

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: W. Tang, L. Chen, J. Deng, Y. Kuang, C. Chen, B. Yin, H. Wang, J. Lin and D. Wei, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1039/D0CY01411G.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.





View Article Online

View Journal

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Structure-guided evolution of carbonyl reductase for efficient biosynthesis of ethyl (*R*)-2-hydroxy-4-phenylbutyrate

Wen Tang^a, Lulu Chen^a, Jian Deng^a, Yuyao Kuang^a, Chao Chen^b, Bo Yin^c, Hualei Wang^a, Jinping Lin*^a, Dongzhi Wei^a

Ethyl (*R*)-2-hydroxy-4-phenylbutanoate ((*R*)-HPBE) is an important versatile intermediate for the synthesis of angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors. Herein, a structure-guided rational design was adopted to improve the catalytic performance of carbonyl reductase from *Gluconobacter oxydans* (*GoCR*) for efficient production of (*R*)-HPBE at high substrate loading. To enhance the catalytic performance of *GoCR*, three sites (Cys93, Ile187 and Trp193) were identified based on computational approach. Through single-site and cooperative mutation at these three sites, four variants with simultaneous increase in stereoselectivity and catalytic efficiency were obtained. Variant mut-W193L, mut-W193L/C93I, mut-W193L/1187L and mut-W193L/C93I/I187L exhibited 9.8- to 37.0-fold increase in catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_m) compared to wild-type enzyme. Meanwhile, stereoselectivities of these variants were improved from 43.0% *ee* of wild-type *GoCR* to >99% *ee*. Besides, mut-W193L/C93I/I187L displayed the improved thermostability simultaneously. Theoretical structural analysis revealed that the changes of catalytic pocket microenvironment resulted in the concurrent improvement of enzyme activity and thermostability. In the batch production of (*R*)-HPBE, up to 371 g/L substrate loading was completely reduced utilizing the most efficient variant mut-W193L/C93I/I187L at 40°C, affording (*R*)-HPBE with >99% *ee* and a space-time yield of 540.4 g/(L·day). This study provides a potential and attractive biocatalyst for the efficient synthesis of (*R*)-HPBE.

Introduction

Published on 07 September 2020. Downloaded by University of Rochester on 9/20/2020 10:27:17 AM

Chiral alcohols are important and useful building blocks for the synthesis of various agrochemicals, aroma chemicals, flavours, food, pharmaceuticals and specialty materials 1-3. Among them, ethyl (R)-2-hydroxy-4-phenylbutanoate ((R)-HPBE) is a versatile key precursor for the synthesis of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, known as commercial "prils"⁴. To date, a series of methods have been developed for the preparation of (R)-HPBE, including chemical and enzymatic asymmetric reduction of ethyl 2-oxo-4-phenyl-butyrate (OPBE) ^{5,6}, kinetic resolution of the corresponding racemate ^{7,8}, enzymatic esterification of 2-hydroxy-4-phenylbutanoic acid ⁹ and chemical multi-step synthesis ¹⁰. In contrast, carbonyl reductase-mediated asymmetric reduction of OPBE to (R)-HPBE has been an attractive method due to its significant advantages such as high yield, high economic feasibility, environmental benignity and under mild reaction conditions ^{4,11}.

An increasing number of carbonyl reductases and dehydrogenases have been discovered in recent years to synthesize (R)-HPBE, but most naturally occurring enzymes showed low catalytic activity, limited stereoselectivity, poor stability, or poor tolerance for high substrate concentrations, restricting their practical application ¹²⁻¹⁶. So far, only a few examples of robust carbonyl reductases have been reported that could catalyse OPBE at high substrate concentration. One of the successful examples is a carbonyl reductase CgKR2 from Candida glabrata which was found to completely reduce 206 g/L OPBE to (R)-HPBE with 99% ee ¹⁷. In addition, the recombinant E. coli cells overexpressing a carbonyl reductase IoIS from B. subtilis and glucose dehydrogenase (GDH) were employed in preparing (R)-HPBE with 99% ee and 100% conversion at 330 g/L OPBE ¹¹. However, these cases at present still cannot meet the needs of practical production. These indicate that developing and redesigning robust biocatalysts and subsequently performing biocatalytic reduction process at high substrate concentrations have always been an ongoing subject.

Protein engineering such as de novo enzyme design, structureguided (semi-) rational design and directed evolution, has been employed to address these undesirable properties of enzymes mentioned above and to remould the enzymatic property of enzymes ¹⁸⁻²¹. With the rapid development of bioinformatics data bases including computational chemistry and gene sequence/three-dimensional structures of proteins, the structure-guided (semi-)rational design strategy has been the

^a State Key Laboratory of Bioreactor Engineering, New World Institute of Biotechnology, East China University of Science and Technology, No.130 Meilong Road, Shanghai 200237, People's Republic of China. E-mail: <u>jplin@ecust.edu.cn</u>

^b State Key Laboratory of Bioreactor Engineering, Biomedical Nanotechnology Center, School of Biotechnology, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, People's Republic of China.

^c National University of Singapore (Suzhou) Research Institute, No. 377 Linquan Street, Suzhou Industrial Park, Suzhou215123, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China. Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Journal Name

preferred choice because of smaller mutant libraries and reduced labor as well as process time. Regarding (semi-)rational design, various enzymes, including carbonyl reductases, have been successfully tailored to improve catalytic activity ^{22,23} or thermostability ^{24,25}, to improve or invert stereoselectivity ^{6,23,26} and to broaden the scope of substrates accepted ^{23,27}. As a result, more efficient enzymes for chiral alcohol synthesis have been designed and produced through structure-guided rational design.

Previously, we identified a NAD(H)-dependent short-chain dehydrogenase from Gluconobacter oxydans (GoCR), which displayed a broad substrate spectrum, and in particular, exhibited the great potential in reduction of halogenated acetophenones to the corresponding (S)-alcohols with exclusive Prelog-selectivity (>99% ee) 26,28. To probe the molecular mechanism of stereoselectivity, we solved the crystal structure of GoCR (PDB ID: 3WTC), and found Trp193 site played the critical role in determining stereoselectivity. Consequently, a single-site mutant W193A was constructed by rational design, and this mutant enzyme was proved to reduce OPBE to (R)-HPBE with a significantly improved ee of >99% compared to 43.2% for the wild type ²⁶. Despite this success, the low activity of the variant mut-W193A limited its application. Herein, we attempted to engineer GoCR via structure-guided semi-rational design for improved catalytic performance in asymmetric reduction of OPBE at high substrate loading. Computer-aided structural analysis was also employed to understand the potential mechanism for the improved performance of variants. Finally, the production of (R)-HPBE employing E. coli cells coexpressing GoCR variants and glucose dehydrogenase was also evaluated.

Experimental

Materials

ARTICLE

Strains Genomic DNA of Gluconobacter oxydans strain 621H was used as the template for PCR amplification of GoCR gene. Escherichia coli (E. coli) BL21 (DE3) cells and pET-28a (+) vector (Novagen, Shanghai, China) were used for over-expression of wild-type GoCR, its variants and glucose dehydrogenase. Oligonucleotide primers used for mutagenesis were synthesized at Sangni Biotech. (Shanghai, China). HPLC reagents hexane, 2-propanol and chemicals ethyl 2-oxo-4-phenylbutyrate (OPBE) as well as ethyl (R)-2-hydroxy-4phenylbutanoate ((R)-HPBE) were obtained from Adamas Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Ethyl (S)-2-hydroxy-4phenylbutanoate ((S)-HPBE) was purchased from Yuanye Bio-Technology Co. Ltd (Shanghai, China). Reduced β-nicotinamide adenine diphosphate (NADH) and β -nicotinamide adenine diphosphate (NAD⁺) were purchased from Jierui Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Other chemicals involved were analytical grade and commercially available.

Site-directed mutagenesis

Site-directed mutagenesis was conducted using the Amutation primers (presented in Table S1) according Control of the Manufacturer's instructions of KOD-Plus-Mutagenesis Kit (Toyobo, Japan), and the pET-28a (+) vector harbouring *Go*CR gene was used as template. Subsequently, the recombinant plasmids harbouring *Go*CR or its mutant gene were transformed into *E. coli* DH5 α competent cells. Afterwards, the colonies after transformation were selected for DNA sequencing to obtain all designed variants. After that, the recombinant plasmids harbouring designed variants were extracted from *E. coli* DH5 α cells and then transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) competent cells for enzyme expression.

Protein expression and purification

The *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells harbouring recombinant plasmids of *Go*CR or its variants were cultured in 5 mL of LB medium containing 50 μ g/mL kanamycin at 37°C. Subsequently, such overnight culture was inoculated into 200 mL LB medium and cultured at 37°C. When the optical density (OD₆₀₀) of culture reached to around 0.6, isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) with 0.25 mM final concentration was added to induce enzyme expression at 30°C for another 10 h. After induction completion, the recombinant *E. coli* cells were centrifuged at 4°C (6000 ×g, 15 min), washed with 0.9% NaCl solution for several times and stored at -40°C.

The collected recombinant *E. coli* cells were resuspended in PBS (100 mM, pH 7.4), and then disrupted through ultrasonication in an ice water bath, followed by centrifugation (12000 ×g, 30 min, 4°C) to collect supernatant. Subsequently, supernatant was filtered by 0.45 μ m hydrophilic membranes, and then loaded onto a 5 mL HisTrap FF crude column pre-equilibrated with 20 mM imidazole in PBS (100 mM, pH 7.4). The fractions were collected by gradient elution with an increasing concentration (20-500 mM) of imidazole in PBS (100 mM, pH 7.4). Fractions containing the target protein were verified by SDS-PAGE analysis and collected. The collections were dialyzed with PBS (20 mM, pH 7.4) containing 200 mM NaCl and 5% (v/v) glycerinum for desalting, and then concentrated by Millipore (3 KDa). The purity of target protein was checked by SDS-PAGE. The enzyme concentration was determined by the standard Bradford's method ²⁹.

Enzyme activity assay, kinetic parameter and catalytic efficiency determination

The enzyme activities of *Go*CR and its variants were determined by monitoring the change in NADH absorbance at 340 nm using a microplate reader (SpectraMax190, Molecular Devices, USA). The standard assay mixture (0.2 mL) consisted of PBS (100 mM, pH 7.4), 0.2 mM NADH, 10 mM substrate and appropriate diluted enzyme. All reactions except as specified were pre-mixed at 30°C and initiated by adding NADH. One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyzes the oxidation of 1.0 µmol NADH per minute.

The kinetic parameters of purified *Go*CR and its variants towards substrate OPBE were determined by measuring the activity at different substrate concentrations (0.1-2.0 mM) with a fixed NADH concentration of 0.2 mM. Initial velocity data obtained were fitted to the Michaelis-Menten equation. The Michaelis constant K_m and the maximum velocity V_{max} were calculated by using Lineweaver-Burk plots.

Journal Name

For comparison of stereoselectivity and catalytic activity of GoCR variants, OPBE (0.5 M), D-glucose (0.6 M), lyophilized E. coli cells harbouring GoCR variants (20 g/L), lyophilized E. coli cells harbouring GDH_{F170K/0252L} (20 g/L), octanol (10%), NAD⁺ (0.5 mM) and phosphate buffer (100 mM, pH 7.5) were combined. The reaction was carried out at 30°C and 200 rpm for 1 h. The conversion and stereoselectivity were determined by Gas Chromatography (GC) and High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), respectively. For easy comparison purpose, conversion of the control (mut-W193L) was set as 100%.

Circular dichroism spectroscopy studies

Secondary structural analysis by circular dichroism (CD) spectra at different temperatures can be used to provide information about enzyme stability ³⁰. The CD spectra of GoCR and its variants were measured by a Chirascan CD spectrometer (Applied Photophysics Ltd., Leatherhead, UK) equipped with a TC125 temperature-control system (Quantum Northwest, Liberty Lake, WA, USA). The unfolding curves were measured from 200 to 260 nm against gradient temperatures, using the temperature scan mode with a gradient of 1°C/min. The purified enzyme was diluted to 1.0-1.5 mg/mL in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The melting temperature (T_m) values were calculated by Global 3 analysis software (Applied Photophysics, UK).

Computational analysis

According to previous studies ³¹⁻³⁴, we chose the conformation of enzyme-substrate complex after energy minimization to calculate the binding free energy. Here, considering the reason that reaction enthalpy that is presumably driven by hydrogen bonding interaction between enzyme and substrate is the major thermodynamic factor, we used relative binding free energy (without entropy) to assess the relative importance of different amino acid residues in substrate binding, which had also been used in the previous study ³⁵. Specifically, the relative binding free energy ($\triangle G_{bind}$ in kcal/mol) of mut-W193L-OPBE complex after energy minimization was estimated by the Molecular Mechanics Generalized Born Surface Area (MM-GBSA) module implemented in AmberTools 14. Subsequently, the per-residue binding free energy decomposition was performed to identify the potential residues responsible for substrate binding process.

The virtual variants (mut-W193L, mut-W193L/C93I, mut-W193L/I198L and mut-W193L/C93I/I187L) of GoCR (PDB ID: 3WTC) were firstly constructed by free PyMoL-edu. Then, molecular docking between GoCR or its variants and OPBE was conducted by the AutoDock1.5.6 program. The detailed parameters were set according to our previous study except the following modification $^{\rm 26}.\ \mbox{In}$ particular, the substrate OPBE, Cys93, Ile187 and catalytic tetrad (Asn113-Ser142-Tyr155-Lys159) were set as flexible, while the other residues in variants were set as rigid. The enzyme-substrate conformation with the lowest binding energy was further analyzed by PyMoL-edu. Besides, the interactions of residues at positions 93, 187 and 193 site with other residues in GoCR or its variants were studied through the RING 2.0 web server (http://protein.bio.unipd.it/ring/) ³⁶.

Co-expressing of GoCR variant and glucose dehydrogenase in E. coli cells

A one-plasmid co-expression system of GoCR vivariant on and GDH_{E170K/Q252L} was constructed using the primers listed morable 32. Briefly, a thermostable glucose dehydrogenase gene (GDH_{E170K/Q252L}) ^{37,38} as constructed in vector pET-28a (+) (pET28a-GDH) using the primers listed in Table S2, entry 1, 2. Meanwhile, the gene (mutant) of GoCR mutant was cloned in vector pET-28a (+) (pET28a-mutant) using the primers listed in Table S2, entry 3, 4. Subsequently, the GDH_{E170K/Q252L} gene was amplified by PCR using primers with pET28a- $GDH_{E170K/Q252L}$ as a template and cloned into Hind III/Xhol I sites of vector pET28a-mutant (Table S2, entry 5, 6). As a result, genes mutant and GDH_{F170K/0252L} were arranged in tandem in one-plasmid pET28a (+), which was under the control of a separate T7 promoter and terminator, respectively.

Asymmetric reduction of OPBE

The whole-cell bioreduction of OPBE was conducted in a two-liquid (octanol : PBS = 15:85, v/v) 10-mL biphase reaction system which contained octanol (15%), PBS (100 mM, pH 7.5), OPBE (1.5-1.8 M), Dglucose (1.2 equiv.), lyophilized E. coli cells co-expressing GoCR variant and GDH $_{\rm E170K/Q252L}$ (13.8-55 g/L) and NAD $^{\rm +}$ (0.5 mM). The reaction temperature was kept at 30°C or 40°C, and the pH was adjusted to 7.5 by titrating Na₂CO₃.

Samples were withdrawn periodically, and extracted with ethyl acetate (EtAc). Centrifugation (12,000 × g, 10 min) was carried out to facilitate the separation of two phases. The organic layer was dehydrated using anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and then subjected to GC analysis (Agilent 7820A system) with the HP-5 column to determine the conversion and yield. Otherwise, the samples were heated to remove EtAc, re-dissolved in isopropanol and then filtered with filter membranes (0.22 µm) for chiral HPLC analysis with chiral column OD-H for determination of enantiomeric excess (ee) value under the following conditions: detection wavelength of 210 nm, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min, n-hexane : isopropanol volume ratio of 98:2. The absolute configuration of products was compared according to authentic standards or literature reports ^{11,26,39}. The retention time of (S)- and (R)-HPBE was 12.7 min and 18.4 min, respectively (Fig. S1). The HPLC detection limit (DL) of (R)- and (S)-HPBE were 0.03 and 0.02 mM, and their quantitation limit (QL) were 0.09 and 0.08 mM, respectively. The product from reduction of OPBE by mut-W913L/C93I/I187L was confirmed by ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 Hz): δ =1.38 (3H, t; OCH₂CH₃), 1.99-2.10 (1H, m; ArCH₂CHH), 2.17-2.27 (1H, m; ArCH₂CHH), 2.80-2.93 (2H, m; ArCH₂), 3.16 (1H, s; OH), 4.25-4.36 (3H, m; OCH₂CH₃, CHOH), 7.25-7.42 (5H, m; Ar-H) (Fig. S2).

Results and discussion

Computer-aided mutation of carbonyl reductase GoCR

Our previous study revealed that residue Trp193 located in the substrate-binding pocket plays a critical role in determining the stereoselectivity of carbonyl reductase GoCR via a computational strategy ²⁶. Herein, residue Trp193 that might affect the stereoselectivity and activity were analysed in detail by

ARTICLE

This journal is C The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Published on 07 September 2020. Downloaded by University of Rochester on 9/20/2020 10:27:17 AM

Table 1. Stereoselectivity and specific activity of wild-type GoCR

 and its variants at 193 site.

Mutante	ee value	Specific activity	Improved fold	
Watants	(%, R)	(U/mg)		
WT	43.0	3.7 ± 0.3	1	
W193A	99.3	16.3 ± 0.6	4.4	
W193L	99.1	18.4 ± 0.7	5.0	
W193I	99.2	14.0 ± 1.1	3.8	
W193V	99.1	14.7 ± 0.5	4.0	
W193F	96.3	13.0 ± 0.8	3.5	
W193M	98.6	3.8 ± 0.2	1.0	
W193N	98.2	2.4 ± 0.5	0.6	
W193P	97.9	9.3 ± 0.8	2.5	
W193Q	98.4	4.7 ± 0.3	1.3	
W193S	98.3	5.9 ± 1.1	1.6	
W193T	97.7	1.3 ± 0.2	0.4	
W193G	98.5	3.1 ± 0.5	0.8	
W193Y	98.6	4.3 ± 0.7	1.2	
W193C	98.5	4.8 ± 0.3	1.3	

semisaturation mutagenesis. Considering that the substrate-binding pocket of GoCR is electrically neutral, a smart mutant library of noncharged amino acid substitutions at 193 site was constructed and screened for concurrent improvements in stereoselectivity and enzyme activity. SDS-PAGE analysis showed that all variants were expressed in soluble form in E. coli cells with molecular weights (MW) about 28 kDa, similar to the wild-type GoCR (Fig. S3). As shown in Table 1, all variants in the mutant library exhibited significantly improved stereoselectivity compared to wild-type GoCR. Among them, in addition to the previously reported mut-W193A ²⁶, three variants, W193L (named as mut-W193L), W193I (mut-W193I) and W193V (mut-W193V), also showed the highest stereoselectivity of >99%. Moreover, it was noted that Trp193 residue was also identified as a potential 'hotspot' for improving enzyme activity. Most variants exhibited higher activity than the wild-type GoCR, especially the variant mut-W193L had the highest enzyme activity of 18.4 U/mg, exhibiting a 5.0-fold improvement compared to the wildtype GoCR. Taken stereoselectivity and enzyme activity into consideration, mut-W193L was chosen to further improve its catalytic efficiency towards OPBE.

The computational method including molecular docking and Molecular Mechanics Generalized Born Surface Area (MM-GBSA) method was used to identify potential residues for improving enzyme activity of mut-W193L. As shown in Fig. 1a, molecular docking analysis revealed that the substrate OPBE was accurately located at the substrate-binding pocket of mut-W193L, which further confirmed that OPBE could be reduced by mut-W193L. Subsequently, 22 residues (Cys93, Ser142, Ile143, Ala144, Gly145, Glu147, Gly148, Tyr149, Leu152, Tyr155, Pro185, Gly186, Ile187,

Val188, Met192, Leu193, Ile196, Lys213, Lys214, Tyr215, Val216, Leu256) in the vicinity of 4 Å from the substrate OPBE were selected for the per-residue binding free energy calculated by the MM-GBSA method to discern the 'hotspots' in mut-W193L. According to the reported study, binding free energy values $riangle G_{bind}$ >-1.0 kcal/mol was identified as major contributors to the substrate binding ⁴⁰. Accordingly, five residues (Cys93, Ser142, Tyr155, Ile187 and Leu193) were chosen as candidate sites for affecting substrate binding due to relatively high binding free energy values ($riangle G_{bind}$ >-1.0 kcal/mol) (Fig. 1b). Among these residues, Ser142 and Tyr155 are significant catalytic residues in the conserved catalytic tetrad (Asn113-Ser142-Tyr155-Lys159) of mut-W193L, and the Leu193 site has been modified previously. These three amino acid residues were therefore excluded from mutagenesis. Therefore, another two residues (Cys93 and Ile187) in the substrate-binding pocket (Fig. 1c) were chosen as target sites for saturation mutagenesis and subsequent combination of beneficial mutations.

After being expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3), all variants were similar to mut-W193L in protein expression, indicating that residue substitutions of 93 site and 187 site did not affect protein expression (Fig. S4 and S5). After screening of the saturation mutation library at 93 site, eight double-site variants (mut-W193L/C93I, mut-W193L/C93L, mut-W193L/C93F, mut-W193L/C93S, mut-W193L/C93Q, mut-W193L/C93T, mut-W193L/C93Y, mut-W193L/C93G) exhibiting 1.1- to 2.2-fold improvements in catalytic activity were identified compared with single-site variant mut-W193L, indicating 93 residue could affect enzyme activity (Fig. 2a). For the mutants at 187 site, four hits (mut-W193L/I187F, mut-W193L/I187L, mut-W193L/I187S, mut-W193L/I187V) exhibited obvious enhancement in catalytic activity relative to single-site variant mut-W193L, which revealed that 187 site was another hotspot for affecting enzyme activity (Fig. 2b). These results further verified our above prediction that 93 and 187 sites could obviously affect the interaction between enzyme and substrate. Among them,



Fig. 1. Residues chosen for mutation of mut-W193L. (a) Residues (in orange) within the substrate-binding pocket of mut-W193L within a 4 Å vicinity of the substrate OPBE. (b) Perresidue binding free energy calculated by the MM-GBSA method for mut-W193L-OPBE complex. Only negative ΔG_{bind} value of residues contributing to the binding of OPBE are displayed. (c) The candidate sites (Cys93 and Ile187) in substrate-binding pocket of mut-W193L for mutation.

Published on 07 September 2020. Downloaded by University of Rochester on 9/20/2020 10:27:17 AM



Fig. 2. Relative activities of mutants as compared to that of the mut-W193L. (a) C93 site mutants, (b) I187 site mutants and (c) optimal double-site variants and three-site variant.

the best double-site variants mut-W193L/C193I and mut-W193L/I187L exhibited 2.2 and 1.6 times as catalytic activity as the mut-W193L, respectively. Cooperative mutational and additivity effects are often adopted to further improve catalytic activity when simplifying protein engineering ⁴¹. Hence, the mut-W193L/C93I and mut-W193L/I187L were attempted to combine for increasing activity. As expected, variant mut-W193L/C93I/I187L displayed a 1.2- and 1.6-fold further increase in catalytic activity in comparison to mut-W193L/C93I and mut-W193L/C93I and mut-W193L/C93I and mut-W193L/C93I of the best variant mut-W193L/C93I/I187L toward OPBE remained >99% *ee*.

Analysis of mutants with increased catalytic activity from kinetics and structural perspective

To comparatively evaluate catalytic efficiency and elucidate the reason for the increase in activity from kinetics perspective, the specific activities and kinetic parameters of the purified wild-type *Go*CR and its variants with increased catalytic activity were determined (Table 2 and Fig. S6). In comparison with the wild-type *Go*CR, all variants exhibited a great improvement in specific activity toward OPBE (Table 2), which was consistent with the increased catalytic activities of these variants (Fig. 2). Correspondingly, single-site mutation (mut-W193L), double-site mutation (mut-W193L/C93I,

Table 2. Specific activity and kinetic parameters of *Go*CR and itsvariants towards OPBE.

En tr y	Mutants	Specific activity (U/mg)	K _m (mM)	k _{cat} (s ⁻¹)	k _{cat} /K _m (mM⁻¹s⁻¹)
1	<i>Go</i> CR	3.7 ± 0.3	0.87 ± 0.08	1.96 ± 0.43	2.25
2	W193A	16.3 ± 0.6	0.53 ± 0.05	8.29 ± 1.35	15.64
3	W193L	18.4 ± 0.7	0.46 ± 0.04	10.10 ± 1.5	21.96
4	W193L/C9 3I	43.5 ± 2.2	0.27 ± 0.07	21.21 ± 2.84	78.56
5	W193L/I1 87L	33.9 ± 1.6	0.44 ± 0.04	18.52 ± 1.72	42.09
6	W193L/C9 3I/I187L	51.7 ± 2.9	0.31 ± 0.03	25.78 ± 2.11	83.16

mut-W193L/I187L) and triple-site mutation (mut-W193L/C93I/I187L) exhibited a progressive increase in catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_m) compared to GoCR. Moreover, the enhancement in k_{cat}/K_m was attributed to the decrease in K_m and the increase in k_{cat} . It was noted that the introduction of Leu substitution of Trp193 made an important contribution to the increased activity and the increased catalytic efficiency, affording a 5.0-fold increase in specific activity and 9.8-fold increase in k_{cat}/K_m toward OPBE in comparison with wild-type GoCR (Table 2, entry 1, 3). Subsequently, compared with the starting mut-W193L, mut-W193L/C93I and mut-W193L/I187L displayed 3.6- and 1.9-fold further increase in k_{cat}/K_m , respectively (Table 2, entry 3, 4, 5). The enhanced k_{cat}/K_m of mut-W193L/C93I thus improved specific activity mainly benefit from the decreased K_m and increased k_{cat} . The combinational mutations of W193L/C93I with W193L/I187L resulted in clearly additivity effects on activity. The resulting variant (mut-W193L/C93I/I187L) exhibited the highest specific activity and the most enhanced k_{cat}/K_m value, which mainly benefited from a decrease in K_m value together with an increase in k_{cat} value (Table 2, entry 6). Furthermore, mut-W193L/C93I/I187L exhibited 5.3-fold higher catalytic efficiency compared to that of reported mut-W193A (Table 2, entry 2, 6), due to the decreased $K_{\rm m}$ and increased $k_{\rm cat}$. In general, mut-W193L/C93I/I187L exhibited a 14.0-fold enhancement in specific activity and a 37.0-fold increase in k_{cat}/K_m compared to wild-type GoCR (Table 2, entry 1, 6).

Moreover, the mechanism for the enhancement of catalytic activity regarding amino acid substitutions was also explored at atomic level. Thus, molecular docking between GoCR or its variants and substrate was performed. According to the carbonyl reductase GoCR reaction mechanism, catalytic residue Tyr155 and NADH donated their hydrogen atoms to the carbonyl oxygen and stereogenic carbon atom of substrate OPBE, respectively, resulting in the reduction of OPBE (Fig. 3a). Thus, the Distance 1 was defined as the distance between the stereogenic carbonyl carbon atom of OPBE and a hydrogen from the C₄ atom of the nicotinamide ring of NADH. The Distance 2 was defined as the distance between the carbonyl oxygen atom of OPBE and the hydroxyl group of Tyr155. Both Distance 1 and Distance 2 played key roles in determining the catalytic activity of enzyme towards the substrate OPBE. For GoCR, the Distance 1 and Distance 2 were 4.4 Å and 3.8 Å, respectively (Fig. 3b and Table S3, entry 1), indicating the stable catalytic conformation was not easily achieved. After mutation of Trp at 193 site to Leu, the Distance 1 and

Published on 07 September 2020. Downloaded by University of Rochester on 9/20/2020 10:27:17 AM

Journal Name



Fig. 3. (a) Proposed catalytic mechanism of *Go*CR and its variants for the reduction of OPBE; Molecular docking of OPBE into the active sites of *Go*CR and its variants towards OPBE. (b) *Go*CR, (c) mut-W193L, (d) mut-W193L/C93I, (e) mut-W193L/I187L and (f) mut-W193L/C93I/I187L.

Distance 2 was shorten to 3.8 Å and 3.2 Å, respectively (Fig. 3c and Table S3, entry 2). Subsequently, compared with mut-W193L, the Distance 1 in mut-W193L/C93I, mut-W193L/I187L and mut-W193L/C93I/I187L was obviously shortened (Fig. 3d, 3e, 3f and Table S3, entry 3-5), which benefited nucleophilic attack on stereogenic carbonyl carbon atom of OPBE. Meanwhile, the Distance 2 in mut-W193L/C93I, mut-W193L/I187L as well as mut-W193L/C93I/I187L were also shortened to within 2.9 Å, which was beneficial for the reduction of carbonyl oxygen atom of OPBE. Additionally, Ser142 can stabilize substrate or transition state of intermediate through hydrogen bond (H-bond) between carbonyl oxygen atom of OPBE and hydroxyl groups of Ser142 (Fig. 3a). The GoCR could not form a stable H-bond with substrate OPBE because the Distance 3 between carbonyl oxygen atom of OPBE and hydroxyl groups of Ser142 was 5.5 Å, which was longer than 3.5 Å that is the threshold for forming a stable H-bond (Fig. 3b and Table S3, entry 1). Furthermore, for mut-W193L, the stable H-bond between Ser142 and OPBE still could not be formed (Fig. 3c and Table S3, entry 2), thus the stable transition states of intermediate could not occur. After further mutation at 93 site and 187 site, such distance in all three mutants was shortened to within 3.3 Å (Fig. 3d, 3e, 3f and Table S3, entry 3-5), therefore stable H-bond could be formed which could stabilize the transition states of intermediate. It was also part of main reason for the increase in catalytic activity. Therefore, mutants at the 93 site and 189 site (mut-W193L/C93I, mut-W193L/I187L, mut-W193L/C93I/I17L) chosen by structural-guide analysis significantly improved enzyme catalytic activity by shortening the distances of OPBE with NADH and with key catalytic residues in substrate-binding pocket.

Thermostability analysis of GoCR variants

Given that there is sometimes a trade-off between enzymatic activity and enzyme stability 30,42, the thermostabilities in terms of melting point ($T_{\rm m}$) of GoCR and four variants (mut-W193L, mut-W193L/C93I, mut-W193L/I187L and mut-W193L/C93I/I187L) were determined by CD measurements. Fig. S7 and Fig. S8 showed that GoCR and its variants exhibited double minimal negative peaks at approximately 208 nm and 222 nm, implying abundant α -helixs in GoCR and its variants, which are consistent with the crystal structure of GoCR (PDB ID: 3WTC) ²⁶. As the temperature increased, the CD values at 208 and 222 nm for the tested variants gradually decreased, indicating the unfolding of protein increased, which was due to the destroyed secondary structure. The T_m values of mut-W193L/C93I, mut-W193L/I187L and mut-W193L/C93I/I187L were 62.4, 60.3 and 70.7°C, respectively, higher than the mut-W193L (T_m =58.8°C) (Fig. 4a and 4b). Thus, both thermostability and catalytic activity of the engineered mut-W193L/C93I, mut-W193L/I187L and mut-W193L/C93I/I187L were improved compared to mut-W193L. Besides, although the thermostability of mut-W193L and mut-



Fig. 4. CD analysis of *Go*CR and its variants (a) Thermal denaturation of *Go*CR and its variants by CD spectra; (b) Melting temperature (T_m) of *Go*CR and its variants.

Published on 07 September 2020. Downloaded by University of Rochester on 9/20/2020 10:27:17 AM

Journal Name

W193L/I187L had slightly decreased and mut-W193L/C93I did not change a lot compared to wild-type GoCR ($T_m = 62.1^{\circ}$ C), mut-W193L/C93I/I187L exhibited obviously increased thermostability (Fig. 4b). It is worth noting that mut-W193L/C93I/I187L with the highest enzyme activity showed the highest thermostability. The T_m value of mut-W193L/C93I/I187L was enhanced by 8.6°C compared to the wild-type GoCR. As we known, in addition to the increased catalytic activity, excellent thermostability of enzyme is also preferable in large-scale industrial processes, because higher reaction temperature often effectively lowered the risk of contamination, improved mass transfer rate and promoted the solubility of poorly dissolved substrates ⁴³. In the past few decades, numerous successful examples have been reported for improving the thermostability of enzymes by directed evolution or (semi-) rational design ⁴³⁻⁴⁵. In these studies, the mutation sites selected are usually far away from the active pocket or have no direct interaction with the substrate. In our study, the best variant mut-W193L/C93I/I187L with significant improvement in both catalytic efficiency and thermostability was obtained by engineering the amino acid residues in the substrate-binding pocket, which had direct interaction with substrate.

In order to elucidate the mechanism of increased thermostability, the major intramolecular interactions, such as the Van der Waals's interactions, salt bridges, hydrogen bonds and disulphide bond that are considered as the dominant structural factors responsible for protein thermostability, were analyzed using the RING 2.0 web server ⁴⁶. As shown in Fig. 5, 93 and 187 sites are located on flexible loop8 and loop12 surrounding the active site residues, respectively. Compared with the model structure of mut-W193L, mut-W193L/C93I revealed that two new van der Waals interaction were formed by lle93 and lle196 located on helix α 7, and by lle187 with Leu193 located on helix α 7 (Fig. 5a and 5b). Additional 1187L mutation in mut-W193L formed a new van der Waals interaction by lle187 with Leu193 located on helix α 7 (Fig. 5a and 5c). All the above van der Waals interactions were observed in mut-W193L/C93I/I187L, besides, another new van der Waals interaction



Fig. 5. Local residue interactions of *Go*CR variants predicted by the RING 2.0 web server. (a) mut-W193L, (b) mut-W193L/C93I, (c) mut-W193L/I187L and (d) mut-W193L/C93I/I187L. The hydrogen bonds and Van der Waals interactions are indicated by light blue and gray lines, respectively.

ARTICLE

formed by IIe187 with Tyr212 located on helix α 8 (Fig. 5ar and 5d). Together, these additional van der Waals interaction 3 (Creased the stability of flexible loop8 and loop12 surrounding the active site residues, which might account for the significantly increased melting temperature of mut-W193L/C93I, mut-W193L/I187L and mut-W193L/C93I/I187L in comparison with the mut-W193L. Similar phenomenon also could be observed in Gong's study ⁴¹. Besides, the increased thermostability of mut-W193L/C93I/I187L in comparison with wild-type *Go*CR might be due to the further enhanced stability of flexible loop8 (Fig. 5d and Fig. S9), which resulted from the new van der Waals interaction formed by Ile93 and Ile196 located on helix α 7.

Synthesis of (R)-HPBE using engineered variants

To further evaluate the feasibility of biocatalytic process at high substrate loading, asymmetric reduction of OPBE to (R)-HPBE was performed using lyophilized recombinant E. coli cells overexpressing GoCR variants (mut-W193L, mut-W193L/C93I, mut-W193L/I187L or mut-W193L/C93I/I187L) and GDH_{E170K/Q252L} for the regeneration of NADH in situ (Fig. 6a and Fig. S10). The variants mut-W193L/C93I, mut-W193L/I187L and mut-W193L/C93I/I187L continued to display pronounced catalytic activity towards OPBE compared to mut-W193L which exhibited low conversion of about 68.5% within 22 h (Fig. 6b and Table 3, entry 3). Among these variants, mut-W193L/C93I/I187L displayed the highest reaction rate towards 1.5 M OPBE, completing conversion within 12 h, followed by mut-W193L/C93I within 16 h. The mut-W193L/I187L also converted over 99% of 1.5 M OPBE within 22 h (Fig. 6b and Table 3, entry 4-6). The specific activity of mut-W193L/C93I/I187 was the highest among the GoCR variants; it is therefore reasonable that its catalytic efficiency were higher than those of the other three variants in the trial. Moreover, mut-W193L/C93I/I187 also exhibited higher catalytic efficiency than the reported mut-W193A, which was consistent with the above results of specific activity (Table 3, entry 2, 6). Although all variants exhibited different catalytic efficiency, they displayed



Fig. 6. Time courses of reduction of OPBE by variants. (a) Representative asymmetric reduction of OPBE by variants; (b) Time course of reduction of 1.5 M OPBE by mut-W193L, mut-W193L/C93I, mut-W193L/I187L or mut-W193L/C93I/I187L; (c) Time courses of reduction of 1.8 M OPBE by mut-W193L/C93I/I187L at different temperatures (30°C and 40°C).

This journal is S The Royal Society of Chemistry 2000

Accepted Manuscri

ence & Techr

Jatalysis Sci

Journal Name

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D0CY01411G

Table 3. Asymmetric reduction of OPBE catalyzed by GoCR or its variants.							
Entry	Biocatalyst	OPBE (M)	S/C ratio (g/g) ^d	Temperature (°C)	Time(h)	Conversion (%)	ee (%)
1	WT ^a	1.5	5.6	30	20	9.7	43.0
2	W193A	1.5	11.2	30	24	39.2	99.2
3	W193L ^a	1.5	11.2	30	22	68.5	99.3
4	W193L/I187L ^a	1.5	11.2	30	22	>99	99.4
5	W193L/C93Iª	1.5	11.2	30	16	>99	99.3
6	W193L/C93I/I187Lª	1.5	11.2	30	12	>99	99.4
7	W193L/C93I/I187L ^b	1.5	22.4	30	18	>99	99.2

^a Reaction condition: OPBE (309 g/L), D-glucose (1.2 equiv.), lyophilized *E. coli* cells co-expressing GDH_{E170K/Q252L} and *Go*CR (55 g/L) or its variants (27.5 g/L), octanol (15%) and NAD⁺ (0.5 mM). The reaction temperature was kept at 30°C.

^b Reaction condition: OPBE (309 g/L), D-glucose (1.2 equiv.), lyophilized *E. coli* cells co-expressing GDH_{E170K/Q252L} and mut-W193L/C93I/I187L (13.8 g/L), octanol (15%) and NAD⁺ (0.5 mM). The reaction temperature was kept at 30°C.

^c Reaction condition: OPBE (371 g/L), D-glucose (1.2 equiv.), lyophilized *E. coli* cells co-expressing GDH_{E170K/Q252L} and mut-W193L/C93I/I187L (13.8 g/L), octanol (15%) and NAD⁺ (0.5 mM). The reaction temperature was kept at 30 or 40°C. ^d S/C: substrate/catalyst ratio

8	W193L/C93I/I187L°	1.8	26.9	30	20	>99	99.1
9	W193L/C93I/I187L°	1.8	26.9	40	14	>99	99.2

excellent stereoselectivity toward OPBE and afforded (*R*)-HPBE with >99% *ee* (Table 3, entry 2-6).

Although the variant mut-W193L/C93I/I187L displayed excellent activity, the observed substrate/catalyst (S/C) ratio of 11.2 g/g was still low (Table 3, entry 6). When the cell concentration was reduced to 13.8 g/L of lyophilized *E. coli* cells, affording the increased S/C ratio of 22.4 g/g, the reaction at a substrate loading of 1.5 M was accomplished completely within 18 h by mut-W193L/C93I/I187L (Fig. 6b and Table 3, entry 7). Excitingly, even at a higher substrate loading of 1.8 M, a complete conversion was also achieved in 20 h with the catalyst loading of 26.9 g/g (Fig. 6b and Table 3, entry 8).

Given that thermostability of mut-W193L/C93I/I187L was increased significantly, and the half-life of mut-W193L/C93I/I187L at 40°C was 19.3 h (Fig. S11), we attempted to carry out the reduction of OPBE by mut-W193L/C93I/I187L at higher temperature of 40°C, instead of 30°C, in order to shorten the reaction time. As shown in Fig. 6c and Table 3, entry 8, 9, 1.8 M OPBE could be completely converted at 40°C within the shorter time of 14 h compared to 20 h at 30°C. This

result highlighted the considerable operational stability of mut-W193L/C93I/I187L under the reaction conditions, which is in agreement with its high T_m value. To sum up, several mutants with excellent catalytic efficiency were successfully constructed through the semi-rational strategy. As a result, the catalyst loading S/C ratio ($g_{substrate}/g_{catalyst}$) was dramatically increased from 5.6 to 26.9 g/g, and the substrate concentration which could be completely reduced by the best mut-W193L/C93I/I187L was significantly enhanced to 1.8 M (371 g/L), resulting in >99% *ee* and 540.4 g/(L·day) space-time yield. It is noteworthy that a substrate loading with >99% conversion in this study reached 371 g/L, which was higher than the highest record reported so far for the reduction of OPBE to produce (*R*)-HPBE (Table S4). These results showed the excellent catalytic activity and potential application of variant mut-W193L/C93L/I187L for production of (*R*)-HPBE.

Substrate spectrum of mut-W193L/C93I/I187L

Table 4. Carbonyl compounds with different molecular volumes catalyzed by mut-W193L/C93I/I187L.

Entry	Substrate	Volume (Å)	Conv.(%)	ee (%)

ARTICIF

8 | J. Name., 2012, **00**, 1-3

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

1	2'-Fluoroacetophenone		127.18	> 99ª DOI: 10.10	View Article Online 39/D0CY014110
2	2'-Bromoacetophenone	Ř.	143.31	>99ª	>99 (S)
3	4'-Chloroacetophenone		137.62	>99ª	>99 (S)
4	Ethyl benzoylformate		178.53	>99 ^b	>99 (R)
5	3',5'-Bis(trifluoromethyl)acetophenone	α, C	196.37	>99ª	>99 (S)
6	ethyl 2-Oxo-4-phenyl-butyrate		210.04	>99ª	>99 (R)
7	2-Oxo-4-phenylbutyric acid	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	170.58	N.A. ^{b,c}	
8	(4 <i>S</i>)-3-[5-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,5-dioxophentyl]-4-phenyl-1, 3-oxazolidin-2-one		342.82	N.A. ^{b,c}	

^a 100 mM substrate concentrate.

^b 10 mM substrate concentrate.

^c No measurable activity.

In order to further evaluate the application potential of mut-W193L/C93I/I187L, we explored a panel of substrates and found that mut-W193L/C93I/I187L displayed a broad substrate spectrum, notably exhibiting higher reduction activity towards α -diketones (Table S5). Compared with wild-type GoCR, mut-W193L/C93I/I187L showed a general improvement in catalytic efficiency toward the tested substrates, with the exceptions of 2, 3-Butanedione and 2-Pentanone. In addition, we observed that mut-W193L/C93I/I187L tended to reduce the ketones with bulky aromatic side chains. Thus, mut-W193L/C93I/I187L can serve as an attractive and versatile biocatalyst in the reduction of ketone substrates. Considering that mut-W193L/C93I/I187L exhibited high activity towards aromatic ketones, we also tested a panel of aromatic ketones with various molecular volumes as substrates to further examine the enzymatic performance of mut-W193L/C93I/I187L. The results showed that aromatic ketone and ketone ester substrates with volume ranging from 127.18-210.04 Å could be efficiently and stereo-selectively reduced by mut-W193L/C93I/I187L (Table 4), thereby indicating its strong catalytic performance with bulky aromatic ketone and ketone ester substrates. In contrast, no detectable activity was observed towards aromatic keto acid (Table 4, entry 7) or the bulky diaryl ketone (Table 4, entry 8). In conclusion, mut-W193L/C93I/I187L exhibited a broad substrate spectrum and high catalytic activity towards bulky substrates, indicating its versatility and potential applications as a catalyst in the preparation of chiral alcohols.

Conclusions

In this study, the key residue Cys93 responsible for stereoselectivity and enzyme activity, as well as Ile187 and Trp193 site that played the role in determining the enzyme activity of *Go*CR were identified, based on computational approach in combination with semisaturation/saturation mutagenesis. Subsequently, four *Go*CR variants that exhibited simultaneous enhancement in catalytic

activity and stereoselectivity toward OPBE compared with the wildtype enzyme were successfully obtained using single-site mutation and cooperative mutation. The variants mut-W193L, mut-W193L/C93I, mut-W193L/I187L and mut-W193L/C93I/I187L showed the excellent stereoselectivities with >99% ee compared to 43.0% of the wild-type GoCR. Among these variants, mut-W193L/C93I/I187L showed the highest specific activity and catalytic efficiency, which were enhanced by 14.0- and 37.0-fold, respectively, compared to the wild-type GoCR. It was noted that the simultaneous enhancement in thermostability was occurred on the variant mut-W193L/C93I/I187L. The $T_{\rm m}$ of mut-W193L/C93I/I187L was improved to 70.7°C from 62.1°C of the wild-type GoCR. Structural analysis of variant revealed that the obvious shortened distances of substrate with nicotinamide cofactor NADH and with catalytic residues Ser142 and Tyr155 in these four variants accounted for the increased catalytic activity. Additionally, three additional van der Waals interactions stabilize flexible loop 8 and loop 12 around the active sites, leading to an 8.6°C improvement in thermostability when compared with wild-type GoCR. Most importantly, at an elevated temperature of 40°C, as high as 1.8 M OPBE could be completely reduced with >99% ee and 540.4 g/(L·day) space-time yield, indicating the great potential of mut-W193L/C93I/I187L for preparative synthesis of (R)-HPBE.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (No. 19ZR1412700), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 22221818014), Natural Science Foundation in Jiangsu Province (BK20160373) and partially supported by Open Funding Project of the State Key Laboratory of Bioreactor Engineering.

References

Published on 07 September 2020. Downloaded by University of Rochester on 9/20/2020 10:27:17 AM

- 1 B. S. Chen and F. Z. R. de Souza, RSC Adv. 2019, 9, 2102-2115.
- 2 Y. Nie, S. S. Wang, Y. Xu, S. G. Luo, Y. L. Zhao, R. Xiao, G. T. Montelione, J. F. Hunt and T. Szyperski, *ACS Catal.* 2018, **8**, 5145-5152.
- 3 Y. Zhou, Q. Y. Peng, L. Zhang, S. H. Cheng, L. T. Zeng, F. Dong and Z. Y. Yang, *Food Chem.* 2019, **280**, 27-33.
- 4 G.-C. Xu and Y. Ni, Bioresour. Bioprocess. 2015, 2, 15.
- 5 P. Herold, A. F. Indolese, M. Studer, H. P. Jalett, U. Siegrist and H. U. Blaser, *Tetrahedron* 2000, **56**, 6497-6499.
- 6 Z. G. Wang, S. Zhou, S. L. Zhang, S. Zhang, F. M. Zhu, X. L. Jin, Z. M. Chen and X. L. Xu, *Sci. Rep-UK* 2017, 7, 4007-4017.
- 7 S. H. Huang and S. W. Tsai, J. Mol. Cata. I B-Enzym. 2004, 28, 65-69.
- 8 A. Liese, U. Kragl, H. Kierkels and B. Schulze, *Enzyme Microb. Tech.* 2002, **30**, 673-681.
- 9 T. Sugai and H. Ohta, Agric. Biol. Chem. 1991, 55, 293-294.
- 10 W. Q. Lin, Z. He, Y. Jing, X. Cui, H. Liu and A. Q. Mi, *Tetrahedron-Asymmetr.* 2001, **12**, 1583-1587.
- 11 Y. Ni, Y. Su, H. Li, J. Zhou and Z. Sun, *J. Biotechnol.* 2013, **168**, 493-498.
- 12 Y. Chen, H. Lin, X. Xu, S. Xia and L. Wang, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 426-430.
- 13 I. Kaluzna, A. A. Andrew, M. Bonilla, M. R. Martzen and J. D. Stewart, J. Mol. Catal. B-Enzym. 2002, 17, 101-105.
- 14 Y. G. Shi, Y. Fang, H. P. Wu, F. Li and X. Q. Zuo, *Biocatal. Biotransfor.* 2009, 27, 211-218.
- 15 I. Lavandera, A. Kern, M. Schaffenberger, J. Gross, A. Glieder, S. de Wildeman and W. Kroutil, *ChemSusChem* 2008, 1, 431-436.
- 16 W. Zhang, Y. Ni, Z. H. Sun, P. Zheng, W. Q. Lin, P. Zhu and N. F. Ju, *Process Biochem.* 2009, **44**, 1270-1275.
- 17 N. D. Shen, Y. Ni, H. M. Ma, L. J. Wang, C. X. Li, G. W. Zheng, J. Zhang and J. H. Xu, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1982-1985.
- 18 C. Li, R. Zhang, J. Wang, L. M. Wilson and Y. Yan, *Trends Biotechnol.* 2020, **38**, 729-744.
- 19 Z. Q. Liu, L. Wu, X. J. Zhang, Y. P. Xue and Y. G. Zheng, *J. Agr. Food Chem.* 2017, **65**, 3721-3729.
- 20 M. T. Reetz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12480-12496.
- 21 I. Stanzione, C. Pezzella, P. Giardina, G. Sannia and A. Piscitelli, *Appl. Microbiol. Biot.* 2020, **104**, 915-924.
- 22 L. Ba, P. Li, H. Zhang, Y. Duan and Z. L. Lin, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 2013, **110**, 2815-2825.
- 23 C. Zhang, Y. Liu, C. Li, Y. Xu, Y. Su, J. Li, J. Zhao and M. Wu, *Sci. Rep-UK* 2020, **10**, 1680-1691.
- O. Spadiut, C. Leitner, C. Salaheddin, B. Varga, B. G. Vertessy, T. C. Tan, C. Divne and D. Haltrich, *FEBS J.* 2009, **276**, 776-792.
- 25 Y. Y. Xia, W. J. Cui, Z. Y. Cheng, L. Peplowski, Z. M. Liu, M. Kobayashi and Z. M. Zhou, *ChemCatChem* 2018, **10**, 1370-1375.
- 26 J. Deng, Z. Yao, K. Chen, Y. A. Yuan, J. Lin and D. Wei, *J. Biotechnol.* 2016, **217**, 31-40.
- 27 J. Vina-Gonzalez, D. Jimenez-Lalana, F. Sancho, A. Serrano, A. T. Martinez, V. Guallar and M. Alcalde, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2019, **361**, 2514-2525.
- 28 X. Liu, R. Chen, Z. W. Yang, J. L. Wang, J. P. Lin and D. Z. Wei, *Mol. Biotechnol.* 2014, **56**, 285-295.
- 29 M. M. Bradford, Anal. Biochem. 1976, 72, 248-254.
- 30 F.-F. Chen, G.-W. Zheng, L. Liu, H. Li, Q. Chen, F.-L. Li, C.-X. Li and J.-H. Xu, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 2622-2628.
- 31 T. J. Hou, J. M. Wang, Y. Y. Li and W. Wang, J. Comput. Chem. 2011, 32, 866-877.
- 32 A. Lindstrom, L. Edvinsson, A. Johansson, C. D. Andersson, I. E. Andersson, F. Raubacher and A. Linusson, *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 2011, **51**, 267-282.

- 33 A. Weis, K. Katebzadeh, P. Soderhjelm, I. Nilsson and U. Ryde J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 6596-6606. DOI: 10.1039/DOC/01411G DOI: 10.1039/DOC/01411G
- 34 K. Wichapong, A. Rohe, C. Platzer, I. Slynko, F. Erdmann, M. Schmidt and W. Sippl, J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2014, 54, 881-893.
- 35 T. Li, M. Froeyen and P. Herdewijn, J. Mol. Graph. Model. 2008, 26, 813-823.
- 36 D. Piovesan, G. Minervini and S. C. E. Tosatto, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016, **44**, W367-W374.
- 37 B. Liang, Q. L. Lang, X. J. Tang and A. H. Liu, *Bioresource Technol.* 2013, **147**, 492-498.
- 38 E. Vazquez-Figueroa, J. Chaparro-Riggers and A. S. Bommarius, ChemBioChem 2007, 8, 2295-2301.
- 39 R. Chen, J. Deng, J. P. Lin, X. P. Yin, T. Xie, S. L. Yang and D. Z. Wei, *Biotechnol. Appl. Bioc.* 2016, 63, 465-470.
- 40 G. De Simone, E. Langella, D. Esposito, C. T. Supuran, S. M. Monti, J. Y. Winum and V. Alterio, *J. Enzym. Inhib. Med. Ch.* 2017, **32**, 1002-1011.
- 41 X. M. Gong, Z. Qin, F. L. Li, B. B. Zeng, G. W. Zheng and J. H. Xu, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 147-153.
- 42 N. Tokuriki, F. Stricher, L. Serrano and D. S. Tawfik, *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 2008, **4**, e1000002-e1000008.
- 43 L. J. Li, H. Liao, Y. Yang, J. Y. Gong, J. A. Liu, Z. D. Jiang, Y. B. Zhu, A. F. Xiao and H. Ni, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2018, **112**, 14-21.
- W. Ren, L. Liu, L. Gu, W. L. Yan, Y. L. Feng, D. X. Dong, S. J. Wang, M. S. Lyu and C. H. Wang, *J. Agr. Food Chem.* 2019, **67**, 4355-4366.
- 45 H. J. Wijma, R. J. Floor and D. B. Janssen, *Curr. Opin. Struc. Biol.* 2013, **23**, 588-594.
- 46 X. Y. Wang, R. Ma, X. M. Xie, W. N. Liu, T. Tu, F. Zheng, S. You, J. Z. Ge, H. F. Xie, B. Yao and H. Y. Luo, *Sci. Rep-UK* 2017, **7**,15287-15295.

Published on 07 September 2020. Downloaded by University of Rochester on 9/20/2020 10:27:17 AM.

70

60

50

40

30

0

(R)-HPBE

540.4 g/(L·day)

Space-time yield >99% ee

5

I m.

I hermostability

Stage 1 Stage 3 Stage 2 Single-site **Triple-site** WT Double-site mutagenesis mutagenesis mutagenesis 100 * * 40 Catalytic efficiency (fold) ? mut-90 (ee, mut-W193L/C93I W193L/C93I/I187I 30 80 Stereoselectivity 70 20 mut-W193L/I187L 60 10 50 mút-W193L Catalytic efficiency Stereoselectivity 40 GoCR Thermostability 0 OH The best variant OEt OEt mut-W193L/C93I/I187I

NADH

recycle

system

This study reported a highly efficient engineered carbonyl reductase from Gluconobacter oxydans (GoCR) through a structure-guided rational design to catalyze the synthesis of high concentration (R)-HPBE, which provided an attractive biocatalyst for the efficient synthesis of (R)-HPBE.



Ö

OPBE

371 g/L

Substrate concentration