

Selective Activation/Coupling of Polyhalogenated Nucleophiles in Ni/Cr-Mediated Reactions: Synthesis of C1–C19 Building Block of Halichondrin Bs

Wuming Yan, Zhanjie Li, and Yoshito Kishi*

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The C1–C19 building block 46 of halichondrin Bs was synthesized via a selective activation/coupling of β bromoenone 34 with aldehyde 35 in a Ni/Cr-mediated reaction. The first phase of study was a method development to effect a coupling of a "naked" vinylogous anion with an aldehyde. The study with the coupling of $9 + 10 \rightarrow 11$ revealed: (1) β -bromoenone **9b** is a better nucleophile than the corresponding β -iodo- and β -chloroenones 9a,c; (2) (Me)₂Phen(OMe)₂·NiCl₂ 13b is a better Ni-catalyst than $(Me)_2Phen(H)_2 \cdot NiCl_2$ 13a; and (3) a low Ni-catalyst loading, for example, 0.05-0.1 mol % Ni-catalyst against 10 mol % Crcatalyst, is crucial for an effective coupling. The second phase



of study was a method development to realize a selective activation/coupling of polyhalogenated nucleophiles such as 34. The competition experiment of 10 + 9b over 10 + 31a-c revealed: (1) $(Me)_2Phen(OMe)_2 \cdot NiCl_2 \ 13b$ is more effective than $(Me)_2$ Phen $(H)_2$ ·NiCl₂ 13a for the required selective activation/coupling; (2) a low Ni-catalyst loading, for example, 0.05–0.1 mol % Ni-catalyst against 10 mol % Cr-catalyst, is crucial for discriminating β -bromoenone **9b** from the three types of vinyl iodides 31a-c. The third phase of study was an application of the developed method to execute the proposed coupling of 34 + $35 \rightarrow 36$. For this application, a polyether-type Ni-catalyst 37c, readily soluble in the reaction medium, was introduced to achieve the selective activation/coupling with higher efficiency. With use of ion-exchange resin-based device, the coupling product 36 was transformed to the C1-C19 building block 46 of halichondrin Bs without purification/separation of the intermediates.

INTRODUCTION

In conjunction with the ongoing research aimed at a unified total synthesis of the halichondrin class of marine natural products, we have been interested in a possibility of synthesizing the C1-C19 building block of halichondrin Bs via a coupling of A with B, because of its high degree of convergence (Scheme 1).¹⁻⁴ To execute this plan, we have realized that it is necessary to overcome two major obstacles: (1) how to achieve a selective activation of the β -haloenone over the vinyl iodide, as well as the saturated chloride, present in the nucleophile and (2) how to realize an effective carbonyl addition of a "naked" vinylogous acyl anion to an aldehyde, see the structure in the bracket in Scheme 1. Based on the considerations given in the following sections, we have felt that a Ni/Cr-mediated coupling reaction could achieve this crucial C-C bond formation. In this paper, we report that, through extensive method-development efforts, it has become possible to achieve the proposed C–C bond formation at a synthetically useful level, thereby allowing us to achieve a convergent and practical synthesis of the C1-C19 building block of halichondrin Bs.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

General Notes on Cr-Mediated Coupling Reactions. Cr-mediated couplings of organic halides/triflates with aldehydes belong to a class of 1,2-carbonyl addition reactions. In this process, the active nucleophiles RCrX₂ are generated from halides/triflates in situ. Depending on the modes of activation, Cr-mediated couplings are divided into three subgroups: (1) Ni/Cr-mediated alkenylation, alkynylation and arylation; (2) Co or Fe/Cr-mediated alkylation, 2-haloallylation and (propargylation); and (3) Cr-mediated allylation and propargylation.⁵

Ni/Cr-mediated couplings of alkenyl halides/triflates with aldehydes were originally reported by Takai, Hiyama, Nozaki, and co-workers in 1983.6 Since then, it was shown that the coupling was initiated by a catalytic amount of NiCl₂ contaminated in CrCl₂.⁷ It is now generally accepted that this coupling involves: (1) oxidative addition of Ni(0), formed from NiCl₂ via reduction with CrCl₂ in situ, to an alkenyl halide/ triflate to form an alkenyl Ni(II)-species; (2) transmetalation of the resultant Ni(II)-species to $Cr(II)Cl_2$ to form alkenyl

Received: April 3, 2015

Scheme 1. New Approach To Synthesize the C1–C19 Building Block in Halichondrin B



Cr(III)-species; and (3) carbonyl addition of the resultant Cr(III)-species to an aldehyde to form the product Cr(III)-alkoxide (Scheme 2). The chemistry has been developed to achieve this coupling in a catalytic, asymmetric manner.⁸

Scheme 2. Catalytic Ni/Cr-Mediated Coupling Reactions: (A) Overall Transformation and (B) Ni- and Cr-Catalytic Cycles^a

A: Overall Transformation



 a Zr(Cp)₂Cl₂ or TMS-Cl is used to dissociate the product from Cr-species, to regenerate the Cr-catalyst.

As mentioned, this bond-forming process is a Grignard-type carbonyl addition reaction. However, it is noteworthy that this reaction displays a remarkable selectivity toward aldehydes over other carbonyl compounds. Activation of halides/triflates in the presence of aldehydes provides not only an experimental convenience but also an opportunity to achieve chemical transformations in an unconventional manner, i.e., cyclization. Undoubtedly, the most valuable feature of this coupling is its exceptional compatibility with a wide range of functional groups. This unique potential is appreciated most when applied to polyfunctional molecules. Indeed, there are numerous examples in which this bond-forming process has been used at a late-stage in a multistep synthesis.

Coupling Efficiency of β **-Haloenones with Aldehydes.** The success of the proposed synthesis of C1–C19 building block **D** relies on a C–C bond formation between aldehyde **A** with a vinylogous acyl anion generated from β -haloenone **B**. There are several methods reported for C–C bond formation between "masked" vinylogous acyl anions and aldehydes.^{9,10} To the contrary, a literature search has revealed that only a handful of examples are known for C–C bond formation between "naked" vinylogous acyl anions and aldehydes. Scheme 3 gives

Scheme 3. Three Examples Relevant to the Proposed Coupling



three relevant examples. In 1992, we reported a coupling reaction between methyl β -iodoacrylate 2 and aldehyde 1, which allowed us effectively to form the C29–C30 bond of halichondrins. Since then, we improved the original stoichiometric, nonasymmetric reaction to the catalytic, asymmetric version.¹¹ Knochel and Rao disclosed a coupling of β -iodocyclohexenone 4 with aldehydes in the presence of CrCl_2 in DMF.¹² In connection with the synthetic efforts on the taxane class of natural products, we used the Ni/Cr-mediated coupling reaction to construct the taxane ring system, cf. $7 \rightarrow 8$.^{13,14} Notably, these successful examples relied on Cr-organometallics. Based on this observation, we have focused on the Ni/Cr-mediated coupling $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

The first phase of our study was to assess the coupling efficiency of β -iodoenones with aldehydes, with use of model substrates (Scheme 4). We tested the coupling efficiency between β -iodoenone 9a and aldehyde 10 with 10 mol % Cr-catalyst, prepared from (S)-sulfonamide 12, and 1 mol % (Me)₂Phen(H)₂·NiCl₂ 13a in MeCN (Scheme 4).^{15,16} This attempt demonstrated that expected coupling was indeed possible. However, its efficiency (27% isolated yield) was far below the synthetically useful level.¹⁷

We speculated that the activation of β -iodoenone **9a** with Nicatalyst might be too fast, relative to the Cr-catalytic cycle, and some of the resultant alkenyl Ni(II)-species might be wasted, thereby causing a poor overall coupling efficiency. Based on this speculation, we conducted two experiments. First, we compared β -iodoenone **9a** with β -bromo- and β -chloroenones **9b,c**. We anticipated that, because of electronic effects, **9b,c** might be less reactive than **9a** and, therefore, might have a better reactivitybalance between the Ni- and Cr-catalytic cycles. Indeed, this experiment showed that (1) **9b,c** gave a better coupling efficiency than **9a** and (2) **9b** gave a slightly better coupling efficiency than **9c**.

With β -bromoenone **9b**, we conducted the second experiment. Namely, we tested the overall coupling efficiency with less reactive Ni-catalyst and then with a lesser amount of the Ni-catalyst. Based on the previous studies, we were aware that

Scheme 4. Model Coupling Reactions Used to Assess the Coupling Efficiency a



"Coupling conditions: (A) 10 mol % Cr-complex prepared from sulfonamide 12 and 1 mol % nickel complex 13a (B) 10 mol % Crcomplex prepared from sulfonamide 12 and 0.05 mol % nickel complex 13b. The molar ratio of the substrates used was 9:10 = 1.5:1, and 11 was isolated by silica gel chromatography and indicated yields are based on aldehyde 10.

 $(Me)_2Phen(OMe)_2\cdot NiCl_2$ 13b is a slower activator of vinyl iodides than $(Me)_2Phen(H)_2\cdot NiCl_2$ 13a.¹⁸ Indeed, on replacing 13a with 13b, the coupling efficiency was noticeably improved. We then optimized the ratio of Ni- over Cr-catalysts; with 10 mol % Cr-catalyst fixed, 1, 0.5. 0.1, 0.05, and 0.01 mol % Nicatalyst loadings were tested, thereby revealing that (1) the coupling efficiency improved with lowering the Ni-catalyst loading and (2) the coupling efficiency reached the plateau at the 0.05–0.1 mol % Ni-catalyst loading. It is worthwhile noting that the coupling reaction did not proceed without Ni-catalysts.

We used the coupling condition of "10 mol % Cr-catalyst, prepared from sulfonamide 12, 0.05 mol % Ni-complex 13b, $Zr(Cp)_2Cl_2$ (1.5 equiv), LiCl (2 equiv), and Mn (2 equiv) in MeCN ([C] 0.4 M) at room temperature" for a study of coupling efficiency. Table 1 summarizes the coupling efficiency for disubstituted *trans-β*-bromoenones with aldehydes. All the cases studied gave expected products in good yields. We should note that the products thus obtained were stable enough to isolate and characterize. However, on standing in benzene, methylene chloride, and other solvents, at room temperature, they gradually decomposed, to yield the corresponding furans. With acid treatment (*p*-TSA or CSA/MeCN/RT), they gave the furans almost instantaneously. On acylation, however, the coupling products became stable even in the presence of acids (aq. TFA, CH₂Cl₂, RT).

Table 2 summarizes our attempts to expand this coupling reaction to other types of β -bromoenones. The first case studied was *cis*- β -bromoenone **19**; the attempted coupling did not give the expected coupling product, but rather a ~9:1 mixture of coupling product **11**, identical to the coupling product obtained from *trans*- β -bromoenone **9b** and furan **20**. The problem encountered was two-fold. First, the geometrical configuration of **19** was not retained in the coupling; we would attribute it to a facile *cis* \rightarrow *trans* isomerization of the alkenyl Ni(II)-species formed from *cis*- β -bromoenone **19**, thereby giving a mixture of *trans*- and *cis*-coupling products.^{17,19} Table 1. Examples Tested for the Coupling of Di-Substituted $trans-\beta$ -Bromoenones with Aldehydes^a



^{*a*}Coupling condition: 10 mol % Cr-catalyst, prepared from sulfonamide **12**, 0.05 mol % Ni-complex **13b**, $Zr(Cp)_2Cl_2$ (1.5 equiv), LiCl (2 equiv), and Mn (2 equiv) in MeCN ([*C*] 0.4 M) at room temperature for 3 h. The molar ratio of aldehyde and nucleophile was 1:1.5; product was isolated by silica gel chromatography; and indicated yields are based on aldehyde.

Second, the resultant *cis*-coupling product appeared not to survive under the coupling condition employed, to form furan **20**.

Trans-trisubstituted β -bromoenone **21** gave a 1:1 mixture of coupling product **23** and furan **24**, whereas *cis*-trisubstituted β -bromoenone **22** gave only furan **24**. The observed results could be rationalized in the same term as given for the disubstituted *cis*- β -bromoenone **19**.

Overall, the disclosed coupling reaction between an aldehyde and a "naked" vinylogous acyl anion is synthetically useful at least for disubstituted *trans-\beta*-bromoenones. Interestingly, the developed method meets our need to achieve the proposed coupling reaction $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ (Scheme 1).

Selective Activation of β -Bromoenone over Vinyl lodide and Saturated Chloride. To the best of our knowledge, there is no literature precedent to support directly the proposed selective activation/coupling of a polyhalogenated nucleophile in the Ni/Cr-mediated coupling reactions. Perhaps, two examples shown in Scheme 5 are relevant, although remotely, to the proposed plan. The first example shows that a selective activation/coupling is possible with the use of selective activator in the Cr-mediated couplings, namely, cobalt- and iron-salts are known to activate saturated halides, but not vinyl

Table 2. Attempted Coupling with Other Types of β -Bromoenones^{*a*}



^aCoupling condition: see Table 1.

Scheme 5. Two Examples Remotely Relevant to the Proposed Synthetic Plan



halides.^{20,21} The second example shows that a selective activation of iodoacetylene in the Ni/Cr-mediated reaction is possible without disturbing the vinyl iodide present in the electrophile.^{3c}

In this work, we propose to activate selectively a β bromoenone over a vinyl iodide as well as a saturated chloride. As long as the Ni/Cr-mediated coupling is employed, the selective activation of a β -bromoenone over a saturated chloride should not be an issue. Thus, the central question is the feasibility of activating selectively a β -bromoenone over a vinyl iodide. We assume that, because of an electronic effect, a β bromoenone is more reactive toward Ni(0) than a vinyl iodide, thus giving a hope for realizing the proposed selective activation/coupling. The experimental results discussed in the previous section are very encouraging, because the activation/ coupling of β -bromoenones can be achieved with a trace amount of Ni-catalyst. However, it does not necessarily ensure that a selective activation/coupling of a β -bromoenone is possible without disturbing the vinyl iodide present in 34.

In order to test the feasibility of the proposed selective activation/coupling, we conducted competition experiments. Namely, aldehyde 10 was coupled with a 1:1 mixture of β -bromoenone 9b and vinyl iodide 31a, b, or c in the presence of a different amount of Ni-catalysts 13a,b, followed by ratioanalysis of the two expected products 11 and 32 (¹H NMR) (Table 3). The competition experiments demonstrated that (1)





^{*a*}Coupling conditions: nucleophile: a 1:1 mixture of **9b** and **31a**, **b**, or **c**. Reagents: 10 mol % Cr-catalyst, prepared from sulfonamide **12**, 0.05 mol % Ni-complex **13a** or **13b**, $Zr(Cp)_2Cl_2$ (1.5 equiv), LiCl (2 equiv), and Mn (2 equiv) in MeCN ([*C*] 0.4 M) at room temperature for 3 h. The product ratio was estimated from ¹H NMR analysis of crude coupling products. b* and c* are the coupling products derived from **31b** and **31c**, respectively.

0.05 and 0.1 mol % Ni-catalyst loadings, against 10 mol % Crcatalyst loading, allow selectively to activate/couple β bromoenone **9b** over all the three types of vinyl iodides **31a-c** and (2) Ni-catalyst **13b** gives a better discrimination of β -bromoenone **9b** over vinyl iodides **31a-c** than Ni-catalyst **13a**. Interestingly, 0.05 and 0.1 mol % Ni-catalyst loadings coincided with the Ni-catalyst amount ideal for β -bromoenone couplings (see the previous section).

Encouraged by the results of competition experiments, we began the coupling study of 34 and 35 (Scheme 7). Requisite nucleophile 34 was readily prepared from the previously reported, optically pure aldehyde 33 (Scheme 6).²² With respect to the electrophile, we tested several possible protecting groups at C8 and C9, thereby showing that the cyclohexylidene is the best option.²³

Aldehyde 35 was subjected to the Ni/Cr-coupling reaction (10 mol % Cr-catalyst, prepared from sulfonamide 12, and 0.05

Scheme 6. Synthesis of Polyhalogenated Nucleophile 34



mol % Ni-catalyst 13b), to furnish a single coupling product in 46% yield. The spectroscopic analysis (HR-MS, ¹H NMR, and ¹³C NMR) demonstrated that the isolated product was the desired coupling product 36. In particular, the C10–C11 vicinal proton spin-coupling constant (1.0 Hz) allowed us to assign the desired β -configuration to the newly introduced alcohol. Based on the previous examples similar to the present case, we anticipated the desired diastereomer to be formed in a high stereoselectivity with the Cr-catalyst prepared from (*S*)-sulfonamide 12.^{24,25} Nevertheless, we were delighted to observe the perfect stereocontrol for the coupling.

Naturally, we were anxious to improve the coupling efficiency. In this connection, we should comment on one technical difficulty encountered, i.e., controlling a very small amount of Ni-catalyst 13b, which is virtually insoluble in the reaction medium. We hoped that an improvement of Ni-catalyst solubility may not only overcome the technical difficulty but also improve the coupling efficiency, because the Ni-catalyst can be homogeneously maintained at a very low concentration in the reaction medium. Along with this line of consideration, we prepared three polyether-type phenanthrene-NiCl₂ complexes 37a-c (Scheme 7). As hoped, the solubility of

Scheme 7. Ni/Cr-Mediated Coupling of 34 with 35, with Use of Polyether-Type Phenanthrene NiCl₂ Complex $37c^{4}$



^{*a*}Coupling conditions: 10 mol % Cr-catalyst, prepared from sulfonamide **12**, 0.05 mol % Ni-complex **37c**, $Zr(Cp)_2Cl_2$ (1.5 equiv), LiCl (2 equiv), and Mn (2 equiv) in MeCN ([C] 0.4 M) at room temperature for 3 h. Yield: chromatographically isolated yield in 7.1 g aldehyde scale.

these complexes, particularly 37b and 37c, was vastly improved. To our delight, with the use of 37c, the coupling yield was also dramatically improved from 46% to 87%.²⁶

Table 4 summarizes the coupling of β -bromoenone 34 with various aldehydes under the optimized condition. The coupling efficiency of all the cases studied was very good. Among them, the result with aldehyde 33 was interesting to demonstrate that a selective activation of a β -bromoenone over a vinyl iodide is indeed high; note that the activation of vinyl iodide in 33 induces cyclization with the aldehyde.

Synthesis of C1–C19 Building Block of Halichondrin Bs. As mentioned earlier, the coupling product 36 was prone to furan formation, but this instability could be overcome by Table 4. Examples Tested for the Coupling ofPolyhalogenated Nucleophile 34 with Various Aldehydes^a



^{*a*}Coupling conditions: 10 mol % Cr-catalyst, prepared from sulfonamide **12**, 0.05 mol % Ni-complex **37c**, $Zr(Cp)_2Cl_2$ (1.5 equiv), LiCl (2 equiv), and Mn (2 equiv) in MeCN ([*C*] 0.4 M) at room temperature for 3 h; the molar ratio of aldehyde and nucleophile was 1:1.5; product was isolated by silica gel chromatography, and indicated yields are based on aldehyde.

acylation of the resultant allylic alcohol. Among several acyl groups tested, we chose p-nitrobenzoate, because it was found to be stable under the aq. TFA condition required for hydrolysis of the C8,C9-cyclohexylidene group, cf., step 2 in Scheme 8.

On treatment with aqueous Na₂CO₃, the *p*-nitrobenzoate group of aq. TFA-hydrolysis product was smoothly hydrolyzed, followed by an oxy-Michael reaction of the C9 hydroxyl group to the $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated ketone, to furnish a ~1:2 mixture of 44 and 45 (Scheme 8). In the previous studies, we learned the

Scheme 8. Completion of Synthesis of the C1–C19 Building Block 46 of Halichondrin B^a



"Yield: chromatographically isolated yield in 11.4 g scale of coupling product 36.

chemical behaviors of these oxy-Michael products, including: (1) PPTS treatment allows the C12- β oxy-Michael product 45 to convert to the desired polycycle, cf., 46; (2) undesired C12- α oxy-Michael product 44 can be recycled via retro oxy-Michael/oxy-Michael equilibration under basic condition; (3) an ion-exchange resin-based device allows the mixture of oxy-Michael products to convert to the desired polycycle without isolation and recycling of the undesired oxy-Michael product.²⁷

With this background, we attempted to convert oxy-Michael products 44 and 45 into polycycle 46, thereby revealing that (1) transformation of 45 into 46 under the PPTS condition was clean and facile, but (2) isomerization of 44 to 45 under the previously established basic conditions or ion-exchange-resin protocol was problematic; one problem identified was the elimination of HCl to form iodo-diene (see the lower half of Scheme 8). With this information, we searched for a reaction condition to establish the equilibrium between two oxy-Michael products without elimination of HCl and eventually found that the equilibration can be established with DBU or tetrame-thylguanidine in isopropanol or ethanol at room temperature, without the undesired elimination.²⁸

Naturally, we hoped to translate some of these conditions to ion-exchange resin-based device and found that polymer-bound guanidine base, coupled with polymer-bound PPTS, was effective directly to convert a mixture of oxy-Michael products 44 and 45 to polycycle 46 in a high yield without isolation/ separation/equilibration (Figure 1).^{29–31} The structure of C1–



Figure 1. Polymer-bound guanidine and PPTS used for ion-exchange resin-based device.

C19 building block 46 thus synthesized was fully supported by spectroscopic data (HR-MS, 1 H and 13 C NMR), which was further confirmed by X-ray analysis of its derivative.³²

The synthesis reported is easy to scale; the overall yield of 46 from 36 was 69% in a 11.4 g scale.

CONCLUSION

The C1-C19 building block 46 of halichondrin Bs was synthesized via a selective activation/coupling of β -bromoenone 34 with aldehyde 35 in a Ni/Cr-mediated reaction. The first phase of study was a method development to effect a coupling of a "naked" vinylogous anion with an aldehyde. The study with the coupling of $9 + 10 \rightarrow 11$ revealed: (1) β bromoenone 9b is a better nucleophile than the corresponding β -iodo- and β -chloroenones 9a,c; (2) (Me)₂Phen(OMe)₂. NiCl₂ 13b is a better Ni-catalyst than (Me)₂Phen(H)₂·NiCl₂ 13a; and (3) a low Ni-catalyst loading, for example, 0.05-0.1 mol % Ni-catalyst against 10 mol % Cr-catalyst, is crucial for an effective coupling. The second phase of study was a method development to realize a selective activation/coupling of polyhalogenated nucleophiles such as 34. The competition experiment of 10 + 9b over 10 + 31a-c revealed: (1) $(Me)_2$ Phen $(OMe)_2$ ·NiCl₂ 13b is more effective than (Me)₂Phen(H)₂·NiCl₂ 13a for the required selective activation/coupling; (2) a low Ni-catalyst loading, for example, 0.05-0.1 mol % Ni-catalyst against 10 mol % Cr-catalyst, is crucial for discriminating β -bromoenone **9b** from the three types of vinyl iodides 31a-c. The third phase of study was an application of the developed method to execute the proposed coupling of 34 + 35 \rightarrow 36. For this application, a polyether-type Ni-catalyst 37c, readily soluble in the reaction medium, was introduced to achieve the selective activation/coupling with higher efficiency. With use of ion-exchange resin-based device, the coupling product 36 was transformed to the C1-C19 building block 46 of halichondrin Bs without purification/separation of the intermediates.

Lastly, it is worthwhile noting that all of the halogens present in the nucleophile **34** are designed selectively to achieve specific bond formation in a controlled manner, as illustrated in the synthesis of right-half of halichondrin A (Scheme 9).^{3c} Namely,

Scheme 9. Summary of the Synthesis of the Right-Half of Halichondrin A



C19 vinyl bromide or iodide was used for the Ni/Cr-mediated coupling stereoselectively to form the C19–C20 bond, whereas C17 chloride allowed stereospecifically to form the tetrahydrofuran ring in an $S_N 2$ fashion.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, characterization data, copies of spectra, and crystallographic data (CIF). The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b03498.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*kishi@chemistry.harvard.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the Eisai USA Foundation is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr. Shao-Liang Zheng for his help with the X-ray data collection and structure determination.

REFERENCES

(1) For isolation/structure elucidation of the halichondrins from the marine sponge *Halichondria okadai* by Uemura and Hirata, see:
 (a) Uemura, D.; Takahashi, K.; Yamamoto, T.; Katayama, C.; Tanaka, J.; Okumura, Y.; Hirata, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 4796.
 (b) Hirata, Y.; Uemura, D. Pure Appl. Chem. **1986**, 58, 701.

(2) For isolation of the halichondrins from different species of sponges, see: (a) Pettit, G. R.; Herald, C. L.; Boyd, M. R.; Leet, J. E.; Dufresne, C.; Doubek, D. L.; Schmidt, J. M.; Cerny, R. L.; Hooper, J. N. A.; Rützler, K. C. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 3339. (b) Pettit, G. R.; Tan, R.; Gao, F.; Williams, M. D.; Doubek, D. L.; Boyd, M. R.; Schmidt, J. M.; Chapuis, J.-C.; Hamel, E.; Bai, R.; Hooper, J. N. A.; Tackett, L. P. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2538. (c) Litaudon, M.; Hart, J. B.; Blunt, J. W.; Lake, R. J.; Munro, M. H. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 9435. (d) Litaudon, M.; Hickford, S. J. H.; Lill, R. E.; Lake, R. J.; Blunt, J. W.; Munro, M. H. G. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1868. (e) Hickford, S. J. H.; Blunt, J. W.; Munro, M. H. G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2009, 17, 2199.

(3) For the synthetic work from this group, see: (a) Halichondrin Bs: Aicher, T. D.; Buszek, K. R.; Fang, F. G.; Forsyth, C. J.; Jung, S. H.; Kishi, Y.; Matelich, M. C.; Scola, P. M.; Spero, D. M.; Yoon, S. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, 114, 3162. (b) Halichondrin Cs: Yamamoto, A.; Ueda, A.; Brémond, P.; Tiseni, P. S.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 893. (c) Halichondrin As: Ueda, A.; Yamamoto, A.; Kato, D.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 5171 and references cited therein.

(4) For synthetic efforts by Salomon, Burke, Yonemitsu, Phillips, and Yadav see: (a) Henderson, J. A.; Jackson, K. L.; Phillips, A. J. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 5299. Jackson, K. L.; Henderson, J. A.; Motoyoshi, H.; Phillips, A. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2346 and the references cited therein. (b) Burke, S. D.; Buchanan, J. L.; Rovin, J. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 3961. Lambert, W. T.; Hanson, G. H.; Benayoud, F.; Burke, S. D. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9382 and the references cited therein. (c) Kim, S.; Salomon, R. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 6279. Cooper, A. J.; Pan, W.; Salomon, R. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 8193 and the references cited therein. (d) Horita, K.; Hachiya, S.; Nagasawa, M.; Hikota, M.; Yonemitsu, O. Synlett 1994, 38. Horita, K.; Nishibe, S.; Yonemitsu, O. Phytochem. Phytopharm. 2000, 386 and the references cited therein. (e) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, C. N.; Sabitha, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 2504.

(5) For reviews on Cr-mediated carbon-carbon bond-forming reactions, see: (a) Saccomano, N. A. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 1, p 173. (b) Fürstner, A. *Chem. Rev.* 1999, 99, 991. (c) Wessjohann, L. A.; Scheid, G. *Synthesis* 1999, 1. (d) Takai, K.; Nozaki, H. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. B* 2000, *76*, 123. (e) Takai, K. *Org. React.* 2004, *64*, 253. (e) Hargaden, G. C.; Guiry, P. J. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2007, *349*, 2407. (6) Takai, K.; Kimura, K.; Kuroda, T.; Hiyama, T.; Nozaki, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1983, *24*, 5281.

(7) (a) Jin, H.; Uenishi, J.-I.; Christ, W. J.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5644. (b) Takai, K.; Tagashira, M.; Kuroda, T.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K.; Nozaki, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 6048.

(8) For the work from this laboratory, see: (a) Ref 7a. (b) Ref 21a.
(c) Choi, H.-w.; Nakajima, K.; Demeke, D.; Kang, F.-A.; Jun, H.-S.; Wan, Z.-K.; Kishi, Y. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4435. (d) Ref 11c. (e) Ref 11d. (f) Liu, X.; Henderson, J. A.; Sasaki, T.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 16678. (g) Ref 18 and references cited therein.

(9) For "masked" vinylogous acyl anion equivalents, see: (a) Cohen,
T.; Bennett, A. D.; Mura, A. J., Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 2506.
(b) Debal, A.; Cuvigny, T.; Larcheveque, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977,
18, 3187. (c) Piers, E.; Morton, H. E. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3437.
(d) Ren, H.; Krasovskiy, A.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4215.

(10) For a review on related subjects, see: Chinchilla, R.; Najera, C. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1891.

(11) (a) Aicher, T. D.; Buszek, K. R.; Fang, F. G.; Forsyth, C. J.; Jung,
S. H.; Kishi, Y.; Scola, P. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 1549.
(b) Chen, C.; Tagami, K.; Kishi, Y. J. Org. Chem. **1995**, *60*, 5386.
(c) Namba, K.; Kishi, Y. Org. Lett. **2004**, *6*, 5031. (d) Guo, H.; Dong,

C.-G.; Kim, D.-S.; Urabe, D.; Wang, J.; Kim, J. T.; Liu, X.; Sasaki, T.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 15387.

(12) Knochel, P.; Rao, C. J. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 29.

(13) Kress, M. H.; Ruel, R.; Miller, W. H.; Kishi, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 6003.

(14) Our research efforts in this area were recorded in three dissertations: (a) Kress, M. H. Applications of the Ni(II)/Cr(II)-Mediated Coupling Reaction to the Synthesis of the Taxane Diterpenes, *Ph.D. Thesis*, Harvard University, January, 1995.
(b) Sheng, X. C. Total Synthesis of (+)-Cinnamoyltaxicin-I Triacetate, *Ph.D. Thesis*, Harvard University, September, 1998. (c) Jongwon, L. Total Synthesis of Taxol, *Ph.D. Thesis*, Harvard University, July, 2000.

(15) The coupling condition routinely used for ligand optimization with the toolbox approach, cf., ref 11d.

(16) We anticipated that (R)-11 was predominantly formed because the Cr-catalyst, prepared from an optically active sulfonamide (S)-12, was used. ¹H NMR analysis of its (R)- and (S)-Mosher esters indicated that its optical purity was ~70% ee. Because our goal of this study was to demonstrate the efficiency of coupling, we did not attempt to improve the asymmetric induction, for example, by adopting the toolbox approach (ref 11d). For the same reason, we did not examine the optical purity for the coupling products listed in Tables 1, 2, and 4.

(17) In spite of only 27% isolated yield, no distinct byproduct(s) was detected, i.e., TLC showed a streaking line, besides 11.

(18) Liu, X.; Li, X.; Chen, Y.; Hu, Y.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 6136.

(19) For $cis \rightarrow trans$ isomerization of alkenyl Ni(II)-species, for example see: (a) Huggins, J. M.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, 103, 3002. (b) Hirata, Y.; Tanaka, M.; Yada, A.; Nakao, Y.; Hiyama, T. Tetrahedron **2009**, 65, 5037.

(20) Takai, K.; Nitta, K.; Fujimura, O.; Utimoto, K. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 4732.

(21) (a) Wan, Z.-K.; Choi, H.-w.; Kang, F.-A.; Nakajima, K.; Demeke, D.; Kishi, Y. Org. Lett. **2002**, *4*, 4431. (b) ref 11d.

(22) Liu, S.; Kim, J. T.; Dong, C.-G.; Kishi, Y. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4520.

(23) C8,C9-Protecting groups tested included acetonide, anisylidene, bis-TBS, carbonate, bis-Ac, bis-Bz, and bis-MOM.

(24) (a) Aicher, T. D.; Kishi, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 3463. (b) ref 11d.

(25) Six chiral sulfonamides were tested. All the Cr-catalysts prepared from them gave the desired 11β -alcohol stereospecifically in the indicated yields. (*S*)-Sulfonamide **12** was chosen, because it gave the best coupling yield.

(26) The coupling yields with 37a and 37b were 59% and 75%, respectively. We also prepared a Ni-catalyst with *n*-dodecyloxy substituents, i.e., X = n-C₁₂H₂₅O in 13, but found that its solubility was roughly same as that of 13b and the coupling yield with this Ni-catalyst was 60%.

(27) (a) Namba, K.; Jun, H.-S.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 7770. (b) Kaburagi, Y.; Kishi, Y. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 723.

(28) DBU, Triton B(OMe), and tetramethylguanidine were tested. DBU and tetramethylguanidine established an equilibrium in isopropanol or ethanol at RT without an elimination of HCl, whereas caused an elimination of HCl in DMF and MeCN. Triton B(OMe) caused unknown decomposition of the oxy-Michael products.

(29) Basic ion-exchange resins tested included Amberlite IRA-400, Amberlite IRA-402, Amberlite IRA-900, Amberlite A-21, Amberlite A-26, and Amberlite A-27. Acidic ion-exchange resins tested included Rexyn 101, Amberlite IR-120, Amberlite 15, and Amberlite IRC-86.

(30) Both purchased from Aldrich: polymer-bound guanidine: #358754; polymer-bound PPTS: #82817.

(31) As ethanol was used as the solvent, an ester exchange was noticed if the reaction was run over 1 day. However, it did not present an issue for preparative purpose, as the conversion was usually complete within 12 h.

(32) See Supporting Information.