



Cutting-edge research for a greener sustainable future

# Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: F. Albericio, B. G. de la Torre, A. Kumar, M. Alhassan, C. Bucher and J. Lopez, Green Chem., 2020, DOI: 10.1039/C9GC03784E.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical guidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/greenchem

## **Green Chemistry**

## ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x



## Successful Development of a Method for the Incorporation of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH in Solid-Phase Peptide Synthesis using N Butylpyrrolidinone (NBP) as Solvent

Beatriz G. de la Torre,<sup>\*a</sup> Ashish Kumar,<sup>a,b</sup> Mahama Alhassan,<sup>b</sup> Christoph Bucher,<sup>c</sup> Fernando Albericio,<sup>\*b,d,e</sup> John Lopez<sup>\*c,</sup>

NBP has proved an excellent alternative solvent to the hazardous DMF for SPPS. Here we studied the incorporation of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH, one of the most problematic amino acids, into a growing peptide chain. The poor performance of this amino acid is attributed to the formation of a fully inactive  $\delta$ -lactam, which causes a reduction in yield and very often the concomitant formation the corresponding des-Arg peptides. This problem is exacerbated when NBP is used as solvent, presumably because of its high viscosity, which impairs the penetration of the coupling cocktail into the resin. To tackle this issue, we propose the following strategy for the safe introduction of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH in SPPS at 45°C, keeping excesses to a minimum: 1.75 equiv. of the protected amino acids, 1.8 equiv. of DIC, and 1.5 equiv. of OxymaPure. The cornerstone of the strategy is to carry out in situ activation. In this regard, Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH and OxymaPure dissolved in NBP were added to peptidyl-resin, allow to reach the 45°C, then half the DIC was added and left for 30 min, followed by the other half and some extra Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH. During the entire process, the temperature was kept at 45°C, with the double purpose of reducing the viscosity of NBP, thus facilitating the penetration of the coupling cocktail into the resin, and speeding up the coupling itself. It is envisaged that this strategy could be widely used to improve the performance of SPPS, including the industrial preparation of peptides using this approach.

## Introduction

Published on 31 January 2020. Downloaded by Goteborgs Universitet on 1/31/2020 12:15:45 PM

The number of peptide-based drugs approved by the two most important regulatory agencies, namely the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), has risen notably in recent years.<sup>1-4</sup> With respect to the validation of the role of the peptides in the drug arena, more important than the number of peptides on the market (more than 60<sup>1</sup>) is the number of peptides in clinical phases (more than 170<sup>1</sup>). From a structural point of view, peptides either on the market or in active development are characterized by increasing complexity. Thus, those containing more than 30 amino acids, several cycles, and/or pending fatty or polyethylenglycol chains are common in the various drug discovery phases. Indeed, this is exemplified by the peptides approved by the FDA in 2017, an exceptional year for the peptide harvest, with six peptide approvals.<sup>5</sup>

There is no doubt that the solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) strategy developed by the Nobel Laurate R. Bruce Merrifield

has been key for peptides to be considered alternative drugs to the so-called small molecules.<sup>6</sup> Although in its early days SPPS was heavily criticized by Merrifield's colleagues,<sup>7</sup> the power of this approach has been widely exploited, first as a potent research tool and later as the strategy of choice for the production of most of the peptides on the market.<sup>8, 9</sup> In this regard, the establishment of the multi kilogram-scale production of enfuvirtide (T-20 or Fuzeon), a first-in-class membrane fusion inhibitor for the treatment of HIV, is considered the validation of SPPS for the production of peptides.<sup>10</sup> Enfuvirtide is a 36-amino acid peptide that was synthesized by a hybrid solid phase-solution strategy, in which three protected peptides were prepared in solid phase and then assembled in solution. Although this compound did not expected commercial blockbuster, become the its development marked a breakthrough. At that time, it became clear that almost any peptide could be synthetically affordable, mostly using SPPS. As a minor, but important, consequence of the bulk synthesis of enfuvirtide was that the high consumption of protected amino acids and chlorotrityl chloride (CTC) resin-the solid support used for the preparation of the protected fragments-leaded the cost of these materials fell, thereby bringing about a certain democratization of the peptide field.

Interesting, the most expensive protected amino acid currently on the market, Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH, was one of the exceptions in the enfuvirtide sequence. However, to be fully honest, it is also important to clarify that the synthesis of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>KwaZulu-Natal Research Innovation and Sequencing Platform (KRISP), School of Laboratory Medicine and Medical Sciences, College of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban 4041, South Africa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Peptide Science Laboratory, School of Chemistry and Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban 4001, South Africa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Novartis Pharma AG, Novartis Campu Campus, WSJ-145-5.51, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>CIBER-BBN, Networking Centre on Bioengineering, Biomaterials and Nanomedicine, Barcelona Science Park, University of Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain.

#### ARTICLE

OH is probably the most complex of all common protected amino acids.  $^{11}\,$ 

From a sustainability perspective, SPPS has a number of pros and cons. The pros include the following: (i) easy manipulations, as all reactions take place in the same reactor, and therefore no isolation of intermediates is needed and no major cleaning procedures are required; (ii) easy work-up, because the excess of reagents and soluble side-products are removed by filtration and washings, thereby allowing the use of an excess of reagents, thereby facilitating high conversion yields; (iii) use of solvents of high boiling point; and (iv) possibility of partial and even full automatization. On the other hand, the cons encompass the following: (i) the same use of reagent excess, although this is mitigated in large scale production, where only slight excesses of the reagents are used; (ii) very poor atom economy [e.g., 0.24 for Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH and 0.19 for Fmoc-Gly-OH]; and (iii) the large volume of solvents used for washing the resin after each synthetic step.

In this last regard, the large consumption of solvents and the fact that N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) has been by far the most widely used solvent in SPPS are the main drawbacks in greening the strategy. DMF is reprotoxic and, like other polar aprotic solvents, such as N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP), and dimethylacetamide (DMA), has been labeled by REACH-ECHA as a Substance of Very High Concern (SVHC) and is therefore a focus for replacement. To tackle this issue, several research groups<sup>12-15</sup>and pharmaceutical industries participating in the ACS Green Chemistry Institute Pharmaceutical Roundtable have shown interest in identifying green solvents for SPPS.<sup>16</sup> In recent years, our groups have been working independently to identify an alternative solvent to DMF. In this regard, one group has developed strategies in which 2methyltetrahydrofurane (Me-THF) and  $\gamma$ -valerolactone (GVL) have been shown to be suitable alternatives to DMF.<sup>17-21</sup> The other group has proposed the use of *N*-butylpyrrolidone (NBP) for the same purpose.<sup>22</sup> NBP is a polar aprotic solvent with a high boiling point (243°C). According to OECD test methods, NBP is non-mutagenic, non-reprotoxic and biodegradable and is therefore friendlier than other amide-based solvents of related structure, such as DMF and NMP.

In our previous work,<sup>22</sup> and after a careful evaluation of 34 non-hazardous solvents, NBP was shown to outperform the other solvents tested in terms of resin swelling, Fmoc removal efficiency, and coupling yield and thus emerges as a strong candidate to replace DMF. NBP was successfully applied for the semi-industrial synthesis of the linear precursor of the octapeptide Octreotide. Although the purity of the crude peptide synthesized with NBP was slightly inferior to that prepared with DMF (80% vs. 86%), the impurity profile was similar in both cases. This observation reinforces the notion of the appropriateness of NBP to achieve greener SPPS.

Here, in an attempt to generalize the use of NBP, we studied the compatibility of this solvent with the coupling of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH. Arg is an important residue and has been object of several methodological studies.<sup>23</sup> The relevance of this amino acid lies in its presence in many peptide-based APIs,

such as Etelcalcetide, Abaloparatide, and Semaglutide, among others approved by the FDA in recent years<sup>15.1</sup>Aig is also 7 key for the development of the so-called Cell-Penetrating Peptides (CPPs).<sup>24</sup> It is important to note that Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH, which is the most used building block for the introduction of Arg into SPPS, is the most expensive of all protected proteinogenic amino acids and, like all Arg derivatives, it undergoes an important side-reaction upon activation. In this regard, once the carboxylic group of the protected Arg is activated,  $\delta\text{-lactam}$ formation takes place, with the corresponding formation of a six-member ring (Scheme 1), which blocks the incorporation of Arg into the growing peptide sequence. Although this sidereaction does not modify the final peptide, it often leads to the formation of deletion peptides, in which Arg is missing. To mitigate this, repetitive couplings of protected Arg are carried out, a procedure that increases the cost of the whole process. This drawback is exacerbated during the industrial preparation of Arg-containing peptides, where minimum excesses are used and repetitive couplings have a penalty in time, solvent consumption, and cost.



Scheme 1. Activation of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH with the concomitant formation of  $\delta$ -lactam.

#### **Results and discussion**

The present study was carried using DIC and OxymaPure as coupling cocktail in DMF and NBP as solvents. OxymaPure<sup>25</sup> is the additive of choice for the most peptide syntheses and has been proposed by the ACS Green Chemistry Institute Pharmaceutical Roundtable as the greenest aditive.<sup>12</sup>

To study the activation kinetics of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH and the subsequent  $\delta$ -lactam formation, an equimolar mixture of the three reagents, Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH, DIC, and OxymaPure, was prepared in the two solvents, DMF and NBP, at room temperature (RT). In the absence of any nucleophile, the behavior observed in both solvents was very similar (Figure 1A). The activation in DMF was slightly faster than in NBP, as was  $\delta$ -lactam formation. When the same experiment was carried out at 60°C (Figure 1B), the activation and  $\delta$ -lactam formation were much faster than at RT, as expected. Furthermore, the previous tendency was inversed and although both solvents gave similar results, the complete conversion of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH into its  $\delta$ -lactam was slightly faster in NBP.

Next, the previous experiment at RT was repeated in the presence of a nucleophile, the peptide H-Gly-Phe-Leu anchored to a Rink amide polystyrene resin. This reaction mixture allowed us to study Published on 31 January 2020. Downloaded by Goteborgs Universitet on 1/31/2020 12:15:45 PM

Journal Name

#### ARTICLE

the competition between coupling and  $\delta$ -lactam formation by the HPLC analysis of the supernatant and the composition of the



peptide after cleavage from the resin (Scheme 2).

**Figure 1**. HPLC analysis of the activation kinetics and  $\delta$ -lactamization of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH with DIC and OxymaPure in DMF and NBP at **A**) RT and **B**) 60°C (see methods section for HPLC conditions). Note: The peak corresponding to Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH could be due to unreacted amino acid or mostly to instability of the activated species [Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-Oxyma] under aqueous HPLC conditions.





For these sets of experiments, Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH and OxymaPure were dissolved in the corresponding solvent, and DIC was added at a ratio of 1:1:1 at a concentration 0.075 M. Next, 1.5 equiv. of the mixture was added to the resin, which had been previously washed with the solvent, which was removed by filtration. HPLC analysis of the supernatant showed almost negligible formation of  $\delta$ -lactam when DMF was used, in comparison with NBP (Figure 2). In contrast, analysis of the cleaved peptide showed more than 98% incorporation of Arg(Pbf) when DMF was used, but less of 50% in the case of NBP (Figure 2).



Published on 31 January 2020. Downloaded by Goteborgs Universitet on 1/31/2020 12:15:45 PM

**Figure 2**. HPLC analysis of the reaction described in Scheme 2 using DMF and NBP as solvents at RT (See note at Figure 1 legend and Material and Methods section for HPLC conditions).

As pointed out in the previous study carried out with NBP<sup>17</sup> the higher viscosity of NBP vs. DMF (4.0 cP vs. 0.8 cP at 25°C) could explain the different performance of the coupling reaction, since the viscosity of the solvent may prevent the active species from reaching the functional groups inside the resin. Thus, with this idea in mind, we repeated the same experiment at 45°C in order to reduce the effect of viscosity during the coupling. Analysis of the supernatants revealed that both solvents rendered similar results in terms of  $\delta$ -lactam formation (Figure 3). However, analysis of the protected amino acid in the case of DMF and a substantial improvement in case of NBP, from 44.9% to 85.5% of coupling (Figure 3).



**Figure 3**. HPLC analysis of the reaction described in Scheme 2 using DMF and NBP as solvents at 45°C. (See note at Figure 1 legend and Material and methods section for HPLC conditions)

Although these results represented a notable improvement, they were far from optimal. In order to fine-tune the coupling reaction in NBP to achieve quantitative incorporation of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH into the peptidyl-resin, the next experiments were performed only in NBP. Thus, in view of the favorable effect of heating in the previous experiment, the temperature was increased to 60°C. Interestingly, analysis of the supernatant showed that no active species remained after 30 min of reaction and therefore no further coupling was possible after that time. Nevertheless, analysis of the cleaved peptide showed that the incorporation of the protected Arg reached 93% (Figure 4A).

Although these results showed a satisfactory increase in the coupling reaction, the lack of active species in a short time meant

that the reaction had reached a plateau, where no further coupling was possible. Thus, as this temperature (60%C) consthered a showed rapid consumption of reagent in both the coupling and side reaction, and on the other hand could be considered a limit for industrial purposes, the fine-tuning should be attempted using a strategy other than merely an increase in temperature.

As mentioned earlier, the higher viscosity of NBP may explain its poorer performance in coupling because of difficulty reaching the reactive points inside the resin. In this regard, it was envisaged that  $\delta$ -lactam formation was taking place and was accelerated during the time taken to reach the temperature after the addition of the solution mixture to the resin at RT. With this idea in mind, we attempted a new strategy. Using 25% of the total NBP needed for the coupling, the resin was swelled and allowed to equilibrate at 45°C, followed by the addition of the mixture solution containing Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH, OxymaPure, and DIC (1:1:1, 1.5 equiv.) in the remaining 75% volume of NBP (0.075M final concentration). This procedure was the so-called pre-conditioning strategy.



**Figure 4** HPLC analysis of the reaction described in Scheme 2 using NBP as solvent. **A**) at 60°C. **B**) pre-conditioning at 45°C (See note at Figure 1 legend and Material and methods section for HPLC conditions).

Under this new strategy, analysis of the reaction supernatant showed that not all activated protected amino acid had been consumed after 2 h, either because of coupling or conversion to  $\delta$ -lactam, as indicated by the presence of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH, which derived from the active species hydrolyzed in the reaction mixture or during the HPLC analysis (Figure 4B).

Analysis of the peptide after cleavage from the resin showed a coupling of 92.3%. On the other hand, these pre-conditioning conditions at 45°C almost matched (92.3% vs. 93.0%) the best coupling performance, which were achieved at 60°C and clearly

Published on 31 January 2020. Downloaded by Goteborgs Universitet on 1/31/2020 12:15:45 PM

#### Journal Name

better than the previous experiment at 45°C (85.5% vs 92.3%, Figure 3). The observation that no full conversion to  $\delta$ -lactam had been reached using the last conditions supported the idea that fine tuning them could render better results. In other words, the presence of some Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH, presumably from Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-Oxyma, indicated that the coupling was able to proceed further.



Figure 5. HPLC analysis of the reaction described in Scheme 2 using NBP as solvent by "in situ" activation. A) at concentration 0.075M.
B) at concentration 0.15M. (See note at Figure 1 legend and Material and methods section for HPLC conditions).

In view of the improved results achieved by pre-conditioning the temperature of the resin, the further experiments were carried out doing this pre-conditioning, adding a solution with the total amount (1:1, 1.5 equiv) of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH and OxymaPure to the resin to the resin. Then, once the mixture had reached 45°C, the total amount of DIC (1.5 equiv.) was added. This procedure, which was called "in situ" activation, was run as described previously at reagents concentration of 0.075M but also using the same equivalents at a concentration of 0.15 M, a value very similar to that used in production mode SPPS. These assays highlighted the importance of concentration, since the one performed at 0.075 M gave a coupling yield of 88.4% while that at 0.15 M was 95.1%. In both cases, but particularly in the second, a large amount of unreacted Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH was found when the supernatants were analyzed (Figure 5). Therefore, to force the coupling of the remaining protected amino acid, the next experiment was performed by increasing the amount of coupling reagent, i.e. DIC, leading the ratio of reagents Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH/DIC/Oxyma to 1:1.2:1 (this means 1.8 equiv of DIC with respect to the growing peptide)following the same procedure as before. This increment in the DIC ratio yielded a satisfactory improvement of the result, raising coupling to 98.2% (Figure 6A). This result could be because using this in situ activation, the formation of the Oxyma ester take

place when the peptidyl-resin is already solvated in NBP at the solution of the peptide bond formation does 100 k/competers in unfavorably with  $\delta$ -lactam formation.

Encouraged by this result, the next experiment was designed under the same concentration, temperature and equivalent as before but modifying the way in which DIC was added. The total amount DIC was divided into two equal portions, the first was added when the peptidyl-resin and Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH/OxymaPure mixture in the NBP solution reached 45°C and the second after 30 min of reaction. In this case, the coupling achieved was slightly better (98.7%) (Figure 6B). Although slight, this increase was considered positive because it showed that this strategy does not impair the process and thus fine-tuning can be attempted.



**Figure 6.** HPLC analysis of the reaction described in Scheme 2 using NBP as solvent by "in situ" activation using Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH/DIC/Oxyma 1:1.2:1 ratio. **A**) DIC addition in one portion. **B**) DIC addition in two portions. (See note at Figure 1 legend and Material and methods section for HPLC conditions).

The rationale behind this experiment was to protect Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH from conversion into  $\delta$ -lactam. As shown in Scheme 3, when half the DIC (0.90 equiv.) is added, a part of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH is converted to its O-acyl isourea derivative [Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-DliU], (max 0.90 equiv.), which immediately reacts with the rest of the Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH (0.60 equiv.) to give the symmetrical anhydride [[Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)]\_2O] and/or with OxymaPure to give the Oxyma active ester [Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-Oxyma]. Then, the [Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)]\_2O can react either with the amino peptidyl-resin or with Oxyma pure. In either case, one molecule of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH (0.60 equiv.) will be released and this will be activated when the second portion of DIC is added (Blue pathway in Scheme 3).





Scheme 3. Synthetic pathway involved in the coupling of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH.

Finally, the same strategy was attempted but adding some fresh Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH together with the second portion of DIC. Only 0.25 equiv. of the protected amino acid with respect to the growing peptide was added, resulting in a total usage of 1.75 equiv. of the protected Arg. In this case, the coupling reaction reached 100%.



**Figure 7**. HPLC analysis of the reaction described in Scheme 2 using NBP as solvent by "in situ" activation using Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH/DIC/Oxyma 1:1.2:1 ratio. DIC addition in two portions, 0.25 equiv of protected Arg in the second DIC addition. (See note at Figure 1 legend and Material and methods section for HPLC conditions).

To validate this procedure, first the assay was performed studying the coupling over a more hindered amino acid, since Gly lacks of side chain. The choice was Val because it is a  $\beta$ -branched amino acid, thus the coupling reaction is more difficult. The tripeptide H-Val-Phe-Leu-NH-Rink-amide-resin was prepared and Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH was coupled following the last protocol described above. Incorporation exceeded 99%.

Next, the strategy was applied to the synthesis of the lineal precursor of the Cilengitide, the cyclic RGD peptide.<sup>26</sup> The sequence H-DfKRG-OH was synthesized on chlorotrityl (CTC)-resin and the peptide was obtained with excellent purity without the presence of the des-Arg peptide. The quality of the crude was comparable to

that obtained by standard protocols using DMF as solver (Figure 8). DOI: 10.1039/C9GC03784E



**Figure 8.** HPLC Analysis of the lineal precursor of the cyclic RGD peptide. Green: total synthesis in NBP, Arg coupled under methodology developed. Red: standard synthesis in DMF. Grey: Des-Arg peptide synthesized as standard.

#### Experimental

#### Materials

All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Fmoc amino acids, Fmoc-Rink Amide AM resin (loading 0.74 mmol/g) and 2-CTC resin were purchased from Iris Biotech. NBP (TamiSolveNxG) was purchased from Eastman, OxymaPure was a gift from Luxembourg Biotech., and *N*,*N*-Diisopropylethylamine (DIEA) and methylpiperidine were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich. Organic solvents Dimethylformamide (DMF), 2-Methyltetrahydrofuran (2-MeTHF), cyclopenthylmethyl eter (CPME) and HPLC quality acetonitrile (CH<sub>3</sub>CN) were purchased from Merck. Milli-Q water was used for RP-HPLC analyses. Analytical HPLC was performed on an Agilent 1100 system using a Phenomenex Aeris<sup>TM</sup>C18 (3.6 $\mu$ m, 4.6 × 150 mm) column, with flow rate of 1.0 mL/min and UV detection at 220 nm. Chemstation software was used for data processing. Solvent A: 0.1% TFA in  $H_2O$ ; Solvent B: 0.1% TFA in  $CH_3CN$ . LC-MS was performed on a Shimadzu 2020 UFLC-MS using an YMC-Triart C18 (5  $\mu$ m, 4.6 × 150 mm) column. Solvent A: 0.1% formic acid in H<sub>2</sub>O; solvent B: 0.1% formic acid in CH<sub>3</sub>CN.

#### $\delta$ -Lactam formation study

1. 0.1 M solution of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH/DIC/OxymaPure (1:1:1) was prepared in NBP or DMF, 10- $\mu$ L sample was taking at 0, 30, 60, and 120 min. mixture and diluted to 1 mL with CH<sub>3</sub>CN. From this solution 1  $\mu$ L was injected and analyzed by analytical RP-HPLC. General Method: 30–95% B into A in 15 min.

2. From the different coupling conditions (**Table 1**) 10- $\mu$ L sample of the supernatant was taking at 0, 30, 60, and 120 min. mixture and diluted to 1 mL with CH<sub>3</sub>CN. From this solution 1  $\mu$ L was injected and analyzed by analytical RP-HPLC. General Method: 30–95% B into A in 15 min.

SPPS

All peptides were assembled manually in plastic syringes fitted with a polyethylene porous disc, according to Fmoc/tBu methodology by mean of DIC/ Oxyma as coupling agents. Fmoc removal was carried out by treatment with a solution of 20% of 4-methylpiperidine. The solvent was NBP and DMF for comparison.

The tripeptidylresin (H-GFL-resin) was prepared on Rink-amide-PS (loading 0.74 mmol/g), coupling of each residue was performed

Table 1: Coupling conditions for Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH

| Entry | Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH/DIC/ Oxyma<br>Ratio | Eq.          | [Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH]<br>(M) | T (°C) |
|-------|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------|
| 1     | 1:1:1                                | 1.5          | 0.075                     | RT     |
| 2     | 1:1:1                                | 1.5          | 0.075                     | 45°C   |
| 3     | 1:1:1                                | 1.5          | 0.075                     | 60°C   |
| 4     | 1:1:1                                | 1.5          | 0.075                     | 45°C   |
| 5     | 1:1:1                                | 1.5          | 0.075                     | 45°C   |
| 6     | 1:1:122                              | 1.5          | 0.15                      | 45°C   |
| 7     | 1:1.2:12                             | 1.5:1.8:1.5  | 0.15                      | 45°C   |
| 8     | 1:1.2:1 <sup>3</sup>                 | 1.5:1.8:1.5  | 0.15                      | 45°C   |
| 9     | 1:1.2:1                              | 1.75:1.8:1.5 | 0.15                      | 45°C   |

Pre-conditioned resin in solvent at 45°C

In situ activation:

<sup>1</sup> Pre-conditioned resin in Fmoc-Arg (Pbf)-OH/Oxyma in solvent at 45°C, followed by DIC addition.

Pre-conditioned resin in Fmoc-Arg (Pbf)-OH/Oxyma in solvent at 45°C, followed by addition: t=0 0.6 equiv of DIC

t=30 min 0.6 equiv of DIC

Pre-conditioned resin in Fmoc-Arg (Pbf)-OH/Oxymas in solvent at 45°C, followed by addition:

t=0 0.6 equiv of DIC

t=30 min 0.25 eq. Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH + 0.6 equiv of DIC

RGD and desArgRGD peptides were synthesized on 2-CTC resin (0.05 mmol scale, loading 0.56 mmol/g). The incorporation of the first amino acid was done with Fmoc-Gly-OH (1.5 equiv) in 2-Me-THF in presence of base DIEA (10 equiv) for 2 hours, then capping with MeOH (50 $\mu$ L) for 30 min at room temperature. The rest of amino acids were coupled as described above and Arg was incorporated following the conditions Entry 9 described in **Table 1**. Peptides were cleaved from the resin, by treating with TFA/TIS/H2O (95:2.5:2.5) for 1 h at rt and precipitated with chilled CPME. The solid was dissolved in 10% aqueous AcOH and liophilized to render 27mg of a floppy solid (87% overall yield). HPLC analysis method was 5–20% B into A. The peptides were characterized by LCMS.

## Conclusions

The potential suitability of NBP as a green solvent candidate to substitute the DMF in SPPS was reported in a previous study. In the present work, we focused on the coupling of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH, a highly problematic amino acid because of its ability to react

intramolecularly, leading to its  $\delta$ -lactam derivative. The kinetic comparison of  $\delta$ -lactamization in DMF and NBP in the presence of a stoichiometric ratio of DIC/oxymapure proved only slightly superior in DMF than NBP at RT but was much faster in the green solvent when the temperature was raised to 60°C. When the assay was performed in the presence of the nucleophile, the peptidyl-resin, to study the competition between  $\delta$ -lactam formation and incorporation of the protected amino acid to the resin, the results revealed the key role of solvent viscosity for the coupling reaction. While at RT, the incorporation of the protected Arg was almost quantitative in DMF and less than 50% in NBP, at 45°C the incorporation using NBP exceeded 85%.

using a ratio Fmoc-AA-OH/DIC/Oxyma 1:1:1, 1.5 equiv. in NBP or

DMF at 45 °C for 1h. The different conditions for coupling of Emoc-

Arg (Pbf)-OH are detailed in Table 1. Peptides were cleaved from

the resin, by treating with TFA/TIS/H2O (95:2.5:2.5) for 1 h at rt and

precipitated with chilled CPME. HPLC analysis method for coupling

quantification was 10-25% B into A.

After systematically modifying the different parameters that could influence the coupling reaction, such as temperature, concentration or mode of addition of the reagents, a successful protocol was established. Thus, the optimal temperature was fixed at 45°C, the concentration of the reagents at 0.15 M and the Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH/DIC/oxymapure ratio at 1:1.2:1. The procedure involved pre-

### ARTICLE

conditioning of the NBP solution containing the protected Arg, oxymapure and the peptidyl-resin at the fixed temperature, then addition of half the DIC and after 30 min the other half, together with 0.25 equiv. of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH.

Thus, using NBP as a solvent, it was possible to safely introduce Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH in SPPS under conditions compatible with industrial requirements, namely moderate temperature and keeping excesses to a minimum: 1.75 equiv. For the protected amino acids, 1.8 equiv. of DIC, and 1.5 equiv. Of oxymapure. It is envisaged that this procedure will be further applied for the incorporation of other protected amino acids in a SPPS approach.

Finally, this work has evidenced that the study of the supernatant of a SPPS reaction can bring key information to fine-tune the overall process.

## **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare

## Acknowledgements

Published on 31 January 2020. Downloaded by Goteborgs Universitet on 1/31/2020 12:15:45 PM

The work was funded in part by the following: the National Research Foundation (NRF) (# 105892 and Blue Sky's Research Programme # 110960) and the University of KwaZulu-Natal (South Africa); and the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation, and Universities (RTI2018-093831-B-100) and the Generalitat de Catalunya (2017 SGR 1439) (Spain).

### Notes and references

- 1. A. Henninot, J. C. Collins and J. M. Nuss, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **61**, 1382-1414.
- J. L. Lau and M. K. Dunn, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2018, 26, 2700-2707.
- O. Al Musaimi, A. El-Faham, Z. Almarhoon, A. Basso, B. G. de la Torre and F. Albericio, *Polymers (Basel, Switz.)*, 2019, 11, 874.
- 4. D. Al Shaer, O. Al Musaimi, F. Albericio and B. G. de la Torre, *Pharmaceuticals*, 2019, **12**, 52.
- 5. O. Al Musaimi, D. Al Shaer, B. de la Torre and F. Albericio, *Pharmaceuticals*, 2018, **11**, 42.
- 6. R. B. Merrifield, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 1963, **85**, 2149-2154.
- 7. G. R. Marshall, *Pept. Sci.*, 2008, **90**, 190-199.
- 8. T. Bruckdorfer, O. Marder and F. Albericio, *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.*, 2004, **5**, 29-43.
- 9. A. A. Zompra, A. S. Galanis, O. Werbitzky and F. Albericio, *Future Med. Chem.*, 2009, **1**, 361-377.
- 10. B. L. Bray, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.*, 2003, **2**, 587.
- L. A. Carpino, H. Shroff, S. A. Triolo, E.-S. M. Mansour, H. Wenschuh and F. Albericio, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, 34, 7829-7832.
- 12. K. Hojo, H. Ichikawa, M. Maeda, S. Kida, Y. Fukumori and K. Kawasaki, *J. Pept. Sci.*, 2007, **13**, 493-497.
- 13. S. B. Lawrenson, R. Arav and M. North, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 1685-1691.
- L. Ferrazzano, D. Corbisiero, G. Martelli, A. Tolomelli, A. Viola, A. Ricci and W. Cabri, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 12867-12877.

- Y. E. Jad, A. Kumar, A. El-Faham, B. G. de la Torre and F. Albericio, ACS Sustainable Chem Eng., 120199 C, 03694-3683.
- A. Isidro-Llobet, M. N. Kenworthy, S. Mukherjee, M. E. Kopach, K. Wegner, F. Gallou, A. G. Smith and F. Roschangar, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 4615-4628.
- 17. Y. E. Jad, G. A. Acosta, S. N. Khattab, B. G. de la Torre, T. Govender, H. G. Kruger, A. El-Faham and F. Albericio, *Amino Acids*, 2016, **48**, 419-426.
- Y. E. Jad, G. A. Acosta, S. N. Khattab, G. Beatriz, T. Govender, H. G. Kruger, A. El-Faham and F. Albericio, Amino acids, 2016, 48, 419-426.
- O. Al Musaimi, Y. E. Jad, A. Kumar, A. El-Faham, J. M. Collins, A. Basso, B. G. de la Torre and F. Albericio, *Org. Process Res. Dev*, 2018, **22**, 1809-1816.
- 20. F. Albericio, A. Kumar, Y. E. Jad, J. Collins and B. G. de la Torre, 2018.
- A. Kumar, A. Thompson-Adewumi, K. Nandhini, J. M. Collins, F. Albericio and B. G. de la Torre, *Org. Process Res. Dev*, 2019, 23, 1096-1100.
- J. Lopez, S. Pletscher, A. Aemissegger, C. Bucher and F. Gallou, Org. Process Res. Dev, 2018, 22, 494-503.
- T.-L. Hwang, K. Ranganathan, Y.-Q. Fang, R. D. Crockett, S. Osgood and S. Cui, *Org. Process Res. Dev*, 2018, 22, 1007-1014.
- C. Allolio, A. Magarkar, P. Jurkiewicz, K. Baxová, M. Javanainen, P. E. Mason, R. Šachl, M. Cebecauer, M. Hof and D. Horinek, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 2018, **115**, 11923-11928.
- 25. R. Subirós-Funosas, R. Prohens, R. Barbas, A. El-Faham and F. Albericio, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 9394-9403.
- 26. M.-M. Carlos, R. Florian and K. Horst, *Anti-Cancer Agents* in Medicinal Chemistry, 2010, **10**, 753-768.