilylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-anti-7-ol, 16, and 16% exo-2-trimethylsilylbicyclo [2.2.1]heptananti-7-ol, 17. The crude products were chromatographed on 15 g of silica gel and eluted with increasing amounts of ether in pentane. Mixtures of the alcohols 16 and 17 (205 mg, 88% yield) eluted with 13-16% ether in pentane. The early fractions were enriched in alcohol 17, while the latter fractions were enriched in alcohol 16, mp 66-68 °C. NMR of 16 (CDCl<sub>2</sub>)  $\delta$  4.03 (br, 1 H), 2.00 (m, 2 H), 1.88–1.72 (m, 3 H), 1.49 (m, 1 H), 1.41 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.18 (m, 1 H), 1.07 (d of d, J = 12, 7 Hz, 1 H), 1.00 (m, 1 H), 0.00 (s, 9 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR of 16 (CDCl<sub>2</sub>)  $\delta$  82.1, 43.0, 40.8, 28.6, 27.1, 25.3, 24.1, -1.6. Exact mass (EI) calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>20</sub>OSi: 184.1283. Found: 184.1304.

Preparation of endo-2-Trimethylsilyl-anti-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-7-yl Triflate, 18. The alcohol 16 (23.3 mg; 0.127 mmol) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, and 23 mg of 2,6-lutidine (0.215 mmol) was added. The mixture was cooled to -10 °C, and 49 mg of triflic anhydride (0.174 mmol) in a small amount of  $CH_2Cl_2$  was added in one portion. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and then transferred to a separatory funnel using a small amount of CH2Cl2. Five mL of pentane was then added and a rapid aqueous workup followed. The organic phase was washed successively with cold water, cold dilute HCl solution, cold water, NaHCO3 solution, and saturated NaCl solution. The solution was dried over MgSO4 and filtered, and the solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator to give 38.8 mg of liquid triflate 18 (97% yield). The unstable 18 was stored in pentane solution at -20 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of 19 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.99 (br s, 1 H), 2.42, (m, 2 H), 1.89 (m, 1 H), 1.82 (m, 2 H), 1.62 (m, 1 H), 1.32 (m, 1 H), 1.18 (d of d, J = 12.5, 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.04 (m, 1 H), 0.035 (s, 9 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR of 18 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  118.7 (q, J = 319 Hz), 96.2, 42.1, 39.9, 27.03, 26.96, 23.9, 23.5, -1.8

Solvolysis of Triflate 18 in  $CD_3CO_2D$ . A solution of 4.0 mg of triflate 18 and 3.1 mg of 2,6-lutidine in 350 mg of  $CD_3CO_2D$  was placed in a sealed 3 mm NMR tube at 25 °C for 72 h. The tube was then analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy that showed acetate 21 as the sole product. Acetate 21 was identified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral comparison with an authentic sample of 21-H<sub>3</sub> in  $CD_3CO_2D$  prepared as described below.

Preparation of anti-7-Acetoxy-endo-2-trimethylsilylbicyclo-[2.2.1]heptane, **21**-H<sub>3</sub>. A solution of 5.9 mg of alcohol **16** (0.0321 mmol) and 8 mg of acetic anhydride (0.0784 mmol) in 0.7 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was stirred as 1.5 mg of dimethylaminopyridine was added. After 3 h at room temperature, about 10 mg of CH<sub>3</sub>OH was added followed by 3 mL of pentane. The mixture was extracted with water, dilute HCl solution, water, saturated NaCl solution, and then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After filtration, the solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator to give 7.0 mg (96% yield) of liquid acetate product **21**-H<sub>3</sub>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.71 (br s, 1 H), 2.25, (m, 1 H), 2.19, (m, 1 H), 2.03 (s, 3 H), 1.84–1.73 (m, 2 H), 1.67 (m, 1 H), 1.48 (m, 1 H), 1.19 (m, 1 H), 1.12–1.04 (m, 2 H), 0.004 (s, 9 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.3, 83.5, 40.8, 38.7, 28.0, 27.7, 24.6, 24.5, 21.3, –1.7. Exact mass (EI) calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si: 226.1389. Found: 226.1373. Except for the singlet at  $\delta$  2.03, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **21**-H<sub>3</sub> in CD<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>D was identical to that of the product formed on solvolysis of **18** in CD<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>D.

Preparation of endo-2-Trimethylsilylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-7-one, **19**. A solution of 88 mg of alcohol **16** (0.478 mmol) in 4 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was stirred as 128 mg of pyridinium chlorochromate (0.594 mmol) was added in one portion. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 17 h, and then 8 mL of pentane was added. The mixture was filtered through a small amount of silica gel, and the solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator. The residue was chromatographed on 4.5 g of silica gel, and the column was eluted with increasing amounts of ether in pentane. The liquid ketone **19** (27.8 mg; 32% yield) eluted with 5% ether in pentane. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of **19** (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.06 (m, 1 H), 1.95–1.87 (m, 3 H), 1.83 (m, 1 H), 1.76 (m, 1 H), 1.47 (m, 1 H), 1.39 (d of d, *J* = 12, 8 Hz), 1.26 (m, 1 H), 0.09 (s, 9 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR of **19** (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  217.2, 40.2, 38.4, 25.4, 24.9, 22.1, 21.2, -1.6. Exact mass (EI) calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>18</sub>OSi: 182.1127. Found: 182.1133.

**Preparation and Pyrolysis of Tosylhydrazone Salt 26.** A solution of 26.8 mg of ketone **19** (0.147 mmol) in 150  $\mu$ L of CH<sub>3</sub>OH was added to a suspension of 30.3 mg of tosylhydrazine (0.163 mmol) in 350  $\mu$ L of methanol. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature, and then 380  $\mu$ L of freshly prepared 0.478 M NaOCH<sub>3</sub> in methanol (0.182 mmol) was added to the tosylhydrazone solution. The solvent was then removed using a rotary evaporator, and the residue was evacuated at 15 mm for 5 h, during which time the salt **26** gradually solidified.

The solid tosylhydrazone salt 26 was broken up with a spatula, and a short path distillation head with a 5 mL receiver flask was attached. The pressure was lowered to <0.1 mm, and the flask was gradually heated (oil bath) to 90 °C. The receiver flask was cooled in a dry ice/ acetone bath, and the temperature of the oil bath was slowly raised to 135 °C. At around 120 °C the pressure rose slightly and remained slightly elevated until about 130 °C. On completion of the pyrolysis, the products 28 and 23 (96.5:3.5 ratio) were identified by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy. The structure of 23 was based on NMR spectral comparison with an authentic sample.<sup>20</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR of 28 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 2.39 (m, 1 H), 2.10 (d of t, J = 4.7, 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.02–1.95 (m, 2 H), 1.91 (m, 1 H), 1.63 (m, 1 H), 1.45 (d of d of t, J = 12, 7, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.39 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 0.88 (d of d of d, J = 10, 2.7, 1.1 Hz, 1 H), -0.10 (s, 9 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR of **28** (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  37.8 (d, J = 145 Hz), 35.6 (t, J = 128 Hz), 29.3 (d, J = 181 Hz), 26.5 (d, J = 161 Hz), 24.9 (t, J = 134 Hz), 22.8 (t, J = 130 Hz), 14.1 (s), -2.9 (q, J = 118 Hz). Exact mass (EI) calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Si: 166.1178. Found: 166.1164.

Preparation of anti-7-Trimethylsilyl-endo-bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2ol, 34. A solution of 29.0 mg of ketone  $33^{16}$  (0.159 mmol) in 2 mL of dry THF was cooled to -78 °C, and 250  $\mu$ L of 1.0 M L-selectride in THF (0.250 mmol) was added via syringe to the stirred solution. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and then recooled to 0 °C, and a solution of 100 mg of NaOH in 1 mL of water was added dropwise. After a few minutes, 300  $\mu$ L of 30% hydrogen peroxide was added, and the mixture was warmed to room temperature. After 30 min the mixture was taken up into ether and water. The ether extract was washed with water and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After filtration, the solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator. The residue was taken up into pentane and redried with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and the pentane was removed using a rotary evaporator to give 26.8 mg (91% yield) of alcohol 34. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of 34 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 4.17 (m, 1 H), 2.28 (t, I = 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.25 (t, I = 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.98 (m, 1 H), 1.88 (m, 1 H), 1.58 (m, 1 H), 1.42 (br, 1 H), 1.39 (m, 1 H), 1.29 (m, 1 H), 0.85 (d of d, J = 12.6, 3 Hz, 1 H), 0.76 (m, 1 H), 0.01 (s, 9 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR of 34 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 74.6, 44.8, 42.3, 39.8, 39.3, 29.7, 19.6, -0.8. Exact mass (ESI) (M + Na<sup>+</sup>) calcd for  $C_{10}H_{20}$ NaOSi 207.1176. Found 207.1163. Preparation of anti-7-Trimethylsilyl-endo-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-yl

*Mesylate*, **35**. A solution of 13.5 mg of alcohol **34** (0.0734 mmol) in 0.7 mL of  $CH_2Cl_2$  was cooled to -60 °C, and 13.5 mg of  $CH_3SO_2Cl$  (0.118 mmol) in a small amount of  $CH_2Cl_2$  was added. A solution of

15 mg of Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.149 mmol) in a small amount of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was then added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and then taken up into ether, washed with cold water, dilute HCl solution, water, NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution, saturated NaCl solution, and then dried over a mixture of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After filtration, the solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator to give 18.1 mg of mesylate **35** (94% yield). The crude product was slurried with pentane, and the mixture was cooled to about -40 °C. The pentane was decanted, and the residual solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator to give mesylate **35**, mp 57–58 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of **35** (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.92 (m, 1 H), 2.99 (s, 3 H), 2.61 (t, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.33 (t, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.07 (m, 1 H), 1.87 (m, 1 H), 1.62 (m, 1 H), 1.49 (m, 1 H), 1.33 (m, 1 H), 1.24 (d of d, *J* = 13.2, 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 0.76 (m, 1 H), 0.03 (s, 9 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR of **35** (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  83.6, 43.7, 39.4, 38.9, 38.7, 38.3, 29.2, 20.4, -0.9.

**Solvolysis of Mesylate 35 in CD\_3CO\_2D.** A solution of 3.5 mg of mesylate **35** and 2.5 mg of 2,6-lutidine in 460 mg of  $CD_3CO_2D$  was sealed in a 3 mm NMR tube, and the tube was placed in a water bath at 60.0 °C for 52.5 h. The tube was then analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy that showed **38**, **39**, **40**, **41**, and **42** in a 6:22:17:37:18 ratio (see Supporting Information). These products were all identified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral comparison with authentic samples in  $CD_3CO_2D$ . Authentic samples of acetates **41**-H<sub>3</sub> and **42**-H<sub>3</sub> were prepared as described below.

Preparation of exo-2-Acetoxy-endo-3-trimethylsilylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptane, **41**-H<sub>3</sub>. A solution of 150 mg of *endo*-2-hydroxy-endo-3trimethylsilylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptane<sup>4b</sup> (0.815 mmol) and 131 mg of 2,6-lutidine (1.223 mmol) in 5 mL of ether was cooled to -10 °C, and 255 mg of trifluoroacetic anhydride (1.214 mmol) in 0.5 mL of ether was added dropwise. The mixture was warmed to room temperature, and after 10 min the mixture was taken up into pentane. The solution was consecutively washed with cold water, cold dilute HCl solution, water, NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution, and then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After filtration, the solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator to give 226 mg (99% yield) of *endo*-2-trifluoroacetoxy-*endo*-3-trimethylsilylbicyclo-[2.2.1]heptane.

A solution of 220 mg of the trifuoroacetate (0.786 mmol) prepared above, and 110 mg of 2,6-lutidine (1.027 mmol) in 8 mL of CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H was heated in a sealed tube at 100 °C for 18 h. The mixture was taken up into 15 mL of ether and 15 mL of pentane, and the solution was washed with two portions of water, followed by dilute Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution. The organic extract was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and filtered, and the solvents were then removed using a rotary evaporator. The residue was chromatographed on 3 g of silica gel, and the column was eluted with increasing amounts of ether in pentane. The liquid acetate 41-H<sub>3</sub> (69 mg; 39% yield) eluted with 3% ether in pentane. NMR spectra of 41-H<sub>3</sub> showed 6% of an unidentified isomeric acetate. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of 41-H<sub>3</sub> (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.61 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1 H), 2.35 (m, 1 H), 2.30 (d, J = 5 Hz, 1 H), 1.98 (s, 3 H), 1.55–1.46 (m, 2 H), 1.33 (m, 1 H), 1.27–1.19 (m, 2 H), 1.06 (m, 1 H), 0.90 (m, 1 H), 0.04 (s, 9 H).  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR of 41-H<sub>3</sub> (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.7, 79.2, 42.8, 38.7, 38.20, 38.17, 26.0, 24.4, 21.5, -1.4. Exact mass (ESI)(M + Na<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C12H22O2SiNa: 249.1281. Found: 249.1284.

*Preparation of anti-7-Trimethylsilyl-endo-2-acetoxybicyclo-*[2.2.1]heptane, **42**-H<sub>3</sub>. A solution of 9.8 mg of alcohol **34** (0.0533 mmol) and 12 mg of acetic anhydride (0.118 mmol) in 1.0 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was stirred as 3.3 mg of dimethylaminopyridine was added. After 8 h at room temperature 15 mg of CH<sub>3</sub>OH was added followed by 5 mL of pentane. The mixture was extracted with water, dilute HCl solution, water, saturated NaCl solution, and then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After filtration, the solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator to give 11.5 mg (96% yield) of liquid acetate **42**-H<sub>3</sub>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 4.87 (m, 1 H), 2.54 (t, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.29 (t, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.04 (s, 3 H), 2.00 (m, 1 H), 1.72 (m, 1 H), 1.58 (m, 1 H), 1.38 (m, 1 H), 1.27 (m, 1 H), 1.02 (d of d, *J* = 13, 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 0.78 (br, 1 H), 0.015 (s, 9 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 171.4, 77.0, 42.5, 39.4, 39.1, 38.8, 29.3, 21.3, 20.7, -0.8. Exact mass (ESI)(M + Na<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>2</sub>SiNa: 249.1281.

Preparation and Pyrolysis of Tosylhydrazone Salt 37. A solution of 88 mg of ketone 33 (0.484 mmol) in 500  $\mu$ L of CH<sub>3</sub>OH

was added to a suspension of 92 mg of tosylhydrazine (0.494 mmol) in 500  $\mu$ L of methanol. The stirred mixture was allowed to stand at room temperature for 4 h, and then 1.15 mL of 0.478 M NaOCH<sub>3</sub> in methanol (0.550 mmol) was added. After 10 min, the methanol was removed using a rotary evaporator, and the residue was evacuated at 15 mm for 5 h. The tosylhydrazone salt 37 gradually solidified. The solid salt 37 was then broken up with a spatula.

A short path distillation head with a 5 mL receiver flask was attached, and the pressure was lowered to <0.1 mm. The flask was gradually heated (oil bath) under vacuum and at 100 °C, and the receiver flask was cooled in a dry ice/acetone bath. The temperature was slowly raised to 155 °C. At around 130 °C the pressure rose slightly and remained slightly elevated until about 150 °C. On completion of the pyrolysis, the yield of the liquid product **51** in the receiver flask was 69 mg (86% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR of **51** (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.95 (m, 1 H), 1.26 (m, 1 H), 1.19 (m, 1 H), 1.12 (m, 1 H), 1.10–1.05 (m, 2 H), 1.03–0.99 (m, 2 H), 0.62 (m, 1 H), -0.01 (s, 9 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR of **51** (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  36.4 (t, *J* = 132 Hz), 33.1 (d, *J* = 118 Hz), 32.2 (d, *J* = 146 Hz), 31.5 (t, *J* = 132 Hz), 12.8 (d, *J* = 173 Hz), 10.5 (d, *J* = 174 Hz), 10.0 (d, *J* = 174 Hz), -1.4 (q, *J* = 119 Hz). Exact mass (EI) calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Si: 166.1178. Found: 166.1182.

Solvolyses of Triflates and Mesylates. Kinetics Procedures. Rate constants reported in Table 1 were all determined using 600 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. Kinetic studies in CD<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>D were carried out by dissolving ~4 mg of the appropriate substrate in 350 mg of CD<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>D containing ~1.5 equiv of 2,6-lutidine. The sample was then sealed in a 3 mm NMR tube, and the tube was placed in a constant temperature bath at the appropriate temperature. At appropriate time intervals, the tube was quenched in a water bath at 15 °C and rapidly analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR at ambient temperature to determine relative amounts of starting triflate or mesylate. For triflate 18, readings were carried out with the NMR probe temperature at 25.0 °C, and the sample was placed in a constant temperature bath at 25.0 °C between readings. All kinetic studies in trifluoroethanol (0.05 M in 2,6-lutidine) were carried out using our previously described method<sup>21</sup> where the chemical shift of the added 2,6-lutidine was monitored as a function of time.

For triflate **18** in CD<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>D, the rate of disappearance of the TMS singlet at  $\delta$  0.054 was monitored relative to the product signal at  $\delta$  0.024. For 7-norbornyl triflate, **20**,<sup>22</sup> the peak at  $\delta$  5.06 was monitored using the 2,6-lutidine signal at  $\delta$  7.60 as an internal standard. For mesylate **35**, the area of the mesylate signal at  $\delta$  3.025 was monitored using the 2,6-lutidine signal at  $\delta$  2.74 as an internal standard. For 2-norbornyl mesylate, **45**,<sup>23</sup> the rate of disappearance of the signal at  $\delta$  3.026 was monitored relative to the product mesylate anion developing at  $\delta$  2.857. First-order rate constants for disappearance of substrates were calculated by standard least-squares procedures. Correlation coefficients were all greater than 0.9998. Typical data are given as Supporting Information.

**Computational Studies.** Ab initio molecular orbital calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 series of programs.<sup>13</sup> Structures were characterized as energy minima via frequency calculations that showed no negative frequencies or as transition states that showed one negative frequency.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

Complete ref 13, the M062X/6-311+G\* calculated structures, energies, and Cartesian coordinates of 8–11, 22–25, 30, 31, 47–50, 52, and 53, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 13–16, 18, 19, 21-H<sub>3</sub>, 23, 28, 34, 35, 41-H<sub>3</sub>, 42-H<sub>3</sub>, 43, 44, and 51, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the acetolysis products from mesylate 35 as well as kinetics studies on 18 and 35. This material is available free of charge *via* the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: creary.1@nd.edu

## The Journal of Organic Chemistry

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## REFERENCES

 (1) (a) Creary, X.; O'Donnell, B. D.; Vervaeke, M. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 3360. (b) Creary, X.; Kochly, E. D. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 2134.

(2) (a) Creary, X.; Wang, Y.-X. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 19, 2493.
(b) Creary, X.; Butchko, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 1115.
(c) Creary, X.; Butchko, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 112.

(3) For reviews and early studies, see (a) Ushakav, S. N.; Itenberg, A. M. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1937, 7, 2495. (b) Sommer, L. H.; Dorfman, E.; Goldberg, G. M.; Whitmore, F. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1946, 68, 488. (c) Sommer, L. H.; Bailey, D. L.; Whitmore, F. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 2869. (d) Sommer, L. H.; Baughman, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 3346. (e) Davis, D. D.; Jacocks, H. M., III J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 206, 33. (f) Colvin, E. W. Silicon in Organic Synthesis; Butterworths: London, 1981. (g) White, J. M. Aust. J. Chem. 1995, 48, 1227.

(4) (a) Lambert, J. B.; Wang, G. T.; Finzel, R. B.; Teramura, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7838. (b) Lambert, J. B.; Chelius, E. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8120. (c) Lambert, J. B. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 2677. (d) Lambert, J. B.; Liu, X. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 521, 203. (e) Lambert, J. B.; Zhao, Y.; Emblidge, R. W.; Salvador, L. A.; Liu, X.; So, J. H.; Chelius, E. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 183.

(5) (a) Wierschke, S. G.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Jorgensen, W. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 1496. (b) Ibrahim, M. R.; Jorgensen, W. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, 111, 819.

(6) Klaer, A.; Saak, W.; Haase, D.; Müller, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14956.

(7) (a) Shiner, V. J., Jr.; Ensinger, M. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 842. (b) Davidson, E. R.; Shiner, V. J., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3135. (c) Shiner, V. J., Jr.; Ensinger, M. W.; Rutkowske, R. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 804. (d) Shiner, V. J., Jr.; Ensinger, M. W.; Huffman, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7199. (e) Shiner, V. J., Jr.; Ensinger, M. W. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 653. (f) Tilley, L. J.; Shiner, V. J., Jr. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 1999, 12, 564.

(8) Grob, C. A.; Sawlewicz, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1987, 28, 951.
(b) Grob, C. A.; Martin Gründel, M.; Sawlewicz, P. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1988, 71, 1502.

(9) Adcock, W.; Clark, C. I.; Schiesser, C. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 11541.

(10) Creary, X.; Kochly, E. D. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 9044.

(11) Creary, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 6570.

(12) Story, P. R. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 287.

(13) Frisch, M. J. et al. *Gaussian 09*, revision A.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.

(14) For a discussion of the tosylhydrazone salt pyrolysis method of diazocompound and carbene generation, see (a) Bamford, W. R.; Stevens, T. S. J. Chem. Soc. **1952**, 4735. (b) Kaufman, G. M.; Smith, J. A.; Vander Stouw, G. G.; Shechter, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1965**, 87, 935. (c) Creary, X. Org. Synth. **1986**, 64, 207.

(15) Moss, R. A.; Whittle, J. R. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1969, 341.

(16) Hwu, J. R.; Gilbert, B. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 5917.

(17) (a) Winstein, S.; Clippinger, E.; Howe, R.; Vogelfanger, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1965**, 87, 376. (b) Winstein, S.; Trifan, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1952**, 74, 1147.

(18) Cation 47 is a  $\beta$ -silyl cation previously generated under solvolytic conditions.<sup>4b</sup> Our present calculation suggests that 47 is not as stable as one might expect due to angular constraints. The calculated structure of cation 47 shows a H–C2–C3–Si dihedral angle of 57.4°. The ideal angle for maximal overlap of the vacant cation orbital with the C–Si  $\sigma$ -orbital is 90°. Hence cation 47 gives some solvent capture and proton elimination to go along with the usual TMS elimination.

(19) (a) Friedman, L.; Shechter, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 3159.
(b) Freeman, P. K.; George, D. E.; Rao, V. N. M. J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 1682.



(20) Kuivila, H. G.; Warner, C. R. J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 2845.

(21) Creary, X.; Jiang, Z. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 5106.

(22) For previous studies on 7-norbomyl triflate, see (a) Su, T. A.;
Sliwinski, W. F.; Schleyer, P. v. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 5386.
(b) Creary, X.; McDonald, S. R. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 474.

(23) (a) Masamune, S.; Rossy, P. A.; Bates, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1973, 95, 6452. (b) Brown, H. C.; Ravindranathan, M.; Chloupek, F. J.; Rothberg, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3143. (c) Bentley, T. W.; Bowen, C. T.; Brown, H. C.; Chloupek, F. J. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 38. (d) Creary, X.; Geiger, C. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4151. (e) Chang, S.; Le Noble, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 810.