

Type 1 Ring-Opening Reactions of Cyclopropanated 7-Oxabenzonorbornadienes with Organocuprates

Emily Carlson, Jamie Haner, Mary McKee, and William Tam*

Guelph-Waterloo Centre for Graduate Work in Chemistry and Biochemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 2W1

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: For the first time, nucleophilic ring-openings of cyclopropanated 7-oxabenzonorbornadiene were investigated, providing a novel approach to the preparation of 2-methyl-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ols. Satisfactory yields (up to 95%) were achieved using *n*-Bu₂CuCNLi₂ as the nucleophile and Et₂O as the solvent. The reaction demonstrated successful incorporation of primary, secondary, tertiary and aromatic nucleophiles, as well as ring-openings of substrates bearing arene substituents and C1-bridgehead substituents. A generalized mechanism for these transformations is also proposed.

$$X O Z$$
 CH_2
 Et_2O
 $-78 °C to rt$
 $X HO Z$
 $Y HO Z$

eterobicyclic alkenes serve as valuable precursors for the creation of highly substituted cyclic and acyclic systems alike. Most notably, ring-opening reactions of oxabicyclic alkenes are well recognized for their ability to generate multiple stereocenters in a single step. Nucleophilic ring-openings of 7-oxabenzonorbornadiene 1 provide a diverse supply of 2-substituted dihydronaphthalenol derivatives, depending on the metal catalyst and nucleophile employed (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Nucleophilic Ring-Opening Reactions of 7-Oxabenzonorbornadiene 1

Syn-stereoisomeric products **2** or **3** are attained when rhodium, ⁴ palladium, ⁵ or nickel ⁶ are supplied with an arene nucleophile and when palladium ⁷ or nickel ⁸ catalyzes the addition of an alkyl nucleophile. In contrast, the *anti*-diastereomers **4** or **5** are obtained when rhodium assists addition of a heteroatomic nucleophile ⁹ or when copper catalyzes addition of an alkyl nucleophile. ¹⁰ Furthermore,

reductive openings of 1 with hydride nucleophiles have also been achieved to provide the unsubstituted $6.^{11}$ The resulting dihydronaphthalenols 2-6 and their variously substituted analogues find broad application in the synthesis of biologically active substances, such as arnottin $I_{\rm s}^{12}$ as well as medicinal compounds, such as sertraline. 13

While nucleophilic ring-openings of olefin 1 have been extensively studied, the similar chemical reactivities reported between olefins and cyclopropanes¹⁴ drew our attention to nucleophilic ring-opening reactions for cyclopropanated analogues of 1. To begin our work, the substrates were prepared by cyclopropanation of 7 using *in situ* generated diazomethane, giving 8 in moderate to excellent yields (Scheme 2).¹⁵ For purposes of optimization, early trials focused on reactions involving the unsubstituted parent compound 8a (Scheme 3).

Three outcomes could be envisioned for nucleophilic ringopening reactions of 8a, based on the position of the attacking nucleophile and the bond being broken (Scheme 3). The first type of reaction would invoke nucleophilic attack at bridgehead position C1 (Scheme 3), with simultaneous cleavage of the C—

Scheme 2. Recent Preparations of Cyclopropanated 7-Oxabenzonorbornadienes

Received: February 13, 2014 Published: March 11, 2014 Organic Letters Letter

Scheme 3. Proposed Types of Ring-Opening Reactions for Cyclopropanated 7-Oxabenzonorbornadienes

O bond to give the ring-opened product 9. Early experiments, however, showed that 9 was in fact not isolable, but further undergoes ring-opening of the cyclopropane, leading to the formation of dihydronaphthalenol 10 upon aqueous work up (vide infra). This class of peculiar reactions which produced 10 was given the name Type 1 ring-opening reactions. To the best of our knowledge, this paper describes the first account where a cyclopropanated heterobicyclic molecule 8a has been opened in this fashion. The second type of conceivable reaction would result from nucleophilic attack on the cyclopropane at position C3 (Scheme 3), leading to the ring-opened product 11. The third type of potential reaction would involve nucleophilic attack at the cyclopropane position C2 (Scheme 3), producing cycloheptenol derivative 12 through ring expansion.

The effects of organometallic nucleophile, solvent, and reaction time on Type 1 nucleophilic ring-openings of 8a are summarized in Table 1. Initial attempts using Grignard reagent as the organometallic nucleophile were futile, with no

Table 1. Organometallic Reagent and Solvent Effects on Type 1 Ring-Opening Reactions of 8a

					(%) ^a	
entry	organometallic reagent	solvent	time (h)	8a (%) ^a	10	13
1	EtMgBr	THF	72	94	0	0
2^{b}	EtMgBr	THF	72	86	0	0
3	n-BuLi	THF	72	76	20	0
4^b	n-BuLi	THF	72	72	21	0
5	n-BuCeCl ₂	THF	24	91	4	0
6	n -BuCu·BF $_3$	THF	72	92	0	0
7	$n ext{-}\mathrm{Bu}_2\mathrm{CuLi} ext{-}\mathrm{LiI}$	THF	8	65	30	2
8	$n\text{-Bu}_2\text{CuLi}\text{-LiBr}$	THF	8	22	67	2
9	$n\text{-Bu}_2\text{CuLi}\text{-LiCl}$	THF	8	35	58	2
10	n -Bu $_3$ CuLi $_2$ ·LiCl	THF	8	20	71	3
11	n -Bu $_2$ CuCNLi $_2$	THF	8	29	69	2
12	n-Bu ₂ CuCNLi ₂	Et_2O	8	2	95	2
13	n-Bu ₂ CuCNLi ₂	toluene	8	91	0	0
14	n -Bu $_2$ CuCNLi $_2$	hexanes	8	96	0	0
15	n -Bu $_2$ CuCNLi $_2$	DCM	8	95	0	0

^aIsolated yield after column chromatography. b Reaction was heated to 60–65 $^{\circ}$ C.

improvement seen with increased temperatures (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). Using organolithium reagent, ^{17a} however, the ring-opened product 10 was obtained as the sole product in 20% yield; again, no appreciable temperature dependence was noted (entries 3 and 4). Other organometallic reagents including in situ generated organocerium (entry 5)17b and Lewis acidic monoorganocopper (entry 6)¹⁸ compounds were less effective or showed no conversion at all. It was not until subsequent trials involving organocuprates were attempted that an increase in effectiveness was seen: Gilman reagents 18,19 generated from copper(I) halide salts showed that chloride and bromide derivatives were more effective than the iodide derivative, giving 10 in moderate yields of >55% after 8 h (entries 7-9). It was at this time that the formation of an aromatic side product 13 was first noted. Higher-order cuprates,²⁰ when subjected to the reaction, also gave promising yields of 10 with small proportions of 13 (entries 10 and 11). Finally, a range of solvents was screened. The choice of diethyl ether drastically improved the yield of 10 to near-quantitative conversion (entry 12), while reactions in toluene, hexanes, and dichloromethane proved to be unsuccessful (entries 13-15). The structures of these compounds were determined by various NMR experiments (1H, 13C, HSQC, and GOESY)21 and were compared with the data of a structurally analogous syn-2methyl-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (R = H) from the literature.²² With the optimization complete, the combination of higher order cyanocuprate in diethyl ether was selected for investigations toward the reaction scope.

The scope of the ring-opening reactions of 8a using various higher order cyanocuprate nucleophiles is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Effects of Various Organocuprate Nucleophiles on Type 1 Ring-Opening Reactions of 8a

				$(\%)^a$	
entry	nucleophile	time (h)	8a (%) ^a	10	13
1	n-Bu	8	2	95	2
2	Me	160	40	59	0
3^b	Me	48	16	64	19
4	Et	16	48	50	2
5	Et	120	49	10	28
6	Et	160	15	10	64
7	Hex	30	35	40	1
8	Hex	140	0	18	77
9	i-Pr	40	3	45	47
10	t-Bu	30	37	12	20
11	Ph	48	60	23	0
2- 1 . 1	. 11 6			b	

 a Isolated yield after column chromatography. b 10 equiv of organocuprate, heated to 65–75 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}.$

Compared to *n*-Bu (entry 1), the methyl nucleophile (entry 2) was particularly unreactive, resulting in a 40% recovery of 8a after one week. This likely resulted from the low transferability of a methyl ligand relative to an *n*-Bu ligand from the cuprate.²³ When more forceful conditions of excess cuprate with heating were employed, the reaction was driven further toward completion (entry 3), with moderate yields of 10 and formation of 13. Reactions with the ethyl nucleophile also manifested that

Organic Letters Letter

the longer the reaction was left, the greater the consumption of starting material and formation of side product 13 (entries 4–6). A similar observation was made for the hexyl nucleophile (entries 7 and 8). It soon became evident that aromatization proceeded readily in the sealed reaction vessel under reaction conditions. Upon closer examination, it also became apparent that 10 converts to 13 on standing at room temperature over time. ²⁴ Finally, *i*-Pr and *t*-Bu nucleophiles showed relatively fast conversion, although similar proportions of 10 and 13 were recovered (entries 9 and 10), while surprisingly, the phenyl nucleophile appeared to produce 10 without any recoverable 13 (entry 11).

The scope of the ring-opening reactions of derivatized cyclopropanes 8, bearing various substituents on the arene as well as at the bridgehead position, is summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Effects of Substitution Pattern on the Substrate toward Type 1 Ring-Opening Reactions

						$(%)^{a}$	
entry	X	Y	Z	time (h)	8 (%) ^a	10	13
1	Н	Н	Н	8	2	95	2
2	Me	H	Н	4	9	64	0
3	OMe	H	Н	1	78	15	7
4	OMe	H	Н	20	53	24	14
5	OMe	H	Н	48	31	12	51
6	Н	OMe	Н	160	96	0	0
7	Н	Н	Me	48	35	59	4
8	Н	H	Me	120	9	48	21
9^b	Н	H	Et	140	7	81	12
10	Н	H	n-Bu	160	20	76	0
11	Н	Н	t-Bu	160	22	61	0
12^c	Н	H	Br	15	5	93	0

^aIsolated yield after column chromatography. ^b5 equiv of organocuprate. $^cZ = H$ in 10.

Relative to the unsubstituted reaction of 8a (entry 1), substrates bearing para-disubstituted arenes appeared to show moderate reactivity toward ring-opening with surprisingly short duration (entries 2 and 3). Once again, it was observed that reactions left for longer periods experienced extensive aromatization (entries 3-5). In contrast, the ortho-dimethoxy derivative showed no signs of reacting (entry 6), which was not surprising as we have previously observed similar differences in reactivity between these ortho- and para-compounds in our laboratories.²⁵ C1-Alkyl-substituted substrates all showed good reactivity and high regioselectivity but required longer reaction times overall (entries 7-11). C1-Methyl-substituted 8 showed moderate conversion after 48 h, although it was not until the reaction was left for nearly a week that the consumption of 8 was near completion (entries 7 and 8). Ethyl and n-butyl substituents gave >75% yields of 10 with minimal or undetectable 13 after 140-160 h (entries 9 and 10). The ring-opening also worked for the bulky C1-t-Bu substituent, which gave no 13 (entry 11). Finally, when a C1-bromosubstituted substrate was subjected to the ring-opening reaction, the product did not contain the halogen, but instead had a hydrogen in its place.²⁶ Moreover, the reaction gave comparable yields of 93% to that of the unsubstituted parent substrate 8a (entry 12).

To account for the formation of 10 in Type 1 ring-opening reactions, we propose a general mechanism (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Proposed Mechanism for Type 1 Ring Openings

Following attack of an organometallic nucleophile at the bridgehead position of 8a and cleavage of its C—O bond to give 14, a basic species present in the reaction medium removes a bridgehead proton. This causes an internal rearrangement of electrons in the framework which forces open the cyclopropane, generating 15. Upon quenching, both anionic C and O atoms of 15 would become protonated, giving rise to the observed product, 10.

In summary, we have demonstrated the first examples of Type 1 organocopper-mediated ring-opening reactions of cyclopropanated 7-oxabenzonorbornadienes as a novel approach for the preparation of 2-methyl-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ols. This chemistry is applicable to the incorporation of primary, secondary, tertiary and aromatic organic nucleophiles as well as to substrates bearing *para*-arene substituents and C1-bridgehead substituents. In addition, complete regioselectivity was observed for reactions involving C1-substituted substrates. Further investigations including mechanistic studies and broadening of the reaction scope will continue in our laboratories.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and compound characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: wtam@uoguelph.ca.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). J.H. thanks the Ontario government for providing a postgraduate scholarship (OGS).

REFERENCES

(1) For reviews, see: (a) Bournaud, C.; Chung, F.; Luna, A. P.; Pasco, M.; Errasti, G.; Lecourt, T.; Micouin, L. Synthesis **2009**, *6*, 869.

Organic Letters Letter

(b) Vogt, P. F.; Miller, M. J. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 1317. (c) Tam, W.; Cockburn, N. Synlett 2010, 8, 1170.

- (2) For selected papers, see: (a) Lautens, M.; Colucci, J. T.; Hiebert, S.; Smith, N. D.; Bouchain, G. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1879. (b) Lautens, M. Synlett 1993, 3, 177. (c) Lautens, M.; Abd-El-Aziz, A. S.; Lough, A. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 5305. (d) Lautens, M.; Dockendorff, C. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3695. (e) Che, C.; Liu, L.; Gong, J.; Yang, Y.; Wang, G.; Quan, J.; Yang, Z. Org, Lett. 2010, 12, 488.
- (3) For reviews, see: (a) Rayabarapu, D. K.; Cheng, C.-H. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 971. (b) Lautens, M.; Fagnou, K.; Heibert, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 48. (c) Woo, S.; Keay, B. A. Synthesis 1996, 6, 669. (d) Chiu, P.; Lautens, M. Top. Curr. Chem. 1997, 190, 1.
- (4) Lautens, M.; Dockendorff, C.; Fagnou, K.; Malicki, A. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1311.
- (5) Duan, J.; Cheng, C.-H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 4019.
- (6) Feng, C.; Nandi, T. S.; Cheng, C.-H. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 3538.
- (7) Lautens, M.; Hiebert, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1437.
- (8) Wu, M. S.; Jeganmohan, M.; Cheng, C.-H. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9545.
- (9) Leong, P.; Lautens, M. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 2194.
- (10) Bertozzi, F.; Pineschi, M.; Macchia, F.; Arnold, L. A.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2703.
- (11) Fan, E.; Shi, W.; Lowary, T. L. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 2917.
- (12) Madan, S.; Cheng, C.-H. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 8312.
- (13) Lautens, M.; Rovis, T. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 8967.
- (14) de Meijere, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1979, 18, 809.
- (15) McKee, M.; Haner, J.; Carlson, E.; Tam, W. Synthesis 2014, in press.
- (16) All reactions were quenched with aqueous 9:1 NH₄Cl/NH₄OH solution, pH \sim 9. See the Supporting Information.
- (17) (a) Charette, A. B.; Naud, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, 39, 7259. (b) Imamoto, T.; Sugiura, Y.; Takiyama, N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, 25, 4233.
- (18) (a) Lipshutz, B. H.; Ellsworth, E. L.; Siahaan, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 1351. (b) Yamamoto, Y.; Maruyama, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3240.
- (19) Kronenburg, C. M. P.; Amijs, C. H. M.; Jastrezebski, J. T. B. H.; Lutz, M.; Spek, A. L.; van Koten, G. Organometallics 2002, 21, 4662.
- (20) Lipshutz, B. H.; Wilhelm, R. S.; Kozlowski, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 3938.
- (21) HSQC: heteronuclear single quantum coherence. GOESY: gradient enhanced nuclear Overhauser enhancement spectroscopy. See: (a) Stonehouse, J.; Shaka, A. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 6037.
- (b) Stott, K.; Stonehouse, J.; Keeler, J.; Hwang, T.-L; Shaka, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 4199. (c) Dixon, A. M.; Widmalm, G.; Bull, T. E. J. Magn. Reson. 2000, 147, 266.
- (22) Zhang, T.-K.; Yuan, K.; Hou, X.-L. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 1912.
- (23) (a) Lipshutz, B. H.; Kozlowski, J. A.; Parker, D. A.; Nguyen, S. L.; McCarthy, K. E. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1985**, 285, 437. (b) Yamanaka, M.; Nakamura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 4697.
- (24) The conversion to 13 was monitored by ¹H NMR in CDCl₃ over a period of 2 months. See the Supporting Information.
- (25) Ballantine, M.; Menard, M. L.; Tam, W. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 7570.
- (26) The product **100** where Z = H was identified by comparison of its ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra to that of **10a** formed by reacting **8a** with n-Bu nucleophile. See the Supporting Information.