

### Asymmetric Synthesis

International Edition: DOI: 10.1002/anie.201601061 German Edition: DOI: 10.1002/ange.201601061

# Enantioselective Rhodium-Catalyzed Cycloisomerization of (*E*)-1,6-Enynes

Xu Deng, Shao-Fei Ni, Zheng-Yu Han, Yu-Qing Guan, Hui Lv, Li Dang,\* and Xu-Mu Zhang\*

Abstract: An enantioselective rhodium(I)-catalyzed cycloisomerization reaction of challenging (E)-1,6-enynes is reported. This novel process enables (E)-1,6-enynes with a wide range of functionalities, including nitrogen-, oxygen-, and carbontethered (E)-1,6-enynes, to undergo cycloisomerization with excellent enantioselectivity, in a high-yielding and operationally simple manner. Moreover, this Rh<sup>1</sup>-diphosphane catalytic system also exhibited superior reactivity and enantioselectivity for (Z)-1,6-enynes. A rationale for the striking reactivity difference between (E)- and (Z)-1,6-enynes using Rh<sup>1</sup>-BINAP and Rh<sup>1</sup>-TangPhos is outlined using DFT studies to provide the necessary insight for the design of new catalyst systems and the application to synthesis.

he development of new strategies for the efficient and stereoselective construction of highly functionalized polycyclic compounds remains a long-standing challenge for synthetic organic chemistry. To this end, the transition metal-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 1,n-envnes, 1,n-dienes and 1,n-divnes has emerged as powerful tools for the synthesis of various types of cyclic compounds.<sup>[1]</sup> Hence, the direct transformation of a linear substrate to a cyclic product without additional reactants that results in the rapid increase inmolecular complexity in an atom economic manner provides an attractive strategy. Among these methods, transition metalcatalyzed cycloisomerization of 1,6-enynes, leading to stereodefined cyclic 1,3-dienes and 1,4-dienes, as exemplified by ybutyrolactones, lactams, tetrahydrofurans, pyrrolidines and cyclopentanes is of particular interest. A number of transition metal complexes, such as palladium,<sup>[2]</sup> ruthenium,<sup>[3]</sup> titanium,<sup>[4]</sup> gold,<sup>[5]</sup> platinum,<sup>[5]</sup> cobalt,<sup>[6]</sup> rhodium<sup>[7,8]</sup> and iron,<sup>[9]</sup> have been proven effective for this type of carbon-carbon bond forming cyclization under mild reaction conditions. Previously, Zhang et al. developed a series of cationic rhodium(I)-catalyzed cycloisomerization reations of internal 1,6-envnes to access a variety of functionalized five-membered cycles through a intermediacy of a rhodium(III)-metal-

[\*] Dr. X. Deng, Z. Y. Han, Y. Q. Guan, Prof. Dr. H. Lv, Prof. Dr. X. M. Zhang Key Laboratory of Biomedical Polymers of Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences, Wuhan University 430072, Wuhan (P.R. China) E-mail: xumu@whu.edu.cn
S. F. Ni, Prof. Dr. L. Dang Department of Chemistry South University of Science and Technology of China 518055, Shenzhen (P.R. China) E-mail: dangl@sustc.edu.cn
Supporting information for this article can be found under:

Supporting information for this article can be found under: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201601061.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 6295-6299

lacyclopentene.[8a-f,i,j,l] Additional studies resulted in the development of a novel rhodium(I)-catalyzed envne cycloisomerization with an intramolecular halogen shift, which proceeds through a  $\pi\text{-allyl}$  rhodium intermediate.  $^{[8g,\,h,\,j,\,m]}$  In related studies, Mikami and Bergens reported a different rhodium-complexes to facilitate a similar process.<sup>[8n]</sup> More recently, Nicolaou and co-workers also reported a cationic Rh<sup>I</sup>-BINAP catalyzed asymmetric cycloisomerization of terminal 1,6-envnes with superior results.<sup>[8k]</sup> Additionally, Krische also reported a related enantioselective hydrogenmediated reductive cyclization of 1,6-envnes using a cationic Rh<sup>I</sup>-BINAP system.<sup>[10]</sup> However, most of these rhodium(I)based catalytic systems require (Z)-configured 1,6-envnes to achieve optimal efficiency and selectivity. In contrast, (E)configured 1,6-envnes are either inert or inefficient in these systems. Since the (E)-configured alkene is more readily available and more thermodynamically stable than corresponding (Z)-isomer, there are compelling reasons to develop an efficient rhodium(I)-catalyzed cycloisomerization with an (E)-1,6-envne. The inherent challenges with (E)-1,6-envne substrates, which can primarily be ascribed to the increased steric hindrance of (E)-1,6-enynes, make it significantly more challenging compared to the corresponding (Z)-isomer. Herein, we report the first enantioselective rhodium(I)catalyzed cycloisomerization of (E)-1,6-enynes to access highly functionalized five-membered cycles (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Significance of the present study.

Preliminary studies focused on the identification of the optimal chiral ligand to facilitate the enantioselective rhodium(I)-catalyzed cycloisomerization of challenging (*E*)-1,6-enyne **1a** (see the Supporting Information (SI) for details for the preparation of **1a**). Treatment of **1a** with the chiral complex generated in situ from [{Rh(COD)Cl}<sub>2</sub>] (5 mol%) with various chiral ligands **L1–L5** (12 mol%) and AgSbF<sub>6</sub>

(20 mol %) in degassed 1,2-dicholoroethane (DCE) at room temperature furnished the cycloisomerization product  $2a^{[11]}$  in good to excellent yields, albeit with significant variation in enanticoontrol (Table 1, entries 1–5). For instance, (*S*)-BINAP (L1), which was highly effective for various (*Z*)-1,6-

Table 1: Reaction development and optimization.<sup>[a]</sup>



Entry	Rh <sup>I</sup> precatalyst	Ligand	Solvent	Additive	Yield [%] <sup>[b]</sup>	ee [%] <sup>[c]</sup>
1	[{Rh(COD)Cl} <sub>2</sub> ]	L1	DCE	AgSbF <sub>6</sub>	85	25
2	[{Rh(COD)Cl}2]	L2	DCE	AgSbF <sub>6</sub>	70	35
3	[{Rh(COD)Cl}2]	L3	DCE	AgSbF <sub>6</sub>	77	35
4	[{Rh(COD)Cl}2]	L4	DCE	AgSbF <sub>6</sub>	81	-75
5	$[{Rh(COD)Cl}_2]$	L5	DCE	AgSbF <sub>6</sub>	65	74
6	[{Rh(COD)Cl} <sub>2</sub> ]	L5	DCE	AgBF₄	73	81
7	[{Rh(COD)Cl} <sub>2</sub> ]	L5	DCE	none	trace	$ND^{[d]}$
8	[Rh( <b>L4</b> ) (NBD)]BF <sub>4</sub>	-	DCE	none	69	-91
9	[Rh( <b>L5</b> ) (COD)]BF <sub>4</sub>	-	DCE	none	85	91
10	[Rh(L5)(COD)]BF <sub>4</sub>	-	DCM	none	46	89
11	[Rh(L5)(COD)]BF <sub>4</sub>	-	THF	none	11	$ND^{[d]}$
12	[Rh(L5)(COD)]BF <sub>4</sub>	-	toluene	none	85	64
13	[Rh(L5) (COD)]BF4	_	dioxane <sup>[e]</sup>	none	88	92
14	[Rh(L5)(COD)]BF <sub>4</sub> <sup>[f]</sup>	-	dioxane <sup>[e]</sup>	none	88	67
$\sim$					_	



[a] All the reactions were conducted with 1 a (0.1 mmol) in the presence of an in situ generated ligand (12 mol%)-Rh<sup>1</sup> (5 mol%) complex in degassed 1,2-dicholoroethane at 25 °C. [b] Isolated yields were reported. [c] Determined by HPLC using a chiral stationary phase, see details in the Supporting Information. [d] Not determined. [e] 1,4-Dioxane. [f] 1 mol% catalyst was used. COD=1,5-cyclooctadiene, NBD=norbornadiene, DCM=dichloromethane, DCE=1,2-dicholoroethane, THF=tetrahydrofuran.

enynes in our previous studies,<sup>[8c-f,k]</sup> provided poor enantioselective control (entry 1). Interestingly, the related C2symmetric biarylphosphane ligands (S)-DTBM-SegPhos (L2), (S)-DTBM-BIPHEP (L3)) also displayed modest enantioselectivities (entries 2 and 3). In contrast, the electron-donating phosphocyclic ligands (Sc, Rp)-DuanPhos  $(L4)^{[12]}$  and (S,S,R,R)-TangPhos  $(L5)^{[13]}$  (entries 4 and 5) furnished 2a in promising yields and enantiocontrol. Moreover, the neutral metal complex was significantly less effective (entry 7) and BF<sub>4</sub> is preferred to SbF<sub>6</sub> (entries 5 and 6) as the counter-ion, indicating that the formation of highly coordinatively unsaturated rhodium-species with weakly coordinating counter-ion is important for catalytic turnover. Gratifyingly, changing the catalyst to the preformed cationic catalyst (such as  $[{Rh(L4)(NBD)}]BF_4]$ ,  $[{Rh(L5)-$ (COD)]BF<sub>4</sub>]),<sup>[8k]</sup> which avoids the silver salt metathesis,<sup>[14]</sup> provided **2a** in excellent yields and excellent enantioselectivities (entries 8 and 9). Additional studies to probe the effect of solvent demonstrated that 1,4-dioxane and 1,2-dicholoethane (DCE) were optimal with [{Rh(L5)(COD)}BF<sub>4</sub>] (entries 9 and 13), whereas dichloromethane, tetrahydrofuran and toluene were inferior (entries 10–12). Interestingly, reducing the catalyst loading maintains the overall efficiency, albeit the enantioselectivity is significantly reduced (entry 14).

Table 2 summarizes the application of the optimized conditions (Table 1 entries 8 and 9) to a range of (E)-1,6-enynes 1 to demonstrate the scope of the reaction. For nitrogen-tethered (E)-1,6-enynes, an examination of different N-protecting groups demonstrated that N-benzoyl group gave comparable yield and enantioselectivity to that of p-toluene-sulfonyl group, whereas N-(4-methoxyphenylsulfonyl) group resulted in a slight decrease of the enantioselectivity (entries 1–2). Furthermore, electron-donating protecting group (i.e. N-benzyl) was significantly less efficient (not shown), which illustrates the necessity for an electron-withdrawing protecting group. Additional variation at the alkene moiety revealed that increasing the length of alkyl substituent

Table 2: Scope of asymmetric cycloisomerization reactions.<sup>[a]</sup>



[a Reactions were run with substrates (0.1 mmol) in the presence of 5 mol% [{Rh(L5)(COD)}BF<sub>4</sub>] in degassed 1,2-dicholoroethane at the specific temperature for 0.25–24 h unless otherwise specified. [b] Isolated yields. [c] Determined by HPLC using a chiral stationary phase, see details in the Supporting Information. [d] Not determined. [e] [{Rh(L4)-(NBD)}BF<sub>4</sub>] was used as the catalyst. Ph = phenyl, Ts = *p*-toluenesulforyl, Bz = benzoyl.

#### 6296 www.angewandte.org

leads to a decrease of enantioselectivities (entries 3 and 14). Interestingly, both alkyl (entry 4) and aryl substitution (entries 5-12) on the alkyne afford good yields and excellent enantioselectivities. Moreover, the stereoelectronics of the aryl substitution pattern has an interesting impact on the yield and enantioselectivity. For example, the electron-donating groups at the para position of aryl group were favorable for both reactivity and enantioselectivity (entries 6 and 7), whereas electron-withdrawing groups (such as COOMe) are completely unreactive even at high temperature and with prolonged reaction time (entry 8). Nevertheless, electronwithdrawing groups at the meta position of aryl group provide high yields and maintain the excellent enantioselectivities (entries 9-11), whereas ortho substitution and disubstitution was not tolerated and led to unexpected olefin isomerization product (not shown) and lower enantioselectivity (entry 12), respectively. Interestingly, Rh<sup>I</sup>-DuanPhos performs better than Rh<sup>I</sup>-TangPhos for 2d, 2l, 2m and 2o (entries 3, 11, 12, 14), which suggest that the latter system was sensitive to the stereoelectronic nature of the substrates. In addition, all the carbon, oxygen and nitrogen-tethered functionalized alkyne substrates provided excellent enantiocontrol (entries 13, 16 and 17), which might partially attribute to chelation control through the coordination of rhodium to the carbonyl group.<sup>[15]</sup> Whereas, the use of oxygen-bridged 1,6-enyne that has a phenyl group in alkyne position leads to significantly lower enantioselectivity (entry 15). Overall, substituted alkynes significantly decrease the reactivity but improve enantioselectivity, which contrasts the impact of substituents on the alkene moiety that exert favorable effect on reactivity but decrease enantioselectivity.

Encouraged by these interesting results among (E)-1,6enyne, we then tried to testify whether (Z)-1,6-enynes are also applicable under the optimized reaction condition. Interestingly, both Rh<sup>I</sup>-TangPhos and Rh<sup>I</sup>-DuanPhos exhibited comparable reactivity and enantioselectivity to that of Rh<sup>I</sup>-BINAP<sup>[8]</sup> for the (Z)-1,6-enyne **3** (Table 3, entries A and B). Additionally, Rh<sup>I</sup>-TangPhos was also proved effective for the mixture of (E)-1,6-enyne **1a** and (Z)-1,6-enyne **3**, albeit with a slight decreace of enantioselectivity (entry C).

To gain more insights into the essence that why Rh<sup>I</sup>-TangPhos performs better than Rh<sup>I</sup>-BINAP for the cycloisomerization reaction of (E)-1,6-enynes, DFT calculations

Table 3: Rh<sup>1</sup>-catalyzed cycloisomerization of (Z)-1,6-enynes.<sup>[a]</sup>

	N Ts 1a	+	Rh <sup>I</sup> , DCE, 25 °C	N Ts 2a
Entry		Ratio <b>1 a</b> : <b>3</b>	Yield [%] <sup>[b]</sup>	ee [%] <sup>[c]</sup>
A B <sup>[d]</sup>		0:1 0:1	61 65	99 —98
C		1:1	81	86

[a] Reactions were run with substrates (0.1 mmol) in the presence of 5 mol% [{Rh(L5)(COD)}BF<sub>4</sub>] in degassed 1,2-dicholoroethane at 25 °C for 0.25–1 h. [b] Isolated yields. [c] Determined by HPLC using a chiral stationary phase. [d] [{Rh(L4)(NBD)}BF<sub>4</sub>] was used as the catalyst.

were carried out based on the proposed mechanism.<sup>[8e]</sup> In these theoretical results, the reaction pathway starts with tetra-coordinated square planar Rh<sup>I</sup>-diphosphine enyne complexes  $1B_1$  and  $1T_1$  (*S*-BINAP in  $1B_1$ , *S*,*S*,*R*,*R*-TangPhos in  $1T_1$ , Scheme 1). From these square planar Rh<sup>I</sup> complexes, the



**Scheme 1.** Reaction pathways for Rh<sup>l</sup>-catalyzed cycloisomerization.

oxidative cyclization of 1,6-enynes occurs through transition states,  $TS_{1-2B1}$  and  $TS_{1-2T1}$  to give the key intermediates,  $Rh^{III}$ metallacyclopentene  $2B_1$  and  $2T_1$ . During this process, the methyl group on the terminal carbon of olefin gets closer to metal center and the steric effect between this methyl group and the substitutes on phosphine ligand increases accordingly, thus the transition states are distorted to be semi-tetrahedron coordinated. From  $2B_1$  and  $2T_1$ , a  $\beta$ -H elimination and reductive elimination occur fast with small reaction barriers. At last, the ligand transfer happens to yield the product and regenerate  $1B_1$  and  $1T_1$ . It is obvious that the oxidative cyclization process in the reaction pathways in Scheme 1 is the rate determining step because this step has higher barrier than the other steps from the energy analysis. However  $TS_{1-2T1}$  is more relatively stable than  $TS_{1-2B1}$ , showing that the cycloisomerization of (E)-1,6-envne can be catalyzed by Rh<sup>I</sup>-Tangphos rather than Rh<sup>1</sup>-BINAP. At the same time, this reaction step defines the enantioselectivity of the product because other oxidative cyclization modes which give Risomer have higher barriers than the oxidative cyclization steps in Scheme 1 (Figures S30 and S33 in SI). It is aso revealed that  $TS_{1-2B1}$  is relatively more unstable than  $TS_{1-2B3}$ where (Z)-1,6-envne is involved (Figure S31 in SI), while  $TS_{1-}$  $_{2T1}$  has comparable relative energy to  $TS_{1-2T3}$  where *cis*-1,6enyne is involved (Figure S34 in SI). These results are consistent with the experimental observation that Rh<sup>I</sup>-BINAP can catalyze the cycloisomerization reaction of (Z)-1,6-envnes, but not (E)-1,6-envnes. However, Rh<sup>I</sup>-TangPhos works both for (E)- and (Z)-1,6-enynes.

In order to gain insight into the catalytic ability of these two catalysts towards (E)-1,6-enynes, we analyze the struc-

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 6295-6299

tural parameters of  $TS_{1,2B1}$  and  $TS_{1,2T1}$  and find that the distortion angle of metal center coordination is smaller in  $TS_{1.2T1}$  than in  $TS_{1.2B1}$ , showing that the steric effect in  $TS_{1.2T1}$  is smaller than in  $TS_{1.2B1}$ . Here, the square planar transition states  $TS_{1.2B'}$  and  $TS_{1.2T'}$  are not located due to very large repulsion between Me and Ph('Bu) as shown in Scheme 1 B. This finding well explains the reactivity difference between Rh<sup>1</sup>-TangPhos and Rh<sup>1</sup>-BINAP for cycloisomerization of (*E*)-1,6-enynes.

In conclusion, we have developed the first rhodium(I)catalyzed asymmetric cycloisomerization of (E)-1,6-enyes that afford highly functionalized five-membered cycles. This new process features not only high enantiomeric excess (>99% ee), but also broad substrate scope and functionality tolerance, wherein both terminal and internal alkynes with a variety of functional groups are tolerated, which provides a complementary approach for undertaking rhodium(I)catalyzed cycloisomerization of 1,6-enynes. Moreover, this Rh<sup>I</sup>-diphosphane catalytic system also exhibited superior reactivity and enantioselectivity for (Z)-1,6-enynes. Finally, a DFT study provides the necessary insight to understand the reactivity difference between RhI-TangPhos and RhI-BINAP for cycloisomerization of 1,6-enynes. We anticipate that this process will find significant utility in target directed synthesis given the ubiquity of (E)-configured olefins.

#### **Experimental Section**

Precedure for the rhodium(I)-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 1a to form (-)-2a: In a flame-dried Schlenk tube, [{Rh(S,S,R,R-TangPhos)-(COD){BF<sub>4</sub>] (3 mg, 5 mol%) was dissolved in freshly distilled 1,2dichloroethane (1 mL), then 1a (26.3 mg, 0.1 mmol) was introduced into the solution at RT under argon. The reaction was completed in 10 min. The reaction mixture was directly subjected to column chromatography, from which (-)-2a was obtained. The ee value was determined by chiral HPLC with an OJ-H column (n-hexane/ isopropanol 95:5, 1 mLmin<sup>-1</sup>, 254 nm),  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -6.59$  (c = 0.44 in CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 7.71$  (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 5.51 (ddd, J = 16.7, 10.5, 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.13 (s, 1H), 5.12-5.07 (m, 1H), 5.01-4.92 (m, 1H), 4.91-4.78 (m, 1H), 3.99 (d, J = 14.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.77–3.67 (m, 1 H), 3.67–3.55 (m, 1 H), 3.34–3.09 (m, 1H), 2.87 (t, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 2.44 ppm (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(101 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta = 146.6, 143.7, 135.5, 132.6, 129.7, 127.8, 118.1,$ 108.3, 53.1, 51.8, 47.7, 21.5 ppm; HR-ESI-MS (m/z): calcd for  $C_{14}H_{17}NO_2S [M + H]^+$ , 264.1053, found 264.1053.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful for financial support by Wuhan University (grant 203273463), the "111" Project of the Ministry of Education of China, the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2015M572189), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants 21302193, 21372179, 21432007, 21402145).

**Keywords:** (*E*)-1,6-enyne · asymmetric synthesis · cycloisomerization · rhodium catalysis · TangPhos

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 6295–6299 Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 6403–6407

- For reviews on enyne cycloisomerizations see: a) I. Ojima, M. Tzamarioudaki, Z. Li, R. J. Donovan, *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 635; b) M. Lautens, W. Klute, W. Tam, *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 49; c) H.-W. Frühauf, *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 523; d) B. M. Trost, M. J. Krische, *Synlett* **1998**, 1; e) C. Aubert, O. Buisine, M. Malacria, *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 813; f) I. D. G. Watson, F. D. Toste, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 2899; g) C. Nieto-Oberhuber, S. Lópe, E. Jiménez-Núñez, A. M. Echavarren, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 5916; h) A. Marinetti, H. Jullien, A. Voituriez, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 4884.
- [2] For selected reviews on Pd-catalyzed cycloisomerizations see:
  a) B. M. Trost, Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 34; b) A. Goeke, R. Kuwano, Y. Ito, M. Sawamura, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 662; Angew. Chem. 1996, 108, 686; c) B. M. Trost, M. Lautens, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1781.
- [3] For selected examples on Ru-catalyzed cycloisomerizations see:
  a) B. M. Trost, F. D. Toste, A. B. Pinkerton, *Chem. Rev.* 2001, *101*, 2067;
  b) D. Kossler, N. Cramer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 12478;
  c) B. M. Trost, A. C. Gutierrez, E. M. Ferreira, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 9206;
  d) B. M. Trost, J.-P. Surivet, F. D. Toste, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, *126*, 15592;
  e) B. M. Trost, F. D. Toste, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000, *122*, 714.
- [4] For selected examples on Ti-catalyzed cycloisomerizations see:
  a) S. J. Sturla, N. M. Kablaoui, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999, *121*, 1976;
  b) S. J. Sturla, S. L. Buchwald, *Organometallics* 2002, *21*, 739.
- [5] For reviews on Au and Pt-catalyzed cycloisomerizations see:
  a) L. Zhang, J. Sun, S. A. Kozmin, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 2271;
  b) A. Fürstner, Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 925;
  c) K. Arumugam, B. Varghese, J. N. Brantley, S. S. M. Konda, V. M. Lynch, C. W. Bielawski, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 493;
  d) A. Fürstner, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3208.
- [6] For selected examples on Co-catalyzed cycloisomerizations see:
  a) O. Buisine, C. Aubert, M. Malacria, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2001, 7, 3517;
  b) J.-L. Renaud, C. Aubert, M. Malacria, *Tetrahedron* 1999, 55, 5113;
  c) D. Llerena, C. Aubert, M. Malacria, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1996, 37, 7353.
- [7] For selected reviews on Rh-catalyzed cycloisomerizations see:
  a) V. Michelet, P. Y. Toullec, J.-P. Genêt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
  2008, 47, 4268; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 4338; b) P. A. Evans, Modern Rh catalyzed organic reactions (Ed.: P. A. Evans), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005; pp. 129–171; c) J. J. Li, Named Reactions, 5<sup>th</sup> ed., Springer, London, 2014; pp. 652–653.
- [8] For selected examples on Rh-catalyzed cycloisomerizations see: a) P. Cao, X. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4104; Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 4270; b) P. Cao, B. Wang, X. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 6490; c) A. Lei, M. He, X. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 8198; d) A. Lei, M. He, S. Wu, X. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3457; Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 3607; e) A. Lei, J. P. Waldkirch, M. He, X. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4526; Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 4708; f) A. Lei, M. He, X. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11472; g) X. Tong, Z. Zhang, X. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6370; h) X. Tong, D. Li, Z. Zhang, X. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 7601; i) M. He, A. Lei, X. Zhang, Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 1823; j) J. Wang, X. Xie, F. Ma, Z. Peng, L. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 4212; k) K. C. Nicolaou, A. Li, S. P. Ellery, D. J. Edmonds, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6293; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 6411; I) F. Liu, Q. Liu, M. He, X. Zhang, A. Lei, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 3531; m) O. Jackowski, J. Wang, X. Xie, T. Ayad, Z. Zhang, V. Ratovelomanana-Vidal, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4006; n) E. G. Corkum, M. J. Hass, A. D. Sullivan, S. H. Bergens, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3522; o) K. Mikami, S. Kataoka, Y. Yusa, K. Aikawa, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3699; p) K. Mikami, Y. Yusa, M. Hatano, K. Wakabayashi, K. Aikawa, Chem. Commun. 2004, 98; q) T. Nishimura, T. Kawa-

## GDCh

moto, M. Nagaosa, H. Kumamoto, T. Hayashi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1638; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 1682; r) K.
Mikami, S. Kataoka, K. Wakabayashi, K. Aikawa, Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 6361; s) S. Y. Kim, Y. K. Chung, J. Org. Chem.
2010, 75, 1281; t) A. S. K. Hashmi, P. Haufe, A. Rivas Nass, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 1237; u) S. Y. Kim, Y. K. Chung, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 1281.

- [9] For selected examples on Fe-catalyzed enyne cycloisomerizations see: a) A. Fürstner, R. Martin, K. Majima, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12236; b) A. Fürstner, K. Majima, R. Martín, H. Krause, E. Kattnig, R. Goddard, C. W. Lehmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1992.
- [10] H.-Y. Jang, F. W. Hughes, H. Gong, J. Zhang, J. S. Brodbelt, M. J. Krische, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 6174.

- [11] Since the product obtained by our Rh<sup>I</sup>-TangPhos system was the same as that afforded by Nicolaou's Rh<sup>I</sup>-(S)-BINAP system (see Ref. [8k]), we deduced the absolute configuration of **2a** as S.
  [11] D. K. K. Charles, E. L. O. Charles, 2005 (AC).
- [12] D. Liu, X. Zhang, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 2005, 646.
- [13] W. Tang, X. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1612; Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 1682.
- [14] For an example of the negative effect of silver salt in rhodium(I)catalyzed carbocyclizations: P. A. Evans, J. R. Sawyer, P. A. Inglesby, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 5746; *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 5882.
- [15] Y. Li, M.-H. Xu, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2712.

Received: January 29, 2016 Revised: February 27, 2016 Published online: April 9, 2016