organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Three tetrahydroisoquinolinedione derivatives

A. Subbiah Pandi,^a V. Rajakannan,^a D. Velmurugan,^a* Masood Parvez,^b Moon-Jib Kim,^c A. Senthilvelan^d and S. Narasinga Rao^e

^aDepartment of Crystallography and Biophysics, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 1N4, ^cDepartment of Physics, Soonchunhyang University, PO Box 97 Asan, Chungnam 336-600, South Korea, ^dDepartment of Organic Chemistry, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India, and ^eUniversity of Central Oklahoma, Edmond, Oklahoma, USA Correspondence e-mail: d_velu@yahoo.com

Received 8 January 2002 Accepted 16 January 2002 Online 20 February 2002

N-(2-Chlorobenzyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-1,3-dione, $C_{16}H_{12}CINO_2$, crystallizes in $P2_1/n$ with three crystallographically independent molecules in the asymmetric unit, which differ slightly in conformation, N-(2-bromo-4-methylphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-1,3-dione, $C_{16}H_{12}BrNO_2$, crystallizes in $P2_1/n$ with one molecule in the asymmetric unit and N-(2,3-dichlorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-1,3-dione, $C_{15}H_9Cl_2NO_2$, crystallizes in $P2_1/c$ with one molecule in the asymmetric unit in the asymmetric unit. In all three structures, the heterocyclic rings adopt approximately planar conformations. The pyridine rings are orthogonal to the substituted phenyl rings. In all three structures, the crystal packing is stabilized by intermolecular $C-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds.

Comment

Isoquinoline is a well known ligand that has been used in studies of the formation of various adducts of group IVA



halides (Miller & Onyszchuk, 1967). Tetrahydroisoquinolines represent a class of biologically active phenyl ethylamines (Brzezinska, 1994), and these compounds are of great interest due to their biological and pharmacological properties. They are also useful as key intermediates in the synthesis of isoquinoline alkaloids, such as cherylline and latifine (Honda et al., 2001). Isoquinoline fused-ring systems, such as pyrroloisoquinoline, show valuable pharmacological activity, e.g. antileukemic (Anderson et al., 1998), muscaricinic, agonostic (Loesel et al., 1987) and antidepressant properties (Elwan et al., 1996). Their marked antidepressant, tranquilizing (Sulkowski & Willie, 1969), analgesic and sedative (Hamamato & Kajiwara, 1966) activity renders 1(2H)-isoquinolinones an important class of compounds. These compounds have also been used as intermediates in the synthesis of a number of naturally occurring alkaloids (Kobayashi, 1950; Walker et al., 1964). A variety of 1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives have been studied extensively in the past in order to elucidate their antidepressant-like activity. The title compounds, N-(2-chlorobenzyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinoline-1,3-dione, (I), N-(2-bromo-4-methylphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-1,3-dione, (II), and N-(2,3-dichlorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-1,3dione, (III), are of interest as intermediates in the synthesis of





A view of the three independent molecules of (I) with the atomnumbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.



Figure 2

A view of the molecular structure of (II) with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.





Figure 3

A view of the molecular structure of (III) with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

alkaloids such as corgoine (Kametani *et al.*, 1975) and sendaverine (Kametani *et al.*, 1979).

The structures in the present study consist of an isoquinoline moiety and a substituted phenyl ring. Structure (I) contains three molecules in the asymmetric unit, designated (IA), (IB) and (IC) (Fig. 1). Structures (II) (Fig. 2) and (III) (Fig. 3) contain one molecule per asymmetric unit.

The C=O bond lengths in all three structures, and bond length Csp^2 -Br in (II) and Csp^2 -Cl in (III), are comparable with the values found in a search of the Cambridge Structural Database (2001 Release; Allen *et al.*, 1987). The bond distances and angles of the isoquinoline moiety in these structures are in good agreement with the values reported for other 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives (Bellard *et al.*, 1982; Pływaczyk *et al.*, 1984). Selected geometric parameters for (I), (II) and (III) are given in Tables 1, 3 and 5, respectively.

In (I), the phenyl rings of the benzyl groups are twisted with respect to the isoquinoline system. The torsion angles around the N1-C16 and C16-C10 single bonds are almost equal for (IB) and (IC), but are different for (IA). This shows that the orientation of the benzyl group in (IA) is different from that in (IB) and (IC).

The isoquinoline moiety is slightly folded about the line passing through atoms C8 and C9, and the dihedral angle between the two halves ranges from 2.2 (1) to 3.7 (1)°. The phenyl ring in all three structures is orthogonal to the isoquinoline moiety, forming a dihedral angle of 87.6 (1)° in (IA), 85.7 (1)° in (IB), 84.5 (1)° in (IC), 76.6 (1)° in (II) and 69.9 (1)° in (III). It can be seen that the value in (III) is lower than that in (II), and this is probably a result of the heavier substituents on the phenyl ring of (II).

The dihedral angle between the least-squares planes of the substituted phenyl and benzo-fused rings is 88.2 (1)° for (IA), 85.2 (1)° for (IB), 84.4 (1)° for (IC), 75.6 (0)° for (II) and 69.3 (1)° for (III). The deviations of atoms O1 and O2 from the mean planes defined by atoms N1, C1, C2, C9, C8 and C7 are -0.098 (2) and 0.040 (1) Å, respectively, in (IA), -0.084 (3) and 0.071 (3) Å, respectively, in (IB), -0.108 (3) and 0.086 (3) Å, respectively, in (IC), -0.072 and 0.016 Å, respectively, in (II), and -0.237 (2) and 0.149 (2) Å, respectively, in (III).

In all three structures, the bond lengths and angles, the dihedral angles between the two halves of the isoquinoline moiety, and the dihedral angles between the isoquinoline moiety and the phenyl ring are comparable with those observed in similar structures (Ammon & Wheeler, 1974).

In addition to normal van der Waals interactions, the crystal packing in all three structures is stabilized by intermolecular $C-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds. In (I), five intermolecular C- $H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds occur, with $H \cdots O$ distances less than the sum of the van der Waals radii (Bondi, 1964). In this structure, the symmetry-related isoquinoline molecules are arranged in a head-to-head manner and are alternately parallel to each other. This type of stacking is also found in 1,4-dihydroisoquinoline (Minter et al., 1996). In (II), an intermolecular $C-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bond between atoms C5 and O1 stabilizes the crystal packing. The interesting feature of the crystal structure of (III) is that a single $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bond links the molecules into cyclic centrosymmetric dimers formed by an $R_2^2(16)$ ring system. This is a layered structure, with layers parallel to the *ac* plane. Details of the hydrogen-bond geometry in (I), (II) and (III) are given in Tables 2, 4 and 6, respectively.

The most remarkable feature of the crystal structure of (II) is the existence of a short intermolecular halogen \cdots O contact, Br1 \cdots O2ⁱ 3.012 (3) Å [symmetry code: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2} - z$], between the phenyl ring and the isoquinoline moiety.

Experimental

The title compounds were synthesized from homophthalic acid and the corresponding substituted aromatic amines. The yield was 75% (m.p. 435-437 K) for (I), 71% (m.p. 395-397 K) for (II) and 68% (m.p. 471-473 K) for (III). The compounds were dissolved in a mixture of ethyl acetate and hexane (4:1). Slow evaporation of the solvent at room temperature produced crystals from which the experimental samples were obtained.

Compound (I)

Crystal data

C ₁₆ H ₁₂ ClNO ₂	$D_x = 1.410 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 285.72$	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Cell parameters from 25
$a = 7.7922 (14) \text{\AA}$	reflections
b = 21.538 (4) Å	$\theta = 20 - 30^{\circ}$
c = 24.351 (5) Å	$\mu = 2.52 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 98.92 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 293 K
$V = 4037.4 (13) \text{ Å}^3$	Prism, colourless
Z = 12	$0.50 \times 0.41 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

Table 1					
Selected	geometric parameters	(Å,	°)	for	(I).

Cl1A-C11A	1.743 (4)	N1B-C16B	1.472 (4)
N1A - C1A	1.385 (4)	O1B-C1B	1.210 (4)
N1A-C7A	1.396 (4)	O2B-C7B	1.218 (4)
N1A-C16A	1.462 (4)	Cl1C-C11C	1.751 (4)
O1A - C1A	1.213 (4)	N1C-C1C	1.391 (4)
O2A-C7A	1.212 (4)	N1C-C7C	1.394 (4)
Cl1B-C11B	1.742 (3)	N1C-C16C	1.459 (4)
N1B-C7B	1.388 (4)	O1C-C1C	1.205 (4)
N1B-C1B	1.394 (4)	O2C-C7C	1.209 (4)
C1A-N1A-C16A-C10A	80.2 (4)	C15A-C10A-C16A-	-N1A 19.3 (5)
C1B-N1B-C16B-C10B	-81.8(4)	C15B-C10B-C16B-	-N1B - 29.8(5)
C1C-N1C-C16C-C10C	-83.1 (4)	C15C-C10C-C16C-	-N1C - 30.8(4)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding	geometry	(Å, °)) for	(I).
------------------	----------	--------	-------	------

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C5A - H5A \cdots O1C^{i}$	0.95	2.45	3.294 (4)	148
$C5C - H5C \cdots O1B^{ii}$	0.95	2.51	3.238 (5)	133
$C2A - H2A2 \cdots O1C^{iii}$	0.99	2.46	3.292 (5)	141
$C2B - H2B2 \cdots O1B^{iv}$	0.99	2.56	3.391 (5)	141
$C5B-H5B\cdots O1A^{v}$	0.95	2.59	3.265 (5)	129

Symmetry codes: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (iii) $\frac{3}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (iv) -x, 1-y, -z; (v) x - 1, y, z.

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.039$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 68.2^\circ$

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 9$

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 25$

 $l = -29 \rightarrow 28$

3 standard reflections

+ 1.2945P]

(Sheldrick, 1997)

where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

every 200 reflections

intensity decay: 0.6%

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: empirical via ψ scans (North et al., 1968) $T_{\min} = 0.366, \ T_{\max} = 0.633$ 7916 measured reflections 7348 independent reflections 5013 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1067P)^2]$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.065$ wR(F²) = 0.200 S = 1.06 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.38 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 7348 reflections $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.34 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 542 parameters Extinction correction: SHELXL97 H-atom parameters constrained Extinction coefficient: 0.0016 (2)

Compound (II)

Crystal data

 $D_x = 1.586 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ C16H12BrNO2 $M_{\rm r} = 330.18$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ Cell parameters from 25 a = 15.847 (2) Å reflections b = 7.9190(7) Å $\theta = 5.0 - 10.2^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.97 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ c = 11.0602 (11) Å $\beta = 94.750 \ (9)^{\circ}$ T = 293 (2) KV = 1383.2 (3) Å³ Prism, colourless $0.35 \times 0.30 \times 0.30$ mm Z = 4

Table 3

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (II).

Br1-C11	1.881 (4)	N1-C10	1.431 (4)
N1-C1	1.393 (4)	O1-C1	1.200 (4)
N1-C7	1.407 (5)	O2-C7	1.198 (4)
C1 N1 C10 C15	-102.7(4)		
CI=INI=CI0=CI5	-102.7 (4)		

Table 4

Hydrogen-bonding and short-contact geometry (Å, °) for (II).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
C6−H6···O2	0.93	2.53	2.808 (5)	98
$C5-H5\cdots O1^{i}$	0.93	2.61	3.451 (5)	151

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius FR-590 diffractometer Non-profiled $\omega/2\theta$ scans 2554 measured reflections 2421 independent reflections 1818 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.019$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 25^{\circ}$

 $h = -18 \rightarrow 18$

3 standard reflections

every 100 reflections

frequency: 120 min

intensity decay: 1%

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0839P)^2]$

+ 0.3218P] where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.59 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.83 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

 $D_x = 1.561 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Cell parameters from 25

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

 $\mu=0.50~\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$

T = 293 (2) K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.015$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 25^\circ$

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 7$

 $l = 0 \rightarrow 17$

 $h = -18 \rightarrow 17$

3 standard reflections

every 100 reflections

frequency: 120 min

intensity decay: 1%

Prism, colourless

0.25 \times 0.25 \times 0.20 mm

 $\theta = 6.2 - 10.3^{\circ}$

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 9$

 $l = 0 \rightarrow 13$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$ $wR(F^2) = 0.126$ S=1.022421 reflections 182 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

Compound (III)

Crystal data C15H9Cl2NO2 $M_r = 306.13$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 15.6702 (8) Å b = 6.1560(5) Å c = 14.5889(7) Å $\beta = 112.236 \ (4)^{\circ}$ $V = 1302.67 (14) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer Non-profiled $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: empirical via ψ scan (North et al., 1968) $T_{\min} = 0.886, \ T_{\max} = 0.907$ 2391 measured reflections 2287 independent reflections 1872 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.128P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.156$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.01	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2287 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
181 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.23 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 5

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (III).

Cl1-C11	1.722 (2)	N1-C10	1.441 (3)
Cl2-C12	1.727 (3)	O1-C1	1.203 (3)
N1-C1	1.398 (3)	O2-C7	1.207 (3)
N1-C7	1.403 (3)		
C1-N1-C10-C11	74.0 (3)		
C1-N1-C10-C11	74.0 (3)		

Table 6

Hydrogen-bonding	geometry	(A, °) for ((III).
	O · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	`		· /

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot$
$C13-H13\cdots O1^i$	0.93	2.52	3.161 (3)	126
Symmetry code: (i) 1	$-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{3}{2}-z$			

 $\cdot A$

For all three compounds, all H atoms were fixed geometrically and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, with C-H = 0.93-0.99 Å, and $U_{iso} = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for methyl H atoms and $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for other H atoms.

For compound (I), data collection: *CAD-4 Software* (Enraf-Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: *CAD-4 Software*; data reduction: *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1985). For compounds (II) and (III), data collection: *CAD-4 EXPRESS* (Enraf-Nonius, 1994); cell refinement: *CAD-4 EXPRESS*; data reduction: *XCAD4* (Harms & Wocadlo, 1995). For all three compounds, program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ZORTEP* (Zsolnai, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *PARST* (Nardelli, 1995).

DV thanks the University Grants Commission, India, for a major project.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NA1552). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.
- Ammon, H. L. & Wheeler, G. L. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 1146-1154.
- Anderson, W. K., Heider, A. R., Raju, N. & Yutch, J. A. (1998). J. Med. Chem. 31, 2097–2102.

- Bellard, S., Elliot, R. & McDonald, E. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 669-671.
- Bondi, A. (1964). J. Phys. Chem. 68, 441-451.
- Brzezinska, E. (1994). Acta Pol. Pharm. (Drug Res.), 51, 137-141.
- Elwan, N. M., Abdelhadi, H. A., Abdallah, T. A. & Hassaneem, H. M. (1996). *Tetrahedron*, **52**, 3451–3456.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). *CAD-4 Software*. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Enraf-Nonius (1994). *CAD*-4 *EXPRESS*. Version 5.0/1.2. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Hamamato, K. & Kajiwara, T. (1966). Chem. Abstr. 64, 3503, 3505.
- Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1995). XCAD4. University of Marburg, Germany.
- Honda, T., Namiki, H. & Satch, F. (2001). Org. Lett. 3, 631-633.
- Kametani, T., Enomoto, Y., Takahasi, K. & Fukumoto, K. (1979). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, pp. 2836–2839.
- Kametani, T., Takahasi, K. & Loc, C. V. (1975). Tetrahedron, 31, 235-238.
- Kobayashi, T. (1950). Chem. Abstr. 44, 4013.
- Loesel, W., Roos, O. & Schnorrenberger, G. (1987). US Patent 4 694 085; Chem. Abstr. (1998), 168, 204513.
- Miller, J. M. & Onyszchuk, M. (1967). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 1132-1135.
- Minter, D. E., Hinkle, K. W., Krawiec, M. & Watson, W. H. (1996). *Acta Cryst.* C**52**, 1236–1238.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1985). *TEXSAN*. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351– 359.
- Pływaczyk, M., Tykarska, E., Jaskólski, M. & Kosturkiewicz, Z. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 1107–1109.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sulkowski, T. S. & Willie, M. A. (1969). US Patent 3 452 027; Chem. Abstr. (1969), 71, 112830.
- Walker, T., Meredith, F. K. & Ritchie, A. C. (1964). Chem. Abstr. 60, 3027.
- Zsolnai, L. (1997). ZORTEP. University of Heidelberg, Germany.