Tetrahedron Letters 56 (2015) 5811-5815

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Tetrahedron Letters** 

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

# Total synthesis of pro-resolving and tissue-regenerative Protectin sulfido-conjugates

Ana R. Rodriguez, Bernd W. Spur\*

Department of Cell Biology, Rowan University-SOM, Stratford, NJ 08084, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 August 2015 Revised 3 September 2015 Accepted 5 September 2015 Available online 7 September 2015

Keywords: Protectin sulfido-conjugates Total synthesis Inflammation resolution Wittig reaction Sharpless epoxidation Dess-Martin oxidation

## ABSTRACT

The stereospecific total synthesis of the pro-resolving and tissue-regenerative Protectin sulfidoconjugates: 16R,17S-PCTR1, 16R,17S-PCTR2, and 16R,17S-PCTR3, derived from docosahexaenoic acid, has been achieved. The key intermediate 16S,17S-epoxy-Protectin methyl ester was synthesized using the Sharpless catalytic asymmetric epoxidation to generate the chiral centers at C16 and C17. A Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> promoted coupling provided the skipped diyne intermediate. Wittig reactions and epoxide opening with glutathione, L-cysteinylglycine, and L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride, respectively, were the key steps in the synthesis.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

It has been extensively described in the literature that  $\omega$ -3 polyunsaturated fatty acids mainly eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids have anti-inflammatory activities and decrease the seriousness of infection.<sup>1,2</sup> These fatty acids have been investigated in a variety of diseases including rheumatoid arthritis, asthma, and cardiovascular diseases. Their effects on neutrophil function and leukotriene generation in humans have been described.<sup>3–5</sup> The molecules responsible for these beneficial actions were discovered by Serhan and collaborators to be lipoxygenase derivatives of these fatty acids. In their pioneering experiments they identified in the exudates of self-resolving inflammation several polyhydroxylated metabolites of eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids with powerful anti-inflammatory and proresolution activities: Resolvins, Protectins, and Maresins, collectively named specialized pro-resolving mediators (SPMs).<sup>6-12</sup> A new class of SPMs derived from docosapentaenoic acid has just been reported.<sup>13</sup>

Recently, Serhan and collaborators investigated self-resolving exudates in *Escherichia coli* infected mice, human spleen, and blood of sepsis patients, and discovered the novel anti-inflammatory, pro-resolving, and tissue regenerative sulfido-conjugates of Maresin, Protectin, and Resolvin.<sup>14,15</sup> These compounds promote the pathogen clearance and could provide a new way to treat infections.

The proposed biosynthesis of the Protectin sulfido-conjugates is based on the identified precursor and its products formed as shown in Figure 1. Docosahexaenoic acid is converted to the 17Shydroperoxide intermediate that undergoes epoxide formation to produce 16S,17S-epoxy-Protectin. Enzymatic hydrolysis gave Protectin D1 whereas glutathione S-transferase gives the 16,17S-Protectin conjugate in tissue regeneration (PCTR1). The authors pointed out that it is most likely that the stereochemistry at C16 is *R* similar as described for the peptido-leukotrienes via SN<sub>2</sub> epoxide opening by glutathione.<sup>16–19</sup> PCTR1 is further metabolized by a  $\gamma$ -glutamyl transpeptidase to PCTR2 and finally by a dipeptidase to PCTR3.<sup>15</sup>

Due to the limited availability from natural sources these sulfido-conjugates have to be prepared by total synthesis to make them available for further pharmacological evaluation. So far a total synthesis of the Protectin sulfido-conjugates has not been reported. We recently reported the total syntheses of the Maresin sulfido-conjugates.<sup>20</sup>

In this Letter we wish to report the first total synthesis of the 17-series sulfido-conjugated mediators 16*R*,17*S*-PCTR1 [(4*Z*,7*Z*, 10*Z*,12*E*,14*E*,16*R*,17*S*,19*Z*)-16-glutathionyl-17-hydroxy-4,7,10,12, 14,19-docosahexaenoic acid (1)], 16*R*,17*S*-PCTR2 [(4*Z*,7*Z*,10*Z*, 12*E*,14*E*,16*R*,17*S*,19*Z*)-16-cysteinylglycinyl-17-hydroxy-4,7,10,12, 14,19-docosahexaenoic acid (2)], and 16*R*,17*S*-PCTR3 [(4*Z*,7*Z*, 10*Z*,12*E*,14*E*,16*R*,17*S*,19*Z*)-16-cysteinyl-17-hydroxy-4,7,10,12, 14,19-docosahexaenoic acid (2)], and 16*R*,17*S*-PCTR3 [(4*Z*,7*Z*, 10*Z*,12*E*,14*E*,16*R*,17*S*,19*Z*)-16-cysteinyl-17-hydroxy-4,7,10,12,14,19-docosahexaenoic acid (3)]. As shown in the retrosynthetic approach (Fig. 2) 1, 2, and 3 have been prepared from the chiral







<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 856 566 7016; fax: +1 856 566 6195. *E-mail address:* spurbw@rowan.edu (B.W. Spur).



Figure 1. Biosynthesis of Protectin D1 and proposed biosynthesis of 16R,17S-PCTR1, 16R,17S-PCTR2 and 16R,17S-PCTR3 from DHA.<sup>15</sup>

165,175-epoxy-Protectin methyl ester (**4**) via epoxide opening with glutathione, L-cysteinylglycine, and L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride, respectively. The key intermediate **4** has been prepared from intermediates **5**, **6**, and **7**. The chiral centers of the epoxy-aldehyde **7** were generated using the Sharpless catalytic asymmetric epoxidation. Phosphonium iodide **5** has been synthesized from the alkynes **8** and **9**.

The synthesis of **5** is outlined in Scheme 1. 5-[(Tetrahydro-2Hpyran-2-yl)oxy]-2-pentyn-1-ol (**9**) was converted to the iodide **10** with triphenylphosphine, imidazole, and iodine.<sup>21</sup> Cross coupling of iodide **10** with 4-pentynoic acid methyl ester (**8**)<sup>22</sup> in the presence of Cul, Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and TBAI in DMF gave the skipped diyne **11** in 77% yield.<sup>23</sup> The semi-hydrogenation of **11** using Lindlar catalyst turned out to be challenging. It was difficult to avoid partial and overreduction. The best results were achieved using Lindlar catalyst in hexane/ethyl acetate in the presence of pyridine to give *Z*,*Z*-skipped diene **12** in 40% isolated yield. Other base modifiers were less effective.<sup>24</sup> Cleavage of the THP group in **12** was achieved in 92% yield with PPTS in methanol to give **13**. Compound **13** was transformed to the iodide **14** and then converted to the phosphonium salt **5** with 1.1 equiv of triphenylphosphine in acetonitrile at 75 °C for 36 h in 93% yield. The excess of triphenylphosphine was removed by extracting the acetonitrile phase with hexane.

The synthesis of 16S,17S-epoxy-Protectin methyl ester (4) is described in Scheme 2. Sharpless cat. AE of (2E)-2-octen-5-yn-1-ol (15) gave the chiral epoxy alcohol 16 as previously described.<sup>25</sup> The crystalline nature of 16 allowed to obtain this key intermediate with >98% ee after recrystallization. Lindlar reduction of 16 in hexane/ethyl acetate in the presence of triethylamine produced 17 that was oxidized using the Dess-Martin periodinane reagent in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> affording the epoxy aldehyde **7** in 93% yield.<sup>26</sup> The fourcarbon homologation of 7 with recrystallized (2E)-4-triphenylphosphoranylidene-2-butenal ( $\mathbf{6}$ ) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at rt for 17 h followed by treatment with catalytic iodine in benzene afforded the E,E-dienal 18.<sup>27,28</sup> Next, Z-selective Wittig reaction of 18 with the ylide prepared in situ from the phosphonium iodide 5 with KHMDS at -78 °C in THF afforded the key epoxy ester **4** in 65% isolated yield after alkaline quench and flash chromatography purification in the presence of triethylamine. Compound **4** was characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, COSY, HSQC, and UV.<sup>29</sup> The geometry of the



Figure 2. Retrosynthetic approach to 16R,17S-PCTR1, 16R,17S-PCTR2 and 16R,17S-PCTR3.



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) I<sub>2</sub>, Ph<sub>3</sub>P, imidazole, THF, 75%; (b) Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, CuI, TBAI, DMF, rt, 77%; (c) H<sub>2</sub>, Lindlar cat., pyridine, EtOAc, hexane, 40%; (d) PPTS, CH<sub>3</sub>OH, rt, 92%; (e) I<sub>2</sub>, Ph<sub>3</sub>P, imidazole, *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>EtN, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, ether; (f) Ph<sub>3</sub>P, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 75 °C, 93% (over two steps).



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) Ref. 25; (b) H<sub>2</sub>, Lindlar cat., Et<sub>3</sub>N, EtOAc, hexane, 74%; (c) Dess-Martin periodinane, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, 93%; (d) 6, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, 46%; (e) cat. I<sub>2</sub>, benzene, rt, 84%; (f) 5, KHMDS, THF, -78 °C to -10 °C, 65%.



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) Glutathione, Et<sub>3</sub>N, H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>3</sub>OH, rt; (b) 1 N LiOH, H<sub>2</sub>O, 0 °C to rt, 55% (over two steps).



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) L-cysteinylglycine, Et<sub>3</sub>N, H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>3</sub>OH, rt; (b) 1 N LiOH, H<sub>2</sub>O, 0 °C to rt, 50% (over two steps).



Scheme 5. Reagents and conditions: (a) L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride, Et<sub>3</sub>N, H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>3</sub>OH, rt, 67%; (b) 1 N LiOH, H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>3</sub>OH, 0 °C to rt, 49%.

12*E*,14*E*-diene unit in **18** ( $J_{12,13}$  = 15.3 and  $J_{14,15}$  = 15.3) and the 10Z, 12E, 14E-triene in **4** ( $J_{10,11} = 11.4, J_{12,13} = 15.0$  and  $J_{14,15} = 15.3$ ) was confirmed by the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H coupling constants.<sup>29</sup>

The conversion of epoxy ester **4** to 16R,17S-PCTR1 (**1**) was achieved in two steps as described in Scheme 3.<sup>20,30,31</sup> Reaction of **4** with 3 equiv of glutathione in CH<sub>3</sub>OH/triethylamine/H<sub>2</sub>O gave the monomethyl ester 19. Mild hydrolysis of crude 19 with 1 N LiOH in H<sub>2</sub>O for 30 min afforded 16R,17S-PCTR1 (1) that was purified by HPLC [Zorbax SB-C18  $250\times21.2$  mm, 280 nm, CH\_3OH/H\_2O (0.1% NH<sub>4</sub>OAc, pH 5.6, 0.05% EDTA disodium) 65/35]. The fraction containing 1 was desalted using a reversed phase C-18 cartridge to give pure 16R,17S-PCTR1 (1). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR, COSY, UV, and HPLC/UV/MS analyses were consistent with the structure of 1.<sup>29</sup>

Compound 4 was reacted with L-cysteinylglycine to obtain compound **20** that was converted to 16R,17S-PCTR2 (**2**) using the same conditions as described for 1 (Scheme 4). 16R,17S-PCTR2 (2) was purified by HPLC [Zorbax SB-C18  $250 \times 21.2 \text{ mm}$ , 280 nm, CH<sub>3</sub>OH/H<sub>2</sub>O (0.1% NH<sub>4</sub>OAc, pH 5.6, 0.05% EDTA disodium) 65/35]. Compound 4 was reacted with L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride to obtain 16R,17S-PCTR3-dimentyl ester  $(21)^{32}$  that was converted to 16R,17S-PCTR3 (3) using similar conditions as described for 1 and 2 (Scheme 5). 16R,17S-PCTR3 (3) was purified by HPLC [Zorbax SB-C18  $250 \times 21.2$  mm, 280 nm, CH<sub>3</sub>OH/H<sub>2</sub>O (0.1% NH<sub>4</sub>OAc, pH 5.6, 0.05% EDTA disodium) 65/35]. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR, COSY, UV, and HPLC/UV/MS analyses were consistent with the structures of **2** and **3**.<sup>29</sup>

In summary, a concise total synthesis of 16R,17S-PCTR1, 16R,17S-PCTR2, and 16R,17S-PCTR3 has been achieved,<sup>29</sup> making these pro-resolving and tissue-regenerative lipid mediators from docosahexaenoic acid available for further biological and pharmacological testing. The synthesis of other specialized proresolving mediators (SPMs) will be reported in due course.

### Acknowledgements

Financial support of this research in part by the DOD - United States (AS073084P1) and NJCBIR is gratefully acknowledged.

#### **References and notes**

- 1. Dennis, E. A.; Norris, P. C. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2015, 15, 511-523.
- 2.
- De Caterina, R. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2011**, 364, 2439–2450. Lee, T. H.; Hoover, R. L.; Williams, J. D.; Sperling, R. I.; Ravalese, J.; Spur, B. W.; 3. Robinson, D. R.; Corey, E. J.; Lewis, R. A.; Austen, K. F. N. Engl. J. Med. 1985, 312, 1217-1224.
- Sperling, R. I.; Weinblatt, M.; Robin, J. L.; Ravalese, J.; Hoover, R. L.; House, F.; Coblyn, J. S.; Fraser, P. A.; Spur, B. W.; Robinson, D. R.; Lewis, R. A.; Austen, K. F. Arthritis Rheum. 1987, 30, 988–997.
- Arm, J. P.; Horton, C. E.; Spur, B. W.; Mencia-Huerta, J. M.; Lee, T. H. Am. Rev. Respir. Dis. 1989, 139, 1395-1400.
- Serhan, C. N. Nature 2014, 510, 92-101. 6.
- Serhan, C. N.; Chiang, N.; Van Dyke, T. E. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2008, 8, 349-361. 7.
- 8 Serhan, C. N.; Petasis, N. A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 5922-5943.
- Serhan, C. N.; Hong, S.; Gronert, K.; Colgan, S. P.; Devchand, P. R.; Mirick, G.; Moussignac, R. L. J. Exp. Med. 2002, 196, 1025-1037.
- Serhan, C. N.; Yang, R.; Martinod, K.; Kasuga, K.; Pillai, P. S.; Porter, T. F.; Oh, S. 10. F.; Spite, M. J. Exp. Med. 2009, 206, 15-23.
- 11. Chiang, N.; Fredman, G.; Backhed, F.; Oh, S. F.; Vickery, T.; Schmidt, B. A.; Serhan, C. N. Nature 2012, 484, 524-528.
- 12. Xu, Z. Z.; Zhang, L.; Liu, T.; Park, J. Y.; Berta, T.; Yang, R.; Serhan, C. N.; Ji, R. R. Nat. Med. 2010, 16, 592–597.
- Dalli, J.; Chiang, N.; Serhan, C. N. Nat. Med. 2015, 21, 1071-1075. 13.
- Dalli, J.; Chiang, N.; Serhan, C. N. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2014, 111, E4753-14. E4761.
- 15. Dalli, J.; Ramon, S.; Norris, P. C.; Colas, R. A.; Serhan, C. N. FASEB J. 2015, 29, 2120-2136.

- Corey, E. J.; Clark, D. A.; Goto, G.; Marfat, A.; Mioskowski, C.; Samuelsson, B.; Hammerstrom, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1980**, 102, 1436–1439.
- 17. Corey, E. J.; Marfat, A.; Goto, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6608-6609.
- 18. Rokach, J.; Adams, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 87–93.
- 19. Yoshimoto, T.; Soberman, R. J.; Spur, B. W.; Austen, K. F. J. Clin. Invest. 1988, 81, 866–871.
- 20. Rodriguez, A. R.; Spur, B. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 3936–3940.
- Itoh, S.; Kuwahara, S.; Hasegawa, M.; Kodama, O. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 2002, 66, 1591–1596.
- 22. Rodriguez, A.; Nomen, M.; Spur, B. W.; Godfroid, J. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, 39, 8563–8566.
- 23. Caruso, T.; Spinella, A. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 7787-7790.
- 24. Oger, C.; Balas, L.; Durand, T.; Galano, J.-M. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 1313–1350.
- 25. Rodriguez, A. R.; Spur, B. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 86–89.
- 26. Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7277-7287.
- Berenguer, M. J.; Castells, J.; Galard, R. M.; Moreno-Manas, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1971, 12, 495–496.
- 28. Ernest, I.; Main, A. J.; Menasse, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 167-170.
- 29. Satisfactory spectroscopic data were obtained for all compounds. Selected physical data: Compound **10**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  4.7–4.6 (br t, = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.9–3.8 (m, 1H), 3.8–3.7 (dt, J = 9.9, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.7–3.6 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 3.6–3.5 (dt, J = 9.9, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.6–3.4 (m, 1H), 2.5 (tt, J = 6.9, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 1.9–1.5 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz):  $\delta$  98.68, 83.33, 77.87, 65.23, 62.15, 30.49, 25.37, 20.59, 19.33, -17.33. Compound 11: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  4.6 (br t, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.9–3.8 (m, 1H), 3.8–3.7 (dt, J = 9.6, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.6 (s, 3H), 3.6–3.4 (dt, J = 9.6, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.5–3.4 (m, 1H), 3.1–3.0 (quint, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 2.6–2.4 (m, 6H), 1.8–1.4 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz): δ 172.40, 98.68, 78.37, 77.28, 75.19, 75.09, 65.71, 62.17, 51.71, 33.32, 30.51, 25.38, 20.13, 19.38, 14.59, 9.70. Compound **12**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz): δ 5.5-5.3 (m, 4H), 4.6 (dd, J = 4.5, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.9-3.8 (m, 1H), 3.7 (dt, J = 9.6, J. 2 Hz, 1H), 3.6 (s, 3H), 3.5–3.4 (m, 1H), 3.4–3.3 (dt, J = 9.6, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.8 (br t, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 2.4–2.3 (m, 6H), 1.9–1.4 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz): δ 173.54, 129.54, 129.37, 127.75, 126.20, 98.72, 66.89, 62.26, 51.53, 33.97, 30.66, 27.94, 25.64, 25.43, 22.75, 19.54. Compound **13**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz): δ 5.5-5.3 (m, 4H), 3.7 (s, 3H), 3.7-3.6 (m, 2H), 2.9-2.8 (br t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.5-2.3 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz): δ 173.65, 130.93, 129.17, 127.95, 125.77, 62.20, 51.59, 33.94, 30.85, 25.71, 22.81. Compound 14: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz): δ 5.6–5.3 (m, 4H), 3.7 (s, 3H), 3.2–3.1 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.8 (br t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.7–2.6 (br q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.4–2.3 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz): δ 173.50, 130.25, 128.82, 128.34, 128.14, 51.59, 33.92, 31.39, 25.73, 22.79, 5.26. Compound 5: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz): δ 7.9-7.7 (m, 15H), 5.7-5.6 (m, 1H), 5.5-5.3 (m, 1H), 5.3-5.2 (m, 2H), 3.9-3.8 (m, 2H), 3.6 (s, 3H), 2.6 (br t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.6–2.4 (m, 2H), 2.4–2.1 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz):  $\delta$  173.51, 135.12 (d, I = 2.8 Hz, 3C), 133.80 (d, I = 10.3 Hz, 6C), 130.52 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 6C), 130.33, 128.44, 128.29, 126.39 (d, J = 14.3 Hz), 118.10 (d, J = 85.3 Hz, 3C), 51.58, 33.74, 25.57, 23.31 (d, J = 48.1 Hz), 22.75, 20.38 (d, J = 3.5 Hz); Compound **16**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  3.9 (dd, *J* = 12.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 3.6 (dd, *J* = 12.6, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.1 (m, 2H), 2.6–2.5 (ddt, J = 17.4, 4.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.5–2.4 (ddt, J = 17.4, 4.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.2–2.0 (qt, J = 7.5, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 2.1 (br s, 1H), 1.1 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -17.7$  (c 0.73, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Compound **17**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta$ 5.6–5.4 (dtt, / = 10.8, 7.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.4–5.2 (dtt, / = 10.8, 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.9 (dd, J = 12.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.6 (dd, J = 12.6, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.0-2.9 (m, 2H), 2.5-2.2 (m, 2H), 2.1–1.9 (m, 2H), 1.9–1.8 (br s, 1H), 0.95 (LJ = 7.5 Hz, 3H),  $^{12}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz):  $\delta$  134.92, 122.36, 61.75, 57.95, 55.29, 29.23, 20.66, 14.06;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -27.0 (c \ 0.64, CHCl_3)$ . Compound **7**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  9.0 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 5.7–5.6 (dtt, J = 10.8, 7.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.4–5.2 (dtt, J = 10.8, 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.3 (td, *J* = 5.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 3.2 (dd, *J* = 6.3, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.6–2.4 (m, 2H), 2.2–2.0 (m, 2H), 1.0 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz): δ

198.29, 136.08, 120.79, 58.52, 55.98, 28.62, 20.70, 14.07. Compound 18: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  9.5 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.1–7.0 (dd, J = 15.3, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 6.6 (dd, J = 15.3, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 6.2–6.1 (dd, J = 15.3, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.0–5.9 (dd, J = 15.3, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.6–5.5 (dtt, J = 10.8, 7.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.4–5.2 (dtt, J = 10.8, 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.2 (dd, J = 7.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.9 (td, J = 5.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.5–2.2 (m, 2H), 2.1–1.9 (m, 2H), 1.0 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz):  $\delta$ 193.53, 149.99, 141.20, 135.25, 132.05, 130.82, 121.67, 60.72, 56.70, 29.41, 20.63, 14.09. Compound 4: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  6.6–6.5 (dd, / = 15.0, 11.4 Hz, 1H), 6.5–6.4 (dd, J = 15.3, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 6.2 (dd, J = 15.0, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 6.0 (br t, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 5.6-5.2 (m, 8H), 3.6 (s, 3H), 3.2-3.1 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 3.0-2.9 (br t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.9 (td, J = 5.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.9-2.8 (br t, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 2.5–2.2 (m, 6H), 2.0–2.1 (br quint, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz): δ 173.54, 134.89, 134.49, 131.58, 131.17, 130.23, 129.15, 128.69, 128.52 (2C), 127.98, 127.58, 122.27, 60.36, 58.06, 51.57, 33.97, 29.68, 26.23, 25.60, 22.80, 20.68, 14.18; UV (hexane)  $\lambda_{max}$ 271, 280, 292 nm. 16R,17S-PCTR1 (1): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 300 MHz): δ 6.6 (dd, J = 13.5, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 6.3–6.2 (m, 2H), 6.1–6.0 (t, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 5.8–5.6 (dd, J = 13.8, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 5.5–5.3 (m, 7H), 4.6–4.5 (dd, J = 9.3, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.9–3.7 (2d ABsystem, J = 17.4 Hz, 2H), 3.7 (td, J = 6.3, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.7–3.6 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.4 (dd, J = 10.2, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.1-2.8 (m, 5H), 2.7 (dd, J = 13.8, 9.3 Hz, 1H), 2.6-2.5 (m, 2H), 2.4-2.2 (m, 5H), 2.2-2.1 (m, 3H), 2.0 (br quint, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); UV (CH<sub>3</sub>OH)  $\lambda_{max}$  272, 281, 292 nm; HPLC/UV: Zorbax SB-C18, 1.8 µm, 50 × 2.1 mm, 280 nm, CH<sub>3</sub>OH/H<sub>2</sub>O (0.1% formic acid) 35:65-70:30, 0.2 mL/min, t<sub>R</sub> = 13.1 min; HPLC/MS (m/z): 648.2 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>. 16R,17S-PCTR2 (2): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  6.7–6.6 (dd, J = 14.4, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 6.4–6.3 (m, 2H), 6.1–6.0 (t, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 5.7 (dd, J = 14.1, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 5.6– 5.3 (m, 7H), 4.0 (d, J = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.9–3.8 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.8–3.7 (m, 1H), 3.6 (d, J = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 3.5-3.4 (dd, J = 10.2, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.1-2.8 (m, 5H), 2.8 (dd, J = 14.4, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.4–2.1 (m, 6H), 2.1–2.0 (br quint, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); UV (CH<sub>3</sub>OH)  $\lambda_{max}$  272, 281, 292 nm; HPLC/UV: Zorbax SB-C18, 1.8 μm, 50 × 2.1 mm, 280 nm, CH<sub>3</sub>OH/H<sub>2</sub>O (0.1% formic acid) 35:65-70:30, 0.2 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R} = 11.9$  min; HPLC/MS (m/z): 519.2  $[M-H]^-$ . 16R,17S-PCTR3-dimethyl ester (21): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  6.6 (dd, J = 13.5, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 6.4–6.2 (m, 2H), 6.1–6.0 (t, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 5.7–5.6 (dd, J = 13.8, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 5.5-5.3 (m, 7H), 3.8-3.7 (m, 1H), 3.7 (s, 3H), 3.6 (s, 3H), 3.6 (dd, J = 7.5, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.4–3.3 (dd, J = 10.2, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.0 (m, 2H), 2.9–2.8 (m, 3H), 2.7–2.6 (dd, J = 13.8, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.4–2.1 (m, 6H), 2.1–2.0 (br quint, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 75.5 MHz);  $\delta$  175.39, 175.28, 135.03, 134.87, 133.37, 131.35, 131.19, 130.24, 129.82, 129.46, 129.15, 129.06, 128.69, 125.63, 74.62, 55.15, 54.90, 52.72, 52.09, 36.09, 34.82, 34.27, 27.11, 26.50, 23.82, 21.78, 14.60; UV (CH<sub>3</sub>OH)  $\lambda_{max}$  272, 281, 292 nm. 16R,175-PCTR3 (**3**): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  6.6 (dd, J = 14.0, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 6.4–6.2 (m, 2H), 6.1–6.0 (t, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 5.7 (dd, J = 14.4, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 5.5–5.3 (m, 7H), 3.8–3.7 (ddd, / = 7.5, 6.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.7–3.6 (dd, / = 9.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.5 (dd, *J* = 10.2, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.1–2.8 (m, 6H), 2.5–2.1 (m, 6H), 2.1–2.0 (br quint, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); UV (CH<sub>3</sub>OH)  $\lambda_{max}$  272, 281, 292 nm; HPLC/UV: Zorbax SB-C18, 1.8 μm, 50 × 2.1 mm, 280 nm, CH<sub>3</sub>OH/H<sub>2</sub>O (0.1% formic acid) 35:65–70:30, 0.2 mL/min,  $t_R = 14.6$  min; HPLC/MS (m/z): 462.2

 Cohen, N.; Banner, B. L.; Lopresti, R. J.; Wong, F.; Rosenberger, M.; Liu, Y.-Y.; Thom, E.; Liebman, A. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 3661–3672.

[M-H]-.

- 31. Rodriguez, A.; Nomen, M.; Spur, B. W.; Godfroid, J.-J.; Lee, T. H. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2000, 2991–3000.
- 32. In a flame dried flask under argon compound **4** (8.6 mg, 0.024 mmol) was dissolved in Et<sub>3</sub>N (100 µl) and treated with L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride (12.4 mg, 0.072 mmol) previously dissolved in CH<sub>3</sub>OH/Et<sub>3</sub>N/H<sub>2</sub>O (200 µl/100 µl/20 µl). The reaction mixture was stirred in the dark at r for 5 h. Concentration followed by purification by flash chromatography ethyl acetate/hexane 80:20 (1% Et<sub>3</sub>N) afforded 16*R*,17*S*-PCTR3-dimethyl ester (**21**) (7.9 mg, 67%).