Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl

Adamantyl thioureas as soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitors

Vladimir Burmistrov^{a,b}, Christophe Morisseau^a, Dmitry Pitushkin^b, Dmitry Karlov^{c,d,e}, Robert R. Fayzullin^f, Gennady M. Butov^b, Bruce D. Hammock^{a,*}

^a Department of Entomology and Nematology, and Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

^b Department of Chemistry, Technology and Equipment of Chemical Industry, Volzhsky Polytechnic Institute (Branch) Volgograd State Technical University, Volzhsky

404121, Russia

^c Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, Skolkovo Innovation Center, Moscow 143026, Russia

^d Department of Chemistry, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia

^e Institute of Physiologically Active Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, Chernogolovka, Moscow Region 142432, Russia

^f Arbuzov Institute of Organic and Physical Chemistry, FRC Kazan Scientific Center of Russian Academy of Sciences, Kazan 420088, Russia

A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T Keywords:
Soluble epoxide hydrolase
Soluble epoxide hydrolase
Soluble epoxide hydrolase
Soluble epoxide hydrolase
Inhibitor
Soluble epoxide hydrolase
Soluble ep

Mammalian soluble epoxide hydrolase (sEH, E.C. 3.3.2.10) is involved in the metabolism of epoxy-fatty acids to the corresponding vicinal diols through the reaction with a water molecule.^{1,2} Endogenous substrates for the sEH include cytochrome P450 metabolites of arachidonic (epoxyeicosatrienoic acids, EETs) and docosahexaenoic (epoxydocosatrienoic acids, EDPEs) acids.^{3,4} EETs possess vasodilatory effects through the activation of the calcium dependent broad K⁺channels in endothelial cells, which are beneficial in many renal and cardiovascular diseases.^{5,6} Furthermore, the EETs have some anti-inflammatory and analgesic properties.⁷ Their conversion to dihydroxyeicosatrienoic acids (DHETs) by sEH reduces those beneficial activities. The inhibition of sEH in vivo by highly selective inhibitors results in an increase of the concentration of the EETs and other epoxy fatty acids and is accompanied by a reduction in angiotensin driven blood pressure in rodents, but also reduction of inflammatory and painful states, thereby suggesting that sEH is a target for the treatment of hypertension, inflammatory diseases and pain.⁸⁻¹⁰

Most of compounds reported as sEH inhibitors are 1,3-disubstituted ureas.^{11–15} To our knowledge, only 10 thioureas have been reported as sEH inhibitors^{16,17} compared to thousands of ureas. Thus, a systematic investigation of thioureas as sEH inhibitors is needed. Separately, ureas are difficult to formulate because of their high melting points and low water solubility. Herein, we investigate the influence of a thiourea function on the physical properties in comparison to ureas.

The common structure of known sEH thiourea based inhibitor is Ad-NHC(S)NH-R, where Ad is adamantan-1-yl, R is alkyl, aryl or heterocyclic group.^{18–21} While the R-group was altered, the left (adamantane) part of the thiourea molecules was the same in almost all known thioureas based sEH inhibitors. Thus, the impact of alterations in adamantyl part of the thioureas on their potency and properties has never been investigated.

In this work we prepared and systematically studied new structural types of adamantyl thioureas with the following features: (*i*) spacers between adamantyl substituent and thiourea group to enhance conformational mobility; (*ii*) alkyl substituents in the bridgehead positions of adamantane to alter its lipophilicity; (*iii*) two adamantyl parts in a single molecule; (*iv*) adamantyl fragment linked with thioureas group by the bridge carbon; (*v*) aromatic fragments to regulate lipophilicity.

Reaction of amines with isothiocyanates is among the most widely used procedures for the preparation of thioureas. In contrast to isocyanates, isothiocyanates are less reactive and do not react with water in common conditions.²² Due to the bimolecular nature of this reaction, the adamantane moiety can be introduced in to the molecule of thiourea either with adamantyl amine or with adamantyl iso-thiocyanate. In this case, it is reasonable to use adamantyl amines, which are quite available in contrast to the adamantyl iso-thiocyanates.²³ Since the mechanism of thiourea formation is nucleo-philic addition to thiocarbonyl group, the most significant factors

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: bdhammock@ucdavis.edu (B.D. Hammock).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.05.024

Received 26 February 2018; Received in revised form 30 March 2018; Accepted 10 May 2018 0960-894X/@2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

V. Burmistrov et al.

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

Table 1

 IC_{50} values and some physico-chemical properties for adamantyl-aryl sEH inhibitors 1a-q.

#	Structure	mp (°C)	Solubility (µM) ^a	Human sEH IC_{50} (nM) ^b
1a	S N H H H	146–147	40–60	1224
1b		174–175	-	2592
1c	S S	148–149	-	50,248
1d	H H S N H H H	117–118	10-15	58
1e		167–168	40-50	234
1f	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	75–76	8–12	216
1g	H H	138–139	25–30	133
1h		126–127	20–30	345
1i	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	67–68	60–80	52
1j	N H H H F	147–148	50-60	58
1k	F	153–154	30-40	281
11		161–162	35–45	451
1m	S S H H H H H	69–70	80–90	160

(continued on next page)

V. Burmistrov et al.

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

Table 1 (continued)

#	Structure	mp (°C)	Solubility (µM) ^a	Human sEH IC_{50} (nM) ^b
1n	S N H H H	140–141	175–200	551
10	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	136–137	150–175	159
1p		190–191	75–100	1084
1q	S H H H	146–147	125–150	148

^a Solubilities were measured in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4, 0.1 M) containing 1% of DMSO.

^b As determined via a kinetic fluorescent assay. Results are means of three separate experiments.³⁰



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: a. DMF, Et₃N, 80 °C, 12 h.



affecting the reaction speed are the amine nucleophilicity, positive charge on a thiocarbonyl carbon atom and steric factors in a transition state. On the one hand, the basicity of amino group in adamantyl amines is relatively high²⁴ due to the donor effect of adamantane fragment, which should have positive effect on reactivity. On the other hand, steric hindrance in transition state caused by large adamantane fragment could lower reactivity of such amines despite of high basicity. Introduction of spacers between the amino group and adamantane will decrease basicity as well as steric hindrance in transition state.

Previous research²⁵ showed that selectivity of the reaction under consideration is highly affected by the reagents ratio and the solvent used. So when carrying reaction with equimolar amount of adamantyl amine or in excess of aromatic isothiocyanate, an adverse reaction leading to the formation of corresponding adamantyl isothiocyanate takes place. This metathesis effect is possible due to the consecutive

route of the reaction when thioureas are involved in the reaction with excessive isothiocyanate. Hence, it is expedient to use solvents, which cannot dissolve thioureas and thereby exclude it from the reaction zone (for instance, hexanes). It is necessary to mention that metathesis of amines into isothiocyanates was discovered in reactions carried out without triethylamine.²⁵ Introduction of strong base such as Et_3N or DIPEA in most cases suppresses the adverse reaction between thioureas and isothiocyanate.

Thioureas bearing aromatic moiety are the most intensively investigated as biologically active compounds among the thioureas.^{26–28} Combination of a benzene ring and an adamantyl fragment in one molecule is of great interest (Table 1). Among ureas and thioureas containing aromatic moiety those with EWG (Cl, F, F₃C and F₃CO) were the most potent as sEH inhibitors.²⁹ Taking into account that interaction of urea-type inhibitor with the sEH domain is NH-acidity

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. Compound 2b (green) docked into the active site of human sEH.



Fig. 2. Compound 2c (green) docked into the active site of human sEH.

dependent, we developed thioureas containing aromatic ring substituted with EWG (1j-q, Scheme 1).

Thioureas 1a, 1d–1h are poorly soluble in water due to hydrophobic nature of both the adamantyl and aromatic sides of the molecules. Insertion of one methylene bridge between thiourea group and benzene ring leads to the 4-fold decrease in water solubility and to an increase of activity (1a vs. 1d) while addition of second one does not affect solubility and leads to a slight decrease of activity (1d vs. 1f). A 3-fluoro substituted aromatic part does not change water solubility (1j–m) while fluorine in 4-position makes the thioureas 4-fold more soluble in water (for example, 1a vs. 1n). Introduction of fluorine into the 4-position of the aromatic nucleus also leads to the most notable increase of activity. Thioureas with methyl substituents in bridgehead positions in adamantane as well as those with methylene spacers at the thiourea groups possessed lower melting points. For example, in a row of thioureas 1b, 1e and 1h introduction of methylene spacer

39 °C decrease in melting point and for another 9 °C with a 1,2-ethylene spacer. The most significant melting point decrease (108 °C) is observed when two 1,2-ethylene spacers were introduced at both sides of the thiourea groups when compound 1i compared to 1-(adamantan-1-yl)-3phenyl thiourea.³¹ More substituents in the adamantane part of the thiourea molecule as well as complication of the spacer at thioureas group leads to lower inhibitory activity. In this case complicated spacers have the worst impact on activity decrease. Compounds 1a and 1b have the same molecular mass but 1a (no spacer between adamantane and thiourea, two methyl substituents in adamantane) is 2fold more potent than 1b (1,1-etylene spacer between adamantane and thiourea, no substituents in adamantane). Compound 1c (-CH2-CH (C₂H₅)- spacer between adamantane and thiourea, no substituents in adamantane) is 25-fold less potent than 1a. Similar trend was observed for compounds 1d and 1e; 1g, 1f and 1h; 1o, 1n and 1p. The only exception from this empirical rule are the compounds with a 1,2-

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx



Fig. 3. Compound 2a (green) docked into the active site of human sEH. Upside down orientation of the molecule and the absence of hydrogen bonds (dashed green lines) between the sulfur and Tyr383 and Tyr466 observed.

Table 2

	IC50	values and	some r	physico-chemical	properties	for adamant	yl-aryl	sEH	inhibitors 2	2a-c
--	------	------------	--------	------------------	------------	-------------	---------	-----	--------------	------

#	Structure	mp (°C)	Solubility (µM) ^a	IC ₅₀ (nM) ^b
2a	HR HR S	152–153	70–80	229
2b	H H S	124–125	65–75	34
2c	S S S	57–58	20–30	8.2

^a Solubilities were measured in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4, 0.1 M) containing 1% of DMSO.

^b As determined via a kinetic fluorescent assay. Results are means of three separate experiments.³⁰

ethylene spacer between the adamantane and thiourea groups (1m and 1q) being more potent than thioureas with single methylene spacer (1k) or with two methyl substituents in adamantane (1n).

As previously reported, 2-adamantyl ureas usually were more potent then corresponding 1-adamantyl analogs.¹⁸ Thus, we carried out reactions of 2-adamantyl amines with aromatic isothiocyanates (Scheme 2).

Water solubility of thioureas predictably lowers with each new methylene group between thiourea group and the benzene ring. Besides that, addition of branched spacer between the thiourea and adamantane part led to 4–9-fold decrease of solubility. For compounds **2a–c** regulations concerning the effect of spacers on inhibition potency against sEH are the same as for compounds **1a–s**. For compounds **2a–c** inhibition potency increased 6.7-fold when a methylene spacer was added between the thiourea groups and the benzene rings (from 229 nM for compound **2a** to 34 nM for **2b**) and 4-fold more with 1,2-

ethylene spacer (up to 8.2 nM for compound 2c). IC₅₀ of 8.2 nM for compound 2c is extremely high activity for thioureas and even good enough for ureas.

A molecular docking in the sEH active site was performed for compounds **2a**, **2b** and **2c**. For this, the published X-ray crystal structure of the human sEH complexed with a thioureas-based ligand (PDB accession number 4JNC) was used.³² For compounds **2b** (Fig. 1) and **2c** (Fig. 2) the known¹⁹ complexation model was confirmed and the potency difference between these thioureas probably is connected with their lipophilicity. The 3D depictions of the binding site (Figs. 1–3) were created with VIDA 4.3.0 (OpenEye Scientific Software, Santa Fe, NM http://www.eyesopen.com).

Compared to its urea analog,³³ **2a** is 13-fold less potent. The molecular docking of **2a** (Fig 3) suggests that it is twisted upside down, unlike **2b** and **2c** (Figs. 1 and 2). In such position, there is no bonding possible between the thiourea and the protein, which probably explain



Fig. 4. ORTEP diagram showing 50% probability anisotropic displacement ellipsoids of non-hydrogen atoms for compound **2a** according to single crystal XRD data collected at 100(2) K.



Fig. 5. Fragment of the molecular packing in the crystals **2a** viewed along the axis 0*c* according to XRD. Intermolecular interactions N–H...S and C–H...S are marked in green and magenta dotted lines, respectively.

2a low inhibitory activity (Table 2). Besides **2a**, such positioning in the active site could explain why thioureas were found previously to be poor sEH inhibitor,^{16,20} as the hydrogen bonds between the chalcogen and Tyr383 and Tyr466 are essential to yield highly potent inhibitor of sEH.³⁴

The X-ray crystal structure of compound **2a** (Fig. 4) reveals that the molecule is bent against the conformation proposed above. Prevalence of this conformation could also explain the low activity of thioureas due to the difficulty of hydrogen bond formation between NH and Asp335.

Thiourea **2a** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ with one molecule in the asymmetric cell. The molecular structure of **2a** in the crystalline phase is characterized by the antiperiplanar torsion angle of the C21–N2–C1–S1 moiety { $\tau = 173.8(3)^{\circ}$ } and the synperiplanar angle of the C101–N1–C1–S1 moiety { $\tau = 7.2(5)^{\circ}$ }. The

geometry of the symmetrically independent molecule 2a is shown in Fig. 4. The main supramolecular motif in the crystals is a centrosymmetric dimer, which is formed by the intermolecular hydrogen bonds {N2-H20.868(18) Å, H2...S1 2.59(2) Å, N2...S1 N2-H2 S1 3.425(3) Å. N2–H2...S1 162(3)°: symmetry code: -x + 1, -y + 2. -z + 1}. A potential H-bond donor group N2–H2 has no acceptor due to steric obstacles caused by the phenyl and adamantan-2-yl substituents. The fragment of molecular packing of 2a in the crystals is presented in Fig. 5. The figure shows the significant contribution of N-H...S and C-H...S interactions to the stabilization of the molecular conformation. The mentioned distance H2...S1 is shorter that the sum of the contact radii of hydrogen (1.20 A) and sulfur (1.80 A).³⁵ The three-dimensional crystal structure is arranged by the association of the zero-dimensional dimers by weak dispersion interactions of the peripheral fragments. The packing index is equal to 67.9%. The crystallographic data for the investigated compound have been deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication number CCDC 1824337.

It was previously showed that 1,3-disubstituted ureas with two adamantyl fragments in their structure are very potent soluble epoxide inhibitors.¹⁷ We used adamantyl isothiocyanates along with adamantyl amines to synthesize diadamantyl thioureas **3a–j**, **4a–f** and **5** (Schemes 3–5).

Diadamantyl thioureas could be divided into three groups based on connection of adamantane with thioureas group. In thioureas **3a**–**j** both adamantanes linked with thiourea group by its bridgehead carbons while in thioureas **4a**–**f** one adamantane linked with its bridgehead carbon and second with bridge carbon. In compound **5** both adamantanes linked with thioureas by its bridge carbons (Table 3).

Thioureas with two adamantyl fragments with some exceptions possess water solubility of $30-120 \,\mu$ M and inhibitory potency up to $11.2 \,n$ M. Intramolecular interactions in symmetrical molecules are relatively high, and therefore introduction of methylene spacer between adamantyl and thiourea group increases solubility (**3a–c**) but has almost no effect on activity. A 1,4-phenylene spacer in the same position does not affect water solubility (**3a** and **3e** or **3c** and **3g**) but dramatically decreases inhibitory activity against the sEH. Introduction of two 1,4-phenylene spacers from both sides of thiourea group leads to 3-fold increase in water solubility accompanied with 1367-fold decrease in inhibition potency (**3a** vs. **3j**). It should be noted that synthesized thioureas are 4–5-fold more water soluble than ureas of corresponding structure. For example diadamantyl thiourea **3a** is 4.7-fold more soluble than corresponding diadamantyl urea.¹⁷

Interestingly, quantum chemical calculations for **DAU** and **3a** indicate that the presence of the sulfur atom in place of the oxygen in **DAU** yields a change in conformation of the chemicals. As shown on Fig 6, while conformer A is the most stable for **DAU**, conformer C is the preferred form of **3a** (MP2, def2-SVP, Orca $4.0.1^{37}$). This could in part explain the difference in potency observed between **DAU** and **3a** (Table 4).

Thus, in some cases loss of potency for thioureas in comparison with the ureas can be explained by the change in the conformational population.

 $3j (R = H, X = -C_6H_4-, Y = -C_6H_4-)$

The impact of asymmetry combined with the ability of the molecule



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: a. DMF, Et₃N, 80 °C, 12 h.

 $3e (R = H, X = -C_6H_4-, Y = "-")$

V. Burmistrov et al.



Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: *a*. DMF, Et_3N , 80 °C, 12 h.



Scheme 5. Reagents and conditions: *a*. DMF, Et_3N , 80 °C, 12 h.

Table 3	
IC ₅₀ values and some physico-chemical	properties for adamantyl-aryl sEH inhibitors 3a–j , 4a–f and 5 .

#	Structure	mp (°C)	Solubility (µM) ^a	IC ₅₀ (nM) ^b
3a	S S	166–167	30-40	11.2
DAU ^c		310–314 ³⁶	5–10 ¹⁸	1.2 ¹⁸
3b		121-122	30-40	16.1
3c	S NH NH NH NH NH NH NH NH NH NH NH NH NH	172–173	70–80	20.8
3d	S N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	116–117	-	60.3
3e	S C C	136–137	30-40	1248
3f		149–150	70–80	2479
3g		116–117	65–75	5903

V. Burmistrov et al.

Table 3 (continued)

#	Structure	mp (°C)	Solubility (µM) ^a	$IC_{50} (nM)^{b}$
3h		229–230	10–15	57,393
	s s			
3i		191–192	100–120	5334
	s s			
3ј		146–147	100–125	15,314
	s s			
4a	s H H	181–182	60–70	14.8
4b		117–118	80–90	152
4c	н н Л	116–117	30–40	902
4d	50	230–231	30–40	7784
4e	s s	191–192	125–150	259
4f		234-235	175-200	5936
12		201 200	175 200	0,00
	s (
	N N N H H			
5	s v	250 (sublimes)	50–60	131
	\vee \cdots \vee			

^a Solubilities were measured in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4, 0.1 M) containing 1% of DMSO.
 ^b As determined via a kinetic fluorescent assay. Results are means of three separate experiments.²⁹

^c DAU: 1,3-diadamantyl urea.

V. Burmistrov et al.



Fig. 6. The conformers of the di(adamantan-1-yl)urea and thiourea used in quantum chemical calculations.

to create intramolecular hydrogen bonds could be traced on thioureas **3a**, **4a** and **5**. These three thioureas have two adamantyl fragments directly linked with thiourea group without any spacers or substituents.

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

Table 4

The relative conformational energies for di(adamantan-1-yl)urea and di(adamantan-1yl)thiourea compared with the conformer of the lowest energy (kcal/ mol).

х	Conformer A	Conformer B	Conformer C
$\begin{array}{l} X = O \\ X = S \end{array}$	0	6.2	2.8
	0.3	4.2	0

Only difference is the connection between adamantyl and thiourea group in compound **3a**, where both adamantyls are linked by bridgehead carbons, whereas in compound **5**, both the adamantyls are linked by bridge carbons. Similarly in compound **4a**, one is linked by bridge



Scheme 6. Reagents and conditions: *a*. DMF, Et_3N , 80 °C, 12 h.

Table 5 IC₅₀ values and some physico-chemical properties for adamantyl-aryl sEH inhibitors 6a-h.

#	Structure	mp (°C)	Solubility (µM) ^a	$IC_{50} (nM)^{b}$
ба		185–186	100–110	987.4
6b		134–135	90–100	97.6
бс		107–108	90–100	90.8
6d	S S S	83–84	80–90	21.1
бе		88–89	70–80	7.7
6f		60–61	70–80	7.2
6g		72–73	40–50	9.8
6h		71–72	35–45	15.3

^a Solubilities were measured in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4, 0.1 M) containing 1% of DMSO.

 $^{\rm b}\,$ As determined via a kinetic fluorescent assay. Results are means of three separate experiments. 30

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

V. Burmistrov et al.

Table 6

Water solubility of thioureas compared to ureas of similar structure.



^a Solubilities were measured in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4, 0.1 M) containing 1% of DMSO.

Table 7 Inhibitory potency of thioureas 2a, 2c, 2e, 6b–6h against human (hsEH), rat (rsEH) and murine (msEH) soluble epoxide hydrolases.

N₂	Structure	sEH IC_{50} (nM) ^a		
		Human	Rat	Mouse
6b	S S S	97.6	43.3	74.9
6с		90.8	122.7	130.4
6d	S S S S	21.1	46.1	36.2
бе	H H H H H	7.7	61.6	50.6
6f		7.2	35.3	47.3

(continued on next page)

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

Table 7 (continued)

N₂ sEH IC50 (nM) Structure Human Rat Mouse 9.8 374.0 1166.7 6g 6h 15.3 44.4 59.5 2a 229 355.6 408.0 2b 34 60.3 61.0 78.3 108.1 2c 8.2

^a As determined via a kinetic fluorescent assay. Results are means of three separate experiments.³⁰

carbon whereas the other one by bridgehead. Thiourea **5** is 1.5-fold more soluble than thiourea **3a** while asymmetric thiourea **4a** is 1.8-fold more soluble than **3a**.

Previously, we reported that diureas containing two urea groups linked with aliphatic spacer are very potent soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitors.¹⁸ High activity of these compounds supposed to be due to the ability of second urea group to bind with Ser374 of the sEH while the second adamantyl contacts the inner hydrophobic areas of the protein.

Synthesis of symmetric dithioureas was carried out by the reaction of 1-adamantylisothiocyanate with aliphatic diamines (Scheme 6, Table 5).

Water solubility of dithioureas decreases with each new methylene group introduced between thiourea groups and there is 3-fold difference between compound **6a** with 1,2-ethylene spacer and compound **6h** with 1,10-decylene. Thiourea **6a** is 10–137-fold less potent than the rest of thioureas in this series. Such a big difference support our hypothesis about formation of hydrogen bonds between second thiourea group and Ser374 and propylene linker is the required minimum while 6–8 carbon linkers preferred. When extending spacer between thiourea groups inhibition potency grows and comes to a maximum of 7.2 nM with 1,7-heptylene spacer (**6f**). Further elongation leads to a slight decrease of activity.

Trying to compare water solubility of our adamantyl thioureas with known ureas of corresponding structure, we discovered the lack of such data in the literature. Thus we synthesized four dithioureas **6i–l** similar to the diureas with known water solubility (Table 6).

Data in Table 6 show that thioureas are 2.5–7-fold more soluble in water than ureas of similar structure. Despite of lesser inhibition potency against human sEH than ureas, thioureas more soluble in water. Thus, the total effectiveness of thioureas when compared to ureas seems

to be on the same level with the correct approach to the design of the molecule.

During the transition from *in vitro* testing to *in vivo*, first series usually carried out on laboratory animals, specifically mice and rats. To confirm extrapolation possibility of rodent *in vivo* testing to humans, we investigated inhibition potency of thioureas against mouse and rat sEH (Table 7).

Data (Table 7) show that thioureas in general are less active against rodent sEH. Therefore, one must be careful while transferring the effects found *in vivo* on rodents to humans. In addition, in most cases, the inhibitory activity of thioureas against the human sEH is closer to the value of activity against rat sEH rather than murine sEH. Therefore, it is advisable to conduct *in vivo* sEH inhibition tests on laboratory rats.

Thus, a series of thioureas and dithioureas has been developed and systematic study of adamantyl thioureas as inhibitors of the soluble epoxide hydrolase (sEH) was performed. Inhibition potency of the described compounds ranges from 50 μ M to 7.2 nM. Among the thioureas, compound **2c** (IC₅₀ = 8.2 nM) and compound **6f** (IC₅₀ = 7.2 nM) were found to be the most potent sEH inhibitors. While being less potent, the thioureas are up to 7-fold more soluble than the corresponding ureas, suggesting the sulfur containing compounds could be easier to formulate and probably more bioavailable than the corresponding ureas.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Russian Fund for Basic Research (grant number 16-33-00172) and by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (base part of state assignment for 2017–2019; project no. 4.7491.2017/BCh), National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) grant R01 ES002710, and NIEHS Superfund Research Program grant P42 ES004699.

V. Burmistrov et al.

The authors would like to thank the OpenEye Scientific Software Company for the academic license for their software.

A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.05.024.

References

- Arand M, Grant DF, Beetham JK, Friedberg T, Oesch F, Hammock BD. FEBS Lett. 1994;338:251.
- 2. Oesch F. Xenobiotica. 1973;3:305.
- 3. Zeldin DC, Kobayashi J, Falck JR, et al. J Biol Chem. 1993;268:6402.
- 4. Spector AA, Fang X, Snyder GD, Weintraub NL. Prog Lipid Res. 2004;43:55.
- 5. Fleming I, Rueben A, Popp R, et al. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2007;27:2612.
- 6. Imig JD. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol. 2008;4:165.
- 7. Yu Z, Xu F, Huse LM, et al. Circ Res. 2000;87:992.
- 8. Spiecker M, Liao JK. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2005;433:420.
- Imig JD, Zhao X, Capdevilla JH, Morisseau C, Hammock BD. Hypertension. 2002;39:690.
- 10. Imig JD, Zhao X, Zaharis CZ, et al. Hypertension. 2005;46:975.
- 11. Garscha U, Romp E, Pace S, et al. Sci Rep. 2017;7:9398.
- 12. Dong X, Jia Y, Gea I, et al. Toxicology. 2017;389:31.
- Kitamura S, Morisseau C, Harris TR, Inceoglu B, Hammock BD. PLoS ONE. 2017;12:e0176571.

- 14. Meirer K, Glatzel D, Kretschmer S, et al. Molecules. 2017;22:45.
- Manickam M, Pillaiyar T, Boggu PR, et al. *Eur J Med Chem.* 2016;117:113.
 McElroy NR, Jurs PC, Morisseau C, Hammock BD. *J Med Chem.* 2003;46:1066.
- Anandan S-K, Do ZN, Webb HK, Patel DV, Gless RD. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2009;19:1066.
- 18. Burmistrov V, Morisseau C, Lee KSS, et al. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2014;24:2193.
- 19. Hwang SH, Tsai HJ, Liu JY, Morisseau C, Hammock BD. J Med Chem. 2007;50:3825.
- 20. Kim IH, Morisseau C, Watanabe T, Hammock BD. J Med Chem. 2004;47:2110.
- 21. Morisseau C, Goodrow MH, Dowdy D, et al. PNAS. 1999;96:8849.
- 22. Waterman C, Cheng DM, Rojas-Silva P, et al. Phytochemistry. 2014;103:114.
- 23. Burmistrov VV, Butov GM, Pitushkin DA. Russ J Org Chem. 2015;51:1795.
- 24. Hoshino Y, Shimbo Y, Ohtsuka N, Honda K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015;56:710.
- 25. Burmistrov V, Pitushkin D, Butov G. SynOpen. 2017;1:121.
- 26. Tsypysheva IP, Koval'skaya AV, Lobov AN, et al. Chem Nat Compd. 2013;49:707.
- 27. Spilovska K, Korabecny J, Kral J, et al. Molecules. 2013;18:2397.
- 28. Saeed A, Ashraf Z, Erben MF, Simpson J. J Mol Struct. 2017;1129:283.
- 29. Hwang SH, Wecksler AT, Zhang G, et al. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2013;23:3732.
- Jones PD, Wolf NM, Morisseau C, Whetstone P, Hock B, Hammock BD. Anal Biochem. 2005;343:66.
- Al-Wahaibi LH, Ghabbour HA, Mostafa GAE, Almutairi MS, El-Emam AA. Z Kristallogr NCS. 2016;231:593.
- Thalji RK, McAtee JJ, Belyanskaya S, et al. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2013;23:3584.
 Kasagami T, Kim I-H, Tsai H-J, Nishi K, Hammock BD, Morisseau C. *Bioorg Med Chem*
 - Lett. 2009;19:1784.
 - 34. Morisseau C, Hammock BD. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2005;45:311-333.
 - 35. Bondi A. J Phys Chem. 1964;68:441.
 - 36. Eguchi S, Takeuchi H, Watanabe N. Nippon Kagaku Kaishi. 1987;7:1280.
 - 37. Neese F. WIREs Comput Mol Sci. 2012;2:73.

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx